

---

# Real Variables: Problem Set II

---

Youngduck Choi  
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains solutions to the problem set II of Real Variables 2015 at NYU.

## 1 Solutions

### Question 3. Royden 2.29.

**Solution.** (i) Let  $X$  be any set of real numbers, and  $R$  be the relation defined by the rational equivalence. For  $x \in X$ , we have  $x - x = 0$ . Hence, the rational equivalence is reflexive. Let  $(x, y) \in R$ , then we have  $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . As a negative of a rational number is rational, we have  $y - x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $(y, x) \in R$ . Hence, the rational equivalence is symmetric. Let  $(x, y), (y, z) \in R$ . As a sum of two rationals is rational, we have  $x - y + y - z$ , which is  $x - z$ , is rational, and  $(x, z) \in R$ . Hence, the rational equivalence is transitive. Therefore, the rational equivalence is an equivalence relation.

(ii) The partition of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , induced by the rational equivalence, is simply  $\{\mathbb{Q}\}$ . Hence,  $\{0\}$  is a set that consists of exactly one member of each equivalence class. Therefore,  $\{0\}$  is an explicit choice set of the rational equivalence.

(iii) We define two numbers to be irrationally equivalent provided their difference is irrational. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . As  $x - x = 0$  and  $0$  is a rational number, the relation defined fails to be reflexive. Hence, The relation is not an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The same argument holds with  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and the relation is not an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Q}$  as well.  $\square$

### Question 3. Royden 2.38.

**Solution.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz with the associated Lipschitz constant  $c$ , and let  $E_0 \in [a, b]$  such that  $m(E_0) = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $m(E_0) = 0$ , we have a countable collection of disjoint open intervals  $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(I_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{c}$ . Since  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ , we have  $f(E_0) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f(I_k)$ . By the monotonicity of measure, and Lipschitz property of  $f$ , we obtain

$$m(f(E_0)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(f(I_k)) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(I_k) = \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $m(f(E_0)) = 0$ . Therefore, we have shown that a Lipschitz function maps a set of zero measure on to a set of measure zero.

### Question 3. Royden 3.1.

**Solution.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous functions on  $[a, b]$ . Assume that  $f = g$  a.e. In other words,  $f = g$  on  $[a, b] \setminus E_0$ , where  $m(E_0) = 0$ . Let  $x \in E_0$ , and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the continuity of  $f$  and  $g$ ,

we have  $\delta_f$  and  $\delta_g$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x - x'| < \delta_f &\implies |f(x) - f(x')| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ |x - x'| < \delta_g &\implies |g(x) - g(x')| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Now, consider the set  $B(x, \min(\delta_f, \delta_g)) \cap [a, b]$ , where  $B$  denotes a ball with a center and radius. As  $E_0$  is a zero measure set, there exists  $x^*$  in  $B(x, \min(\delta_f, \delta_g)) \cap [a, b]$  such that  $f(x^*) = g(x^*)$ . Furthermore, by (1), we have that  $|f(x) - f(x^*)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $|g(x) - g(x^*)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Consequently, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq |f(x) - f(x^*)| + |g(x) - g(x^*)| + |f(x^*) - g(x^*)| = \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have shown that for  $x \in E_0$ , we have  $f(x) = g(x)$ . Therefore,  $f = g$  on  $[a, b]$  holds.  $\square$

#### Question 4. Royden 3.5.

**Solution.** Assume that the function  $f$  is defined on a measurable domain  $E$  and has a property that  $\{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\}$  is measurable for each rational number  $c$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Consider the set  $\{x \in E \mid f(x) > r\}$ . Notice that

$$\{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) \geq c + \frac{1}{k}\}.$$

By the density of the rationals, we can choose a sequence of rationals,  $\{c_k\}$  such that for each  $k$ , we have  $c_k \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $c_k \in (c, c + \frac{1}{k})$ . In particular, we have that

$$\{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid f(x) \geq c_k\}.$$

As  $\{c_k\}$  is a rational sequence,  $\{x \in E \mid f(x) \geq c_k\}$  is measurable for all  $k$ , and  $\{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\}$  is measurable, as a countable union of measurable sets is measurable. Since  $r$  is an arbitrary irrational, we have shown that  $\{x \in E \mid f(x) > a\}$  is measurable for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $f$  is measurable.  $\square$

#### Question 5. Royden 3.7.

**Solution.** Let  $f$  be a function defined on a measurable set  $E$ . We wish to show that  $f$  is measurable if and only if an inverse image of any Borel set is measurable. We denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra as  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Assume that an inverse image of any Borel set is measurable. Then, as the  $(c, \infty)$  is a Borel set for any  $c$ , we have that  $f^{-1}((c, \infty))$ , which can be re-written as  $\{x \in E \mid f(x) > c\}$ , is measurable for any  $c$ . This is precisely the definition of a measurable function. Hence,  $f$  is measurable.

Assume that  $f$  is measurable. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(E_k) &= f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) \\ \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(E_k) &= f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is measurable for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

#### Question 6. Royden 3.9.

**Solution.** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions defined on a measurable set  $E$ . Let  $E_0 = \{x \in E \mid \{f_n(x)\} \text{ converges}\}$ . By the Cauchy Criterion of real sequences, we can re-characterize  $E_0$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \{x \in E \mid \forall K \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{K} \text{ for } n, m \geq N\} \\ &= \bigcap_{K=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E \mid |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{K} \text{ for } n, m \geq N\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that for a measurable function  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $|f - g|$  is measurable. Hence,  $|f_n - f_m|$  is measurable. Consequently,  $\{x \in E \mid |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{K} \text{ for } n, m \geq N\}$  is a measurable set for all  $K$  and  $N$ . Then,  $E_0$  is a countable intersection of countable union of measurable sets, and thus is measurable. We have shown that  $E_0$  is measurable.  $\square$