## **Radio Telescope Team Mid-Term Peer Evaluations**

Name:	Sub-team:
-------	-----------

Please evaluate your team members, **and yourself**, using the following *ratings* (8-0). Also *rank* each member of your team from 1 (highest) to 16 (lowest) for their combined effort and effectiveness (**ties are NOT allowed for** *rankings*). Your response should reflect each individual's dedication to the team and support of the project's goal, not their academic ability. Provide appropriate comments for each team member.

The individual ratings and rankings you assign will remain anonymous. You will be provided with your own composite average rating and ranking, you will NOT see the ratings and rankings that your team members received.

The purpose of this exercise is to provide each team member with their rating and relative ranking with respect to their peers on the team. This type of system is similar to what many companies use as part of their annual review process. It is important for each of you to comprehend how your fellow teammates perceive your effort on the project. The mid-term peer evaluations are used to inform you of where you stand at the midpoint in the semester so that you can take any corrective action you deem necessary, based on the outcome of the peer evaluation ratings and rankings.

Part of your grade for this course will be the result of your FINAL peer evaluations that you and your teammates provide through this process.

## **Ratings**

_	
Excellent (8 pts)	Consistently went above and beyond, tutored teammates, carried more than his/her fair share of the load.
Very good (7 pts)	Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative.
Satisfactory (6 pts)	Usually did what he/she was supposed to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative.
Ordinary (5 pts)	Often did what he/she was supposed to do, minimally prepared and cooperative.
Marginal (4 pts)	Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared.
Deficient (3 pts)	Often failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared.
Unsatisfactory (2 pts)	Consistently failed to show or complete assignments, unprepared.
Superficial (1 pts)	Practically no participation.
No show (0 pts)	No participation at all

## Name of team member Rating (0-8) Rank (1-16) Comments (required)

Jason	Constantine		
Kyle	Eidson		
Lucas	Gartrell		
Andrew	Georgiou		
Nolan	Hamilton		
Quintin	Herb		
Dakota	Hilbert		
Joel	Horne		
Kate	Kennelly		
Marie	Kiley		
Joe	Landau		
Alyssa	McDevitt		

Jon	Myer		
Patrick	Nelson		
Phil	Norris		
Greg	Plachno		