# Functional Analysis: Problem Set I

Youngduck Choi CIMS New York University yc1104@nyu.edu

## **Abstract**

This work contains solutions to the exercises of the problem set I.

#### Question 1.

**Problem 1.** Let Y be a closed subspace of a normed vector space E. Show that the dual of E/Y is isometrically isomorphic to  $Y^{\perp}$ .

#### Solution.

First, define a map  $\Phi: (E \setminus Y)^* \to Y^{\perp}$  naturally by

$$f \mapsto (x \mapsto f([x]))$$

where  $[x] \in E \setminus Y$ . The map on the RHS is clearly linear and vanishes on Y, because for any  $y \in Y$ , f([y]) = 0 by linearity. The map is bounded as well, since

$$|f([x])| \le ||f||||[x]|| \le ||f||||x||$$
 (\*)

for any  $x \in E$ . Now, we show that  $\Phi$  is an isometry. It follows that

$$||\Phi(f)|| \quad = \quad \sup_{||x||=1}|<\Phi(f), x>|=\sup_{||x||=1}|f([x])|$$

Hence, combined with (\*), it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{||x||=1} |f([x])| \quad \leq \quad \sup_{||[x]||=1} |f([x])|,$$

but this follows, since Y is closed, so we can choose  $\{y_n\} \subset Y$  such that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x+y_n|| \le 1$ . Now, we show that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Let  $l \in Y^{\perp}$ . Then, define  $f: E \setminus Y \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$[x] \mapsto l(x).$$

The map is well-defined, since for any  $x' \in [x]$  such that x' = x + y, l([x']) = l(x + y) = l(x). and the map inherits linearity and boundedness from l. Now, it follows that  $\Phi(f) = (x \mapsto f([x]) = (x \mapsto l(x)) = l$ , and we are done.

## Question 2.

**Problem 2.** (Duality–Min-Max Principle) Let E be a normed vector space, and G a linear subspace of E. For any  $x \in E$ , define  $m(x) = \inf_{y \in G} |x - y|$ . Show that m(x) = M(x), where  $M(x) = \max_{x \in E} |\langle f, x \rangle|$ .

$$\begin{split} M(x), \text{ where } M(x) &= \max_{\|f\|_{E^*} \leq 1, f = 0 \text{ on } G} |\langle f, x \rangle|. \\ &\text{ Similarly, for any } g \in E^*, \text{ we define } \|g\|_G = \sup\{|g(y)| : y \in G, \quad \|y\| \leq 1\}. \text{ Then } \|g\|_G = \min\{\|g - h\|_{E^*} : h \in G^\perp\}. \end{split}$$

#### Solution.

Fix  $x \in E$ . For any  $y \in G$ , and  $f \in E^*$  such that  $||f|| \le 1$  with f = 0 on G,

$$|\langle f, x \rangle| = |\langle f, x - y \rangle| \le ||f|| ||x - y|| = ||x - y||,$$

so

$$m(x) \ge \sup_{|f|| \le 1: f = 0 \text{ on } G} | < f, x > |.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that there exists  $f \in E^*$  such that  $||f|| \le 1$  and f = 0 on G with |< f, x > | = m(x). We can further assume without loss of generality that  $x \in E \setminus G$ , because if  $x \in G$ , both sides are trivially 0. Now, define a map  $g : G \oplus \mathbb{R} x \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $y + \lambda x \mapsto \lambda m(x)$ . Then, we claim that g is linear. If  $z_1 = y_1 + \lambda_1 x$  and  $z_2 = y_2 + \lambda_2 x$ , then

$$g(z_1) + g(z_2) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x = g(z_1 + z_2).$$

If  $z = y + \lambda x$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$q(\gamma z) = q(\gamma y + \gamma \lambda x) = \gamma \lambda m(x) = \gamma q(z).$$

Note that g(x) = m(x). Now, in view of Hahn-Banach, we certainly need a Minkowski functional that bounds g on its domain. We show that m in fact is the Minkowski functional. Firstly,

$$m(\lambda v) = \inf_{y \in G} ||\lambda v - y|| = |\lambda| \inf_{y \in G} ||v - \frac{y}{\lambda}|| = |\lambda| m(v)$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in E$ , because  $y \mapsto \frac{y}{\lambda}$  is a bijection from G to G itself for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Secondly,

$$m(u+v) = \inf_{y \in G} ||u+v-y|| \le \inf_{y \in G} ||u-\frac{1}{2}y|| + \inf_{y \in G} ||v-\frac{1}{2}y|| = m(u) + m(v)$$

for any  $u, v \in E$ , where the last equality holds by the same reasoning as above. Finally,

$$g(z) = g(y + \lambda x) = \lambda m(x) \le |\lambda| m(x) = m(y + \lambda x) = m(z)$$

for any  $z = y + \lambda x \in G$ . Therefore, by Hahn-Banach, we can extend g to the entire domain E and call it f. Since

$$f(z) \le m(z) \le ||z||,$$

for any  $z \in E$ , it follows that  $||f|| \le 1$ , f = 0 on G and | < f, x > | = 0 as required.  $\square$ 

The second part follows similarly. Observe that

$$||g||_G = \sup_{||y|| \le 1; y \in G} |\langle g, y \rangle| = \sup_{||y|| \le 1; y \in G} |\langle g - h, x \rangle| \le ||g - h||_{E^*}$$

for any  $q \in E^*$  and  $h \in G^{\perp}$ , so

$$||g||_G \le \inf_{h \in G^{\perp}} ||g - h||_{E^*}$$

for any  $g\in E^*$ . Now, g restricted to G is linear and bounded, so by a corollary of Hahn-Banach, there exists f such that  $||f||_{E^*}=||g||_G$ . Set h=g-f. Since f=g on  $G,\ h\in G^\perp$ , and  $||g-h||_{E^*}=||f||_{E^*}=||g||_G$ , so we are done.  $\square$ 

## Question 3.

**Problem 3.** Let E be a normed vector space.

(i) If Y is a closed proper subspace of E, then there is  $x \in E$  such that ||x|| = 1 and  $||x - y|| > \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $y \in Y$ .

(ii) If E is of infinite dimension, then the unit ball  $B_1 = \{x \in E : ||x|| \le 1\}$  is never compact in strong topology.

#### Solution.

(i) We prove the following generalization, known as the Riesz Lemma: for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $||x - y|| \ge 1 - \epsilon$ , for any  $y \in Y$ .

Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Let  $x \in E \setminus Y$ . As Y is closed,

$$d := \operatorname{dist}(x, Y) > 0.$$

Choose  $y^*$  in Y such that

$$d \le ||x - y^*|| \le \frac{d}{1 - \epsilon}. \tag{1}$$

Set  $x^* = \frac{x - y^*}{||x - y^*||}$ . Clearly,  $||x^*|| = 1$ , and, for any  $y \in Y$ ,

$$||x^* - y|| = ||\frac{x - y^*}{||x - y^*||} - y|| = \frac{1}{||x - y^*||} ||x - (y^* + y||x - y||^*)||$$

$$\geq \frac{d}{||x - y^*||} \leq 1 - \epsilon,$$

where the last inequality follows from (1), and we are done.

(ii) We proceed to construct a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset B_1$  such that there is no convergent subsequence, which shows that  $B_1$  is not compact in strong topology through sequential characterization of compactness (strong topology is trivially metrizable).

Choose any  $x \in E$  such that ||x|| = 1 and set  $x_1 = x$ . Then, for any n, using (i), choose  $x_n$  such that

$$||x_n|| = 1$$
 and  $||x_n - y|| > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

for any  $y \in \operatorname{span}(x_1,...,x_{n-1})$ , where the validity comes from the fact that any finite dimensional subspace is a proper, closed subspace of an infinite dimensional space. Then, it is clear that  $\{x_n\}$  has no convergent subsequence, because for any  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $k, l \geq n$  with  $k \neq l$ , such that  $||x_k - x_l|| > \frac{1}{2}$ . Since being cauchy is a necessary condition for being convergent, we are done.

## Question 4.

**Problem 4.** Let  $L^{\infty}[0,1]$  be the space of bounded, Lebesgue measurable functions on [0,1]. We define  $l(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$ . Then l is a positive, linear, continuous functional on  $L^{\infty}[0,1]$ . Here l is called positive if  $l(f_2) \geq l(f_1)$  whenever  $f_2 \geq f_1$ . Define, for any bounded real-valued function g,  $p(g) = \inf\{l(f): g \leq f \in L^{\infty}[0,1]\}$ . Show that (i) p is a positive homogeneous, subadditive and  $p(g) \leq 0$  whenever  $g \leq 0$ . Moreover p(f) = l(f) if  $f \in L^{\infty}[0,1]$ .

(ii) l can be extended to a positive linear functional on the space of all bounded functions.

#### Solution.

(i) Let g be a bounded real-valued function and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, by linearity of Lebesgue integration,

$$p(\lambda g) = \inf\{l(h) : \lambda g \le h \in L^{\infty}\}$$
  
 
$$\lambda p(g) = \inf\{l(\lambda h) : g \le h \in L^{\infty}\}.$$

We claim that

$$A := \{l(h) : \lambda g \le h \in L^{\infty}\} = \{l(\lambda h) : g \le h \in L^{\infty}\} =: B$$

If 
$$\lambda g \leq h \in L^{\infty}$$
, then  $g \leq \frac{h}{\lambda} \in L^{\infty}$ , so  $l(\lambda \frac{h}{\lambda}) = l(\lambda) \in B$ . Conversely, if  $g \leq h \in L^{\infty}$  then,  $\lambda g \leq \lambda h \in L^{\infty}$ , so  $l(\lambda h) \in A$ . Hence,  $p(\lambda g) = \lambda p(g)$ .

We now show that p is sub-additive. Let f, g be bounded real functions. Then, for any  $h_1, h_2 \in L^{\infty}$  such that  $f \leq h_1$  and  $g \leq h_2$ ,

$$f+g \leq h_1+h_2 \in L^{\infty},$$

so, again by linearity of integration,

$$p(f+g) \le l(h_1+h_2) = l(h_1) + l(h_2).$$

Taking infs for  $h_1$ , then  $h_2$ , gives

$$p(f+g) \leq p(f) + p(g),$$

as required.

For any f, g bounded real-valued functions,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} p(f+g) & = & \inf\{l(f+g): f+g \leq h, h \in L^{\infty}[0,1]\} \\ & = & \inf\{l(f)+l(g): f+g \leq h, h \in L^{\infty}[0,1]\}. \end{array}$$

Suppose  $g \leq 0$ . Then, as  $0 \in L^{\infty}[0,1]$  and l(0) = 0, by definition,  $p(g) \leq 0$ .

We show that p(f) = l(f) if  $f \in L^{\infty}[0,1]$ . For all  $h \in L^{\infty}[0,1]$  such that  $f \leq h$ , then, by monotonicity of Lebesgue integration,  $l(f) \leq l(h)$ . Since  $f \leq f$  trivially, it follows that p(f) = l(f).

(ii) Now, as l=p on  $L^{\infty}[0,1]$ , by Hahn-Banach, l can be extended to the entire space of bounded real-valued functions. This shows that we can make sense of integration for any bounded functions in a weaker sense, sacrificing some nice properties, such as countable additivity and so on(probably if such properties hold, then it will contradict existence of non-measurable sets by considering appropriate indicators).

## Question 5.

**Problem 5.** Let E be a normed vector space. The norm of E is called uniformly convex if  $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| \le 1 - \varepsilon(\|x-y\|)$  for all  $x,y \in \{z \in E: \|z\| = 1\}$ . Here  $\varepsilon(r)$  is an increasing and positive function defined for r>0 such that  $\lim_{r\to 0^+} \varepsilon(r) = 0$ . Let K be a closed, convex subset in a Banach space E with uniformly convex norm. Prove that for any  $x \in E$ , there is a unique  $y \in K$  such that  $\|x-y\| = \inf\{\|x-z\| : z \in K\}$ . (Hint: Assume x=0 not in K, and let  $\{y_n\} \subset K$  be a minimizing sequence, then consider  $x_n = \frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|}$  and  $\frac{x_m + x_n}{2}$ .)

## Solution.

Without loss of generality, assume x=0 and  $x \notin K$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be the minimizing sequence. As E is Banach, and K is closed, it suffices to show that  $\{y_n\}$  is cauchy. Let  $d=\lim_{n\to\infty}||y_n||$ . We claim that

$$\left|\left|\frac{x_n + x_m}{2}\right|\right| \to 1 \text{ as } n, m \to \infty.$$

For each  $n, m \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} ||\frac{x_n + x_m}{2}|| &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{||y_m|| + ||y_n||}{||y_n|| ||y_m||} ||\frac{||y_m||y_n}{||y_n|| + ||y_m||} + \frac{||y_n||y_m}{||y_n|| + ||y_m||} || \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{||y_m|| + ||y_n||}{||y_n|| ||y_m||} d \end{split}$$

by convexity of K. The RHS goes to 1 as  $n,m\to\infty$  via  $d=\lim_{n\to\infty}||y_n||$  condition, and  $||\frac{x_n+x_m}{2}||\geq 1$ . We have shown the claimed limit. Now, by uniform convexity,  $\{x_n\}$  is cauchy. Observe that

$$||y_n - y_m|| \le ||y_n|| ||x_n - x_m|| + |||y_n|| - ||y_m|| |||x_m||$$

for each  $n, m \ge 1$ . Since the RHS goes to 0 as  $n, m \to \infty$ , it implies that  $\{y_n\}$  is cauchy and we are done.

## Question 6.

**Problem 6.** Let E be a normed vector space , and O be a bounded open set in E such that it is convex and symmetric with respect to  $\underline{0} \in O$  (i.e.,  $x \in O \Rightarrow -x \in O$ ). Then show that the Minkowski functional associated with O introduces a new norm of E

(ii) Is this new norm equivalent to the original norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

(iii) If E is reflexive with respect to the original norm, does it implies then E is also reflexive with respect to the new norm?

#### Solution.

By ordinary properties of Minkowski functionals, it suffices to show that for any  $x \in E$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$p(\lambda x) = |\lambda| p(x)$$
 and  $p(x) = 0 \implies x = 0$ .

We first prove the absolute homogeneity of p. Now, if  $\lambda \geq 0$ , then  $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$  by positive homogeneity of Minkowski functionals. Now, if  $\lambda \geq 0$ , then, by symmetry, and positive homogeneity again, we obtain

$$p(\lambda x) = p(-\lambda x) = -\lambda p(x),$$

which completes the proof of absolute homogeneity.

Now, observe that, for any  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ , and  $x \in E$ ,

$$\alpha^{-1}x \in C \implies \beta^{-1}x \in C, (**)$$

because by convexity

$$(1 - \frac{\beta^{-1}}{\alpha^{-1}})0 + \frac{\beta^{-1}}{\alpha^{-1}}\alpha^{-1}x = \beta^{-1}x \in C.$$

Let  $x \in E$  such that p(x) = 0, Then, by the above discussion, it follows that

$$\alpha^{-1}x \in C (*),$$

for any  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $x \neq 0$ , and let r > 0 large enough that  $C \subset B(0, r)$ . Then, it follows that, from (\*),  $\frac{r}{||x||}x \in C$ , which contradicts the fact that  $C \subset B(0, r)$ . Therefore, x = 0 and we are done.

(i) The new norm is equivalent with the original norm. Choose r>0 such that  $\overline{B(0,r)}\subset O$ . Then,

$$\frac{r}{||x||} \in B(0,r) \subset O$$

and

$$rp(x) \leq ||x||$$

for any  $x \in E$ . For the other direction, choose R > 0 such that  $O \subset B(0,R)$  with  $\partial B(0,R) \cap O = \emptyset$ . Then,

$$\frac{R}{||x||}x \quad \not \in \quad O$$

for any  $x \in E$ . By the contrapositive of (\*\*), it follows that

$$||x|| \leq Rp(x)$$

for any  $x \in E$ . Hence, the claimed norm equivlaence holds.

(ii) Reflexive implies that the space is Banach (so there is no confusion with definition).

We prove the following claim: Let E be Banach space that is reflexive. For any normed linear space, defined by an equivalent norm on E, is reflexive.

Let Q be a norm on E such that E is reflexive, and P be a norm that is equivalent to Q. Since the topology induced by Q and P are the same, we have that  $E_Q^* = E_P^*$ , which we denote as  $E^*$ . Now, we claim that the dual norms  $Q^*$  and  $P^*$  on  $E^*$ , induced by Q and P are again equivalent. From the norm equivalence and defintion of dual norm,

$$|f(x)| \le Q^*(f)Q(x)$$

and

$$|f(x)| \le Q^*(f)CP(x)$$

for some C > 0, and for any  $f \in E^*$  and  $x \in E$ . Therefore,

$$P^*(f) \leq CQ^*(f)$$

for any  $f \in E^*$ . The other direction can be shown similarly, so we have shown that the induced dual norms are again equvialent. Now, by the same argument as above, we see that  $E_Q^{**} = E_P^{**}$ , from which it follows that  $J_Q = J_P$ . Therefore, by reflexivity assumption, we have

$$E_P^{**} = E_Q^{**} = J_Q(E) = J_P(E)$$

which shows that (E, P) is reflexive as required. Therefore, we have shown that the new norm is reflexive, whenever the original norm is reflexive.

# Question 7.

**Problem 7.** Let E be the space of bounded Lebesque measurable functions on [a,b]. Find a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$  such that  $f_n(x) \to 0$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $\|f_n\|_{E^*} = 1$ . Is E separable, that is, does E has a countable dense set?

#### Solution.

Consider  $\{f_n\}$  defined by

$$g \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}} g(t)e^{-2\pi int}dt = \hat{g}(n) \ (g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}))$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ . As  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}) \subset L^{1}(\mathbb{T})$ , by Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, for any  $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$ ,

$$f_n(g) = \hat{g}(n) \to 0.$$

For any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$  with ||g|| = 1,

$$|\hat{g}(n)| \le \int_{\mathbb{T}} |g| \le ||g||_{\infty} = 1,$$

Now, for any  $n \ge 1$ , take  $g = e^{2\pi i n t}$  to see

$$|\hat{g}(n)| = |\int_{\mathbb{T}} 1dt| = 1,$$

so, combined with the above estimate, we have

$$||f_n|| = 1,$$

as required.

It is a well-known fact that  $L^{\infty}$  is not separable, given that the ambient space is not finite. Instead of appealing to this general result, we provide a construction of an uncountable family of functions in  $L^{\infty}[a,b]$  with a < b such that the distance is at least 1 apart between any two elements to contradict the separability assumption. Take [a,b] such that a < b. Fix  $x_0 \in (a,b)$  and consider  $\mathscr{A} = \{1_{B(x_0,r)}\}$  where  $0 < r \le \min(x_0 - a, b - x_0)$ . Then, the family is uncountable, but for any  $f,g \in \mathscr{A}$ ,

$$||f - g||_{\infty} = 1.$$

Now, suppose the space is separable, hence there exists a countable dense subset C. Now, observe that

$$\bigcup_{x\in\mathscr{A}}B(x,\frac{1}{2})\cap C\subset C,$$

but the left hand side is uncountable, because its an uncountable disjoint union of countable sets. Therefore,  $L^{\infty}[a,b]$  is not separable.