Limit Theorems II: Final

Youngduck Choi *

Abstract

This work contains solutions for the final of the Limit Theorems II by Professor McKean.

Question 1-1.

1. Rw(3): Capacity. Compute the capacity of a pair of lattice points $a \neq b$ and also of a singleton c in terms of the Green's function $G(a,b) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} P_a[\mathbf{X}(n) = b]$. This already shows that capacity is *not* additive. Yes?

 $^{^*}$ Department of Mathematics, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, yc1104@nyu.edu; If you find an error and want to share with me, you can reach me via email.

Question 1-2.

Bm(1) Conditional Arcsine. Recall the usual arcsine law

$$P_0$$
 [measure $(t \le 1 : \mathbf{b}(t) \ge 0) \le x] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^x \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y(1-y)}} \quad (0 \le x \le 1)$

showing that the bulk of the density lies (surprisingly) near y = 0 and y = 1. It comes as a further surprise that for the tied Brownian motion, conditioned to end at $\mathbf{b}(1) = 0$, that the density is perfectly flat as in

$$P_0$$
 [measure $(t \le 1 : \mathbf{b}(t) \ge 0) \le x] = x (0 \le x \le 1)$:

I will lead you through it via Feynman-Kac.

Write $m = \text{measure } (t' \le t : \mathbf{b}(t') \ge 0)$ and recall that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} \cdot E_0 \left[e^{-\beta m} f \circ b(t) \right] dt$$

may be expressed as

$$h_{+}(0) \int_{-\infty}^{0} h_{-} f dy + h_{-}(0) \int_{0}^{\infty} h_{+} f dy \text{ over } \frac{1}{2} (h'_{-} h_{+} - h_{-} h'_{+}) \text{ at } x = 0$$

with $h_-(x)=e^{\sqrt{2\pi}x}$ for $x\leq 0$ and $h_+(x)=e^{-\sqrt{2(\alpha+\beta)}}$ for $x\geq 0$ for reasonable functions f. That is now used for the unreasonable function f=0 Dirac's delta function $\delta(x)$ to produce

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} E_0 \left[e^{-\beta m}, b(t) = 0 \right] dt = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\alpha} + \sqrt{2(\alpha + \beta)}}$$

as you will check. This may also be written

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} E_0 \left[e^{-\beta \mathbf{m}} \left| \mathbf{b}(t) = 0 \right| \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}$$

and if you knew, what is the fact, that m is now uniformly distributed over the interval $0 \le m \le t$, then you could put this into the last display to see if it checks. Do it. It

1

works. Is that a proof? Could the conditional law of m be anything else? Of course this is only a consistency check, based so to speak on proprietary information. Insider trading if you will. The honest way would be to invert $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\alpha}+\sqrt{2(\alpha+\beta)}}$. Not a standard exercise. Try it if you like.

Question 1-3.

3. BM(1): Local Time. Begin with the function $f''(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ for $0 \le x \le \epsilon$, vanishing elsewhere, and compute f' and f subject to f'(0) = f(0) = 0. Apply Itô's lemma for small ϵ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max\left[0,\mathbf{b}(t)\right] &\simeq f \circ \mathbf{b}(t) \\ &= \int_{(0,t)\cap(0\leq \mathbf{b}(t')<\epsilon)} \frac{b}{\epsilon} \, db \\ &+ \int_{(0,t)\cap(\mathbf{b}(t')>\epsilon)} db \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \text{ measure } (t'\leq t:0\leq \mathbf{b}(t')<\epsilon) \\ &= \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + \textcircled{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Here ① is a fair game. Show that it vanishes, uniformly for $t \leq 1$ say, if $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ fast enough, and likewise that ② tends to $\int_0^t e \, d\mathbf{b}$ with $e(t, \mathbf{b}) =$ the indicator of $\mathbf{b}(t) > 0$, and the same uniformity. Then ③ converges in the same style and you have

$$\max[0, \mathbf{b}(t)] = \int_0^t e \, d\mathbf{b}$$
 plus $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon}$ measure $(t' \le t : 0 \le \mathbf{b}(t') < \epsilon) = \textcircled{4}$

with the same uniformity in 4, this being P. Levy's mesure de voisinage, more aptly called in English local time, written $\mathbf{t}(t)$. Think a little how extraordinary it is that this limit should exist, due solely to the wildness of the Brownian path. Evidently $\mathbf{t}(t)$ is continuous and increasing but only on $Z = (t \ge 0 : \mathbf{b}(t) = 0)$ which is of Lebesgue measure zero(!) and cannot vanish for any t > 0. Give me a little argument for that.

FYI. The fact is that it does not matter how fast $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. This lies deeper. See Itô-McKean if you're interested.

Solution.

Recall the Ito's formula for single martingales: If f is C^2 and for all $0 \le t$,

$$E\int_0^t [f'(M(s))]^2 dA(s) < \infty$$
 and $E\int_0^t |f''(M(s))| dA(s) < \infty$

then, for all $0 \leq t$,

$$f(M(t)) - f(M(0)) = \int_0^t f'(M(s))dM(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f'(M(s))dA(s)$$
 (1)

where A(t) is the unique increasing, continuous process, corresponding to M(t). Of course, for the problem at hand, we have M(t) = B(t) and A(t) = t, so db(s) and ds will be used to denote

the integrators. Now, to compute local time, we cannot naively apply this formula, as $\max(0,\cdot)$ is not $C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, we approximate with the suggested strategy. Let f_{ϵ} be defined as given for each $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for any $0 \le t$, and $\epsilon > 0$,

$$f_{\epsilon} \circ b(t) = f_{\epsilon} \circ b(t) - f_{\epsilon} \circ b(0) = \int_{0}^{t} f_{\epsilon}'(B(s))db(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f_{\epsilon}''(B(s))ds$$

$$= \int_{\{s \in [0,t]: 0 \le b(s) < \epsilon\}} \frac{b(s)}{\epsilon} db(s) + \int_{\{s \in [0,t]: b(s) > \epsilon\}} 1db(s) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{s \in [0,t]: 0 \le b(s) < \epsilon\}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} ds$$

$$= \int_{\{s \in [0,t]: 0 \le b(s) < \epsilon\}} \frac{b(s)}{\epsilon} db(s) + \int_{\{s \in [0,t]: b(s) > \epsilon\}} 1db(s) + \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \lambda_{1}(\{s \in [0,t]: 0 \le b(s) < \epsilon\})$$

$$=: (I) + (III) + (III)$$

$$(2)$$

where (??) follows from (??), (??) follows from definition of f_{ϵ} for each $\epsilon > 0$, and λ_1 denotes 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure as hinted. With the given fact that (I) is a martingale, we show that

$$\int_{\{s\in[0,1]:0\leq b(s)<\epsilon\}}\frac{b(s)}{\epsilon}db(s) \text{ converges to} 0 as \epsilon\to0 \text{ almost surely}$$

dd

Question 1-4.

4. Some Pictures. Make me some diagrams or so to say caricatures like

2

showing in a single picture $\mathbf{b}(t)$, $\max(\mathbf{b}(t'):t'\leq t)$, and the passage times $T_x=\min(t:\mathbf{b}(t)=x)$ together, and in a second picture, $\mathbf{b}(t)$, $\mathbf{t}(t)$, and the inverse local time $\mathbf{t}^{-1}(x)=\min(t:\mathbf{t}(t)=x)$. You will see a similarity between the passage times and the inverse local time and likewise between $\mathbf{t}(t)$ and $\max(\mathbf{b}(t'):t'\leq t)$. Tell me what you see. More coming at once.

Question 1-5.

5. A SURPRISE. You have seen how the distribution of the passage times is determined by stopping the fair game

$$\begin{split} e^{\alpha\,\mathbf{b}(t)-\alpha^2\,t/2} \quad \text{as in} \\ 1 &= E_0 \left[e^{\alpha\mathbf{b}(T_x)-\alpha^2\,T_x/2} \right] = e^{\alpha x}\; E_0 \left(e^{-\alpha^2\,T_x/2} \right). \end{split}$$

The same idea can be applied to the inverse local time. You are to show that $\mathbf{t}^{-1}(x)$ is a stopping time, that $\mathbf{b} \circ \mathbf{t}^{-1}(x) = x$ and to conclude that the two processes $(T_x : x \ge 0)$ and $(\mathbf{t}^{-1}(x) : x \ge 0)$ are not the same but identical in law.

HINT: Both processes are additive in the sense that $\mathbf{x}(a+b) = \mathbf{x}(a)$ plus an independent copy of $\mathbf{x}(b)$ as you will need to check for the inverse local time. It follows that the local time itself is a copy of the inverse function of the passage times, namely $\max(\mathbf{b}(t'):t'\leq t)$. Indeed, wonders never cease! BM(1) has lots of such complicated internal imitations of one thing with another, the copies $c\,\mathbf{b}(t/c^2)$ and $t\mathbf{b}(1/t)$ of $\mathbf{b}(t)$ being the simplest of these. I tell you another. Take $\mathbf{b}(0) = x \geq 0$, run it to the passage time T_0 , then start it over from x=0 in the form $\max(\mathbf{b}(t'):t'\leq t)-\mathbf{b}(t)$.

3

What is that? Draw a picture and you may guess, what P. Levy discovered, that it's a copy of the reflecting Brownian motion! Useless but remarkable.

Question 1-6.

6. An OLD FRIEND EXPLAINED. Write h(t,x) for $e^{\alpha x - \alpha^2 t/2}$ and define

$$P^{h}[\mathbf{x}(t_{2}) = y | \mathbf{x}(t_{1}) = x]$$

$$= \frac{1}{h(t_{1}, x)} \frac{e^{-(x-y)^{2}/2(t_{2}-t_{1})}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_{2}-t_{1})}} h(t_{2}, y).$$

Check that this is a perfectly good Markovian transition density, compute its associated infinitessimal operator, and tell me what the underlying process is. Note that h solves the backward heat equation $\partial h/\partial t + \frac{1}{2} \ \partial^2 h/\partial x^2$, so it's something very special since heat does not like to flow backwards. More can be said but let's leave it here.