

---

# Real Variables: Problem Set IV

---

**Youngduck Choi**  
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains solutions to the problem set IV of Real Variables 2015 at NYU.

## 1 Solutions

### Question 1. Royden 4.31.

**Solution.** Let  $f$  be a measurable function on  $E$ , which can be expressed as  $f = g + h$  on  $E$ , where  $g$  is finite and integrable over  $E$  and  $h$  is nonnegative. Let  $f = g_1 + h_1$  and  $f = g_2 + h_2$  satisfying the given properties of  $g$  and  $h$  respectively. We wish to show that

$$\int_E g_1 + \int_E h_1 = \int_E g_2 + \int_E h_2.$$

Assume that  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are integrable. Then, by the linearity of integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E g_1 + \int_E h_1 &= \int_E g_1 + h_1 \\ &= \int_E f \\ &= \int_E g_2 + h_2 \\ &= \int_E g_2 + \int_E h_2, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Now, consider the remaining case of at least one of  $h$  not being integrable. Without loss of generality, assume that  $\int_E h_1 = \infty$ . Since  $g_1 + h_1 = g_2 + h_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &= h_1 + g_1 - g_2 \\ &= h_1 + (g_1 - g_2)^+ - (g_1 - g_2)^- \\ &\geq h_1 - (g_1 - g_2)^-, \end{aligned}$$

with  $(g_1 - g_2)^+$  and  $(g_1 - g_2)^-$  being properly defined by the finiteness assumption on the  $g$ s. Since  $h_2$ ,  $h_1$  and  $(g_1 - g_2)^-$  are all non-negative measurable functions, by the monotonicity and linearity of integration of non-negative measurable functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E h_2 &\geq \int_E h_1 - \int_E (g_1 - g_2)^- \\ &= \int_E h_1 - \int_E (g_1 - g_2)^-. \end{aligned}$$

From the linearity of general integrable functions, we have that  $g_1 - g_2$  is integrable. Consequently,  $(g_1 - g_2)^-$  is integrable as well. It follows that

$$\left| \int_E (g_1 - g_2)^- \right| \leq \int_E |(g_1 - g_2)^-| < \infty.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\int_E h_1 - \int_E (g_1 - g_2)^- = \infty,$$

which combined with the established inequality of  $\int_E h_2 \geq \int_E h_1 - \int_E (g_1 - g_2)^-$  yields

$$\int_E h_2 = \infty.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E g_1 + \int_E h_1 &= \infty \\ &= \int_E g_2 + \int_E h_2, \end{aligned}$$

as  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are integrable. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### Question 2. Royden 4.44.

**Solution.** Let  $f$  be integrable over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

(i) First, we prove the given property for  $f$  nonnegative. Assume  $f \geq 0$ . Since  $f$  is integrable, thus measurable, by the Simple Approximation Theorem, there exists a sequence of increasing simple functions  $\{\phi_n\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  which converges pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $f$ , such that

$$|\phi_n| \leq |f| \text{ on } \mathbb{R},$$

for all  $n$ . Now, define a new sequence of simple function by

$$\psi_n = \max\{0, \phi_n\} \cdot \chi_{[-n, n]}.$$

Observe that  $\{\psi_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of simple functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which has finite support and is non-negative, that converges to  $f$  pointwise. By the Monotone convergence theorem, there exists  $N$  such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_n \right| < \epsilon,$$

for  $n \geq N$ . By the linearity of integration and the fact that  $\psi_n \leq f$  for all  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &> \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_n \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f - \psi_n \right| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \psi_n|, \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq N$ . Therefore, we have found a function with the desired property, namely  $\psi_n$ .

Now, we lift the non-negativity constraint. By the definition of integral, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^+ - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^-.$$

Since  $f$  is integrable,  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are integrable and from the previous result, we have simple functions  $\psi^+$  and  $\psi^-$  with finite support such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^+ - \psi^+| &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^- - \psi^-| &< \frac{\epsilon}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\psi^+ - \psi^-$  is simple and has finite support as well. Now, by the triangle inequality and monotonicity of integration, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - (\psi^+ - \psi^-)| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^+ - f^- - \psi^+ + \psi^-| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^+ - \psi^+| + |f^- - \psi^-| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^+ - \psi^+| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^- - \psi^-| \\ &< \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\psi^+ - \psi^-$  is the construction of the function with the desired property. We have shown that there is a simple function  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  which has a finite support and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta| < \epsilon$ .

(ii) From the result of (i), there exists a simple function  $\eta$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with finite support such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . We denote the support of  $\eta$  as  $S$ . Since  $\eta$  is simple, it is measurable and bounded. Let  $M$  be the max of upper bound of  $|\eta|$  and 1. Since  $mS < \infty$ , there exists a sufficiently large close bounded interval  $I = [-N, N]$ , such that  $m(S \setminus I) < \frac{\epsilon}{4M}$ . Furthermore, by the result from 3 – 18, as  $\eta$  is measurable and bounded, there exists a step function  $s$  and a measurable subset  $F$  of  $I$  such that

$$|s - \eta| < \frac{\epsilon}{4m(I)M} \text{ on } F \text{ and } m(I \setminus F) < \frac{\epsilon}{4m(I)M}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - s| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta + \eta - s| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta| + |\eta - s| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta - s| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta| + \int_I |\eta - s| + \int_{S \setminus I} |\eta - s| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta| + \int_{I \setminus F} |\eta - s| + \int_F |\eta - s| + \int_{S \setminus I} |\eta - s|.\end{aligned}$$

As  $s = 0$  outside of  $I$ , we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - s| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - \eta| + \int_{I \setminus F} |\eta - s| + \int_F |\eta - s| + \int_{S \setminus I} |\eta|.$$

From constructions, we have the following bounds on each term on the RHS of the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - s| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \\ \int_{I \setminus F} |\eta - s| &\leq M \frac{\epsilon}{4m(I)M} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \\ \int_F |\eta - s| &\leq \int_I |\eta - s| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4m(I)M} m(I) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \\ \int_{S \setminus I} |\eta| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4M} M = \frac{\epsilon}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - s| \leq \epsilon,$$

as desired.  $\square$

(iii)

**Question 2. Royden 4.47.**

**Solution.** Let  $g$  be integrable over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(i) Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $g$  non-negative. Let  $E_n = [-n, n]$  and  $E_n - k = [-n - k, n - k]$ . By the definition of integration of non-negative functions, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_n} g(x) dx &= \sup \left\{ \int_{E_n} h(x) dx \mid h \text{ bounded, measurable, of finite support and} \right. \\ &\quad \left. 0 \leq h(x) \leq g(x) \text{ for } x \in E_n \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_{E_n - k} h(x + k) dx \mid h \text{ bounded, measurable, of finite support and} \right. \\ &\quad \left. 0 \leq h(x + k) \leq g(x + k) \text{ for } x \in E_n - k \right\} \\ &= \int_{E_n - k} g(x + k) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since the general integral is defined as the sum of non-negative integrals, for integrable functions, the result trivially generalizes to an integrable function. From this point on, we drop the non-negativity assumption on  $g$  and assume that  $g$  is integrable. Notice that  $\{E_n\}$  and  $\{E_n - k\}$  form ascending countable collection of measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n - k = \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, by the continuity of integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n} g(x) dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x + k) dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n - k} g(x + k) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_{E_n} g(x) dx = \int_{E_n - k} g(x + k) dx$  for all  $n$ , it follow that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x + k) dx,$$

as desired.  $\square$

(ii) Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $g$  is a bounded function, there exists  $M$  such that  $g \leq M$ . Assume that  $f$  is a continous function that vanishes outside of a bounded set. Let  $I$  be a sufficiently large closed interval of the form  $[-N, N]$  such that the bounded set is contained in  $I$ . Then, as  $f$  is continuous on a closed, bounded interval  $I$ , it is uniformly continous on  $I$ . Hence, there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that

$$|t| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(x + t)| \frac{\epsilon}{Mm(I)}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)[f(x) - f(x + t)]| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_I g(x)[f(x) - f(x + t)] \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_I |g(x)[f(x) - f(x + t)]| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_I |g(x)| |f(x) - f(x + t)| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_I |g(x)| \frac{\epsilon}{Mm(I)} \\ &\leq m(I)M \frac{\epsilon}{Mm(I)} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[f(x) - f(x+t)] = 0$$

Now, assume that  $f$  is not continuous and vanishes outside of a bounded set. As  $f$  is integrable over  $\mathbb{R}$ , from the approximation property (iii) of 4.44, there exists a continuous function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that it vanishes outside a bounded set and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f - h| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$ . As we have proven the result for a continuous function that vanishes outside of a bounded set, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[h(x) - h(x+t)] = 0.$$

With the above limit being 0, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[f(x) - f(x+t)] \right| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[f(x) - f(x+t)] - 0 \right| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[f(x) - f(x+t)] - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[h(x) - h(x+t)] \right|, \end{aligned}$$

provided that the limit exists. The above equality can be simplified via linearity of integration as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[f(x) - f(x+t)] \right| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[f(x) - f(x+t) - h(x) + h(x+t)] \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)[f(x) - h(x)] + g(x)[f(x+t) - h(x+t)]| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)| |f(x) - h(x)| + |g(x)| |f(x+t) - h(x+t)| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} M \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x) - h(x)| + M \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+t) - h(x+t)| \\ &\leq 2M \frac{\epsilon}{2M} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)[f(x) - f(x+t)] = 0,$$

as desired.  $\square$

#### Question 4. Royden 4.52.

**Solution.** (a) Consider the following family of functions:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{n\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}.$$

Observe that for each  $n$ ,  $n\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}$  is integrable and  $\int_0^1 |n\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}| = 1$ . The family  $\mathcal{F}$ , however, fails to be uniformly integrable. Fix  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ , by the Archimedean property of the reals, there exists  $n$ , such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \delta$ . Since the interval  $[0, \frac{1}{n}]$  is measurable, has a measure smaller than  $\delta$ , and  $\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} n\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]} = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not uniformly integrable. Hence, by a counter example, we have shown that under the given assumptions, the family of functions need not be uniformly integrable.

(b) We claim that  $\mathcal{F}$  with the given assumption is uniformly integrable. Note that continuity implies integrability. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then, for any measurable set  $E \subseteq [0, 1]$  with  $mE < \delta$  with,

by using the  $|f| \leq 1$  bound, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f &\leq \int_E |f| \\ &\leq \int_E 1 \\ &= mE \\ &\leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $\delta = \epsilon$ , we have  $\int_E f \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  and  $f$  were arbitrary, we have shown that  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly integrable.

(c) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of functions  $f$  on  $[0, 1]$ , each of which is integrable over  $[0, 1]$  and has  $\int_a^b |f| \leq b - a$  for all  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly integrable. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and fix  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a measurable set such that  $mA < \delta$ . By the outer approximation of measurable set by open sets, there exists an open set  $O$  such that  $A \subseteq O$  and  $m(O \setminus A) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Observe that  $O$  can be written as a countable union of disjoint open intervals, which gives  $O = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i)$ . From the monotonicity and excision property of measure, and countable additivity over domain property of integration, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |f| &\leq \int_O |f| \\ &\leq \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i)} |f| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{(a_i, b_i)} |f| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{[a_i, b_i]} |f| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i - a_i \\ &= mO \\ &= m(O \setminus A) + mA \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  then, we have if  $A$  is measurable, and  $mA < \delta$ , then  $\int_A |f| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  and  $f$  were arbitrary, we have that  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly integrable.  $\square$

### Question 5. 5-11.

**Solution.** Assume that  $E$  has finite measure. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on  $E$  and  $f$  a measurable on  $E$  for which  $f$  and each  $f_n$  is finite a.e.

We first show that the if implication holds by proving its contrapositive. Assume that  $f_n$  does not converge to  $f$  in measure. This implies that there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$m\{x \in E \mid |f_n(x) - f(x)| > \eta\} > \delta,$$

infinitely often in the sequence of  $f_n$ . Choose  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  such that

$$m\{x \in E \mid |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| > \eta\} > \delta,$$

for all  $k$ . Hence, there is a non measure-zero set, on which  $|f_{n_k} - f| > \delta$  for all  $k$ . Hence, any subsequence of  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  cannot converge pointwise a.e. on  $E$ . Therefore, there exists a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  who does not have a further subsequence that converges to  $f$  pointwise a.e. on  $E$ , which completes the proof.

Now, we prove the only if implication. Assume that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure on  $E$ . Fix  $\eta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$ . Then, by the definition of convergence in measure, there exists  $N$  such that

$$m\{x \in E \mid |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \eta\} < \epsilon,$$

for  $n \geq N$ . Note that there exists  $K$ , such that  $n_K \geq N$ . Since the inequality holds for all  $n \geq N$ , we have

$$m\{x \in E \mid |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| < \eta\} < \epsilon,$$

for  $k \geq K$ . Since  $\eta$  and  $\epsilon$  were arbitrary, we have that  $f_{n_k}$  converges to  $f$  in measure by definition. Then, by the Riesz theorem, we have that there exists a further subsequence of  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  that converges pointwise a.e. on  $E$  to  $f$ . Since the subsequence was arbitrary, we have shown that every subsequence has a further subsequence that converges to pointwise to  $f$  a.e. on  $E$ .  $\square$

**Question 6. 5-13.**

**Solution.** Suppose Cauchy in measure. Define  $E_j$  given by the hint. Since  $E_j$  is summable, by Borel Cantelli, measure of points that occur infinitely often is measure zero. Thus, off a set of measure zero  $f_{n_j}$  is Cauchy by 5-11. By the completeness of the reals, the  $f_{n_j}$  converges to a function a.e. This shows that any sequence of functions that is Cauchy in measure has a subsequence that converges pointwise a.e. Observe that every subsequence of a sequence that is Cauchy in measure is also Cauchy in measure. Thus, we have shown that every sequence has a further subsequence that converge pointwise.  $\square$