

---

# ProbLimI: Problem Set XIII

---

Youngduck Choi  
CIMS  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains solutions to the exercises of the problem set IX. The chosen problems are 2,3 and 4.

### Question 1.

- (a) Give an example of a sub-martingale  $(X_n)$  such that  $(X_n^2)$  is a super-martingale, and explain why this not contradict the result given in class on  $\Phi(X_n)$  for sub-martingale  $(X_n)$  and a convex function  $\Phi$ .
- (b) Give an example of a martingale  $(X_n)$  that converges a.s. to  $-\infty$ , and explain why this does not contradict Doob's Convergence Theorem.

### Solution.

(a) Let  $X_n = 0$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , then  $X_n^2 = 0$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\{X_n\}$  is a sub-martingale, and  $\{X_n^2\}$  is a super-martingale because they are both martingales trivially, as

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = X_n = X_{n-1} = 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = X_n^2 = X_{n-1}^2 = 0$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ . This does not contradict the given fact about the convex function, as  $\{X_n^2\}$  is a sub-martingale as well, by being a martingale.

(b) Let  $\{X_n\}$  i.i.d random variables be defined by

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n = -1) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(X_n = 2^n - 1) = \frac{1}{2^n}$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n] = 0$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ , so  $\{S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k\}$  is a martingale with respect to the canonical filtration. Observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n > -1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} < \infty$$

By Borel-Cantelli,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > -1 \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq -1 \text{ a.a.}) = 1.$$

Therefore,  $S_n \rightarrow -\infty$  almost surely and we are done. This does not violate the Martingale convergence theorem, as  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}[|S_n|]$  is not bounded.

**Question 3.**

2. Let  $(X_n)$  and  $(Y_n)$  be nonnegative, integrable stochastic processes adapted to a filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_n] \leq (1 + Y_n)X_n + Y_n$  for all  $n$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 1} Y_n < \infty$  a.s. Prove that  $X_n$  converges a.s. to a finite limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*(Hint: Deduce this from the convergence of a suitable nonnegative super-martingale.)*

**Solution.**

#### Question 4.

3. Let  $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$  for i.i.d. random variables  $\xi_k$  and let  $\tau$  be an integrable stopping time for the associated canonical filtration.
- (a) Show that if  $\xi_1$  is integrable then  $\mathbb{E}S_\tau = \mathbb{E}\xi_1\mathbb{E}\tau$  (Wald's identity).  
(Hint: Write  $S_\tau = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \xi_k \mathbf{1}_{\{k \leq \tau\}}$ .)
  - (b) Show that if  $\mathbb{E}\xi_1^2 < \infty$  then  $\mathbb{E}(S_\tau - \tau\mathbb{E}\xi_1)^2 = \text{Var}(\xi_1)\mathbb{E}\tau$  (Wald's second identity).  
(Hint: argue that  $\mathbb{E}\xi_1 = 0$  w.l.o.g. and apply Doob's  $L^2$ -convergence theorem to  $S_{n \wedge \tau}$ .)
  - (c) Prove that if  $\xi_1 \geq 0$  then Wald's identity holds also in case  $\mathbb{E}\tau = \infty$  under the convention that  $0 \times \infty = 0$ .

#### Solution.

(a) Observe that

$\xi_i$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{\{i \leq \tau\}}$  are independent

for each  $i \geq 1$ . Now, we first prove for the case when  $\xi_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_\tau] &= \mathbb{E}[\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_\tau] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i \mathbf{1}_{\{i \leq \tau\}}\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}[\xi_i \mathbf{1}_{\{i \leq \tau\}}] = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}[\xi_i] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{i \leq \tau\}}] \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\xi_1] \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}(i \leq \tau) = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1] \mathbb{E}[\tau] \tag{2}$$

where (1) holds by MCT (or Tonelli) and independence, and (2) holds as  $\tau$  being a non-negative integer valued random variable. Now consider a general  $\{\xi_i\}$ . From the above,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^\infty |\xi_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{i \leq \tau\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}[|\xi_1|] \mathbb{E}[\tau] < \infty.$$

Therefore, by Fubini,

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_\tau] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^\infty \xi_i \mathbf{1}_{\{i \leq \tau\}}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{E}[\xi_i \mathbf{1}_{\{i \leq \tau\}}] = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1] \mathbb{E}[\tau].$$