

---

# Functional Analysis:

## Problem Set I

---

Youngduck Choi  
CIMS  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

### Abstract

This work contains solutions to the exercises of the problem set I.

### Question 1.

**Problem 1.** Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of a normed vector space  $E$ . Show that the dual of  $E/Y$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $Y^\perp$ .

### Solution.

First, define a map  $\Phi : Y^\perp \rightarrow (E/Y)^*$  naturally by

$$f \mapsto ([x] \mapsto f(x))$$

where  $[x] \in E/Y$ . The map is well-defined, because for any  $[x] = [x']$ ,

$$x' = x + y \text{ for some } y \in Y \text{ and } f(x') = f(x) + f(y) = f(x).$$

We now claim that  $\Phi$  is a surjective isometry. By definition, for any  $f \in Y^\perp$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(f)\| &= \sup_{\|[x]\|=1} |\langle \Phi(f), [x] \rangle| = \sup_{\|[x]\|=1} |\langle f, x \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\inf_{y \in Y} \|x-y\|=1} |\langle f, x \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

For sake of completeness, we note that if both pre-image and image are Banach, then a surjective isometry is a isometric isomorphism. This is a direct consequence of open mapping theorem. First, isometry implies injectivity: for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  and  $x, y \in E$ , if  $T(x) = T(y)$ , then  $0 = \|T(x - y)\| = \|x - y\|$ , so  $x = y$ . Therefore,  $T^{-1}$  is well-defined as a map. Now, by open mapping theorem, we see that for any  $O$  open in  $E$ ,  $(T^{-1})^{-1}(O) = T(O)$  is open in  $F$ . Therefore,  $T^{-1}$  is continuous as required.

It remains to be shown that  $Y^\perp$  and  $E/Y$  are Banach. Suppose  $f \in E^*$  such that there exists  $\{f_n\} \subset Y^\perp$  with  $f_n \rightarrow f$ . It suffices to show that for any  $y \in Y$ , we have  $\langle f, y \rangle = 0$ . But this is true, because norm convergence implies pointwise convergence and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\langle f_n, y \rangle = 0$ . Now,  $E/Y$  is Banach with respect to the quotient norm, because

### Question 2.

**Problem 2.** (Duality–Min-Max Principle) Let  $E$  be a normed vector space, and  $G$  a linear subspace of  $E$ . For any  $x \in E$ , define  $m(x) = \inf_{y \in G} \|x - y\|$ . Show that  $m(x) = M(x)$ , where  $M(x) = \max_{\|f\|_{E^*} \leq 1, f=0 \text{ on } G} |\langle f, x \rangle|$ .

Similarly, for any  $g \in E^*$ , we define  $\|g\|_G = \sup\{|g(y)| : y \in G, \|y\| \leq 1\}$ . Then  $\|g\|_G = \min\{\|g - h\|_{E^*} : h \in G^\perp\}$ .

### Solution.

### Question 3.

**Problem 3.** Let  $E$  be a normed vector space.

- (i) If  $Y$  is a closed proper subspace of  $E$ , then there is  $x \in E$  such that  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $\|x - y\| > \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $y \in Y$ .
- (ii) If  $E$  is of infinite dimension, then the unit ball  $B_1 = \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is never compact in strong topology.

### Solution.

(i) We prove the following generalization, known as the Riesz Lemma: for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $\|x - y\| \geq 1 - \epsilon$ , for any  $y \in Y$ .

Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Let  $x \in E \setminus Y$ . As  $Y$  is closed,

$$d := \text{dist}(x, Y) > 0.$$

Choose  $y^*$  in  $Y$  such that

$$d \leq \|x - y^*\| \leq \frac{d}{1 - \epsilon}. \quad (1)$$

Set  $x^* = \frac{x - y^*}{\|x - y^*\|}$ . Clearly,  $\|x^*\| = 1$ , and, for any  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* - y\| &= \left\| \frac{x - y^*}{\|x - y^*\|} - y \right\| = \frac{1}{\|x - y^*\|} \|x - (y^* + y\|x - y^*\|)\| \\ &\geq \frac{d}{\|x - y^*\|} = 1 - \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (1), and we are done.

(ii) We proceed to construct a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset B_1$  such that there is no convergent subsequence, which shows that  $B_1$  is not compact in strong topology through sequential characterization of compactness (strong topology is trivially metrizable).

Choose any  $x \in E$  such that  $\|x\| = 1$  and set  $x_1 = x$ . Then, for any  $n$ , using (i), choose  $x_n$  such that

$$\|x_n\| = 1 \text{ and } \|x_n - y\| > \frac{1}{2},$$

for any  $y \in \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , where the validity comes from the fact that any finite dimensional subspace is a proper, closed subspace of an infinite dimensional space. Then, it is clear that  $\{x_n\}$  has no convergent subsequence, because for any  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $k, l \geq n$  with  $k \neq l$ , such that  $\|x_k - x_l\| > \frac{1}{2}$ . Since being cauchy is a necessary condition for being convergent, we are done.

□

#### Question 4.

**Problem 4.** Let  $L^\infty[0, 1]$  be the space of bounded, Lebesgue measurable functions on  $[0, 1]$ . We define  $l(f) = \int_0^1 f(t)dt$ . Then  $l$  is a positive, linear, continuous functional on  $L^\infty[0, 1]$ . Here  $l$  is called positive if  $l(f_2) \geq l(f_1)$  whenever  $f_2 \geq f_1$ . Define, for any bounded real-valued function  $g$ ,  $p(g) = \inf\{l(f) : g \leq f \in L^\infty[0, 1]\}$ . Show that  
 (i)  $p$  is a positive homogeneous, subadditive and  $p(g) \leq 0$  whenever  $g \leq 0$ . Moreover  $p(f) = l(f)$  if  $f \in L^\infty[0, 1]$ .  
 (ii)  $l$  can be extended to a positive linear functional on the space of all bounded functions.

#### Solution.

(i) Let  $g$  be a bounded real-valued function and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, by linearity of Lebesgue integration,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda g) &= \inf\{l(h) : \lambda g \leq h \in L^\infty\} \\ \lambda p(g) &= \inf\{l(\lambda h) : g \leq h \in L^\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$A := \{l(h) : \lambda g \leq h \in L^\infty\} = \{l(\lambda h) : g \leq h \in L^\infty\} =: B$$

If  $\lambda g \leq h \in L^\infty$ , then  $g \leq \frac{h}{\lambda} \in L^\infty$ , so  $l(\lambda \frac{h}{\lambda}) = l(h) \in B$ . Conversely, if  $g \leq h \in L^\infty$  then,  $\lambda g \leq \lambda h \in L^\infty$ , so  $l(\lambda h) \in A$ . Hence,  $p(\lambda g) = \lambda p(g)$ .

We now show that  $p$  is sub-additive. Let  $f, g$  be bounded real functions. Then, for any  $h_1, h_2 \in L^\infty$  such that  $f \leq h_1$  and  $g \leq h_2$ ,

$$f + g \leq h_1 + h_2 \in L^\infty,$$

so, again by linearity of integration,

$$p(f + g) \leq l(h_1 + h_2) = l(h_1) + l(h_2).$$

Taking infs for  $h_1$ , then  $h_2$ , gives

$$p(f + g) \leq p(f) + p(g),$$

as required.

For any  $f, g$  bounded real-valued functions,

$$\begin{aligned} p(f + g) &= \inf\{l(f + g) : f + g \leq h, h \in L^\infty[0, 1]\} \\ &= \inf\{l(f) + l(g) : f + g \leq h, h \in L^\infty[0, 1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $g \leq 0$ . Then, as  $0 \in L^\infty[0, 1]$  and  $l(0) = 0$ , by definition,  $p(g) \leq 0$ .

We show that  $p(f) = l(f)$  if  $f \in L^\infty[0, 1]$ . For all  $h \in L^\infty[0, 1]$  such that  $f \leq h$ , then, by monotonicity of Lebesgue integration,  $l(f) \leq l(h)$ . Since  $f \leq f$  trivially, it follows that  $p(f) = l(f)$ .  $\square$

(ii) Now, as  $l = p$  on  $L^\infty[0, 1]$ , by Hahn-Banach,  $l$  can be extended to the entire space of bounded real-valued functions. This shows that we can make sense of integration for any bounded functions in a weaker sense, sacrificing some nice properties, such as countable additivity and so on (probably if such properties hold, then it will contradict existence of non-measurable sets by considering appropriate indicators).  $\square$

### Question 5.

**Problem 5.** Let  $E$  be a normed vector space. The norm of  $E$  is called uniformly convex if  $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| \leq 1 - \varepsilon(\|x-y\|)$  for all  $x, y \in \{z \in E : \|z\| = 1\}$ . Here  $\varepsilon(r)$  is an increasing and positive function defined for  $r > 0$  such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon(r) = 0$ . Let  $K$  be a closed, convex subset in a Banach space  $E$  with uniformly convex norm. Prove that for any  $x \in E$ , there is a unique  $y \in K$  such that  $\|x - y\| = \inf\{\|x - z\| : z \in K\}$ . (Hint: Assume  $x \neq 0$  not in  $K$ , and let  $\{y_n\} \subset K$  be a minimizing sequence, then consider  $x_n = \frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|}$  and  $\frac{x_n + x_{n_1}}{2}$ .)

### Solution.

### Question 6.

**Problem 6.** Let  $E$  be a vector space with a metric, and  $O$  be a bounded open set in  $E$  such that it is convex and symmetric with respect to  $0 \in O$  (i.e.,  $x \in O \Rightarrow -x \in O$ ). Then show that the Minkowski functional associated with  $O$  introduces a norm of  $E$ .

### Solution.

By ordinary properties of Minkowski functionals, it suffices to show that for any  $x \in E$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$p(\lambda x) = |\lambda|p(x) \quad \text{and} \quad p(x) = 0 \implies x = 0.$$

We first prove the absolute homogeneity of  $p$ . Now, if  $\lambda \geq 0$ , then  $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$  by positive homogeneity of Minkowski functionals. Now, if  $\lambda \leq 0$ , then, by symmetry, and positive homogeneity again, we obtain

$$p(\lambda x) = p(-\lambda x) = -\lambda p(x),$$

which completes the proof of absolute homogeneity.

Now, observe that, for any  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ , and  $x \in E$ ,

$$\alpha^{-1}x \in C \implies \beta^{-1}x \in C,$$

because by convexity

$$(1 - \frac{\beta^{-1}}{\alpha^{-1}})0 + \frac{\beta^{-1}}{\alpha^{-1}}\alpha^{-1}x = \beta^{-1}x \in C.$$

Let  $x \in E$  such that  $p(x) = 0$ . Then, by the above discussion, it follows that

$$\alpha^{-1}x \in C \quad (*),$$

for any  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $x \neq 0$ , and let  $r > 0$  large enough that  $C \subset B(0, r)$ . Then, it follows that, from (\*),  $\frac{r}{\|x\|}x \in C$ , which contradicts the fact that  $C \subset B(0, r)$ . Therefore,  $x = 0$  and we are done.  $\square$

**Question 7.**

**Problem 7.** Let  $E$  be the space of bounded Lebesgue measurable functions on  $[a, b]$ . Find a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset E^*$  such that  $f_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $\|f_n\|_{E^*} = 1$ . Is  $E$  separable, that is, does  $E$  have a countable dense set?

**Solution.**

It is a well-known fact that  $L^\infty$  is not separable. The proof can be found in the Brezis textbook chapter 4.