# Real Variables: Problem Set XI

## Youngduck Choi

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University yc1104@nyu.edu

## **Abstract**

This work contains solutions to the problem set XI of Real Variables 2015 at NYU.

## 1 Solutions

Question Royden 17-6.

6. Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $X_0$  belong to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Define  $\mathcal{M}_0$  to be the collection of sets in  $\mathcal{M}$  that are subsets of  $X_0$  and  $\mu_0$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Show that  $(X_0, \mathcal{M}_0, \mu_0)$  is a measure space.

**Solution.** We first show that  $(X_0, \mathscr{M}_0)$  is a measurable space. To this end, we must show that  $\mathscr{M}_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $X_0$ . As  $\emptyset$  and  $X_0$  belong to  $\mathscr{M}$ , are subsets of  $X_0$ , it follows that  $\emptyset$  and  $X_0$  belong to  $\mathscr{M}_0$ . Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a countable collections of sets in  $\mathscr{M}_0$ . As  $A_n \subseteq X_0$  for all n, we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \subseteq X_0$ . Furthermore, as  $\mathscr{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $A_n \in \mathscr{M}$  for all n, we also have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \mathscr{M}$ . Hence, it follows that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \mathscr{M}_0$ . Now, let A be a set, belonging to  $\mathscr{M}_0$ . Then, as  $X_0 \setminus A$  is a subset of  $X_0$ , and  $X_0$  and A belong to A, which gives  $A_0 \setminus A \in \mathscr{M}_0$ , we have  $A_0 \setminus A = X_0 \setminus$ 

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$$
$$= \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$$
$$= \mu_0(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n).$$

Therefore, we have shown that  $(X_0, \mathcal{M}_0, \mu_0)$  is a measure space.

## Question Royden 17-15.

15. Show that if  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are any two finite signed measures, then so is  $\alpha\nu_1 + \beta\nu_2$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers. Show that

$$|\alpha \nu| = |\alpha| |\nu| \text{ and } |\nu_1 + \nu_2| \le |\nu_1| + |\nu_2|,$$

where  $\nu \le \mu$  means  $\nu(E) \le \mu(E)$  for all measurable sets E.

**Solution.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space. Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two finite signed measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Consider a set function  $\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , which is defined by

$$\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2(E) = \alpha v_1(E) + \beta v_2(E),$$

for  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . As  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  only take finite values, it also follows that  $\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2$  also assumes only finite values, as an addition of two finite values are finite. Furthermore, it follows that

$$\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2(\emptyset) = \alpha v_1(\emptyset) + \beta v_2(\emptyset)$$
  
= 0 + 0 = 0.

as  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are signed measures. Furthermore, the countable additivity property holds, as for any countable disjoint collection  $\{E_k\}$  from  $\mathscr{M}$ , by linearity of limit, and countable additivity of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , it follows that

$$\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) = \alpha v_1(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) + \beta v_2(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k)$$

$$= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_1(E_k) + \beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_2(E_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha v_1(E_k) + \beta v_2(E_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha v_1 + \beta v_2(E_k).$$

Hence  $\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2$  is a finite measure.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space and v be a finite measure on the space. Consider  $|\alpha v|$ . It follows that for  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$|\alpha v(E)| = |\alpha||v(E)|.$$

Hence,  $|\alpha v| = |\alpha||v|$ . Now, let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be finite signed measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . By the triangle inequality of reals, it follows that for any  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$|v_1 + v_2(E)| = |v_1(E) + v_2(E)|$$
  
  $\leq |v_1(E)| + |v_2(E)|.$ 

Hence,  $|v_1 + v_2| \le |v_1| + |v_2|$ .

# Question Royden 17-17.

- 17. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be finite signed measures. Define  $\mu \wedge \nu = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \nu |\mu \nu|)$  and  $\mu \vee \nu = \mu + \nu \mu \wedge \nu$ .
  - (i) Show that the signed measure  $\mu \wedge \nu$  is smaller than  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  but larger than any other signed measure that is smaller than  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .
  - (ii) Show that the signed measure  $\mu \lor \nu$  is larger than  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  but smaller than any other measure that is larger than  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .
  - (iii) If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are positive measures, show that they are mutually singular if and only if  $\mu \wedge \nu = 0$ .

**Solution.** (i) Let u and v be finite signed measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . We wish to show that  $u \wedge v \leq u$ . By writing out  $u \wedge v$  term, multiplying by 2, and re-arranging the terms trivially, we see that  $u \wedge v \leq u$  is equivalent to  $u - v \leq |u - v|$ . As an absolute value of a real number is larger than the number itself, we see that  $u \wedge v \leq u$  holds. By symmetry, we also see that  $u \wedge v \leq v$  as well.

Now, let t be a signed measure such that  $t \le u$  and  $t \le v$ . It follows that  $t \le \min(u, v)$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $\min(u, v) = u$ . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}(u+v|u-v|) = \frac{1}{2}(2u)$$
$$= u.$$

Therefore,  $\min(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}(u + v - |u - v|)$ . Hence,  $t \le u \wedge v$ .

- (ii) Let u and v be finite signed measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . In the above solution, we have shown that  $u \wedge v = \min(u, v)$ . Hence, it follows that  $u + v u \wedge v = \max(u, v)$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $u \vee v \geq u$  and  $u \vee v \geq v$ . Again, as for any t such that  $t \geq u$  and  $t \geq v$ , it follows that  $t \geq \max(u, v) = u \vee v$ .
- (iii) Let that u and v are finite measures, and assume that  $u \wedge v = \frac{1}{2}(u + v |u v|) = 0$ . For any  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , it follows that

$$u(E) + v(E) = |u(E) - v(E)|$$

Suppose that u(E) and v(E) are both strictly positive. It follows that  $u(E) + v(E) > \max(u(E), v(E))$  and  $|u(E) - v(E)| < \max(u(E), v(E))$ , which yields a contradiction with the above equality. Hence, we have that at least one of u(E) or v(E) is 0. Assume without loss of generality that u(E) = 0. Now, with the same argument with respect to  $E^c$ , it follows that either  $u(E^c)$  and  $v(E^c)$  is 0. If  $v(E^c) = 0$ , then u and v are mutually singular. Now, consider the remaining case of  $u(E^c) = 0$ . By the additivity property of a signed measure, we have that u(X) = 0. Hence, u(X) = 0 and  $v(\emptyset) = 0$ . Therefore, we have shown that u and v are mutually singular.

Now, assume that u and v are mutually singular measures. Hence, there exists a disjoint measurable set A and B such that  $A \cup B = X$  and u(A) = 0 and v(B) = 0. Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then, it follows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u \wedge v(E) & = & u \wedge v(E \cap A) + u \wedge v(E \cap B) \\ & = & \frac{1}{2}(u + v - |u - v|)(E \cap A) + \frac{1}{2}(u + v - |u - v|)(E \cap B) \\ & = & 0 + 0, \end{array}$$

by the monotonicity property.

## Question Royden 18-50.

# 50. Establish the uniqueness of the function f in the Radon-Nikodym Theorem.

**Solution.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space, and u, v be  $\sigma$ -finite measures on the space with v << u. Let f and g be the non-negative measurable functions for which,

$$v(E) = \int_{E} f du$$
$$= \int_{E} g du,$$

for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . By the linearity of integration for non-negative measures, we obtain that

$$\int_{E} f - g du = 0,$$

for all  $E\in\mathcal{M}$ . Now, we prove that if  $\int_E f du=0$  for every measurable subset E of X, then f=0 a.e. on X. We prove the case for f non-negative and the result can be extended through an argument with  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  decomposition. Define  $A_n=\{x\in X\mid f(x)\geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ . we have  $\cup_n A_n=\{x\in X\mid f(x)>0\}$ . Now, observe that by the assumption,  $\int_{A_n} f du=0$  for all n and  $\frac{1}{n}u(A_n)\leq \int_{A_n} f du=0$ , which gives  $u(A_n)=0$  for all n. Therefore, by the countable additivity, we obtain that  $u(\cup_n A_n)=0$ . Hence, we have shown that f=0 a.e. Therefore, we have that f-g from the Radon-Nikodym theorem, must be 0 a.e. on X. Hence, we have shown the uniqueness of Radon-Nikodym theorem.

# Question Royden 18-54.

- 54. Let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $\lambda$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measures on the measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .
  - (i) If  $\nu \ll \mu$  and f is a nonnegative function on X that is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{M}$ , show that

$$\int_X f \, d\nu = \int_X f \left[ \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \right] d\mu.$$

(ii) If  $\nu \ll \mu$  and  $\lambda \ll \mu$ , show that

$$\frac{d(\nu+\lambda)}{d\mu} = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} + \frac{d\lambda}{d\mu} \text{ a.e. } [\mu].$$

(iii) If  $\nu \ll \mu \ll \lambda$ , show that

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \cdot \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \text{ a.e. } [\lambda].$$

Solution.

# Question Royden 18-55.

- 55. Let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\nu_1$ , and  $\nu_2$  be measures on the measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .
  - (i) Show that if  $\nu \perp \mu$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ , then  $\nu = 0$ .
  - (ii) Show that if  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are singular with respect to  $\mu$ , then, for any  $\alpha \ge 0$ ,  $\beta \ge 0$ , so is the measure  $\alpha \nu_1 + \beta \nu_2$ .
  - (iii) Show that if  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , then, for any  $\alpha \ge 0$ ,  $\beta \ge 0$ , so is the measure  $\alpha \nu_1 + \beta \nu_2$ .
  - (iv) Prove the uniqueness assertion in the Lebesgue decomposition.

**Solution.** (i) Assume  $v \perp u$ , and v << u. It follows that there exists a pair of measurable sets A and B such that u(A) = 0 and v(B) = 0. By the absolute continuity of v with respect to u, it follows that v(A) = 0. By finite additivity of measure, we obtain that v(X) = 0. In other words, v is a zero measure.

(ii) Assume  $u \perp v$ . We show that  $u \perp \alpha v$ , for  $\alpha \geq 0$  holds as well. As  $u \perp v$ , there exists A and B from  $\mathscr{M}$  such that u(A) = 0 and v(B) = 0 and  $A \cup B = X$ . Observe that  $\alpha v(B) = 0$  as well. Hence,  $u \perp \alpha v$ . Now, assume  $u \perp v_1$  and  $u \perp v_2$ . We show that  $u \perp v_1 + v_2$ . Let  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2)$  be the pairs of sets that grant the mutual singularities. Then, observe that by finite additivity of measure, we have  $u(A_1 \cup A_2) = 0$ . Furthermore, observe that by monotonicity of measure,  $v_1 + v_2(B_1 \cap B_2) = 0$ . Since  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup (B_1 \cap B_2) = (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_1) \cap (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_2) = X \cap X = X$ , we have that u and  $v_1 + v_2$  are mutually singular. Hence, the claim is proven.

(iii) Assume v << u. Then, for any  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  such that u(E)=0, we have v(E)=0. Since v(E)=0, we also have  $\alpha v(E)=0$ . Hence,  $\alpha v << u$ . Now, assume  $v_1 << u$  and  $v_2 << u$ . It follows that for any  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  such that u(E)=0, we have  $v_1(E)=0$  and  $v_2(E)=0$ . Therefore,  $v_1+v_2(E)=0$ . Hence, the claim is proven.

(iv) Let  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  be the Lebesque decomposition measures, where  $v_0$  is mutually singular and  $v_1$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $v_1$ . Consider  $v_0^{'}$  and  $v_1^{'}$  with the same set-up. We have that  $v_0 + v_1 = v_0^{'} + v_1^{'}$ . It follows that

$$v_0 - v_0' = v_1 - v_1'.$$

Observe that  $v_0 - v_0^{'}$  and  $v_1 - v_1^{'}$  are singular and absolutely continuous with respect to u from (ii) and (iii). Hence, by (i), we have that  $v_0 = v_0^{'}$  and  $v_1 = v_0^{'}$ . Therefore, we have shown that uniqueness of the decomposition.