

---

# Royden

---

**Youngduck Choi**  
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains the solutions to Royden's Real Variables.

## 1 Solutions

### Question 1. Royden 1.1-1 (Distributive Property of Multiplicative Inverse in Reals).

**Solution.** Assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . From the multiplicative identity axiom, we have that a multiplicative inverse exists for  $a$  and  $b$  individually, which we denote as  $a^{-1}$  and  $b^{-1}$  respectively. Now, consider the expression  $(ab)(a^{-1}b^{-1})$ , where  $ab$  denotes the product of  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $a^{-1}b^{-1}$  denotes the product of  $a^{-1}$  and  $b^{-1}$ . From the commutativity of multiplication, we obtain

$$(ab)(a^{-1}b^{-1}) = (ab)(b^{-1}a^{-1}).$$

Using the associativity of multiplication and iteratively substituting  $bb^{-1} = 1$  and  $aa^{-1} = 1$ , we have

$$(ab)(a^{-1}b^{-1}) = 1,$$

where 1 denotes the identity as usual. Hence, the product,  $a^{-1}b^{-1}$  satisfies definition of multiplicative inverse with respect to the  $ab$  term whose multiplicative inverse can be denoted as  $(ab)^{-1}$  by convention. Therefore, we obtain that

$$(ab)^{-1} = a^{-1}b^{-1},$$

as desired.

### Question Royden 1.1-3.

**Solution.** Let  $E$  be a nonempty set of real numbers.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $E$  consists of a single point, which we denote as  $x$ . We claim that  $\inf E = x$  and  $\sup E = x$ . As we have  $x \leq x$ , we see that  $x$  is an upper bound for  $E$ . Suppose that there exists an upper bound for  $E$ ,  $a$ , that is smaller than  $x$ , namely  $a < x$ . This is a contradiction to the fact that  $a$  is an upper bound as it is required to have  $x \leq a$  with  $x \in E$ . Hence, there does not exist any upper bound for  $E$  that is smaller than  $x$ . By definition of supremum, we have that  $\sup E = x$ . By symmetry, we can see that  $\inf E = x$  as well. Therefore,  $\inf E = \sup E$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $\inf E = \sup E$ . Given the assumption, let us denote the infimum and supremum for  $E$  as a single real number  $a$ . Then, by definition of infimum, any  $x$  in  $E$ , we have  $a \leq x$ . Furthermore, by definition of supremum, any  $x$  in  $E$ , we have  $x \leq a$ . The only real number that can satisfy the two given equality is  $a$  itself. We also know that  $a$  must be in  $E$  as  $E$  is a nonempty set of reals. Therefore, we have shown that  $E = \{a\}$ , and that  $E$  consists of a single point.