Optimization for Fully Connected Networks

In this notebook, we will implement different optimization rules for gradient descent. We have provided starter code; however, you will need to copy and paste your code from your implementation of the modular fully connected nets in HW #3 to build upon this.

CS231n has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils. As in prior assignments, we thank Serena Yeung & Justin Johnson for permission to use code written for the CS 231n class (cs231n.stanford.edu).

In [1]:

```
## Import and setups
import time
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from nndl.fc net import *
from cs231n.data utils import get CIFAR10 data
from cs231n.gradient check import eval numerical gradient, eval numerical gradient a
from cs231n.solver import Solver
%matplotlib inline
plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
# for auto-reloading external modules
# see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython
%load ext autoreload
%autoreload 2
def rel error(x, y):
    """ returns relative error """
    return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
```

In [2]:

```
# Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
for k in data.keys():
    print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
y_train: (49000,)
X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_val: (1000,)
X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_test: (1000,)
```

Building upon your HW #3 implementation

- affine forward in nndl/layers.py
- affine_backward in nndl/layers.py
- relu_forward in nndl/layers.py
- relu_backward in nndl/layers.py
- affine_relu_forward in nndl/layer_utils.py
- affine_relu_backward in nndl/layer_utils.py
- The FullyConnectedNet class in nndl/fc_net.py

Test all functions you copy and pasted

```
In [3]:
```

```
from nndl.layer tests import *
affine forward test(); print('\n')
affine backward test(); print('\n')
relu forward test(); print('\n')
relu backward test(); print('\n')
affine_relu_test(); print('\n')
fc_net_test()
If affine forward function is working, difference should be less than
difference: 9.769847728806635e-10
If affine backward is working, error should be less than 1e-9::
dx error: 9.98834827590752e-11
dw error: 3.639232148838587e-09
db error: 8.625903539228258e-12
If relu forward function is working, difference should be around 1e-8:
difference: 4.999999798022158e-08
If relu forward function is working, error should be less than 1e-9:
dx error: 3.275613580389723e-12
If affine relu forward and affine relu backward are working, error sho
uld be less than 1e-9::
dx error: 1.4825018671404011e-09
dw error: 7.15381140075012e-10
db error: 7.826680378266899e-12
Running check with reg = 0
Initial loss: 2.3043439386785582
W1 relative error: 1.1827635390082405e-06
W2 relative error: 2.1192367351237342e-07
W3 relative error: 8.552989995393956e-08
b1 relative error: 8.032291148845014e-08
b2 relative error: 1.337543547865321e-09
b3 relative error: 1.2975825649921115e-10
Running check with reg = 3.14
Initial loss: 7.378511777460898
W1 relative error: 3.9421123990556766e-08
W2 relative error: 2.1678284318177595e-07
W3 relative error: 1.0061282636867505e-07
b1 relative error: 4.034752820584369e-09
b2 relative error: 5.418827438478085e-09
b3 relative error: 1.6528856404271719e-10
```

Training a larger model

In general, proceeding with vanilla stochastic gradient descent to optimize models may be fraught with problems and limitations, as discussed in class. Thus, we implement optimizers that improve on SGD.

SGD + momentum

In the following section, implement SGD with momentum. Read the nndl/optim.py API, which is provided by CS231n, and be sure you understand it. After, implement sgd_momentum in nndl/optim.py. Test your implementation of sgd momentum by running the cell below.

In [4]:

```
from nndl.optim import sgd_momentum
N, D = 4, 5
w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
config = {'learning_rate': 1e-3, 'velocity': v}
next w, = sgd momentum(w, dw, config=config)
expected next w = np.asarray([
    [ 0.1406,
                  0.20738947,
                              0.27417895, 0.34096842, 0.40775789],
    [0.47454737, 0.54133684, 0.60812632, 0.67491579, 0.74170526],
    [ 0.80849474, 0.87528421, 0.94207368, 1.00886316, 1.07565263],
    [ 1.14244211,
                 1.20923158,
                               1.27602105, 1.34281053,
                                                        1.4096
                                                                   11)
expected velocity = np.asarray([
    [ 0.5406,
                  0.55475789, 0.56891579, 0.58307368, 0.59723158],
    [ 0.61138947, 0.62554737, 0.63970526, 0.65386316, 0.66802105],
    [0.68217895, 0.69633684, 0.71049474, 0.72465263, 0.73881053],
    [ 0.75296842, 0.76712632, 0.78128421, 0.79544211, 0.8096
print('next w error: {}'.format(rel error(next w, expected next w)))
print('velocity error: {}'.format(rel_error(expected_velocity, config['velocity']))
```

```
next_w error: 8.882347033505819e-09
velocity error: 4.269287743278663e-09
```

SGD + Nesterov momentum

Implement sgd_nesterov_momentum in ndl/optim.py .

In [5]:

```
from nndl.optim import sgd nesterov momentum
N, D = 4, 5
w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
config = {'learning rate': 1e-3, 'velocity': v}
next_w, _ = sgd_nesterov_momentum(w, dw, config=config)
expected next w = np.asarray([
                 0.15246105, 0.21778211, 0.28310316, 0.34842421],
    [0.08714,
    [0.41374526,
                  0.47906632, 0.54438737, 0.60970842, 0.67502947],
    [0.74035053, 0.80567158, 0.87099263, 0.93631368, 1.00163474],
                              1.19759789, 1.26291895, 1.32824
    [1.06695579,
                 1.13227684,
                                                                  11)
expected velocity = np.asarray([
    [ 0.5406,
             0.55475789, 0.56891579, 0.58307368, 0.59723158],
    [0.61138947, 0.62554737, 0.63970526, 0.65386316, 0.66802105],
    [0.68217895, 0.69633684, 0.71049474, 0.72465263, 0.73881053],
    [ 0.75296842, 0.76712632, 0.78128421, 0.79544211, 0.8096
                                                                  11)
print('next_w error: {}'.format(rel_error(next_w, expected_next_w)))
print('velocity error: {}'.format(rel error(expected velocity, config['velocity']))
```

next_w error: 1.0875186845081027e-08
velocity error: 4.269287743278663e-09


Evaluating SGD, SGD+Momentum, and SGD+NesterovMomentum

Run the following cell to train a 6 layer FC net with SGD, SGD+momentum, and SGD+Nesterov momentum. You should see that SGD+momentum achieves a better loss than SGD, and that SGD+Nesterov momentum achieves a slightly better loss (and training accuracy) than SGD+momentum.

In [9]:

```
num train = 4000
small_data = {
    'X train': data['X train'][:num train],
    'y train': data['y train'][:num train],
    'X val': data['X_val'],
    'y_val': data['y_val'],
}
solvers = {}
for update rule in ['sgd', 'sgd momentum', 'sgd nesterov momentum']:
    print('Optimizing with {}'.format(update rule))
    model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100, 100, 100], weight_scale=5e-2)
    solver = Solver(model, small data,
                    num epochs=5, batch size=100,
                    update rule=update rule,
                    optim config={
                       'learning_rate': 1e-2,
                    },
                    verbose=False)
    solvers[update rule] = solver
    solver.train()
    print
fig, axes = plt.subplots(3, 1)
ax = axes[0]
ax.set title('Training loss')
ax.set_xlabel('Iteration')
ax = axes[1]
ax.set title('Training accuracy')
ax.set xlabel('Epoch')
ax = axes[1]
ax.set title('Validation accuracy')
ax.set_xlabel('Epoch')
for update rule, solver in solvers.items():
    ax = axes[0]
    ax.plot(solver.loss history, 'o', label=update rule)
    ax = axes[1]
    ax.plot(solver.train acc history, '-o', label=update rule)
    ax = axes[2]
    ax.plot(solver.val acc history, '-o', label=update rule)
for i in [1, 2, 3]:
    ax = axes[i - 1]
    ax.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
plt.gcf().set size inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```

```
Optimizing with sgd
Optimizing with sgd_momentum
Optimizing with sgd_nesterov_momentum
```



RMSProp

Now we go to techniques that adapt the gradient. Implement rmsprop in nndl/optim.py . Test your implementation by running the cell below.

In [10]:

```
from nndl.optim import rmsprop
N, D = 4, 5
w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
a = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
config = {'learning rate': 1e-2, 'a': a}
next w, = rmsprop(w, dw, config=config)
expected next w = np.asarray([
  [-0.39223849, -0.34037513, -0.28849239, -0.23659121, -0.18467247],
              -0.08078555, -0.02881884, 0.02316247, 0.07515774],
  [-0.132737,
  [0.12716641, 0.17918792, 0.23122175, 0.28326742, 0.33532447],
  [ 0.38739248, 0.43947102,
                             0.49155973, 0.54365823, 0.5957661911)
expected cache = np.asarray([
  [0.5976,
            0.6126277, 0.6277108, 0.64284931, 0.65804321],
  [0.67329252, 0.68859723, 0.70395734, 0.71937285, 0.73484377],
  [0.75037008, 0.7659518, 0.78158892, 0.79728144, 0.81302936],
  [ 0.82883269,  0.84469141,  0.86060554,  0.87657507,  0.8926
print('next w error: {}'.format(rel error(expected next w, next w)))
print('cache error: {}'.format(rel_error(expected_cache, config['a'])))
```

next_w error: 9.524687511038133e-08
cache error: 2.6477955807156126e-09

Adaptive moments

Now, implement adam in nndl/optim.py. Test your implementation by running the cell below.

In [12]:

```
# Test Adam implementation; you should see errors around 1e-7 or less
from nndl.optim import adam
N, D = 4, 5
w = np.linspace(-0.4, 0.6, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
dw = np.linspace(-0.6, 0.4, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
v = np.linspace(0.6, 0.9, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
a = np.linspace(0.7, 0.5, num=N*D).reshape(N, D)
config = {'learning_rate': 1e-2, 'v': v, 'a': a, 't': 5}
next_w, _ = adam(w, dw, config=config)
expected_next_w = np.asarray([
 [-0.40094747, -0.34836187, -0.29577703, -0.24319299, -0.19060977],
  [-0.1380274, -0.08544591, -0.03286534, 0.01971428, 0.0722929],
               0.17744702, 0.23002243, 0.28259667, 0.33516969],
  [ 0.1248705,
  [0.38774145, 0.44031188, 0.49288093, 0.54544852, 0.59801459]])
expected a = np.asarray([
  [ 0.69966,
                0.68908382, 0.67851319, 0.66794809, 0.65738853,],
  [ 0.64683452, 0.63628604, 0.6257431,
                                         0.61520571, 0.60467385,],
  [0.59414753, 0.58362676, 0.57311152, 0.56260183, 0.55209767,],
  [0.54159906, 0.53110598, 0.52061845, 0.51013645, 0.49966,
                                                                 11)
expected v = np.asarray([
 [ 0.48,
            0.49947368, 0.51894737, 0.53842105, 0.55789474],
  [0.57736842, 0.59684211, 0.61631579, 0.63578947, 0.65526316],
  [0.67473684, 0.69421053, 0.71368421, 0.73315789, 0.75263158],
  [ 0.77210526, 0.79157895, 0.81105263, 0.83052632, 0.85
                                                                 11)
print('next w error: {}'.format(rel error(expected next w, next w)))
print('a error: {}'.format(rel error(expected a, config['a'])))
print('v error: {}'.format(rel error(expected v, config['v'])))
```

```
next_w error: 1.1395691798535431e-07
a error: 4.208314038113071e-09
v error: 4.214963193114416e-09
```


Comparing SGD, SGD+NesterovMomentum, RMSProp, and Adam

The following code will compare optimization with SGD, Momentum, Nesterov Momentum, RMSProp and Adam. In our code, we find that RMSProp, Adam, and SGD + Nesterov Momentum achieve approximately the same training error after a few training epochs.

In [13]:

```
learning rates = {'rmsprop': 2e-4, 'adam': 1e-3}
for update rule in ['adam', 'rmsprop']:
    print('Optimizing with {}'.format(update rule))
    model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100, 100, 100], weight scale=5e-2)
    solver = Solver(model, small data,
                    num epochs=5, batch size=100,
                    update rule=update rule,
                    optim config={
                      'learning rate': learning rates[update rule]
                    verbose=False)
    solvers[update rule] = solver
    solver.train()
    print
fig, axes = plt.subplots(3, 1)
ax = axes[0]
ax.set title('Training loss')
ax.set xlabel('Iteration')
ax = axes[1]
ax.set title('Training accuracy')
ax.set xlabel('Epoch')
ax = axes[2]
ax.set title('Validation accuracy')
ax.set_xlabel('Epoch')
for update rule, solver in solvers.items():
    ax = axes[0]
    ax.plot(solver.loss history, 'o', label=update rule)
    ax = axes[1]
    ax.plot(solver.train acc history, '-o', label=update rule)
    ax = axes[2]
    ax.plot(solver.val acc history, '-o', label=update rule)
for i in [1, 2, 3]:
    ax = axes[i - 1]
    ax.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
plt.gcf().set size inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```

Optimizing with adam
Optimizing with rmsprop



Easier optimization

In the following cell, we'll train a 4 layer neural network having 500 units in each hidden layer with the different optimizers, and find that it is far easier to get up to 50+% performance on CIFAR-10. After we implement batchnorm and dropout, we'll ask you to get 55+% on CIFAR-10.

```
In [14]:
optimizer = 'adam'
best model = None
layer dims = [500, 500, 500]
weight scale = 0.01
learning rate = 1e-3
lr decay = 0.9
model = FullyConnectedNet(layer dims, weight scale=weight scale,
                          use batchnorm=True)
solver = Solver(model, data,
                num_epochs=10, batch_size=100,
                update rule=optimizer,
                optim config={
                   'learning rate': learning rate,
                },
                lr decay=lr decay,
                verbose=True, print every=50)
solver.train()
(Iteration 1 / 4900) loss: 2.310420
(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.106000; val acc: 0.115000
(Iteration 51 / 4900) loss: 1.987081
(Iteration 101 / 4900) loss: 1.588282
(Iteration 151 / 4900) loss: 1.523082
(Iteration 201 / 4900) loss: 1.787595
(Iteration 251 / 4900) loss: 1.977210
(Iteration 301 / 4900) loss: 1.722236
(Iteration 351 / 4900) loss: 1.676958
(Iteration 401 / 4900) loss: 1.652543
(Iteration 451 / 4900) loss: 1.570523
(Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.436000; val_acc: 0.417000
(Iteration 501 / 4900) loss: 1.527227
(Iteration 551 / 4900) loss: 1.732384
(Iteration 601 / 4900) loss: 1.497325
(Iteration 651 / 4900) loss: 1.565414
(Iteration 701 / 4900) loss: 1.489572
(Iteration 751 / 4900) loss: 1.589536
(Iteration 801 / 4900) loss: 1.559404
(Iteration 851 / 4900) loss: 1.529711
(Iteration 901 / 4900) loss: 1.483573
(Iteration 951 / 4900) loss: 1.353314
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.485000; val acc: 0.461000
(Iteration 1001 / 4900) loss: 1.510521
(Iteration 1051 / 4900) loss: 1.570346
(Iteration 1101 / 4900) loss: 1.419176
(Iteration 1151 / 4900) loss: 1.353082
(Iteration 1201 / 4900) loss: 1.494838
(Iteration 1251 / 4900) loss: 1.410360
(Iteration 1301 / 4900) loss: 1.383705
(Iteration 1351 / 4900) loss: 1.480059
```

(Iteration 1401 / 4900) loss: 1.417555 (Iteration 1451 / 4900) loss: 1.415297

(Iteration 1501 / 4900) loss: 1.264470 (Iteration 1551 / 4900) loss: 1.572680 (Iteration 1601 / 4900) loss: 1.444031 (Iteration 1651 / 4900) loss: 1.518152

(Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.538000; val acc: 0.501000

```
(Iteration 1701 / 4900) loss: 1.243344
(Iteration 1751 / 4900) loss: 1.269919
(Iteration 1801 / 4900) loss: 1.303982
(Iteration 1851 / 4900) loss: 1.407938
(Iteration 1901 / 4900) loss: 1.211510
(Iteration 1951 / 4900) loss: 1.285299
(Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.538000; val acc: 0.491000
(Iteration 2001 / 4900) loss: 1.284291
(Iteration 2051 / 4900) loss: 1.216914
(Iteration 2101 / 4900) loss: 1.157183
(Iteration 2151 / 4900) loss: 1.198747
(Iteration 2201 / 4900) loss: 1.250278
(Iteration 2251 / 4900) loss: 1.298538
(Iteration 2301 / 4900) loss: 1.063711
(Iteration 2351 / 4900) loss: 1.165009
(Iteration 2401 / 4900) loss: 1.071239
(Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.566000; val acc: 0.510000
(Iteration 2451 / 4900) loss: 1.278565
(Iteration 2501 / 4900) loss: 1.484888
(Iteration 2551 / 4900) loss: 1.121552
(Iteration 2601 / 4900) loss: 1.135966
(Iteration 2651 / 4900) loss: 1.232402
(Iteration 2701 / 4900) loss: 1.193637
(Iteration 2751 / 4900) loss: 1.226298
(Iteration 2801 / 4900) loss: 1.227797
(Iteration 2851 / 4900) loss: 1.099319
(Iteration 2901 / 4900) loss: 1.170318
(Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.557000; val acc: 0.509000
(Iteration 2951 / 4900) loss: 1.284207
(Iteration 3001 / 4900) loss: 1.032552
(Iteration 3051 / 4900) loss: 1.087435
(Iteration 3101 / 4900) loss: 1.145400
(Iteration 3151 / 4900) loss: 1.264174
(Iteration 3201 / 4900) loss: 0.968094
(Iteration 3251 / 4900) loss: 1.185731
(Iteration 3301 / 4900) loss: 1.167954
(Iteration 3351 / 4900) loss: 1.030496
(Iteration 3401 / 4900) loss: 1.111554
(Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.604000; val acc: 0.519000
(Iteration 3451 / 4900) loss: 1.064739
(Iteration 3501 / 4900) loss: 1.170306
(Iteration 3551 / 4900) loss: 1.080561
(Iteration 3601 / 4900) loss: 1.122120
(Iteration 3651 / 4900) loss: 1.236581
(Iteration 3701 / 4900) loss: 1.101588
(Iteration 3751 / 4900) loss: 1.025829
(Iteration 3801 / 4900) loss: 0.981623
(Iteration 3851 / 4900) loss: 0.972049
(Iteration 3901 / 4900) loss: 1.213358
(Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.621000; val acc: 0.511000
(Iteration 3951 / 4900) loss: 1.073356
(Iteration 4001 / 4900) loss: 1.116399
(Iteration 4051 / 4900) loss: 1.032793
(Iteration 4101 / 4900) loss: 1.025650
(Iteration 4151 / 4900) loss: 0.981093
(Iteration 4201 / 4900) loss: 1.178175
(Iteration 4251 / 4900) loss: 1.223156
(Iteration 4301 / 4900) loss: 1.037929
(Iteration 4351 / 4900) loss: 1.030905
(Iteration 4401 / 4900) loss: 1.173072
(Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.665000; val acc: 0.521000
```

```
(Iteration 4451 / 4900) loss: 1.221129

(Iteration 4501 / 4900) loss: 0.906243

(Iteration 4551 / 4900) loss: 1.063037

(Iteration 4601 / 4900) loss: 1.038485

(Iteration 4651 / 4900) loss: 0.970438

(Iteration 4701 / 4900) loss: 0.931318

(Iteration 4751 / 4900) loss: 1.031968

(Iteration 4801 / 4900) loss: 0.733739

(Iteration 4851 / 4900) loss: 1.033703

(Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.629000; val_acc: 0.532000
```

In [15]:

```
y_test_pred = np.argmax(model.loss(data['X_test']), axis=1)
y_val_pred = np.argmax(model.loss(data['X_val']), axis=1)
print('Validation set accuracy: {}'.format(np.mean(y_val_pred == data['y_val'])))
print('Test set accuracy: {}'.format(np.mean(y_test_pred == data['y_test'])))
```

Validation set accuracy: 0.532 Test set accuracy: 0.507

In []:

Batch Normalization

In this notebook, you will implement the batch normalization layers of a neural network to increase its performance. Please review the details of batch normalization from the lecture notes.

CS231n has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils. As in prior assignments, we thank Serena Yeung & Justin Johnson for permission to use code written for the CS 231n class (cs231n.stanford.edu).

In [1]:

```
## Import and setups
import time
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from nndl.fc_net import *
from nndl.layers import *
from cs231n.data utils import get CIFAR10 data
from cs231n.gradient check import eval numerical gradient, eval numerical gradie
nt array
from cs231n.solver import Solver
%matplotlib inline
plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
# for auto-reloading external modules
# see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipytho
%load ext autoreload
%autoreload 2
def rel_error(x, y):
    """ returns relative error """
    return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
```

In [2]:

```
# Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
for k in data.keys():
    print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
y_train: (49000,)
X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_val: (1000,)
X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_test: (1000,)
```

Batchnorm forward pass

Implement the training time batchnorm forward pass, batchnorm_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

In [3]:

```
# Check the training-time forward pass by checking means and variances
# of features both before and after batch normalization
# Simulate the forward pass for a two-layer network
N, D1, D2, D3 = 200, 50, 60, 3
X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
W1 = np.random.randn(D1, D2)
W2 = np.random.randn(D2, D3)
a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
print('Before batch normalization:')
print(' means: ', a.mean(axis=0))
print(' stds: ', a.std(axis=0))
# Means should be close to zero and stds close to one
print('After batch normalization (gamma=1, beta=0)')
a_norm, _ = batchnorm_forward(a, np.ones(D3), np.zeros(D3), {'mode': 'train'})
        mean: ', a_norm.mean(axis=0))
print('
print(' std: ', a_norm.std(axis=0))
# Now means should be close to beta and stds close to gamma
gamma = np.asarray([1.0, 2.0, 3.0])
beta = np.asarray([11.0, 12.0, 13.0])
a norm, = batchnorm forward(a, gamma, beta, {'mode': 'train'})
print('After batch normalization (nontrivial gamma, beta)')
print(' means: ', a_norm.mean(axis=0))
print(' stds: ', a norm.std(axis=0))
Before batch normalization:
  means: [-8.54774501 2.57181413 31.40645551]
  stds: [31.40906491 33.86527936 30.30740898]
After batch normalization (gamma=1, beta=0)
  mean: [-5.99520433e-17 -8.32667268e-18 2.22044605e-17]
  std: [0.9999999 1.
                               0.999999991
After batch normalization (nontrivial gamma, beta)
  means: [11. 12. 13.]
  stds: [0.99999999 1.99999999 2.99999998]
```

Implement the testing time batchnorm forward pass, batchnorm_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

In [4]:

```
# Check the test-time forward pass by running the training-time
# forward pass many times to warm up the running averages, and then
# checking the means and variances of activations after a test-time
# forward pass.
N, D1, D2, D3 = 200, 50, 60, 3
W1 = np.random.randn(D1, D2)
W2 = np.random.randn(D2, D3)
bn param = {'mode': 'train'}
qamma = np.ones(D3)
beta = np.zeros(D3)
for t in np.arange(50):
    X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
    a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
    batchnorm forward(a, gamma, beta, bn param)
bn param['mode'] = 'test'
X = np.random.randn(N, D1)
a = np.maximum(0, X.dot(W1)).dot(W2)
a_norm, _ = batchnorm_forward(a, gamma, beta, bn param)
# Means should be close to zero and stds close to one, but will be
# noisier than training-time forward passes.
print('After batch normalization (test-time):')
print(' means: ', a norm.mean(axis=0))
print(' stds: ', a norm.std(axis=0))
After batch normalization (test-time):
```

```
After batch normalization (test-time):

means: [ 0.02182717 -0.12841443 -0.11065234]

stds: [1.01310898 0.96703968 1.032662 ]
```

Batchnorm backward pass

Implement the backward pass for the batchnorm layer, batchnorm_backward in nndl/layers.py. Check your implementation by running the following cell.

In [5]:

```
# Gradient check batchnorm backward pass
N, D = 4, 5
x = 5 * np.random.randn(N, D) + 12
gamma = np.random.randn(D)
beta = np.random.randn(D)
dout = np.random.randn(N, D)
bn param = {'mode': 'train'}
fx = lambda x: batchnorm forward(x, gamma, beta, bn param)[0]
fg = lambda a: batchnorm forward(x, gamma, beta, bn param)[0]
fb = lambda b: batchnorm forward(x, gamma, beta, bn param)[0]
dx num = eval numerical gradient array(fx, x, dout)
da num = eval numerical gradient array(fg, gamma, dout)
db num = eval numerical gradient array(fb, beta, dout)
_, cache = batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn param)
dx, dgamma, dbeta = batchnorm backward(dout, cache)
print('dx error: ', rel_error(dx_num, dx))
print('dgamma error: ', rel_error(da_num, dgamma))
print('dbeta error: ', rel error(db num, dbeta))
```

```
dx error: 2.058738214614559e-10
dgamma error: 4.2710209450741466e-12
dbeta error: 2.329799503677935e-10
```

Implement a fully connected neural network with batchnorm layers

Modify the FullyConnectedNet() class in nndl/fc_net.py to incorporate batchnorm layers. You will need to modify the class in the following areas:

- (1) The gammas and betas need to be initialized to 1's and 0's respectively in $__init__$.
- (2) The batchnorm_forward layer needs to be inserted between each affine and relu layer (except in the output layer) in a forward pass computation in loss. You may find it helpful to write an affine_batchnorm_relu() layer in nndl/layer_utils.py although this is not necessary.
- (3) The batchnorm backward layer has to be appropriately inserted when calculating gradients.

After you have done the appropriate modifications, check your implementation by running the following cell.

Note, while the relative error for W3 should be small, as we backprop gradients more, you may find the relative error increases. Our relative error for W1 is on the order of 1e-4.

In [9]: N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10X = np.random.randn(N, D)y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,)) for reg in [0, 3.14]: print('Running check with reg = ', reg) model = FullyConnectedNet([H1, H2], input dim=D, num classes=C, reg=reg, weight scale=5e-2, dtype=np.float64, use batchnorm=True) loss, grads = model.loss(X, y) print('Initial loss: ', loss) for name in sorted(grads): f = lambda : model.loss(X, y)[0] grad num = eval numerical gradient(f, model.params[name], verbose=False, h=1e-5) print('{} relative error: {}'.format(name, rel error(grad num, grads[nam e]))) if reg == 0: print('\n')

```
Initial loss: 2.2719920180921767
W1 relative error: 0.00020913923771656605
W2 relative error: 2.742276053123989e-05
W3 relative error: 3.822898092720662e-10
b1 relative error: 5.551115123125783e-09
b2 relative error: 2.220446049250313e-08
b3 relative error: 1.301621127952939e-10
beta1 relative error: 3.844980256591865e-08
beta2 relative error: 4.084277916100126e-09
gamma1 relative error: 2.7117800912054238e-08
gamma2 relative error: 6.580199817055189e-09
Running check with reg = 3.14
Initial loss: 7.356483979964548
W1 relative error: 2.2593726279221743e-06
W2 relative error: 4.784336213438103e-06
W3 relative error: 3.536010302703989e-09
b1 relative error: 2.220446049250313e-08
b2 relative error: 1.1102230246251565e-08
b3 relative error: 2.0095054262844776e-10
beta1 relative error: 7.708906721120776e-09
beta2 relative error: 2.2331868556887225e-09
gamma1 relative error: 7.973942838502798e-09
```

gamma2 relative error: 4.0886937471279746e-09

Running check with reg = 0

Training a deep fully connected network with batch normalization.

To see if batchnorm helps, let's train a deep neural network with and without batch normalization.


In [11]:

```
# Try training a very deep net with batchnorm
hidden dims = [100, 100, 100, 100, 100]
num train = 1000
small data = {
  'X train': data['X train'][:num train],
  'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
  'X_val': data['X_val'],
  'y val': data['y val'],
weight scale = 2e-2
bn model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden dims, weight scale=weight scale, use batchno
model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden dims, weight scale=weight scale, use batchnorm=
False)
bn solver = Solver(bn model, small data,
                num epochs=10, batch size=50,
                update rule='adam',
                optim config={
                  'learning rate': 1e-3,
                },
                verbose=True, print every=200)
bn solver.train()
solver = Solver(model, small data,
                num epochs=10, batch size=50,
                update rule='adam',
                optim config={
                  'learning rate': 1e-3,
                },
                verbose=True, print every=200)
solver.train()
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 200) loss: 2.345349
(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.144000; val acc: 0.119000
(Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.343000; val acc: 0.275000
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.399000; val acc: 0.288000
(Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.476000; val acc: 0.306000
(Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.563000; val acc: 0.308000
(Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.628000; val acc: 0.321000
(Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.654000; val acc: 0.320000
(Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.669000; val acc: 0.296000
(Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.730000; val acc: 0.331000
(Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.772000; val acc: 0.322000
(Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.788000; val acc: 0.316000
(Iteration 1 / 200) loss: 2.302160
(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.121000; val acc: 0.109000
(Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.242000; val acc: 0.228000
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.293000; val acc: 0.256000
(Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.317000; val acc: 0.287000
(Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.364000; val acc: 0.282000
(Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.421000; val acc: 0.285000
(Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.480000; val acc: 0.327000
(Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.495000; val acc: 0.340000
(Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.544000; val acc: 0.313000
(Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.591000; val acc: 0.311000
(Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.619000; val acc: 0.329000
```

In [12]:

```
fig, axes = plt.subplots(3, 1)
ax = axes[0]
ax.set title('Training loss')
ax.set xlabel('Iteration')
ax = axes[1]
ax.set title('Training accuracy')
ax.set xlabel('Epoch')
ax = axes[2]
ax.set title('Validation accuracy')
ax.set_xlabel('Epoch')
ax = axes[0]
ax.plot(solver.loss_history, 'o', label='baseline')
ax.plot(bn_solver.loss_history, 'o', label='batchnorm')
ax = axes[1]
ax.plot(solver.train_acc_history, '-o', label='baseline')
ax.plot(bn solver.train acc history, '-o', label='batchnorm')
ax = axes[2]
ax.plot(solver.val_acc_history, '-o', label='baseline')
ax.plot(bn solver.val acc history, '-o', label='batchnorm')
for i in [1, 2, 3]:
    ax = axes[i - 1]
    ax.legend(loc='upper center', ncol=4)
plt.gcf().set_size_inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```



Batchnorm and initialization

The following cells run an experiment where for a deep network, the initialization is varied. We do training for when batchnorm layers are and are not included.


In [13]:

```
# Try training a very deep net with batchnorm
hidden dims = [50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50]
num train = 1000
small data = {
    'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
    'y train': data['y train'][:num train],
    'X val': data['X val'],
    'y val': data['y_val'],
}
bn solvers = {}
solvers = {}
weight scales = np.logspace(-4, 0, num=20)
for i, weight scale in enumerate(weight scales):
    print('Running weight scale {} / {}'.format(i + 1, len(weight_scales)))
    bn model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden dims, weight scale=weight scale, use bat
chnorm=True)
    model = FullyConnectedNet(hidden dims, weight scale=weight scale, use batchn
orm=False)
    bn solver = Solver(bn model, small data,
                    num epochs=10, batch size=50,
                    update rule='adam',
                    optim config={
                      'learning rate': 1e-3,
                    verbose=False, print every=200)
    bn solver.train()
    bn solvers[weight scale] = bn solver
    solver = Solver(model, small data,
                    num epochs=10, batch size=50,
                    update rule='adam',
                    optim config={
                      'learning rate': 1e-3,
                    verbose=False, print every=200)
    solver.train()
    solvers[weight scale] = solver
```

```
Running weight scale 1 / 20
Running weight scale 2 / 20
Running weight scale 3 / 20
Running weight scale 4 / 20
Running weight scale 5 / 20
Running weight scale 6 / 20
Running weight scale 7 / 20
Running weight scale 8 / 20
Running weight scale 9 / 20
Running weight scale 10 / 20
Running weight scale 11 / 20
Running weight scale 12 / 20
Running weight scale 13 / 20
Running weight scale 14 / 20
Running weight scale 15 / 20
Running weight scale 16 / 20
/Users/yifeichen/Desktop/HW4-code/nndl/layers.py:435: RuntimeWarnin
q: divide by zero encountered in log
  loss = -np.sum(np.log(probs[np.arange(N), y])) / N
Running weight scale 17 / 20
Running weight scale 18 / 20
Running weight scale 19 / 20
Running weight scale 20 / 20
```

In [14]:

```
# Plot results of weight scale experiment
best train accs, bn best train accs = [], []
best val accs, bn best val accs = [], []
final train loss, bn final train loss = [], []
for ws in weight scales:
   best train accs.append(max(solvers[ws].train acc history))
   bn best train accs.append(max(bn solvers[ws].train acc history))
   best val accs.append(max(solvers[ws].val acc history))
   bn best val accs.append(max(bn solvers[ws].val acc history))
    final train loss.append(np.mean(solvers[ws].loss history[-100:]))
   bn final train loss.append(np.mean(bn solvers[ws].loss history[-100:]))
plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
plt.title('Best val accuracy vs weight initialization scale')
plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
plt.ylabel('Best val accuracy')
plt.semilogx(weight scales, best val accs, '-o', label='baseline')
plt.semilogx(weight_scales, bn_best_val_accs, '-o', label='batchnorm')
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
plt.title('Best train accuracy vs weight initialization scale')
plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
plt.ylabel('Best training accuracy')
plt.semilogx(weight scales, best train accs, '-o', label='baseline')
plt.semilogx(weight scales, bn best train accs, '-o', label='batchnorm')
plt.legend()
plt.subplot(3, 1, 3)
plt.title('Final training loss vs weight initialization scale')
plt.xlabel('Weight initialization scale')
plt.ylabel('Final training loss')
plt.semilogx(weight scales, final train loss, '-o', label='baseline')
plt.semilogx(weight_scales, bn_final_train_loss, '-o', label='batchnorm')
plt.legend()
plt.gcf().set size inches(10, 15)
plt.show()
```



Question:

In the cell below, summarize the findings of this experiment, and WHY these results make sense.

Answer:

From the figures shown above:

1. Batch normalization generally has more accurate performance with weight scale less than 10e-1.

2. With BN, the performance is more stable than the baseline. As we know that the BN fixed data is usually less sensitive with weight initialization than normal one. The figure meet this expectation.

In []:			

Dropout

In this notebook, you will implement dropout. Then we will ask you to train a network with batchnorm and dropout, and acheive over 55% accuracy on CIFAR-10.

CS231n has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils. As in prior assignments, we thank Serena Yeung & Justin Johnson for permission to use code written for the CS 231n class (cs231n.stanford.edu).

In [1]:

```
## Import and setups
import time
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from nndl.fc_net import *
from nndl.layers import *
from cs231n.data utils import get CIFAR10 data
from cs231n.gradient check import eval numerical gradient, eval numerical gradie
nt array
from cs231n.solver import Solver
%matplotlib inline
plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
# for auto-reloading external modules
# see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipytho
%load ext autoreload
%autoreload 2
def rel_error(x, y):
    """ returns relative error """
    return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))
```

In [2]:

```
# Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
for k in data.keys():
    print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
y_train: (49000,)
X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_val: (1000,)
X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
y_test: (1000,)
```

Dropout forward pass

Implement the training and test time dropout forward pass, dropout_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

In [3]:

```
for p in [0.3, 0.6, 0.75]:
    out, _ = dropout_forward(x, {'mode': 'train', 'p': p})
    out_test, _ = dropout_forward(x, {'mode': 'test', 'p': p})

print('Running tests with p = ', p)
    print('Mean of input: ', x.mean())
    print('Mean of train-time output: ', out.mean())
    print('Mean of test-time output: ', out_test.mean())
    print('Fraction of train-time output set to zero: ', (out == 0).mean())
    print('Fraction of test-time output set to zero: ', (out_test == 0).mean())
```

```
Running tests with p = 0.3
Mean of input: 10.003427010240175
Mean of train-time output: 10.021162290173713
Mean of test-time output: 10.003427010240175
Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.699452
Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
Running tests with p = 0.6
Mean of input: 10.003427010240175
Mean of train-time output: 10.01700664235989
Mean of test-time output: 10.003427010240175
Fraction of train-time output set to zero:
Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
Running tests with p = 0.75
Mean of input: 10.003427010240175
Mean of train-time output: 10.008844281718412
Mean of test-time output: 10.003427010240175
Fraction of train-time output set to zero:
Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
```

Dropout backward pass

Implement the backward pass, dropout_backward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your gradients by running the following cell:

In [4]:

```
x = np.random.randn(10, 10) + 10
dout = np.random.randn(*x.shape)

dropout_param = {'mode': 'train', 'p': 0.8, 'seed': 123}
out, cache = dropout_forward(x, dropout_param)
dx = dropout_backward(dout, cache)
dx_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda xx: dropout_forward(xx, dropout_pa ram)[0], x, dout)

print('dx relative error: ', rel_error(dx, dx_num))
```

dx relative error: 5.445606043681342e-11

Implement a fully connected neural network with dropout layers

Modify the FullyConnectedNet() class in nndl/fc_net.py to incorporate dropout. A dropout layer should be incorporated after every ReLU layer. Concretely, there shouldn't be a dropout at the output layer since there is no ReLU at the output layer. You will need to modify the class in the following areas:

- (1) In the forward pass, you will need to incorporate a dropout layer after every relu layer.
- (2) In the backward pass, you will need to incorporate a dropout backward pass layer.

Check your implementation by running the following code. Our W1 gradient relative error is on the order of 1e-6 (the largest of all the relative errors).

In [5]:

```
N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10
X = np.random.randn(N, D)
y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,))
for dropout in [0.5, 0.75, 1.0]:
    print('Running check with dropout = ', dropout)
    model = FullyConnectedNet([H1, H2], input dim=D, num classes=C,
                              weight scale=5e-2, dtype=np.float64,
                              dropout=dropout, seed=123)
    loss, grads = model.loss(X, y)
    print('Initial loss: ', loss)
    for name in sorted(grads):
        f = lambda : model.loss(X, y)[0]
        grad num = eval numerical gradient(f, model.params[name], verbose=False,
h=1e-5
        print('{} relative error: {}'.format(name, rel_error(grad_num, grads[nam
e])))
    print('\n')
Running check with dropout = 0.5
Initial loss: 2.3130766680585553
W1 relative error: 3.521651326711492e-07
W2 relative error: 8.670503459180064e-08
W3 relative error: 1.7697093144983185e-08
b1 relative error: 1.3630944494006748e-09
b2 relative error: 3.369320802894315e-10
b3 relative error: 1.1749705566445823e-10
Running check with dropout = 0.75
Initial loss: 2.309414501950614
W1 relative error: 8.906540579188389e-07
W2 relative error: 1.936238275676803e-07
W3 relative error: 6.433724911088976e-08
b1 relative error: 2.0734747686348973e-08
b2 relative error: 1.4046686169099795e-09
b3 relative error: 1.2240973876822177e-10
Running check with dropout = 1.0
Initial loss: 2.3053332250963194
W1 relative error: 1.274409601207746e-06
W2 relative error: 4.6787432938161757e-07
W3 relative error: 4.331673927857074e-08
```

Dropout as a regularizer

In class, we claimed that dropout acts as a regularizer by effectively bagging. To check this, we will train two small networks, one with dropout and one without dropout.

b1 relative error: 4.085353894378552e-08 b2 relative error: 1.9513421151754587e-09 b3 relative error: 9.387142701440351e-11


In [8]:

```
# Train two identical nets, one with dropout and one without
num train = 500
small data = {
    'X train': data['X train'][:num train],
    'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
    'X val': data['X_val'],
    'y_val': data['y_val'],
}
solvers = {}
dropout choices = [0.6, 1.0]
for dropout in dropout_choices:
    model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100], dropout=dropout)
    solver = Solver(model, small data,
                    num_epochs=25, batch_size=100,
                    update rule='adam',
                    optim config={
                      'learning rate': 5e-4,
                    },
                    verbose=True, print every=100)
    solver.train()
    solvers[dropout] = solver
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 2.303565
(Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.158000; val acc: 0.145000
(Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.188000; val acc: 0.160000
(Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.206000; val acc: 0.170000
(Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.276000; val acc: 0.232000
(Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.298000; val acc: 0.253000
(Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.302000; val acc: 0.236000
(Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.292000; val acc: 0.227000
(Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.314000; val acc: 0.256000
(Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.356000; val acc: 0.296000
(Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.400000; val acc: 0.290000
(Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.418000; val acc: 0.302000
(Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.440000; val acc: 0.307000
(Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.466000; val acc: 0.316000
(Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.524000; val acc: 0.324000
(Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.564000; val acc: 0.328000
(Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.524000; val acc: 0.329000
(Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.548000; val acc: 0.304000
(Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.586000; val_acc: 0.331000
(Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.626000; val acc: 0.336000
(Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.604000; val acc: 0.326000
(Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.626000; val acc: 0.332000
(Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 1.375207
(Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.648000; val acc: 0.325000
(Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.694000; val acc: 0.324000
(Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.720000; val_acc: 0.319000
(Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.722000; val acc: 0.310000
(Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.744000; val acc: 0.305000
(Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 2.303566
(Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.176000; val acc: 0.139000
(Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.182000; val acc: 0.149000
(Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.254000; val acc: 0.205000
(Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.308000; val acc: 0.264000
(Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.338000; val acc: 0.260000
(Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.404000; val acc: 0.288000
(Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.472000; val acc: 0.315000
(Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.496000; val acc: 0.323000
(Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.564000; val acc: 0.320000
(Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.606000; val acc: 0.331000
(Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.634000; val_acc: 0.333000
(Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.666000; val_acc: 0.331000
(Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.744000; val acc: 0.331000
(Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.752000; val acc: 0.322000
(Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.764000; val acc: 0.322000
(Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.838000; val acc: 0.302000
(Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.844000; val acc: 0.333000
(Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.854000; val acc: 0.298000
(Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.936000; val_acc: 0.288000
(Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.908000; val acc: 0.301000
(Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.946000; val acc: 0.298000
(Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 0.234973
(Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.930000; val acc: 0.304000
(Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.944000; val acc: 0.285000
(Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.976000; val acc: 0.319000
(Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.984000; val acc: 0.290000
(Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.988000; val acc: 0.287000
```

In [9]:

```
# Plot train and validation accuracies of the two models
train accs = []
val accs = []
for dropout in dropout choices:
    solver = solvers[dropout]
    train accs.append(solver.train acc history[-1])
    val_accs.append(solver.val_acc_history[-1])
plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
for dropout in dropout choices:
    plt.plot(solvers[dropout].train acc history, 'o', label='%.2f dropout' % dro
pout)
plt.title('Train accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
for dropout in dropout choices:
    plt.plot(solvers[dropout].val acc history, 'o', label='%.2f dropout' % dropo
ut)
plt.title('Val accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
plt.gcf().set size inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```



Question

Based off the results of this experiment, is dropout performing regularization? Explain your answer.

Answer:

Yes. I find that with keeping all units p = 1 (drop out rate 0), the model overfits. While with p = 0.6, the problem of overfitting is not that severe.

Final part of the assignment

Get over 55% validation accuracy on CIFAR-10 by using the layers you have implemented. You will be graded according to the following equation:

min(floor((X - 32%)) / 23%, 1) where if you get 55% or higher validation accuracy, you get full points.

In [12]:

```
# YOUR CODE HERE:
  Implement a FC-net that achieves at least 55% validation accuracy
  on CIFAR-10.
# ----- #
optimizer = 'adam'
best model = None
layer dims = [600, 600, 600, 600]
weight_scale = 0.01
learning rate = 1e-3
lr decay = 0.9
model = FullyConnectedNet(layer dims, weight scale=weight scale,dropout = 0.4,
                  use batchnorm=True)
solver = Solver(model, data,
           num epochs=20, batch size=100,
           update rule=optimizer,
           optim config={
            'learning rate': learning rate,
           lr decay=lr decay,
           verbose=True, print every=100)
solver.train()
# ----- #
# END YOUR CODE HERE
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 9800) loss: 2.314191
(Epoch 0 / 20) train acc: 0.153000; val acc: 0.142000
(Iteration 101 / 9800) loss: 1.915288
(Iteration 201 / 9800) loss: 1.839926
(Iteration 301 / 9800) loss: 1.881737
(Iteration 401 / 9800) loss: 1.766822
(Epoch 1 / 20) train acc: 0.415000; val acc: 0.434000
(Iteration 501 / 9800) loss: 1.715114
(Iteration 601 / 9800) loss: 1.739466
(Iteration 701 / 9800) loss: 1.546810
(Iteration 801 / 9800) loss: 1.681621
(Iteration 901 / 9800) loss: 1.638611
(Epoch 2 / 20) train acc: 0.455000; val acc: 0.452000
(Iteration 1001 / 9800) loss: 1.734214
(Iteration 1101 / 9800) loss: 1.787092
(Iteration 1201 / 9800) loss: 1.735005
(Iteration 1301 / 9800) loss: 1.749785
(Iteration 1401 / 9800) loss: 1.624128
(Epoch 3 / 20) train acc: 0.476000; val acc: 0.477000
(Iteration 1501 / 9800) loss: 1.533947
(Iteration 1601 / 9800) loss: 1.550101
(Iteration 1701 / 9800) loss: 1.695927
(Iteration 1801 / 9800) loss: 1.570175
(Iteration 1901 / 9800) loss: 1.594567
(Epoch 4 / 20) train acc: 0.499000; val acc: 0.497000
(Iteration 2001 / 9800) loss: 1.461160
(Iteration 2101 / 9800) loss: 1.411482
(Iteration 2201 / 9800) loss: 1.650209
(Iteration 2301 / 9800) loss: 1.445743
(Iteration 2401 / 9800) loss: 1.678246
(Epoch 5 / 20) train acc: 0.520000; val acc: 0.511000
(Iteration 2501 / 9800) loss: 1.637172
(Iteration 2601 / 9800) loss: 1.616375
(Iteration 2701 / 9800) loss: 1.589870
(Iteration 2801 / 9800) loss: 1.418468
(Iteration 2901 / 9800) loss: 1.596223
(Epoch 6 / 20) train acc: 0.500000; val acc: 0.508000
(Iteration 3001 / 9800) loss: 1.370093
(Iteration 3101 / 9800) loss: 1.458017
(Iteration 3201 / 9800) loss: 1.467199
(Iteration 3301 / 9800) loss: 1.547348
(Iteration 3401 / 9800) loss: 1.408203
(Epoch 7 / 20) train acc: 0.530000; val acc: 0.530000
(Iteration 3501 / 9800) loss: 1.564640
(Iteration 3601 / 9800) loss: 1.514232
(Iteration 3701 / 9800) loss: 1.721331
(Iteration 3801 / 9800) loss: 1.508367
(Iteration 3901 / 9800) loss: 1.423655
(Epoch 8 / 20) train acc: 0.570000; val acc: 0.531000
(Iteration 4001 / 9800) loss: 1.484392
(Iteration 4101 / 9800) loss: 1.559215
(Iteration 4201 / 9800) loss: 1.357476
(Iteration 4301 / 9800) loss: 1.351837
(Iteration 4401 / 9800) loss: 1.333813
(Epoch 9 / 20) train acc: 0.544000; val acc: 0.524000
(Iteration 4501 / 9800) loss: 1.393348
(Iteration 4601 / 9800) loss: 1.437942
(Iteration 4701 / 9800) loss: 1.308525
(Iteration 4801 / 9800) loss: 1.504212
(Epoch 10 / 20) train acc: 0.578000; val acc: 0.515000
(Iteration 4901 / 9800) loss: 1.384710
```

```
(Iteration 5001 / 9800) loss: 1.476278
(Iteration 5101 / 9800) loss: 1.463131
(Iteration 5201 / 9800) loss: 1.172815
(Iteration 5301 / 9800) loss: 1.290896
(Epoch 11 / 20) train acc: 0.588000; val acc: 0.542000
(Iteration 5401 / 9800) loss: 1.578241
(Iteration 5501 / 9800) loss: 1.405348
(Iteration 5601 / 9800) loss: 1.619977
(Iteration 5701 / 9800) loss: 1.328456
(Iteration 5801 / 9800) loss: 1.284939
(Epoch 12 / 20) train acc: 0.598000; val acc: 0.537000
(Iteration 5901 / 9800) loss: 1.296803
(Iteration 6001 / 9800) loss: 1.430214
(Iteration 6101 / 9800) loss: 1.336762
(Iteration 6201 / 9800) loss: 1.479680
(Iteration 6301 / 9800) loss: 1.243279
(Epoch 13 / 20) train acc: 0.578000; val acc: 0.541000
(Iteration 6401 / 9800) loss: 1.295913
(Iteration 6501 / 9800) loss: 1.346909
(Iteration 6601 / 9800) loss: 1.334252
(Iteration 6701 / 9800) loss: 1.359437
(Iteration 6801 / 9800) loss: 1.435801
(Epoch 14 / 20) train acc: 0.574000; val acc: 0.550000
(Iteration 6901 / 9800) loss: 1.530171
(Iteration 7001 / 9800) loss: 1.376037
(Iteration 7101 / 9800) loss: 1.301660
(Iteration 7201 / 9800) loss: 1.506627
(Iteration 7301 / 9800) loss: 1.465922
(Epoch 15 / 20) train acc: 0.579000; val acc: 0.551000
(Iteration 7401 / 9800) loss: 1.439855
(Iteration 7501 / 9800) loss: 1.392904
(Iteration 7601 / 9800) loss: 1.378667
(Iteration 7701 / 9800) loss: 1.128251
(Iteration 7801 / 9800) loss: 1.529901
(Epoch 16 / 20) train acc: 0.612000; val acc: 0.549000
(Iteration 7901 / 9800) loss: 1.115061
(Iteration 8001 / 9800) loss: 1.134002
(Iteration 8101 / 9800) loss: 1.366640
(Iteration 8201 / 9800) loss: 1.211381
(Iteration 8301 / 9800) loss: 1.299867
(Epoch 17 / 20) train acc: 0.607000; val acc: 0.559000
(Iteration 8401 / 9800) loss: 1.476147
(Iteration 8501 / 9800) loss: 1.275178
(Iteration 8601 / 9800) loss: 1.249009
(Iteration 8701 / 9800) loss: 1.150935
(Iteration 8801 / 9800) loss: 1.186564
(Epoch 18 / 20) train acc: 0.660000; val acc: 0.555000
(Iteration 8901 / 9800) loss: 1.463238
(Iteration 9001 / 9800) loss: 1.213834
(Iteration 9101 / 9800) loss: 1.130646
(Iteration 9201 / 9800) loss: 1.339787
(Iteration 9301 / 9800) loss: 1.313033
(Epoch 19 / 20) train acc: 0.617000; val acc: 0.548000
(Iteration 9401 / 9800) loss: 1.319740
(Iteration 9501 / 9800) loss: 1.480613
(Iteration 9601 / 9800) loss: 1.316323
(Iteration 9701 / 9800) loss: 1.337981
(Epoch 20 / 20) train acc: 0.646000; val acc: 0.553000
```

```
In [13]:
```

```
y_test_pred = np.argmax(model.loss(data['X_test']), axis=1)
y_val_pred = np.argmax(model.loss(data['X_val']), axis=1)
print('Validation set accuracy: {}'.format(np.mean(y_val_pred == data['y_val'])))
print('Test set accuracy: {}'.format(np.mean(y_test_pred == data['y_test'])))
```

Validation set accuracy: 0.57 Test set accuracy: 0.559

In []:

```
# Layers.py
```

```
import numpy as np import pdb
```

111111

This code was originally written for CS 231n at Stanford University (cs231n.stanford.edu). It has been modified in various areas for use in the ECE 239AS class at UCLA. This includes the descriptions of what code to implement as well as some slight potential changes in variable names to be consistent with class nomenclature. We thank Justin Johnson & Serena Yeung for permission to use this code. To see the original version, please visit cs231n.stanford.edu.

111111

```
def affine_forward(x, w, b):
```

Computes the forward pass for an affine (fully-connected) layer.

The input x has shape $(N, d_1, ..., d_k)$ and contains a minibatch of N examples, where each example x[i] has shape $(d_1, ..., d_k)$. We will reshape each input into a vector of dimension $D = d_1 * ... * d_k$, and then transform it to an output vector of dimension M.

Inputs:

- x: A numpy array containing input data, of shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k)
- w: A numpy array of weights, of shape (D, M)
- b: A numpy array of biases, of shape (M,)

Returns a tuple of:

- out: output, of shape (N, M)
- cache: (x, w, b)

....

```
def affine_backward(dout, cache):
 Computes the backward pass for an affine layer.
 Inputs:
 - dout: Upstream derivative, of shape (N, M)
 - cache: Tuple of:
  - x: Input data, of shape (N, d_1, ... d_k)
  - w: Weights, of shape (D, M)
 Returns a tuple of:
 - dx: Gradient with respect to x, of shape (N, d1, ..., d_k)
 - dw: Gradient with respect to w, of shape (D, M)
 - db: Gradient with respect to b, of shape (M,)
 x, w, b = cache
 dx, dw, db = None, None, None
 # ================== #
 # YOUR CODE HERE:
 # Calculate the gradients for the backward pass.
 # dout is N x M
 # dx should be N x d1 x ... x dk; it relates to dout through multiplication with w, which is D x M
 # dw should be D x M; it relates to dout through multiplication with x, which is N x D after reshaping
 # db should be M; it is just the sum over dout examples
 x_reshape = np.reshape(x, (x.shape[0], -1))
 dx_reshape = np.dot(dout,w.T)
 dx = np.reshape(dx_reshape, x.shape)
 # N * (d_1,d_2...)
 dw = np.dot(x_reshape.T,dout)
 db = np.sum(dout.T, axis=1, keepdims=True).T
 # M,
 # END YOUR CODE HERE
 return dx, dw, db
def relu forward(x):
 Computes the forward pass for a layer of rectified linear units (ReLUs).
 Input:
 - x: Inputs, of any shape
```

return out, cache

Returns a tuple of:

- out: Output, of the same shape as x - cache: x
=====================================
YOUR CODE HERE:
Implement the ReLU forward pass.
========
out = np.maximum(0, x) # ====================================
END YOUR CODE HERE
==========
cache = x
return out, cache
def relu_backward(dout, cache):
Computes the backward pass for a layer of rectified linear units (ReLUs).
Input: - dout: Upstream derivatives, of any shape
- cache: Input x, of same shape as dout
Returns:
- dx: Gradient with respect to x
x = cache
=====================================
YOUR CODE HERE:
Implement the ReLU backward pass
========
ReLU directs linearly to those > 0
dx = (x > 0) * (dout)
=====================================
END YOUR CODE HERE
=====================================
return dx
def batchnorm_forward(x, gamma, beta, bn_param):
Forward pass for batch normalization.

During training the sample mean and (uncorrected) sample variance are computed from minibatch statistics and used to normalize the incoming data. During training we also keep an exponentially decaying running mean of the mean and variance of each feature, and these averages are used to normalize data at test-time.

At each timestep we update the running averages for mean and variance using an exponential decay based on the momentum parameter:

```
running_mean = momentum * running_mean + (1 - momentum) * sample_mean running_var = momentum * running_var + (1 - momentum) * sample_var
```

Note that the batch normalization paper suggests a different test-time behavior: they compute sample mean and variance for each feature using a large number of training images rather than using a running average. For this implementation we have chosen to use running averages instead since they do not require an additional estimation step; the torch7 implementation of batch normalization also uses running averages.

```
Input:
```

- x: Data of shape (N, D)
- gamma: Scale parameter of shape (D,)
- beta: Shift paremeter of shape (D,)
- bn_param: Dictionary with the following keys:
- mode: 'train' or 'test'; required
- eps: Constant for numeric stability
- momentum: Constant for running mean / variance.
- running_mean: Array of shape (D,) giving running mean of features
- running_var Array of shape (D,) giving running variance of features

```
Returns a tuple of:
- out: of shape (N, D)
- cache: A tuple of values needed in the backward pass
mode = bn_param['mode']
eps = bn_param.get('eps', 1e-5)
momentum = bn_param.get('momentum', 0.9)
N, D = x.shape
running_mean = bn_param.get('running_mean', np.zeros(D, dtype=x.dtype))
running_var = bn_param.get('running_var', np.zeros(D, dtype=x.dtype))
out, cache = None, None
if mode == 'train':
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
  # A few steps here:
     (1) Calculate the running mean and variance of the minibatch.
     (2) Normalize the activations with the running mean and variance.
      (3) Scale and shift the normalized activations. Store this
         as the variable 'out'
      (4) Store any variables you may need for the backward pass in
        the 'cache' variable.
  minibatch_mean = np.mean(x, axis=0)
  minibatch_var = np.var(x, axis=0)
```

x_normalize = (x - minibatch_mean) / np.sqrt(minibatch_var + eps)

```
out = gamma * x_normalize + beta
   running mean = momentum * running mean + (1 - momentum) * minibatch mean
   running_var = momentum * running_var + (1 - momentum) * minibatch_var
   bn_param['running_mean'] = running_mean
   bn_param['running_var'] = running_var
   cache = {
    'minibatch_var': minibatch_var,
    'x centralize': (x - minibatch mean),
    'x_normalize': x_normalize,
    'gamma': gamma,
    'eps': eps
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
   elif mode == 'test':
   # ==================== #
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
   # Calculate the testing time normalized activation. Normalize using
   # the running mean and variance, and then scale and shift appropriately.
   # Store the output as 'out'.
   out = gamma * (x - running_mean) / np.sqrt(running_var + eps) + beta
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
   # =============== #
 else:
   raise ValueError('Invalid forward batchnorm mode "%s"' % mode)
 # Store the updated running means back into bn_param
 bn_param['running_mean'] = running_mean
 bn_param['running_var'] = running_var
 return out, cache
def batchnorm_backward(dout, cache):
 Backward pass for batch normalization.
 For this implementation, you should write out a computation graph for
 batch normalization on paper and propagate gradients backward through
 intermediate nodes.
 Inputs:
 - dout: Upstream derivatives, of shape (N, D)
```

- cache: Variable of intermediates from batchnorm_forward.

- dx: Gradient with respect to inputs x, of shape (N, D)

Returns a tuple of:

```
- dgamma: Gradient with respect to scale parameter gamma, of shape (D,)
  - dbeta: Gradient with respect to shift parameter beta, of shape (D,)
  dx, dgamma, dbeta = None, None, None
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
  # Implement the batchnorm backward pass, calculating dx, dgamma, and dbeta.
  N,D = dout.shape
  minibatch_var = cache.get('minibatch_var')
  x_centralize = cache.get('x_centralize')
  x_normalize = cache.get('x_normalize')
  gamma = cache.get('gamma')
  eps = cache.get('eps')
  dbeta = np.sum(dout, axis=0)
  dgamma = np.sum(dout * x_normalize, axis=0)
  dxhat = dout * gamma
  dx1 = dxhat / np.sqrt(minibatch_var + eps)
  sqrt_var = np.sqrt(minibatch_var + eps)
  dsqrt_var = -np.sum(dxhat * x_centralize, axis=0) / (sqrt_var**2)
  dvar = dsqrt_var * 0.5 / sqrt_var
  dx1 += 2 * x_centralize * dvar * np.ones_like(dout) / N
  dx2 = -np.sum(dx1, axis=0) * np.ones_like(dout) / N
  dx = dx1 + dx2
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  # ======================== #
  return dx, dgamma, dbeta
def dropout_forward(x, dropout_param):
  Performs the forward pass for (inverted) dropout.
  Inputs:
  - x: Input data, of any shape
 - dropout_param: A dictionary with the following keys:
   - p: Dropout parameter. We keep each neuron output with probability p.
   - mode: 'test' or 'train'. If the mode is train, then perform dropout;
    if the mode is test, then just return the input.
   - seed: Seed for the random number generator. Passing seed makes this
    function deterministic, which is needed for gradient checking but not in
    real networks.
  Outputs:
  - out: Array of the same shape as x.
  - cache: A tuple (dropout_param, mask). In training mode, mask is the dropout
```

mask that was used to multiply the input; in test mode, mask is None.

p, mode = dropout_param['p'], dropout_param['mode']

```
if 'seed' in dropout param:
   np.random.seed(dropout_param['seed'])
  mask = None
  out = None
 if mode == 'train':
   # =======
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
   # Implement the inverted dropout forward pass during training time.
   # Store the masked and scaled activations in out, and store the
   # dropout mask as the variable mask.
   mask = (np.random.random_sample(x.shape) >= (1-p)) / p
   out = x * mask
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
  elif mode == 'test':
   # =================== #
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
   # Implement the inverted dropout forward pass during test time.
   # ==================== #
   out = x
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
   # ================ #
 cache = (dropout_param, mask)
  out = out.astype(x.dtype, copy=False)
  return out, cache
def dropout_backward(dout, cache):
  Perform the backward pass for (inverted) dropout.
  - dout: Upstream derivatives, of any shape
  - cache: (dropout_param, mask) from dropout_forward.
  dropout_param, mask = cache
  mode = dropout_param['mode']
 dx = None
 if mode == 'train':
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
   # Implement the inverted dropout backward pass during training time.
   dx = dout * mask
```

```
# END YOUR CODE HERE
   # ==================== #
  elif mode == 'test':
   # ==================== #
   # YOUR CODE HERE:
   # Implement the inverted dropout backward pass during test time.
   dx = dout
   # ==================== #
   # END YOUR CODE HERE
   # ==================== #
  return dx
def svm_loss(x, y):
  Computes the loss and gradient using for multiclass SVM classification.
 Inputs:
 - x: Input data, of shape (N, C) where x[i, j] is the score for the jth class
  for the ith input.
 - y: Vector of labels, of shape (N,) where y[i] is the label for x[i] and
  0 \le y[i] < C
  Returns a tuple of:
  - loss: Scalar giving the loss
  - dx: Gradient of the loss with respect to x
  N = x.shape[0]
  correct_class_scores = x[np.arange(N), y]
  margins = np.maximum(0, x - correct_class_scores[:, np.newaxis] + 1.0)
  margins[np.arange(N), y] = 0
 loss = np.sum(margins) / N
 num_pos = np.sum(margins > 0, axis=1)
 dx = np.zeros_like(x)
 dx[margins > 0] = 1
 dx[np.arange(N), y] -= num_pos
 dx /= N
  return loss, dx
def softmax_loss(x, y):
  Computes the loss and gradient for softmax classification.
```

Inputs:

- x: Input data, of shape (N, C) where x[i, j] is the score for the jth class for the ith input.
- y: Vector of labels, of shape (N,) where y[i] is the label for x[i] and $0 \le y[i] < C$

Returns a tuple of:

- loss: Scalar giving the loss

- dx: Gradient of the loss with respect to x

$$\label{eq:probs} \begin{split} &\text{probs} = \text{np.exp}(x \text{ - np.max}(x, \text{ axis=1, keepdims=True})) \\ &\text{probs} \ / = \text{np.sum}(\text{probs, axis=1, keepdims=True}) \\ &\text{N} = x.\text{shape}[0] \\ &\text{loss} = -\text{np.sum}(\text{np.log}(\text{probs}[\text{np.arange}(N), y])) \ / \ N \\ &\text{dx} = \text{probs.copy}() \\ &\text{dx}[\text{np.arange}(N), y] \ - = 1 \\ &\text{dx} \ / = N \\ &\text{return loss, dx} \end{split}$$

optim.py

import numpy as np

111111

This code was originally written for CS 231n at Stanford University (cs231n.stanford.edu). It has been modified in various areas for use in the ECE 239AS class at UCLA. This includes the descriptions of what code to implement as well as some slight potential changes in variable names to be consistent with class nomenclature. We thank Justin Johnson & Serena Yeung for permission to use this code. To see the original version, please visit cs231n.stanford.edu.

111111

111111

This file implements various first-order update rules that are commonly used for training neural networks. Each update rule accepts current weights and the gradient of the loss with respect to those weights and produces the next set of weights. Each update rule has the same interface:

def update(w, dw, config=None):

Inputs:

- w: A numpy array giving the current weights.
- dw: A numpy array of the same shape as w giving the gradient of the loss with respect to w.
- config: A dictionary containing hyperparameter values such as learning rate, momentum, etc. If the update rule requires caching values over many iterations, then config will also hold these cached values.

Returns:

- next_w: The next point after the update.
- config: The config dictionary to be passed to the next iteration of the update rule.

NOTE: For most update rules, the default learning rate will probably not perform well; however the default values of the other hyperparameters should work well for a variety of different problems.

For efficiency, update rules may perform in-place updates, mutating w and setting next_w equal to w.

```
def sgd(w, dw, config=None):
```

Performs vanilla stochastic gradient descent.

```
config format:
```

- learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.

```
if config is None: config = {}
config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
```

```
w -= config['learning_rate'] * dw
  return w, config
def sgd_momentum(w, dw, config=None):
  Performs stochastic gradient descent with momentum.
  config format:
  - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
  - momentum: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the momentum value.
   Setting momentum = 0 reduces to sgd.
  - velocity: A numpy array of the same shape as w and dw used to store a moving
   average of the gradients.
  if config is None: config = {}
  config.setdefault('learning rate', 1e-2)
  config.setdefault('momentum', 0.9) # set momentum to 0.9 if it wasn't there
  v = config.get('velocity', np.zeros_like(w)) # gets velocity, else sets it to zero.
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
  # Implement the momentum update formula. Return the updated weights
  # as next w, and the updated velocity as v.
  v = config['momentum'] * v - config['learning rate'] * dw
  next_w = w + v
  # ======================= #
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  config['velocity'] = v
  return next_w, config
def sgd_nesterov_momentum(w, dw, config=None):
  Performs stochastic gradient descent with Nesterov momentum.
  config format:
  - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
  - momentum: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the momentum value.
   Setting momentum = 0 reduces to sgd.
  - velocity: A numpy array of the same shape as w and dw used to store a moving
   average of the gradients.
  if config is None: config = {}
  config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
  config.setdefault('momentum', 0.9) # set momentum to 0.9 if it wasn't there
  v = config.get('velocity', np.zeros_like(w)) # gets velocity, else sets it to zero.
```

```
# YOUR CODE HERE:
  # Implement the momentum update formula. Return the updated weights
  # as next w, and the updated velocity as v.
 v_{temp} = v
 v = config['momentum'] * v - config['learning_rate'] * dw
 w += v + config['momentum'] * (v - v_temp)
 next w = w
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  config['velocity'] = v
  return next_w, config
def rmsprop(w, dw, config=None):
  Uses the RMSProp update rule, which uses a moving average of squared gradient
 values to set adaptive per-parameter learning rates.
 config format:
  - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
 - decay_rate: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving the decay rate for the squared
  gradient cache.
  - epsilon: Small scalar used for smoothing to avoid dividing by zero.
  - beta: Moving average of second moments of gradients.
  if config is None: config = {}
 config.setdefault('learning_rate', 1e-2)
  config.setdefault('decay_rate', 0.99)
  config.setdefault('epsilon', 1e-8)
 config.setdefault('a', np.zeros_like(w))
  next w = None
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
 # Implement RMSProp. Store the next value of w as next w. You need
 # to also store in config['a'] the moving average of the second
  # moment gradients, so they can be used for future gradients. Concretely,
  # config['a'] corresponds to "a" in the lecture notes.
  # ======================= #
  config['a'] = config['decay_rate'] * config['a'] + (1 - config['decay_rate']) * (dw**2)
  next_w = w - config['learning_rate'] * dw / (np.sqrt(config['a']) + config['epsilon'])
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  # ====================== #
  return next_w, config
```

```
def adam(w, dw, config=None):
  Uses the Adam update rule, which incorporates moving averages of both the
  gradient and its square and a bias correction term.
  config format:
  - learning_rate: Scalar learning rate.
  - beta1: Decay rate for moving average of first moment of gradient.
  - beta2: Decay rate for moving average of second moment of gradient.
  - epsilon: Small scalar used for smoothing to avoid dividing by zero.
  - m: Moving average of gradient.
  - v: Moving average of squared gradient.
  - t: Iteration number.
  111111
  if config is None: config = {}
  config.setdefault('learning rate', 1e-3)
  config.setdefault('beta1', 0.9)
  config.setdefault('beta2', 0.999)
  config.setdefault('epsilon', 1e-8)
  config.setdefault('v', np.zeros_like(w))
  config.setdefault('a', np.zeros_like(w))
  config.setdefault('t', 0)
  next w = None
  # ====================== #
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
  # Implement Adam. Store the next value of w as next_w. You need
  # to also store in config['a'] the moving average of the second
  # moment gradients, and in config['v'] the moving average of the
  # first moments. Finally, store in config['t'] the increasing time.
  config['t'] += 1
  v = config['beta1'] * config['v'] + (1 - config['beta1']) * dw
  a = config['beta2'] * config['a'] + (1 - config['beta2']) * (dw**2)
  v_corrected = v / (1 - config['beta1']**config['t'])
  a_corrected = a / (1 - config['beta2']**config['t'])
  config['v'] = v
  config['a'] = a
  next_w = w - config['learning_rate'] * v_corrected / (np.sqrt(a_corrected) + config['epsilon'])
  # ======================= #
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  return next_w, config
```

```
#fc_net.py
import numpy as np
from .layers import *
from .layer_utils import *
```

This code was originally written for CS 231n at Stanford University (cs231n.stanford.edu). It has been modified in various areas for use in the ECE 239AS class at UCLA. This includes the descriptions of what code to implement as well as some slight potential changes in variable names to be consistent with class nomenclature. We thank Justin Johnson & Serena Yeung for permission to use this code. To see the original version, please visit cs231n.stanford.edu.

111111

class TwoLayerNet(object):

11111

A two-layer fully-connected neural network with ReLU nonlinearity and softmax loss that uses a modular layer design. We assume an input dimension of D, a hidden dimension of H, and perform classification over C classes.

The architecure should be affine - relu - affine - softmax.

Note that this class does not implement gradient descent; instead, it will interact with a separate Solver object that is responsible for running optimization.

The learnable parameters of the model are stored in the dictionary self.params that maps parameter names to numpy arrays.

```
def __init__(self, input_dim=3*32*32, hidden_dims=100, num_classes=10, dropout=0, weight_scale=1e-3, reg=0.0):
```

Initialize a new network.

Inputs:

- input_dim: An integer giving the size of the input
- hidden_dims: An integer giving the size of the hidden layer
- num_classes: An integer giving the number of classes to classify
- dropout: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving dropout strength.
- weight_scale: Scalar giving the standard deviation for random initialization of the weights.
- reg: Scalar giving L2 regularization strength.

```
self.params = {}
self.reg = reg
```

Initialize W1, W2, b1, and b2. Store these as self.params['W1'],

```
# self.params['W2'], self.params['b1'] and self.params['b2']. The
 # biases are initialized to zero and the weights are initialized
 # so that each parameter has mean 0 and standard deviation weight scale.
 # The dimensions of W1 should be (input_dim, hidden_dim) and the
 # dimensions of W2 should be (hidden_dims, num_classes)
 self.params['W1'] = np.random.randn(input dim, hidden dims) * weight scale
 self.params['b1'] = np.zeros((hidden_dims, 1))
 self.params['W2'] = np.random.randn(hidden dims, num classes) * weight scale
 self.params['b2'] = np.zeros((num_classes, 1))
 # END YOUR CODE HERE
 # =========================== #
def loss(self, X, y=None):
 Compute loss and gradient for a minibatch of data.
Inputs:
 - X: Array of input data of shape (N, d_1, ..., d_k)
 - y: Array of labels, of shape (N,). y[i] gives the label for X[i].
 Returns:
 If y is None, then run a test-time forward pass of the model and return:
 - scores: Array of shape (N, C) giving classification scores, where
  scores[i, c] is the classification score for X[i] and class c.
 If y is not None, then run a training-time forward and backward pass and
 return a tuple of:
 - loss: Scalar value giving the loss
 - grads: Dictionary with the same keys as self.params, mapping parameter
  names to gradients of the loss with respect to those parameters.
 scores = None
 # YOUR CODE HERE:
 # Implement the forward pass of the two-layer neural network. Store
 # the class scores as the variable 'scores'. Be sure to use the layers
 # you prior implemented.
 out1, cache_out1 = affine_forward(X, self.params['W1'], self.params['b1'])
 hidden, cache hidden = relu forward(out1)
 scores, cache_scores = affine_forward(hidden, self.params['W2'], self.params['b2'])
```

===========================

If y is None then we are in test mode so just return scores

END YOUR CODE HERE

```
if y is None:
   return scores
  loss, grads = 0, {}
  # ========
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
  # Implement the backward pass of the two-layer neural net. Store
  # the loss as the variable 'loss' and store the gradients in the
  # 'grads' dictionary. For the grads dictionary, grads['W1'] holds
  # the gradient for W1, grads['b1'] holds the gradient for b1, etc.
  # i.e., grads[k] holds the gradient for self.params[k].
  # Add L2 regularization, where there is an added cost 0.5*self.reg*W^2
  # for each W. Be sure to include the 0.5 multiplying factor to
  # match our implementation.
  #
  # And be sure to use the layers you prior implemented.
  loss, dsm = softmax loss(scores, y)
  reg_loss = 1/2 * self.reg * (np.linalg.norm(self.params['W1'], 'fro')**2 +
np.linalg.norm(self.params['W2'], 'fro')**2)
  loss += reg_loss
  dh, dW2, db2 = affine_backward(dsm, cache_scores)
  dout1 = relu backward(dh, cache hidden)
  dx, dW1, db1 = affine_backward(dout1, cache_out1)
  grads['W1'] = dW1 + self.reg * self.params['W1']
  grads['b1'] = db1.T
  grads['W2'] = dW2 + self.reg * self.params['W2']
  grads['b2'] = db2.T
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  return loss, grads
class FullyConnectedNet(object):
 A fully-connected neural network with an arbitrary number of hidden layers,
 ReLU nonlinearities, and a softmax loss function. This will also implement
 dropout and batch normalization as options. For a network with L layers,
 the architecture will be
 {affine - [batch norm] - relu - [dropout]} x (L - 1) - affine - softmax
 where batch normalization and dropout are optional, and the {...} block is
 repeated L - 1 times.
```

Similar to the TwoLayerNet above, learnable parameters are stored in the

self.params dictionary and will be learned using the Solver class.

```
def __init__(self, hidden_dims, input_dim=3*32*32, num_classes=10, dropout=0, use_batchnorm=False, reg=0.0, weight_scale=1e-2, dtype=np.float32, seed=None):
```

Initialize a new FullyConnectedNet.

Inputs:

- hidden dims: A list of integers giving the size of each hidden layer.
- input_dim: An integer giving the size of the input.
- num classes: An integer giving the number of classes to classify.
- dropout: Scalar between 0 and 1 giving dropout strength. If dropout=0 then the network should not use dropout at all.
- use_batchnorm: Whether or not the network should use batch normalization.
- reg: Scalar giving L2 regularization strength.
- weight_scale: Scalar giving the standard deviation for random initialization of the weights.
- dtype: A numpy datatype object; all computations will be performed using this datatype. float32 is faster but less accurate, so you should use float64 for numeric gradient checking.
- seed: If not None, then pass this random seed to the dropout layers. This
 will make the dropout layers deteriminstic so we can gradient check the
 model.

```
,,,,,,,
self.use batchnorm = use batchnorm
self.use dropout = dropout > 0
self.reg = reg
self.num\ layers = 1 + len(hidden\ dims)
self.dtype = dtype
self.params = {}
# YOUR CODE HERE:
# Initialize all parameters of the network in the self.params dictionary.
# The weights and biases of layer 1 are W1 and b1; and in general the
# weights and biases of layer i are Wi and bi. The
# biases are initialized to zero and the weights are initialized
# so that each parameter has mean 0 and standard deviation weight_scale.
# BATCHNORM: Initialize the gammas of each layer to 1 and the beta
# parameters to zero. The gamma and beta parameters for layer 1 should
# be self.params['gamma1'] and self.params['beta1']. For layer 2, they
# should be gamma2 and beta2, etc. Only use batchnorm if self.use_batchnorm
# is true and DO NOT batch normalize the output scores.
cur dim = input dim
for i, hidden_dim in enumerate(hidden_dims):
 self.params['W' + str(i + 1)] = np.random.randn(cur_dim, hidden_dim)*weight_scale
 self.params['b' + str(i + 1)] = np.zeros(hidden_dim)
 if self.use_batchnorm:
  self.params['gamma' + str(i + 1)] = np.ones(hidden_dim)
  self.params['beta' + str(i + 1)] = np.zeros(hidden_dim)
 cur_dim = hidden_dim
```

```
self.params['b' + str(self.num_layers)] = np.zeros(num_classes)
 # END YOUR CODE HERE
 # When using dropout we need to pass a dropout_param dictionary to each
 # dropout layer so that the layer knows the dropout probability and the mode
 # (train / test). You can pass the same dropout_param to each dropout layer.
 self.dropout_param = {}
 if self.use_dropout:
  self.dropout_param = {'mode': 'train', 'p': dropout}
  if seed is not None:
   self.dropout_param['seed'] = seed
 # With batch normalization we need to keep track of running means and
 # variances, so we need to pass a special bn_param object to each batch
 # normalization layer. You should pass self.bn_params[0] to the forward pass
 # of the first batch normalization layer, self.bn_params[1] to the forward
 # pass of the second batch normalization layer, etc.
 self.bn params = []
 if self.use batchnorm:
  self.bn_params = [{'mode': 'train'} for i in np.arange(self.num_layers - 1)]
 # Cast all parameters to the correct datatype
 for k, v in self.params.items():
  self.params[k] = v.astype(dtype)
def loss(self, X, y=None):
 Compute loss and gradient for the fully-connected net.
 Input / output: Same as TwoLayerNet above.
 X = X.astype(self.dtype)
 mode = 'test' if y is None else 'train'
 # Set train/test mode for batchnorm params and dropout param since they
 # behave differently during training and testing.
 if self.dropout_param is not None:
  self.dropout_param['mode'] = mode
 if self.use batchnorm:
  for bn param in self.bn params:
   bn_param[mode] = mode
 scores = None
 # YOUR CODE HERE:
 # Implement the forward pass of the FC net and store the output
```

self.params['W' + str(self.num_layers)] = np.random.randn(cur_dim, num_classes) * weight_scale

```
# scores as the variable "scores".
  # BATCHNORM: If self.use_batchnorm is true, insert a bathnorm layer
  # between the affine_forward and relu_forward layers. You may
  # also write an affine_batchnorm_relu() function in layer_utils.py.
  # DROPOUT: If dropout is non-zero, insert a dropout layer after
  # every ReLU layer.
  # -----
  fc cache = {}
  relu_cache = {}
  batchnorm_cache = {}
  dropout_cache = {}
  # flatten image
  X = np.reshape(X, [X.shape[0], -1])
  # go through all layers
  for i in range(self.num layers - 1):
   output_fc, fc_cache[str(i + 1)] = affine_forward(X, self.params['W' + str(i + 1)], self.params['b' +
str(i + 1)]
   if self.use batchnorm:
    output_batchnorm, batchnorm_cache[str(i + 1)] = batchnorm_forward(output_fc,
self.params['gamma' + str(i + 1)], self.params['beta' + str(i + 1)], self.bn_params[i])
    output_fc = output_batchnorm
   output_relu, relu_cache[str(i + 1)] = relu_forward(output_fc)
   if self.use dropout:
    output_relu, dropout_cache[str(i + 1)] = dropout_forward(output_relu, self.dropout_param)
   X = output_relu.copy()
  # output
  scores, out_cache = affine_forward(X, self.params['W' + str(self.num_layers)], self.params['b' +
str(self.num_layers)])
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  # If test mode return early
  if mode == 'test':
   return scores
  loss, grads = 0.0, {}
  # YOUR CODE HERE:
  # Implement the backwards pass of the FC net and store the gradients
  # in the grads dict, so that grads[k] is the gradient of self.params[k]
  # Be sure your L2 regularization includes a 0.5 factor.
```

```
# BATCHNORM: Incorporate the backward pass of the batchnorm.
  # DROPOUT: Incorporate the backward pass of dropout.
  # the last layer:
  loss, dx = softmax loss(scores, y)
  loss = loss + 0.5 * self.reg * (np.sum(np.square(self.params['W' + str(self.num_layers)])))
  dx_backward, dw_backward, db_backward = affine_backward(dx, out_cache)
  grads['b' + str(self.num_layers)] = db_backward
  grads['W' + str(self.num_layers)] = dw_backward + self.reg * self.params['W' +
str(self.num_layers)]
  # other layers
  for i in range(self.num_layers - 1, 0, -1):
  # reverse the order of forward dropout first
  # dropout
   if self.use dropout:
    dx_backward = dropout_backward(dx_backward, dropout_cache[str(i)])
   dx_relu = relu_backward(dx_backward, relu_cache[str(i)])
   # batchnorm layer
   if self.use batchnorm:
    dx_bn, dgamma, dbeta = batchnorm_backward(dx_relu, batchnorm_cache[str(i)])
    grads['beta' + str(i)] = dbeta
    grads['gamma' + str(i)] = dgamma
    dx_relu = dx_bn
   dx_backward, dw_backward, db_backward = affine_backward(dx_relu, fc_cache[str(i)])
   grads['W' + str(i)] = dw_backward + self.reg * self.params['W' + str(i)]
   grads['b' + str(i)] = db_backward
   loss += 0.5 * self.reg * (np.sum(np.square(self.params['W' + str(i)])))
  # END YOUR CODE HERE
  return loss, grads
```