# Real Variables: Problem Set VI

### Youngduck Choi

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University yc1104@nyu.edu

# **Abstract**

This work contains solutions to the problem set VI of Real Variables 2015 at NYU.

# 1 Solutions

#### Question 9.10.

**Solution.** Let  $\{X_n, \rho_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable collection of metric spaces. We now define  $(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n, p_*) = (X, p_*)$  such that for  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$p_*(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{p_n(x_n, y_n)}{1 + p_n(x_n, y_n)}.$$

First, we can show that  $p_*$  is well-defined via comparison test with the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$ , as  $0 \le \frac{p_n(x_n, y_n)}{1 + p_n(x_n, y_n)} \le 1$  for all n.

As  $p_n(x_n,y_n)\geq 0$  for all n, we have  $p_*(x,y)\geq 0$  for all  $x,y\in X$ . If  $p_*(x,y)=0$ , then  $p_n(x_n,y_n)=0$  for all n. As each  $p_n$  is a metric space  $x_n=y_n$  for all n. Therefore, x=y. If x=y, then  $x_n=y_n$  for all n. As each  $p_n$  is a metric space,  $p_n(x_n,y_n)=0$  for all n. Therefore,  $p_*(x,y)=0$ .

Since  $p_n(x_n, y_n) = p_n(y_n, x_n)$  for all n, for  $x, y \in X$ , we

$$p_*(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{p_n(x_n, y_n)}{1 + p_n(x_n, y_n)}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot \frac{p_n(y_n, x_n)}{1 + p_n(y_n, x_n)}$$
$$= p_*(y, x).$$

Let  $x, y, z \in X$ . By the problem 6 and the triangle inequality of each metric space  $X_n$ , which gives  $p_n(x_n, z_n) \le p_n(x_n, y_n) + p_n(y_n, z_n)$  for each n, we have

$$\frac{p_n(x_n, z_n)}{1 + p_n(x_n, z_n)} \leq \frac{p_n(x_n, y_n)}{1 + p_n(x_n, y_n)} + \frac{p_n(y_n, z_n)}{1 + p_n(y_n, z_n)},$$

for all n. Hence, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x_n,z_n)}{1+p_n(x_n,z_n)} \quad \leq \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x_n,y_n)}{1+p_n(x_n,y_n)} + \frac{p_n(y_n,z_n)}{1+p_n(y_n,z_n)},$$

which can be written as

$$p_*(x,z) \le p_*(x,y) + p_*(y,z).$$

Therefore, we have shown that all required properties of a metric space hold for  $(X, p_*)$ .  $(X, p_*)$  is a metric space.  $\Box$ 

### Question 9.20.

**Solution.** Let E be a subset of a metric space X, and let intE be the interior of E. We first show that  $intE \subseteq E$ . If  $x \in X \setminus E$ , then every ball of x contains a point in  $X \setminus E$ . Hence,  $x \in E$ . Therefore,  $intE \subseteq E$ .

Now, we wish to show that  $\operatorname{int} E$  is open. For the first case, assume that  $E=\operatorname{int} E$ . Let  $x\in\operatorname{int} E$ . Since x is an interior point of E, there exists an open ball B(x,r) contained in E. Since  $E=\operatorname{int} E$ , the open ball B(x,r) is contained in  $\operatorname{int} E$  as well. Hence,  $\operatorname{int} E$  is open in this case. For the remaining case, assume that  $E\setminus\operatorname{int} E\neq\emptyset$ . Let  $x\in\operatorname{int} E$ . Since x is an interior point of E, there exists an open ball B(x,r) contained in E. Suppose that there exists  $y\in B(x,r)\cap E\setminus\operatorname{int} E$ . Then, we have d(x,y)< r. Consider B(y,r-d(x,y)), which is valid since r-d(x,y)>0. By the triangle inequality, for any point  $z\in B(y,r-d(x,y))$ ,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} d(x,z) & \leq & d(x,y) + d(y,z) \\ & < & r. \end{array}$$

Hence, B(y, r - d(x, y)) is an open ball contained in B(x, r), which is again contained E, which contradicts the fact that  $y \in E \setminus \text{int} E$ . Hence, B(x, r) is contained in int E. Therefore, int E is open. As we covered all cases, int E for any subset E of a metric space X is open.

Assume E is open. Let  $x \in E$ . As E is open, there exists an open ball around x contained in E. Therefore,  $x \in \text{int} E$ . Hence,  $E \subseteq \text{int} E$ . As we have  $\text{int} E \subseteq E$  from above, we have shown that E = int E.

Assume E = intE. Let  $x \in E$ . Then, as E = intE,  $x \in \text{int}E$ . By the definition of interior point, there exists an open ball around x contained in E. Hence, E is open.  $\square$ 

## **Ouestion 9.32.**

Solution.

## Question 9.43.

Solution.

#### **Ouestion 9.72.**

**Solution.** Assume  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists  $x \in A \cap B$ . Since  $\rho(x,x) = 0$ , we have  $\operatorname{dist}(A,B) = 0$ . By contrapositive, we have shown that if  $\operatorname{dist}(A,B) > 0$ , then  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Assume that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

#### Question 9.77.

**Solution.** Let X and Y be separable metric spaces. Consider the standard product metric on  $X \times Y$ . Then, there exist a countable dense subset  $D_X$  in X and countable dense subset  $D_Y$  in Y. Observe that  $D_X \times D_Y$  is countable. We claim that  $D_X \times D_Y$  is a dense subset in  $X \times Y$ . Therefore,  $X \times Y$  is separable.  $\square$