

---

# Multivariable Analysis: Problem Set I

---

Youngduck Choi  
CIMS  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains solutions to the problem set I of Multivariable Analysis 2016 at Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences.

### Question 1.

11. Show that  $K$  is a convex set by directly applying the definition. Sketch  $K$  in the cases  $n = 1, 2, 3$ .

(a)  $K = \{\mathbf{x} : |x^1| + \cdots + |x^n| \leq 1\}$ .

(b)  $K = \{\mathbf{x} = c^1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c^n \mathbf{v}_n, 0 \leq c^i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a basis for  $E^n$ . This is the  $n$ -parallelepiped spanned by  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  with  $\mathbf{0}$  as a vertex.

**Solution.** (a) Let  $K = \{x : \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \leq 1\}$ ,  $a, b \in K$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Consider  $l = ta + (1-t)b$  and its  $l_1$  quantity,  $\sum_{i=1}^n |ta_i + (1-t)b_i|$ . By the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |ta_i + (1-t)b_i| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |ta_i| + |(1-t)b_i| \\ &= |t| \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| + |1-t| \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i| \\ &\leq |t| + |1-t| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $l \in K$ . Since  $x, y, t$  were arbitrary, we have shown that  $K$  is convex.

(b) Let  $K = \{x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i \mid 0 \leq c_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i, b = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i v_i \in K$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Consider  $l = ta + (1-t)b$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} ta + (1-t)b &= t \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i + (1-t) \sum_{i=1}^n b_i v_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (ta_i + (1-t)b_i) v_i. \end{aligned}$$

As  $t, a_i, b_i, 1-t$  are all non-negative, we have  $0 \leq ta_i + (1-t)b_i$ . As  $0 \leq a_i, b_i \leq 1$ , we obtain  $ta_i + (1-t)b_i \leq t + 1 - t = 1$ , which combined with the previous inequality gives  $0 \leq ta_i + (1-t)b_i \leq 1$ . Hence,  $l \in K$ . Since  $x, y, t$  were arbitrary, we have shown that  $K$  is convex.

□

**Question 2.**

**10. Show that:**

(a)  $\text{int}(A \cup B) \supset (\text{int } A) \cup (\text{int } B).$

(b)  $\text{cl}(A \cap B) \subset (\text{cl } A) \cap (\text{cl } B).$

Give examples in which  $=$  does not hold.

**Solution. (a)** Let  $x \in \text{Int } A \cup \text{Int } B$ . It follows that there exists a neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $A$  or exists a neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $B$ , respectively denoted as  $U_A$  and  $U_B$ . If we have the existence of  $U_A$ , it follows that  $x \in U_A \subset A \subset A \cup B$ . Likewise, if we have the existence of  $U_B$ , it follows that  $x \in U_B \subset B \subset A \cup B$ . Therefore, we have  $x$  is an interior point of  $A \cup B$ . Since  $x$  was arbitrary, we have shown that  $\text{Int } A \cup \text{Int } B \subset \text{Int}(A \cup B)$ . We now show that the equality does not hold, by providing a counter example. Let  $A = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\text{int } \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{int } \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$  and  $\text{int } \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathbb{R} \not\subset \emptyset$ , we have shown that the equality does not hold.  $\square$

**(b)** Firstly, taking complements on both sides shows that the given inclusion is equivalent to

$$(\text{cl } A \cap \text{cl } B)^c \subset (\text{cl}(A \cap B))^c.$$

By DeMorgan's laws, we have that the above inclusion is equivalent to

$$(\text{cl } A)^c \cup (\text{cl } B)^c \subset (\text{cl}(A \cap B))^c.$$

Using the fact that  $(\text{cl } A)^c = \text{int}(A^c)$ , for any arbitrary set  $A \subset E^n$ , the above inclusion is equivalent to

$$\text{int } A^c \cup \text{int } B^c \subset \text{int}((A \cap B)^c).$$

Again, by DeMorgan's laws, the above inclusion is equivalent to

$$\text{int } A^c \cup \text{int } B^c \subset \text{int}(A^c \cup B^c).$$

As we have shown the part (a) to be true, we are done. We now show that the equality does not hold, by providing a counter example. Let  $A = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $B = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\text{cl}(A \cap B) = \emptyset$  and  $\text{cl}(A) \cap \text{cl}(B) = \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R} \not\subset \emptyset$ , we have shown that the equality does not hold.  $\square$

**Question 3.**

- 12.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be convex subsets of  $E^n$ . The *join* of  $A$  and  $B$  is the set of all  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}$  lies on a line segment with one endpoint in  $A$  and the other in  $B$ . Show that the join of  $A$  and  $B$  is a convex set.

**Solution.** Let  $\text{join}(A, B)$  be the join of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , and  $x_1, x_2 \in \text{join}(A, B)$ . Consider  $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2$ . It follows that

$$\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2 = \lambda(t_1 a_1 + (1 - t_1)b_1) + (1 - \lambda)(t_2 a_2 + (1 - t_2)b_2),$$

for some  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ , and  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ .

**Question 4.**

**4.** Let  $f$  be a function from  $S$  into  $T$ . Show that, for any subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ :

- (a)  $f(A \cup B) = f(A) \cup f(B)$ .
- (b)  $f(A \cap B) \subseteq f(A) \cap f(B)$ .
- (c) If  $f$  is univalent, then  $f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)$ .

**Solution.** We first establish a trivial, yet central lemma: if  $A \subset B$ , then  $f(A) \subset f(B)$ . We proceed with a short proof of it for sake of completeness. Let  $y \in f(A)$ . Then, there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . As  $A \subset B$ , we have  $x \in B$ , and  $f(x) = y \in f(B)$ . hence, the lemma is true.

(a) Firstly, by the lemma, we have that  $f(A) \subset f(A \cup B)$  and  $f(B) \subset f(A \cup B)$ . It follows that  $f(A) \cup f(B) \subset f(A \cup B)$ . Conversely, let  $y \in f(A \cup B)$ . Then, there exists  $x \in A \cup B$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $f(x) = y \in f(A)$ . Likewise if  $x \in B$ , then  $f(x) = y \in f(B)$ . Hence,  $y \in f(A) \cup f(B)$ . Since  $y$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $f(A \cup B) \subset f(A) \cup f(B)$ . This completes the proof of  $f(A \cup B) = f(A) \cup f(B)$ .

(b) By the lemma, we have

$$f(A \cap B) \subset f(A) \quad \text{and} \quad f(A \cap B) \subset f(B).$$

It follows that  $f(A \cap B) \subset f(A) \cap f(B)$ .

(c) With the additional assumption of injectivity, we wish to establish  $f(A) \cap f(B) \subset f(A \cap B)$ . This will suffice, as we have established the reverse inclusion for a general function in part (b). Let  $y \in f(A) \cap f(B)$ . Then, by the injectivity of  $f$ , there exists a unique  $x \in S$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . As  $y \in f(A)$  and  $y \in f(B)$ , it follows that  $x \in A \cap B$ . Hence,  $f(x) = y \in f(A \cap B)$ . We have shown that if  $f$  is injective, we have  $f(A \cap B) = f(A) \cap f(B)$ .  $\square$

**Question 5.**

**3.** Show that if  $\mathbf{y}_0 = \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $|\mathbf{y}_0| = \lim_{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_0} |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})|$ . Prove that the converse holds if  $\mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Solution.** Before proceeding to the main part of the proof, we prove the following lemma, which is a mere consequence of the triangle inequality: Let  $x, y \in E^n$ . Then,  $||x| - |y|| < |x - y|$ . The proof is as follows: Let  $x, y \in E^n$ . Then, by the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |x| &= |x - y + y| \\ &\leq |x - y| + |y|. \end{aligned}$$

By subtracting both sides with  $|y|$ , we have  $|x| - |y| \leq |x - y|$ . By symmetry, we further obtain  $|y| - |x| \leq |x - y|$ , thereby showing that  $||x| - |y|| < |x - y|$  as required.

We now proceed with the main part of the proof. Assume that  $y_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ . Then, by definition, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - y_0| < \epsilon$  whenever  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be chosen such that  $|f(x) - y_0| < \epsilon$  whenever  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$ , which exists by the assumption. But, by the lemma, whenever  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$ , we have

$$||f(x)| - |y_0|| \leq |f(x) - y_0| < \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have shown that  $|y_0| = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} |f(x)|$ .