

---

# Real Variables: Problem Set III

---

**Youngduck Choi**  
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains solutions to the problem set III of Real Variables 2015 at NYU.

## 1 Solutions

### Question 1. Royden 3.20.

**Solution.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any sets. The LHS of the first equation can be written as

$$\chi_{A \cap B} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \cap B \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \cap B. \end{cases}$$

By noting that the product of has to be of the form,  $1 \cdot 1$ , to yield 1, the RHS of the second equation can be written as

$$\chi_A \chi_B = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \text{ and if } x \in B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which is precisely the LHS as  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$  is the definition of  $x \in A \cap B$ . Now, the LHS of the second equation can be written as

$$\chi_{A \cup B} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \cup B \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \cup B. \end{cases}$$

The LHS of the third equation can be written as

$$\chi_{A^c} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A^c \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A^c. \end{cases}$$

The RHS of the third equation can be written as

$$1 - \chi_A = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin A, \end{cases}$$

which is precisely the LHS, as  $x \notin A$  is equivalent to  $x \in A^c$  by definition.  $\square$

**Question 2. Royden 3.21.**

**Solution.** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions with common domain  $E$ . Consider the function  $\sup\{f_n\}$ , which we will denote as  $s$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . We wish to show that  $\{x \in E \mid s(x) > c\}$  is measurable. By the definition of supremum, we have that  $s(x) > c$  iff there exists  $n$  such that  $f_n(x) > c$ . Hence, it follows that

$$\{x \in E \mid s(x) > c\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f_n(x) > c\}.$$

Since the RHS is a countable collection of measurable sets, the set  $\{x \in E \mid s(x) > c\}$  is measurable. Since  $c$  was arbitrary,  $s$  is measurable. The inf case can be shown analogously.

Now, consider the  $\limsup\{f_n\}$  case. Observe that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = \inf_n \sup_{m \geq n} f_m$ . Consequently, as we have shown that  $\sup\{f_n\}$  and  $\inf\{f_n\}$  are measurable functions, we have that  $\limsup\{f_n\}$  is measurable. The  $\liminf$  case can be shown analogously.  $\square$

**Question 3. Royden 3.27.**

**Solution.** Let  $f = 1$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . Define  $f_n = \chi_{[0, n]}$  for all  $n$ . Then, we have that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise everywhere. Suppose for sake of contradiction that there exists a closed set  $F$  such that  $m([0, \infty) \setminus F) < \epsilon$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $F$ .  $F$  is unbounded, as otherwise  $m([0, \infty) \setminus F) > \infty$ , which is a contradiction. Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $F$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $f_n = f$  on  $F$ . As  $F$  is unbounded, there exists  $x \in F \setminus [0, N]$ . Since  $f_N(x) = 0$  and  $f(x) = 1$ , this is a contradiction with  $f_n = f$  on  $F$ . Therefore, we have shown that the conclusion of Egoroff can fail without the finiteness assumption on the measure of domain.  $\square$

**Question 4. Royden 4.12.**

**Solution.** Let  $f$  a bounded measurable function on a set of finite measure  $E$ . Assume  $g$  is bounded and  $f = g$  a.e. on  $E$ . First, as  $g$  is a function that equals a measurable function a.e., we have that  $g$  is measurable. Since both  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded measurable functions, we have  $\int_E f$  and  $\int_E g$  terms well-defined. Let  $E_0 = \{x \in E \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ . Note that  $m(E_0) = 0$ , as  $f = g$  a.e. Consequently,  $E \setminus E_0$  and  $E_0$  are disjoint measurable sets. Then, by additivity over domain and linearity of integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_E f - \int_E g \right| &= \left| \int_{E \setminus E_0} f - \int_{E \setminus E_0} g + \int_{E_0} f - \int_{E_0} g \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{E \setminus E_0} f - g + \int_{E_0} f - g \right|. \end{aligned}$$

As  $f = g$  on  $E \setminus E_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_E f - \int_E g \right| &= \left| \int_{E_0} f - g \right| \\ &\leq \int_{E_0} |f - g|. \end{aligned}$$

As both  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded, there exists  $M$  such that  $|f - g| \leq M$  on  $E_0$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_E f - \int_E g \right| &\leq M \cdot m(E_0) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $\int_E f = \int_E g$  as desired.  $\square$

**Question 5. Royden 4.23.**

**Solution.** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of non-negative real numbers. Let  $f$  be a function on  $E = [1, \infty)$ , defined by setting  $f(x) = a_n$  if  $n \leq x < n+1$ . Then, consider the following sequence of functions of nonnegative real numbers  $\{f_n\}$  defined on  $E$  such that

$$f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{I_k},$$

where  $I_k$  denotes the characteristic function of an interval  $[k, k+1)$ . Notice that  $\{f_n\}$  is increasing, and converges to  $f$  pointwise everywhere on  $E$ . Hence, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_E f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n.$$

As the integral on the RHS is a simple function with  $n$  values, we have

$$\int_E f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k m(I_k).$$

By noting that  $m(I_k) = 1$  for all  $k$  and subsuming the limit into the summation, we finally obtain

$$\int_E f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k,$$

as desired.  $\square$

#### Question 6. Royden 4.28.

**Solution.** Let  $f$  be integrable over  $E$  and  $C$  a measurable subset of  $E$ . We wish to show that  $\int_C f = \int_E f \cdot \chi_C$ . First, observe that  $f \cdot \chi_C$  is measurable. Furthermore, we have  $|f \cdot \chi_C| \leq f$  on  $E$ . Hence, by the integral comparison test, we have that  $f \cdot \chi_C$  is integrable over  $E$ . It follows that

$$\int_E f \cdot \chi_C = \int_E (f \cdot \chi_C)^+ - \int_E (f \cdot \chi_C)^-.$$

By the additivity over domain of integration for nonnegative measurable functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f \cdot \chi_C &= \int_{E \setminus C} (f \cdot \chi_C)^+ + \int_C (f \cdot \chi_C)^+ \\ &\quad - \int_{E \setminus C} (f \cdot \chi_C)^- - \int_C (f \cdot \chi_C)^-. \end{aligned}$$

We can write  $(f \cdot \chi_C)^+$  and  $(f \cdot \chi_C)^-$  explicitly as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \cdot \chi_C)^+ &= \begin{cases} \max(f(x), 0) & \text{if } x \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin C \end{cases} \\ (f \cdot \chi_C)^- &= \begin{cases} \max(-f(x), 0) & \text{if } x \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the above integral can be simplified to

$$\int_E f \cdot \chi_C = \int_C (f \cdot \chi_C)^+ - \int_C (f \cdot \chi_C)^-,$$

which simplifies to

$$\int_E f \cdot \chi_C = \int_C (f \cdot \chi_C),$$

as desired.  $\square$