Probabilistic Method: Problem Set I

Youngduck Choi
CIMS
New York University
yc1104@nyu.edu

Abstract

This work contains solutions to the problem set I of Probabilistic Method 2016 at Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences.

Question 1.

1. (-) Let $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ be independent random variables with $\Pr[X_i = +1] = \Pr[X_i = -1] = \frac{1}{2}$. Set $X = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$. Find $E[X^2]$ precisely. Find $E[X^4]$ precisely. [Idea: Expand and use linearity of expectation.]

Solution.

Question 2.

2. Find an asymptotic formula for

$$\sum_{k=n^{1/2}}^{2n^{1/2}} (n)_k n^{-k}$$

by parametrizing $k = cn^{1/2}$ and turning it into an integral which can be evaluated numerically. (You can leave it in the form of a definite integral if you wish.) (See Asymptopia, Chapter 4)

Solution.

Question 3.

3. Now we go to the complete sum by showing the edge effects are negligible.

(a) Show
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\epsilon n^{1/2}} (n)_k n^{-k} = 0$$
by using an appropriate upper bound for the addends.

(b) (*) Show
$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=Kn^{1/2}}^{n} (n)_k n^{-k} = 0$$
by using an appropriate upper bound for the addends.

(c) Find an asymptotic formula for
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (n)_k n^{-k}$$

by splitting it into the ranges $k < \epsilon n^{1/2}$, $\epsilon n^{1/2} \leq k \leq Kn^{1/2}$ and $Kn^{1/2} < k \leq n$ and then taking appropriate limits. (You may assume the previous parts.)

Solution.

3

Question 4.

4. Prove, for $m = m(n)$ as large as you can, the existence of an $n \times n$ matrix A of zeroes and ones with m ones which does not contain a 3×3 submatrix of all ones. Use the alteration method: make each entry one with probability p and then for each such submatrix change a one to zero. When you optimize [using Calculus!] your final answer should be of the form $m \sim an^b$ for some reasonable a, b.

Solution. Consider a random $n \times n$ matrix, M_n, obtained by assigning each entry independently either 1 or 0, where the probability of assigning 1 is p. Let X be a random variable, which counts number of 1s in the matrix, and Y be a random variable, which counts number of 3×3 submatrices of all 1s. For any 3×3 submatrix S, let Y_S be the indicator random variable for the event for which the submatrix S has entries of all 1s, so that $Y = \sum Y_S$. By Linearity of Expectation, we have

$$E[Y] \quad = \quad \sum E[Y_S] = \binom{n}{3}^2 p^9.$$

Clearly, $E[X] = n^2 p$. Therefore, again by Linearity of Expectation, it follows that

$$E[X - Y] \quad = \quad n^2 p - \binom{n}{3}^2 p^9 = m(p).$$

Hence, there exists a random assignment, for which the number of 1s minus the number of 3×3 submatrices of 1 is at least $m(p)$. Fix such a coloring. Select one entry from each submatrix and change to 0. This leaves the matrix with at least $m(p)$ vertices.

We now optimize this result by maximizing $m(p)$ with respect to p. Observe that m is concave with respect to $p \in [0, 1]$. Solving for the local maxima by setting the first-order derivative equals to 0, we get that m is maximized at $p^* = (\frac{n^2}{9\binom{n}{3}^2})^{\frac{1}{8}} = (\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)})^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Substituting p^* back into $m(p)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(p^*) \quad &= \quad n^2 (\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)})^{\frac{1}{4}} - \binom{n}{3}^2 \frac{n^2}{9\binom{n}{3}^2} (\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)})^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&= \quad \frac{8}{9} n^2 (\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)})^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
&\sim \quad \frac{8}{9} 2^{\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In summary, let $m(n)$ be the minimum number of 1 in $n \times n$ matrix, such that there must exist a 3×3 submatrix. We have shown that $m(n) = \Omega(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$. $\qquad \square$

Question 5.

5. We are given $m = 2^{n-1}k$ sets, each of size n, in a universe Ω. Consider the following randomized algorithm for a 2-coloring: First color each point $v \in \Omega$ randomly. Now, for each monochromatic set e, select a random vertex $v \in e$. Each such selected v (regardless of how often it was selected) has its color (definitely, no probability here) flipped. Call the algorithm a failure if some set e originally had all or all but one vertex the same color and ended with all vertices that color. Find k as large as you can (as an asymptotic function of n) so that the failure probability is less than one. (Note that this, unfortunately, does not give us any result on $m(n)$ since there are other ways that a set e could end up monochromatic.)

Solution.

Question 6.

6. Set $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ where $X_i = \pm 1$ uniformly and independently. Bound $\Pr[X > \frac{n}{2}]$ as follows.

(a) Find a closed form for $E[e^{\lambda X_i}]$.

(b) Find a closed form for $E[e^{\lambda X}]$.

(c) Use the Chernoff Bound $\Pr[X > a] < E[e^{\lambda X}]e^{-\lambda a}$ with $a = \frac{n}{2}$. Use Calculus (this gets a little messy to put in closed form, full points for numerical answers) to select the the optimal λ.

(d) Compare this with the lower bound

$$\Pr[X \geq \frac{n}{2}] \geq \Pr[X = \frac{n}{2}] = 2^{-n} \binom{n}{\frac{3n}{4}}$$

showing that the upper and lower bounds have the same main terms.

Solution.