

---

# Real Variables: Problem Set XII

---

**Youngduck Choi**  
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains solutions to the problem set XII of Real Variables 2015 at NYU.

## 1 Solutions

### Question 1. Royden 7-10.

10. Show that in Hölder's Inequality there is equality if and only if there are constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , not both zero, for which

$$\alpha|f|^p = \beta|g|^q \text{ a.e. on } E.$$

**Solution.**

**Question 2. Royden 7-26.**

**26. (The  $L^p$  Dominated Convergence Theorem)** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions that converges pointwise a.e. on  $E$  to  $f$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , suppose there is a function  $g$  in  $L^p(E)$  such that for all  $n$ ,  $|f_n| \leq g$  a.e. on  $E$ . Prove that  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(E)$ .

**Solution.** First, we denote the set at which  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  pointwise as  $E_0$ . Then, notice that for any  $x \in E_0$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$ , by the linearity of limit, and the continuity of absolute value, we obtain that  $|f(x)| \leq g(x)$ . Hence, we see that  $|f| \leq g$  on  $E_0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f - f_n| &\leq |f| + |f_n| \\ &\leq 2g, \end{aligned}$$

on  $E_0$ . Raising both sides by  $p$ , we obtain

$$|f - f_n|^p \leq 2^p g^p,$$

on  $E_0$ . As sum and product of measurable functions is measurable,  $\{f - f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions that converge to 0 pointwise, and dominated by  $2^p g^p$  everywhere on  $E_0$ . As  $g \in L^p(E)$ , we have that  $2^p g^p$  is integrable. With the fact that  $m(E \setminus E_0) = 0$ , by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f - f_n|^p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_0} |f - f_n|^p \\ &= \int_{E_0} 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{f_n\}$  is convergent to  $f$  in  $L^p(E)$ . □

**Question 3. Royden 19-5.**

5. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $\{f_n\}$  a Cauchy sequence in  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . Show that there is a measurable subset  $X_0$  of  $X$  for which  $\mu(X \setminus X_0) = 0$  and for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an index  $N$  for which

$$|f_n - f_m| \leq \epsilon \text{ on } X_0 \text{ for all } n, m \geq N.$$

Use this to show that  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$  is complete.

**Solution.** Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ . Hence, there exists  $k_n$  such that  $\|f_i - f_j\|_\infty < \frac{1}{n}$  for  $i, j \geq k_n$ .

**Question 4. Royden 17-19.**

**19. Show that any measure that is induced by an outer measure is complete.**

**Solution.** Let  $u^* : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be an outer-measure, and let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, u)$  be a measure space induced by  $u^*$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $u(E) = 0$ . Let  $S \subseteq E$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then, by finite monotonicity of  $u^*$ , we have  $u^*(S) = 0$  and  $u^*(S \cap A) = 0$ . Again, using the finite monotonicity of  $u^*$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(A) &\geq u^*(A \cap S^c) + 0 \\ &= u^*(A \cap S^c) + u^*(A \cap S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $S \in \mathcal{M}$ . We have shown that  $u$  is complete. □

**Question 5. Royden 17-29.**

**29. Show that a set function on a  $\sigma$ -algebra is a measure if and only if it is a premeasure.**

**Solution.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space. Let  $u : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measure. By definition of measure we have,  $u(\emptyset) = 0$ .  $u$  is finitely additive and countably monotone, as any measure has finite additivity and countable monotonicity properties. Conversely, assume that  $u$  is a pre-measure. As  $u$  is a pre-measure and  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $u(\emptyset) = 0$ . Now, let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable disjoint collections chosen from  $\mathcal{M}$ . By finite additivity, and countable monotonicity of  $u$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n u(E_k) &= u\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k\right) \\ &\leq u\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n$ . Hence, by linearity of limits, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(E_k) \leq u\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right).$$

By finite additivity of  $u$  and the fact that  $u(E_k) \geq 0$  for all  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(E_k) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n u(E_k) \\ &= u\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n$ . Again, by linearity of limits, we obtain that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(E_k) \geq u\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right).$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u(E_k) = u\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right),$$

which shows that  $u$  is countably additive. Hence,  $u$  is a measure. The claim is true.  $\square$

**Question 6. Royden 17-36.**

36. Let  $\mu$  be a finite premeasure on an algebra  $S$ , and  $\mu^*$  the induced outer measure. Show that a subset  $E$  of  $X$  is  $\mu^*$ -measurable if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a set  $A \in S_\delta$ ,  $A \subseteq E$ , such that  $\mu^*(E \setminus A) < \epsilon$ .

**Solution.** By the definition of set measurability by Caratheodory,  $E$  being  $u^*$  measurable is equivalent to  $E^c$  being  $u^*$  measurable. From Royden, we have that  $E$  is  $u^*$  measurable iff for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $A \in S_\sigma$  such that  $A \subseteq E$ , and  $u^*(E \setminus A) < \epsilon$ .

We begin the main part of the proof. Assume  $E$  is  $u^*$  measurable. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, by the established equivalence above, it follows that  $E^c$  is  $u^*$  measurable, and there exists  $A \in S_\sigma$  such that  $E^c \subseteq A$ , and  $u^*(A \setminus E^c) < \epsilon$ . We claim that  $A^c$  is the set with the desired property. As  $A \in S_\sigma$  there exists  $\{O_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty O_n$ . By DeMorgan's law, we have  $A^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty O_n^c$ . As,  $S$  is an algebra,  $O_n^c$  is also in  $S$ , and it follows that  $A^c \in S_\delta$ . Furthermore, as  $E^c \subseteq A$ , it follows that  $A^c \subseteq E$ . Lastly, as  $A \setminus E^c = E \setminus A^c$ , we have  $u^*(E \setminus A^c) < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have shown the forward implication.

Now, we prove the reverse implication. Assume that  $E$  from  $2^X$  has the given property. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $A \in S_\delta$  such that  $A \subseteq E$ , and  $u^*(E \setminus A) < \epsilon$ . Then, by taking the argument above in reverse, we obtain that  $A^c \in S_\sigma$  such that  $E^c \subseteq A^c$  and  $u^*(A^c \setminus E^c) < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have shown that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a set  $A \in S_\sigma$  such that  $E^c \subseteq A$ , such that  $u^*(A \setminus E^c) < \epsilon$ . By the established equivalence above, this implies that  $E^c$  is  $u^*$  measurable, and subsequently  $E$  is  $u^*$  measurable.  $\square$