Probabilistic Method: Problem Set I

Youngduck Choi CIMS New York University yc1104@nyu.edu

Abstract

This work contains solutions to the problem set I of Probabilistic Method 2016 at Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences.

Question 1.

1. (-) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables with $\Pr[X_i = +1] = \Pr[X_i = -1] = \frac{1}{2}$. Set $X = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$. Find $E[X^2]$ precisely. Find $E[X^4]$ precisely. [Idea: Expand and use linearity of expectation.]

Question 2.

2. Find an asymptotic formula for

$$\sum_{k=n^{1/2}}^{2n^{1/2}}(n)_k n^{-k}$$

by parametrizing $k = cn^{1/2}$ and turning it into an integral which can be evaluated numerically. (You can leave it in the form of a definite integral if you wish.) (See Asymptopia, Chapter 4)

Question 3.

- 3. Now we go to the complete sum by showing the edge effects are negligible.
 - (a) Show

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\epsilon n^{1/2}} (n)_k n^{-k} = 0$$

by using an appropriate upper bound for the addends.

(b) (*) Show

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1/2} \sum_{k = K n^{1/2}}^{n} (n)_k n^{-k} = 0$$

by using an appropriate upper bound for the addends.

(c) Find an asymptotic formula for

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n)_k n^{-k}$$

by splitting it into the ranges $k < \epsilon n^{1/2}$, $\epsilon n^{1/2} \le k \le K n^{1/2}$ and $K n^{1/2} < k \le n$ and then taking appropriate limits. (You may assume the previous parts.)

Question 4.

4. Prove, for m = m(n) as large as you can, the existence of an $n \times n$ matrix A of zeroes and ones with m ones which does not contain a 3×3 submatrix of all ones. Use the alteration method: make each entry one with probability p and then for each such submatrix change a one to zero. When you optimize [using Calculus!] your final answer should be of the form $m \sim an^b$ for some reasonable a, b.

Solution. Consider a random $n \times n$ matrix, M_n , obtained by assigning each entry independently either 1 or 0, where the probability of assigning 1 is p. Let X be a random variable, which counts number of 1s in the matrix, and Y be a random variable, which counts number of 3×3 submatrices of all 1s. For any 3×3 submatrix S, let Y_S be the indicator random variable for the event for which the submatrix S has entries of all 1s, so that $Y = \sum Y_S$. By Linearity of Expectation, we have

$$E[Y] = \sum E[Y_S] = \binom{n}{3}^2 p^9.$$

Clearly, $E[X] = n^2 p$. Therefore, again by Linearity of Expectation, it follows that

$$E[X - Y] = n^2 p - \binom{n}{3}^2 p^9 = m(p).$$

Hence, there exists a random assignment, for which the number of 1s minus the number of 3×3 submatrices of 1 is at least m(p). Fix such a coloring. Select one entry from each submatrix and change to 0. This leaves the matrix with at least m(p) vertices.

We now optimize this result by maximizing m(p) with respect to p. Observe that m is concave with respect to $p \in [0,1]$. Solving for the local maxima by setting the first-order derivative equals to 0, we get that m is maximized at $p^* = (\frac{n^2}{9\binom{n}{3}^2})^{\frac{1}{8}} = (\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)})^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Substituting p^* back into m(p), we obtain

$$m(p^*) = n^2 \left(\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} - \binom{n}{3}^2 \frac{n^2}{9\binom{n}{3}^2} \left(\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
$$= \frac{8}{9}n^2 \left(\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
$$\sim \frac{8}{9}2^{\frac{1}{4}}n^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Question 5.

5. We are given $m=2^{n-1}k$ sets, each of size n, in a universe Ω . Consider the following randomized algorithm for a 2-coloring: First color each point $v \in \Omega$ randomly. Now, for each monochromatic set e, select a random vertex $v \in e$. Each such selected v (regardless of how often it was selected) has its color (definitely, no probability here) flipped. Call the algorithm a failure if some set e originally had all or all but one vertex the same color and ended with all vertices that color. Find k as large as you can (as an asymptotic function of n) so that the failure probability is less than one. (Note that this, unfortunately, does not give us any result on m(n) since there are other ways that a set e could end up monochromatic.)

Question 6.

- 6. Set $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ where $X_i = \pm 1$ uniformly and independently. Bound $\Pr[X > \frac{n}{2}]$ as follows.
 - (a) Find a closed form for $E[e^{\lambda X_i}]$.
 - (b) Find a closed form for $E[e^{\lambda X}]$.
 - (c) Use the Chernoff Bound $\Pr[X > a] < E[e^{\lambda X}]e^{-\lambda a}$ with $a = \frac{n}{2}$. Use Calculus (this gets a little messy to put in closed form, full points for numerical answers) to select the the optimal λ .
 - (d) Compare this with the lower bound

$$\Pr[X \ge \frac{n}{2}] \ge \Pr[X = \frac{n}{2}] = 2^{-n} \binom{n}{\frac{3n}{4}}$$

showing that the upper and lower bounds have the same main terms.