

# Supplementary Materials

## A. Proof of Lemma 3

Note that the evolution  $V_2^{k+1} - V_2^k$  can be structurally decomposed into two constituent terms:

$$V_2^{k+1} - V_2^k = C_3 + \frac{1}{m}(g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^{k+1}) - g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k)), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where  $C_3 = \frac{1}{m}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k+1}, z^{k+1}) - \frac{1}{m}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k+1}, \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k+1})) - \frac{1}{m}(g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^{k+1}) - g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k)))$ . Thus, we begin by bounding the last term in (A.1) using the smoothness of  $g_i$  and the recursion (2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^{k+1}) - \frac{1}{m}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k) \\ & \leq -\frac{\gamma}{m}\langle \nabla_{\theta}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k), \nabla_{\theta}g(x^k, z^k) \rangle + \frac{L_{g,1}}{2m}\|z^{k+1} - z^k\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{L_{g,1}^2\gamma}{2} \frac{1}{m}\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{1}{m}\|\nabla_{\theta}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k)\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the last inequality is derived through application of the polarization identity  $-a^T b = \frac{1}{2}\|a - b\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|a\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|b\|^2$ , combined with the gradient-Lipschitz continuity of  $g_i$  and the condition that  $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{L_{g,1}}$ . For the term  $C_3$  in (A.1), it follows from the smoothness of the functions  $g_i$  and  $g_i^*(\hat{x})$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_3 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m}\langle \nabla_x g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^{k+1}) - \nabla_x g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k)), \mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k \rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{L_{g,1} + L_{g^*}}{2}\|\bar{x}^{k+1} - \bar{x}^k\|^2 \\ & \leq 16\alpha L_{g,1}^2 \frac{1}{m}\|z^{k+1} - \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{16}\|\bar{x}^{k+1} - \bar{x}^k\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{16\alpha L_{g,1}^2}{m}\|z^{k+1} - \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^2}{4m}\|z^{k+1} - \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k)\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2}{4m}\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4}\|\nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where the second step follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the condition that  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{16(L_{g,1} + L_{g^*})}$ . In what follows, our analysis focuses on bounding the inner-level optimality gap  $\|z^{k+1} - \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k)\|^2$ . To this end, we let  $g^*(x^k) \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^m g_i^*(x_i^k)$ . Then, considering the condition  $\gamma < \min\{\frac{1}{L_{g,1}}, \frac{1}{\mu_g}\}$ , we can derive from the smoothness of  $g_i$ , PL-condition in  $\theta$  and the recursion (2) that the following the exponential convergence property holds [27]:

$$g(x^k, z^{k+1}) - g^*(x^k) \leq (1 - \mu\gamma)^N (g(x^k, z^k) - g^*(x^k)). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|z^{k+1} - \theta^*(\bar{x}^k)\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2\mu_g}(1 - \gamma\mu_g)^N (g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k) - g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k))) \\ & \leq \frac{(1 - \gamma\mu_g)^N}{4\mu_g^2} \|\nabla_{\theta}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k)\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where the first inequality harnesses the the quadratic growth property induced by the PL condition of  $g_i$  [27] and the inequality (A.4) with the condition that  $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{\mu_g}$ . Then, substituting the boundedness (A.2) and (A.3) into (A.1) and synthesizing the inequality (A.5) yields the derived result. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

## B. Proof of Lemma 4

This proof proceeds through tri-variate descent analysis of the function  $p_{\lambda}(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$ . First, leveraging the smoothness of the function  $p_{\lambda}(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\hat{x}$  from Lemma (1) and incorporating the fact that  $\bar{y}^k = \bar{q}^k + c(\bar{x}^k - \bar{s}^k) = J_n \nabla_x P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}, z^{k+1}; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)$  derived by the gradient tracking step (5), we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} & p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k) - p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k) \\ & \leq -\alpha \langle \nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k), \bar{y}^k \rangle + \frac{L_{ps,\lambda}}{2}\|\bar{x}^{k+1} - \bar{x}^k\|^2 \\ & \leq 2\alpha L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{m}\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 + \frac{\alpha\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^2}{4\mu_g^2} \frac{1}{m}\|\nabla_{\theta}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k)\|^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\|\nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where the last step employs the polarization identity and the condition that  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{L_{ps,\lambda}}$  as well as the following boundedness:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k) - \bar{y}^k\|^2 \\ & \leq 4L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{m}\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 + 2\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^2 \frac{1}{m}\|z^{k+1} - \theta^*(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k)\|^2, \\ & \leq \frac{4L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2}{m}\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 + \frac{2\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^2 (1 - \mu\gamma)^N}{4\mu_g^2 m} \|\nabla_{\theta}g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where the first step employs the gradient-Lipschitz continuity of  $f_i$  and  $g_i$ , and the second step follows from the result (A.5). Similarly, using the smoothness of the function  $p_{\lambda}(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\hat{x}$  from Lemma 1 and the fact that  $\theta^{k+1} - \theta^k = -\beta \nabla_{\theta} P_{\lambda}(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)$  from the recursion (3), we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} & p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k) - p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k) \\ & \leq -\beta \langle \nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k), \nabla_{\theta} P_{\lambda}(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k) \rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{L_{p\theta,\lambda}}{2m}\|\theta^{k+1} - \theta^k\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{m}\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta m \|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where the last step utilizes the polarization identity and applies the condition  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{L_{p\theta,\lambda}}$  along with the expression  $\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k) = \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\theta} P_{\lambda}(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)$ . Additionally, it follows from the definition of the function  $p_{\lambda}(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  that:

$$p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^{k+1}) - p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^{k+1}, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{c}{2} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\|\bar{x}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^{k+1}\|^2 - \|\bar{x}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k\|^2) \\
 &= \frac{c}{2} \left( \frac{1-\eta}{\eta^2} \|\bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k\|^2 - \frac{1}{\eta^2} \|\bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k\|^2 \right) \\
 &= -\frac{c}{2\eta} \|\bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k\|^2, \tag{A.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first step applies the recursion (7). Subsequently, by aggregating the descent inequalities (A.6), (A.8), and (A.9), we obtain the desired result. This completes the proof. ■

### C. Proof of Lemma 5

By the fact that  $\psi_\lambda(\theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^{k+1}) = p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^{k+1}), \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^{k+1})$  as well as the expression of the function  $p_\lambda(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$ , we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\psi_\lambda(\theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^{k+1}) - \psi_\lambda(\theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k) \tag{A.10} \\
 &\leq p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k), \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^{k+1}) - p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k), \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k) \\
 &= \frac{c}{2} \langle \bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k, \bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k - 2x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k) \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by synthesizing the smoothness property of the value function  $\psi_\lambda(\theta; \hat{s})$  in  $\theta$ , as established in Lemma 1, with the recursion  $\theta^{k+1} - \theta^k = \beta \nabla_\theta P_\lambda(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)$  in (3), we derive the following critical descent relationship:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\psi_\lambda(\theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k) - \psi_\lambda(\theta^k; \bar{s}^k) \tag{A.11} \\
 &\leq \langle \nabla_\theta \psi_\lambda(\theta^k; \bar{s}^k), \theta^{k+1} - \theta^k \rangle + \frac{L_{\psi_\lambda}}{2m} \|\theta^{k+1} - \theta^k\|^2 \triangleq C_1 + C_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 \triangleq -\beta \langle \nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k), \nabla_\theta P_\lambda(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k) \rangle$  and  $C_2 \triangleq \frac{L_{\psi_\lambda}}{2m} \beta^2 \|\nabla_\theta P_\lambda(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)\|^2$ . Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-\beta \langle \nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k), \nabla_\theta P_\lambda(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k) \rangle \tag{A.12} \\
 &\leq \frac{L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \beta}{2m} \|\mathbf{1}x^k - x^k\|^2 - \frac{\beta}{2m} \|\nabla_\theta P_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

then it follows from the term  $C_1$  that :

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_1 &\leq -\beta \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \nabla_\theta P_\lambda(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k) \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \frac{1}{m} \|\mathbf{1}x^k - x^k\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{1}{m} \|\nabla_\theta P_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)\|^2, \tag{A.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k) - \nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)$ . For the first term on the right-hand side of (A.13), we obtain the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-\beta \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \nabla_\theta P_\lambda(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k) \rangle \tag{A.14} \\
 &\leq \frac{L_{p\theta, \lambda}}{w} \|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\|^2 + \frac{\beta^2 L_{p\theta, \lambda} w L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2}{2m} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{\beta^2}{2} L_{p\theta, \lambda} w m \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{\beta^2}{2} L_{p\theta, \lambda} (w + \frac{3\sigma_2^2}{w}) m \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{3L_{p\theta, \lambda} \sigma_2^2}{w} \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{\beta^2}{2} L_{p\theta, \lambda}^3 (w + \frac{6\sigma_2^2}{w}) \frac{1}{m} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first step applies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and exploits the gradient-Lipschitz continuity of  $p_\lambda(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  in the inner-level variables, followed by Young's inequality with the parameter  $w = \frac{\beta L_{p\theta, \lambda}}{8}$ ; subsequently, we introduce the term  $\nabla_\theta P_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)$  and leverage its Lipschitz continuity in the outer-level variables in the first step; the last step leverages the boundedness of the term  $\|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\|$  given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\| \tag{A.15} \\
 &\leq \|x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\| + \|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k)\| \\
 &\leq \sigma_3 \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\| + \sigma_2 \beta \sqrt{m} \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\| \\
 &\quad + \sigma_2 \beta L_{p\theta, \lambda} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \|\mathbf{1}x^k - x^k\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the strong convexity of  $p_\lambda(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\hat{x}$  with the parameter  $\sigma_3 = \frac{1}{c - L_{p\theta, \lambda}}$  and the Lipschitz continuity of  $x^*(\theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\theta$ . In what follows, we aim to bound the term  $C_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2 &\leq L_{\psi_\lambda} \beta^2 \frac{1}{m} \|\nabla_\theta P_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)\|^2 \tag{A.16} \\
 &\quad + L_{\psi_\lambda} L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \beta^2 \frac{1}{m} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by substituting the inequalities (A.13), (A.14), (A.16) and the expression  $\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k) = \frac{1}{m} \nabla_\theta P_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)$  into (A.11) and combining the results (A.10) and (A.11), the desired result can be derived. This completes the proof. ■

### D. Proof of Lemma 6

We begin by employing the definition of the value function  $\phi_\lambda(\hat{s})$  in (8):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\varphi_\lambda(\bar{s}^k) - \varphi_\lambda(\bar{s}^{k+1}) \\
 &\leq \psi_\lambda(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}); \bar{s}^k) - \psi_\lambda(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}); \bar{s}^{k+1}) \\
 &\leq p_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1}), \theta^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}); \bar{s}^k) \tag{A.17} \\
 &\quad - p_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1}), \theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}); \bar{s}^{k+1}) \\
 &\leq -\frac{c}{2} \langle \bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k, \bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k - 2x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k) \rangle + C_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_3 \triangleq c \langle \bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k, x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1}) - x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k) \rangle$ ; the first inequality follows from the optimality of  $\theta_\lambda(\bar{s}^k)$ , while the second inequality is derived from the definition of the value function  $\psi_\lambda(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}); \bar{s}^k)$  in section III-A and the fact that  $\psi_\lambda(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}); \bar{s}^k) \leq p_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1}), \theta^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}); \bar{s}^k)$ . Next, we proceed with a further analysis of the term  $C_3$  in (A.17):

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_3 &= c \langle \bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k, x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1}) - x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^k) \rangle \\
 &\quad + c \langle \bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k, x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k) \rangle \\
 &\leq (c\sigma_1 + \frac{c}{12\eta}) \|\bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k\|^2 \tag{A.18} \\
 &\quad + 3c\eta \|x^*(\theta_\lambda^*(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k)\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we employ the Lipschitz continuity of  $x^*(\theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\hat{s}$  along with Young's inequality. In what follows, we aim to bound the last term in (A.18).

To this end, we introduce an auxiliary variable  $\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k) \triangleq \theta^k - \beta \nabla_\theta P_\lambda(\mathbf{1}x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)$ . Then, we obtain the following result:

$$\|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\leq C_4 + 4 \underbrace{\|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k)\|^2}_{C_5} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$+ 4 \underbrace{\|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta^{k+1}, \bar{s}^k)\|^2}_{C_6},$$

where  $C_4 = 4\|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1})\|^2 + 4\|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1}) - x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k)\|^2$ . For the term  $C_4$  in (A.19), it follows from the Lipschitz continuity of  $x^*(\theta, \hat{s})$  and  $x^*(\hat{s})$  in  $\hat{s}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1})\|^2 \\ & + 4\|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^{k+1}), \bar{s}^{k+1}) - x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\ & \leq 8\sigma_1^2 \|\bar{s}^{k+1} - \bar{s}^k\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

In addition, the term  $C_5$  can be bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_5 & \leq 8\sigma_2^2 L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \beta^2 \|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\|^2 + 8\sigma_2^2 L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \beta^2 \frac{1}{m} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 \\ & \leq 24\sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^2 L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \beta^2 \|\nabla_x p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \quad (\text{A.22}) \\ & \quad + 24\sigma_2^4 L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \beta^4 m \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\ & \quad + 24\sigma_2^4 L_{p\theta, \lambda}^4 \beta^4 \frac{1}{m} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 + 8\sigma_2^2 L_{p\theta, \lambda}^2 \beta^2 \frac{1}{m} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first step follows from the Lipschitz continuity of  $x^*(\theta, \hat{s})$  with respect to  $\theta$ , while leveraging the definition of  $\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k)$  and the recursion (3); the second step incorporates the recursion (A.15). Next, for the term  $C_6$ , we can further derive that:

$$\begin{aligned} C_6 & \leq 8\sigma_3(\varphi_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k); \bar{s}^k) - \varphi_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k); \bar{s}^k)) \\ & \leq 8\sigma_3(p_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k), \theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k); \bar{s}^k) - \varphi_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k); \bar{s}^k)) \\ & \leq \frac{8\sigma_3}{\mu_p} m \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k), \theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k); \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{8\sigma_3}{\mu_p} \tau^2 m \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau \triangleq 1 + \sigma_2 L_{p\theta, \lambda} \beta + L_{p\theta, \lambda} \beta$ ; the first step follows from the strong convexity of  $p_\lambda(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\hat{x}$ ; the third step is derived by the PL-condition of  $p_\lambda(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\theta$ ; the last step is obtained by the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k), \theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k); \bar{s}^k)\| \\ & \leq \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\| + L_{p\theta, \lambda} \frac{1}{m} \|\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k) - \theta^k\| \\ & \quad + L_{p\theta, \lambda} \|x^*(\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k), \bar{s}^k) - x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k)\| \\ & \leq \tau \|\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the first step introduces the term  $\nabla_\theta p_\lambda(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)$  and leverages the gradient Lipschitz continuity of  $p_\lambda(\hat{x}, \theta, \hat{s})$  in  $\theta$ , and the second step

exploits the Lipschitz continuity of  $x^*(\hat{x}, \theta)$  in  $\theta$  along with the definition of  $\theta_\lambda^+(\bar{s}^k)$ . Next, by substituting the boundedness of the terms  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ , and  $C_6$ , incorporating the inequality (A.19) into (A.18), and combining the resulting inequality with (A.17), we obtain the desired result. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### E. Proof of Lemma 7

We begin the proof by deriving the relationship between the consensus errors of the outer-level variables and the proximal variables. First, by incorporating the recursion of the variable  $s^k$  in (7), we obtain  $\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^{k+1} - s^{k+1} = (\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{J})(\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k) + \eta(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k+1} - x^{k+1} - (\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k))$  with  $\mathcal{W} = W \otimes I_n$ . Applying Young's inequality with parameter  $\frac{1-\rho}{2\rho}$  and the fact  $\|\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{J}\|^2 = \|W - \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T\|^2 = \rho \in [0, 1)$ , this further yields the following evolution of the consensus errors:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^{k+1} - s^{k+1}\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1+\rho}{2} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k\|^2 + \frac{4\eta^2}{1-\rho} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{4\eta^2}{1-\rho} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k+1} - x^{k+1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, through recursive derivation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{1+\rho}{2}) \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k\|^2 \quad (\text{A.23}) \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^0 - s^0\|^2 + \frac{4\eta^2}{1-\rho} \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{4\eta^2}{1-\rho} \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

With the initialization  $s_i^0 = s_j^0, \forall i \in \mathcal{V}$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k\|^2 \leq b_1 \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2, \quad (\text{A.24})$$

where  $b_1 \triangleq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{8\eta^2}{(1-\rho)^2}} \frac{8\eta^2}{(1-\rho)^2}$ . Similarly, leveraging the recursion of the outer-level variable in (6) and the initialization  $x_i^0 = x_j^0$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{V}$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 \quad (\text{A.25}) \\ & \leq \frac{8\alpha^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{q}^k - q^k\|^2 + \frac{16\alpha^2\eta^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{16\alpha^2\eta^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k - s^k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, substituting (A.24) into (A.25) and utilizing the condition  $1 - \frac{16\alpha^2\eta^2}{(1-\rho)^2} (1+b_1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  which follows from  $\alpha\eta \leq \frac{1-\rho}{8}$  and  $\eta \leq \frac{1-\rho}{4}$ , we can derive an upper bound for the consen-

sus error term associated with the outer-level variable:

$$\sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 \leq \frac{16\alpha^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{q}^k - q^k\|^2. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Similarly, leveraging the recursion of the variable  $q^k$  in (5) along with the initialization condition  $q_i^0 = q_j^0, \forall i \in \mathcal{V}$ , we obtain:

$$(1 - \frac{1+\rho}{2}) \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{q}^k - q^k\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{1-\rho} \sum_{k=0}^K \|u^{k+1} - u^k\|^2. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

where the last term can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^{k+1} - u^k\|^2 \\ & \leq 6L_{p\lambda}^2 \|x^k - x^{k-1}\|^2 + 3L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \beta^2 \|\nabla_{\theta} P_{\lambda}(x^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\ & \quad + 3\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^2 \gamma^2 \|\nabla_{\theta} g(x^k, z^k)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Furthermore, for the first term on the right-hand side of (A.28), it can be rewritten as  $x^k - x^{k-1} = (I_{mn} - \mathcal{W})(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k-1} - x^{k-1}) + \alpha(\mathbf{1}\bar{q}^{k-1} - q^{k-1}) - \alpha\mathbf{1}\bar{y}^{k-1}$ . Thus, we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x^k - x^{k-1}\|^2 \\ & \leq 12\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k-1} - x^{k-1}\|^2 + 3\alpha^2 \|\mathbf{1}\bar{q}^{k-1} - q^{k-1}\|^2 + 3m\alpha^2 \|\bar{y}^{k-1}\|^2 \\ & \leq 15\|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k-1} - x^{k-1}\|^2 + 3\alpha^2 \|\mathbf{1}\bar{q}^{k-1} - q^{k-1}\|^2 \\ & \quad + 9\alpha^2 m \|\nabla_{x} p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^{k-1}, \theta^k; \bar{s}^{k-1})\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{9\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^2 \alpha^2 (1-\mu\gamma)^N}{4\mu_g^2} \|\nabla_{\theta} g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^{k-1}, z^{k-1})\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.29})$$

where the last step exploits the relation  $\bar{y}^{k-1} = J_n \nabla_x P_{\lambda}(x^{k-1}, \theta^k, z^k; \bar{s}^{k-1})$  and introduces the term  $\nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^{k-1}, \theta^k; \bar{s}^{k-1})$  to upper bound  $\|\bar{y}^{k-1}\|^2$ , leveraging the gradient-Lipschitz continuity of the involved functions and the result (A.5). Before addressing the second term on the right-hand side of (A.28), we first establish an upper bound for the term  $\|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\|$ . By combining the inequality  $\|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\| \leq \frac{L_{p\theta,\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}} \|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\| + \|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|$  with the result in (A.15) and applying the condition  $1 - 2\sigma_2 L_{p\theta,\lambda} \geq \frac{1}{2}$  which follows from  $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2\sigma_2 L_{p\theta,\lambda}}$ , we can derive the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\| \\ & \leq 2\sigma_3 \|\nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\| + \frac{2\sigma_2 \beta L_{p\theta,\lambda}}{\sqrt{m}} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\| \\ & \quad + 2\sigma_2 \beta \sqrt{m} \|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Next, by employing the upper bound of the term  $\|x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k) - \bar{x}^k\|^2$  in (A.30) and the condition  $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2\sigma_2 L_{p\theta,\lambda}}$ , we can bound the second term on the right-hand side of (A.28) as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_{\theta} P_{\lambda}(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\ & \leq 2m^2 \|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 + 2L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 \\ & \leq 8m^2 \|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 + 48L_{p\theta,\lambda}^4 \sigma_2^2 \beta^2 \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2 \\ & \quad + 48L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \sigma_3^2 m \|\nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Then, by resorting to the fact that  $\|\nabla_{\theta} P_{\lambda}(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)\|^2 = m^2 \|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2$ , we can obtain an upper bound for the term  $\|\nabla_{\theta} P_{\lambda}(x^k, \theta^k; \mathbf{1}\bar{s}^k)\|^2$  in (A.28). Then, we deal with the last term in (A.28) as follows:

$$\|\nabla_{\theta} g(x^k, z^k)\| \leq \|\nabla_{\theta} g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k)\| + L_{g,1} \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

Then, substituting the result (A.29), (A.31) and (A.32) into (A.28), we can derive that:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \frac{1+\rho}{2} - \frac{36L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \alpha^2}{1-\rho}) \frac{4}{1-\rho} \sum_{k=0}^K \|\mathbf{1}\bar{q}^k - q^k\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{8}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K d_1 m \|\nabla_x p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k, \theta^{k+1}; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{8}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K d_2 m^2 \|\nabla_{\theta} p_{\lambda}(x^*(\theta^k, \bar{s}^k), \theta^k; \bar{s}^k)\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{8}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K d_3 \|\nabla_{\theta} g(\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k, z^k)\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{8}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{k=0}^K d_4 \|\mathbf{1}\bar{x}^k - x^k\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

where  $d_4 = 90L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 + 144L_{p\theta,\lambda}^6 \sigma_2^2 \beta^4 + 6\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^4 \gamma^2 \leq 108L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \triangleq \tilde{d}_4$  by the condition that  $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{L_{g,1}}$  and  $\beta \leq \min\{\frac{1}{4\sigma_2 L_{p\theta,\lambda}}, \frac{1}{L_{p\theta,\lambda}}\}$ . Noting that  $(1 - \frac{1+\rho}{2} - \frac{36L_{p\theta,\lambda}^2 \alpha^2}{1-\rho}) \frac{4}{1-\rho} \geq 1$  holds by the condition  $\alpha \leq \frac{1-\rho}{12L_{p\theta,\lambda}}$  and  $1 - \frac{8}{(1-\rho)^2} \frac{16\alpha^2}{(1-\rho)^2} \tilde{d}_4 \geq \frac{1}{2}$  holds by the condition  $\alpha \leq \frac{(1-\rho)^2}{120L_{p\theta,\lambda}}$ , the derived result can be obtained by substituting (A.33) into (A.26). This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### F. Proof of Corollary 2

First, building upon the result in [13], when Assumptions 1–3 hold, along with an additional assumption on the smoothness of  $\nabla f_i$  and  $\nabla g_i$ , we establish the relationship between the gradient of the penalty function and the hypergradient as follows:  $\|\nabla \phi(\hat{x}) - \nabla p_{\lambda}(\hat{x})\| \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{\kappa^3}{\lambda})$ . Furthermore, leveraging Corollary 1 and the discussion in Remark 6, we derive  $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^K \nabla p_{\lambda}(\bar{x}^k) \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha(K+1)}) + \frac{\kappa}{\alpha(K+1)} (1 - \frac{1}{\kappa})^{(\kappa K)} \approx \mathcal{O}(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha(K+1)})$ . Thus, integrating the above result, we obtain an upper bound for the hypergradient measure:

$$\frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{k=0}^K \nabla \phi(\bar{x}^k) \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{\kappa}{\alpha(K+1)} + \frac{\kappa^6}{\lambda^2}). \quad (\text{A.34})$$

Next, we determine the dependence of the relevant parameters on the condition number. Notably, when  $N \geq \frac{-3 \ln \kappa}{\ln(1-\mu\gamma)}$  is satisfied, it follows that  $\frac{(1-\mu\gamma)^N \mu_g^2}{L_{g,1}^2} \geq \kappa$ . Under this setting, one of the step size conditions on  $\alpha$  in Theorem 1 requires that  $\alpha \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{(1-\rho)\kappa\gamma}{\lambda^2})$ , while the condition  $\frac{\lambda^2 L_{g,1}^2 (1-\mu\gamma)^N}{\mu_g^2} \geq 1$  in Corollary 1 imposes the requirement  $\lambda^2 \geq \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{-1})$ . Accordingly, we set the step sizes as  $\alpha = \mathcal{O}((1-\rho)^2 \kappa^{-2.5} K^{-\frac{5}{3}})$ ,  $\beta = \mathcal{O}((1-\rho)^2 \kappa^{-2.5} K^{-\frac{5}{3}})$ .

$\rho)^2 \kappa^{-2.5} K^{-\frac{1}{3}})$ ,  $\gamma = \mathcal{O}(1 - \rho)$ ,  $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(\kappa^{1.5} K^{\frac{1}{6}})$ , which ensures that the step size condition in Theorem 1 is satisfied. Substituting these parameter choices into (A.34) yields the desired result.