Problem Set II

Youngduck Choi CIMS New York University yc1104@nyu.edu

Abstract

This work contains solutions to the exercises of the problem set I. The chosen problems are 1,2, and 4.

Question 1.

- 1. Let X be a nonnegative random variable with $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$, and set $m_i := \mathbb{E}[X^i]$ for i = 1, 2.
 - (i) Prove that for every $0 \le x < m_1$ we have $\mathbb{P}(X > x) \ge (m_1 x)^2/m_2$.
 - (ii) Prove that $(\mathbb{E}|X^2 m_2|)^2 \le 4m_2(m_2 m_1^2)$.
 - (iii) Show the following inequality, and compare it to part (i) for $X = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}\right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k}) - \sum_{1 \leq k < \ell \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{\ell}).$$

Solution.

(1) Fix $\alpha \in [0, m_1)$. By Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{X>\alpha}X]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[1_{\{X>\alpha\}}^2]\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathbb{P}(X>\alpha)m_2.$$

On the other hand,

$$m_1 \quad = \quad \mathbb{E}\big[X1_{\{X>\alpha\}}\big] + E\big[X1_{\{X\leq\alpha\}}\big],$$

which implies

$$(m_1 - \alpha)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X1_{\{X > \alpha\}}]^2.$$

Combining the above inequality with the first one and re-arranging yield

$$\frac{(m_1 - \alpha)^2}{m_2} \le \mathbb{P}(X > \alpha),$$

as required.

(2) By Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\mathbb{E}[|(X+m_2^{\frac{1}{2}})(X-m_2^{\frac{1}{2}})|] \leq (\mathbb{E}[X^2+2m_2^{\frac{1}{2}}X+m_2]\mathbb{E}[X^2-2m_2^{\frac{1}{2}}X+m_2])^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= (4m_2^2-4m_2m_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}=2m_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_2-m_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Squaring both sides gives the desired inequality.

(3) We show the inequality via induction. For n = 2,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cup A_{2}) = \mathbb{P}(A_{1}^{c} \cap A_{2}) + \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap A_{2}^{c}) + \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap A_{2})
= \mathbb{P}(A_{1}^{c} \cap A_{2}) + \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap A_{2}) + \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap A_{2}^{c}) + \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap A_{2}) - \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap A_{2})
= \mathbb{P}(A_{1}) + \mathbb{P}(A_{2}) - \mathbb{P}(A_{1} \cap A_{2}).$$

Now, suppose the statement is true for some n > 2. Then, using the n = 2 case, the inductive hypothesis and subadditivity gives

$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} A_k) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k \bigcup A_{n+1})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k) + \mathbb{P}(A_{n+1}) - \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k \cap A_{n+1})$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) + \mathbb{P}(A_{k+1}) - \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_n \cap A_{n+1})$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_{n+1})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l).$$

Therefore, the induction is complete and the inequality is true. Now, if $X = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{A_k}$, then

$$m_1 = E[X] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k)$$

$$m_2 = E[X^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{1 \le k \le l \le n} 1_{A_k}\right] = \sum_{1 \le k \le l \le n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l)$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X > \alpha) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k),$$

for any $\alpha \in [0,1)$. Hence, to compare, if $X = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{A_k}$, we can re-write (iii) as

$$P(X > \alpha) \geq 2m_1 - m_2$$

for any $\alpha \in [0,1)$.

Question 2.

2. Let X be a real-valued random variable

- (a) Prove that the function $f(x) = \mathbb{E} \exp(-|X x|)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} .
- (b) Further suppose that $X \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{E} X^p < \infty$ for some p > 0.
 - (b.1) Show that $\lim_{p\downarrow 0} (\mathbb{E}X^p 1)/p = \mathbb{E}\log X$.
 - (b.2) Conclude that $\lim_{p\downarrow 0} \log(\mathbb{E}X^p)/p = \mathbb{E}\log X$.

Solution.

(a) We first note that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exp(-|X - x|)$ is uniformly bounded by 1, and we have a finite measure, so the expectation is well-defined and f is well-defined everywhere. Set $\mu = L(X)$. Then, by a change of variable,

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(-|X-x|) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-|t-x|)\mu(dt)$$

so, for $x, h \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| = |\int e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|} \mu(dt)|$$

$$\leq \int |e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|} |\mu(dt)| (*).$$

Observe that

$$|e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|}| \le 2$$

for any $t, x, h \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$|e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|}| \to 0 \text{ as } h \to 0$$

for any $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by BCT and (*), it follows that

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \to 0 \text{ as } h \to 0,$$

which shows that f is continuous as required.

(b.1) With L'hopital's rule, we obtain that

$$\lim_{p \downarrow 0} \frac{X^{p}(w) - 1}{p} = \lim_{p \downarrow 0} X^{p}(w) \log(X(w)) = \log(X(w)),$$

for all $w \in \Omega$. Hence,

$$\{\frac{X^p-1}{p}\}_{p\geq 0}$$
 converges almost surely to $\log(X)$ on Ω

By DCT,

$$\lim_{p\downarrow 0} \frac{(\mathbb{E}X^p - 1)}{p} = \mathbb{E}\log(X),$$

as required.

(b.2)

(**Remark**) Although all limit theorems are stated so far for a countable limit, they apply as well to a continuous limit. Suppose $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a family of L^1 dominated random variables such that $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} X_t(w) \to X_0(w)$ for all $w\in \Omega$. Then, by DCT, for any $\{t_n\}\subset (0,\infty)$ such that $t_n\downarrow 0$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_{t_n}] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$. Since this is true for any such sequence, it follows that $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ in a proper continuous limit sense. We will freely use the limit theorem in the continuous setting without doing the above pass everytime.

Question 3.

3. Let $X:(\Omega,\mathcal{F})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ be a random variable with $\mathbb{E}|X|<\infty$.

- (i) Show that if $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ are disjoint sets and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ then $\sum_n \mathbb{E}[X1_{A_n}]$ converges absolutely and $\sum_n \mathbb{E}[X1_{A_n}] = \mathbb{E}[X1_A]$.
- (ii) Conclude that if $X \geq 0$ then $\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_A]/\mathbb{E}X$ is a probability measure.

Solution.

We first show the case for non-negative, simple functions. Let X be simple, such that

$$X = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_k \mathbb{1}_{E_k},$$

where $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for k = 1, ..., l and $E_k \in \mathscr{F}$ with $\bigcup_{k=1}^l E_k = \Omega$. With linearity of expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}[X \mathbb{1}_{A}] = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \mathbb{1}_{E_{k}} \mathbb{1}_{A}] = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{E_{k}} \mathbb{1}_{A}]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{E_{k} \cap A}] = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \mathbb{P}(E_{k} \cap A).$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_{A_n}] = \sum_{k=1}^l a_k \mathbb{P}(E_k \cap A_n)$$

for each $n \ge 1$. Then, it follows that, for all $m \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} |\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_{A_n}]| = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_k \mathbb{P}(E_k \cap A_n)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_k \mathbb{P}(E_k \cap \bigcup_{m \ge n} A_n),$$

where the equality holds by disjointness of $\{A_n\}$. Since $\bigcup_n A_n = A$, we can exploit continuity of probability and obtain

$$\sum_{n} |\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_{A_{n}}]| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \mathbb{P}(E_{k} \cap \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_{n})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(E_{n} \cap \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_{n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \mathbb{P}(E_{n} \cap A) = \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_{A}].$$

Hence, we have shown that for X non-negative and simple, $\sum_n \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_{A_n}]$ converges absolutely to $\mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_A]$.

We now extend the case to non-negative integrable functions. Let X be a bounded, measurable, non-negative functions. Choose $\{\phi_k\}$ simple functions such that $\phi_k \to X$. By the previous result, we observe

$$\sum_{n} \mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_{A_n}] = \mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_A] \ (*)$$

for any $k \ge 1$. Since $\phi_k \to X$ uniformly, by monotone convergence theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_A] \to \mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_A]$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_{A_n}] \to \mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_{A_n}]$$

which via implies

$$\sum_{n} \mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_{A_n}] \to \sum_{n} \mathbb{E}[\phi_k \mathbb{1}_{A_n}].$$

Combining (*) with the above limit, we see that $\sum_n \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_{A_n}]$ converges absolutely and $\sum_n \mathbb{E}[X\mathbb{1}_{A_n}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A]$ as required. By considering the positive part and negative part, we can extend the result to any random variable as required.

(ii) Firstly, observe that

$$\mathbb{Q}(\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\Omega}]}{\mathbb{E}[X]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{\mathbb{E}[X]} = 1.$$

Hence, it now suffices to show that \mathbb{Q} is countably additive, but from the discussion in (i), we see

$$\mathbb{Q}(\bigcup_{n} A_{n}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X \mathbb{1}_{\cup_{n} A_{n}}]}{\mathbb{E}[X]} = \frac{\sum_{n} \mathbb{E}[X \mathbb{1}_{A_{n}}]}{\mathbb{E}[X]} = \sum_{n} \mathbb{Q}(A_{n}).$$

for any $\{A_n\} \subset \mathscr{F}$ that are pairwise disjoint. So, \mathbb{Q} is a probability measure, if $X \geq 0$ and we are done

Question 4.

4. Let $Y = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ for some measurable sets A_1, \dots, A_n . Express $\mathrm{Var}(Y)$ in terms of $\mathbb{P}(A_k)$ and $\mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_\ell)$, then calculate it for the following case: each one of m players selects, independently and uniformly, a number in $\{1,\dots,n\}$; the event A_k says that the number k was not selected by any player.

Solution.

We compute

$$Var[Y] = \mathbb{E}[Y^{2}] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^{2}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(\sum_{k=1}^{l} \mathbb{1}_{A_{k}})^{2}] - \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=1}^{l} \mathbb{1}_{A_{k}}]^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_{k}} \mathbb{1}_{A_{l}}] - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_{k}}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_{l}}]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_{k} \cap A_{l}}] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_{k}}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_{l}}]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(A_{k} \cap A_{l}) - \mathbb{P}(A_{k}) \mathbb{P}(A_{l}).$$

Now, observe that, for k = 1, ..., n,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_k) = (\frac{n-1}{n})^m$$

and for k, l = 1, ..., n,

$$k = l \implies \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) = (\frac{n-1}{n})^m$$

 $k \neq l \implies \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) = (\frac{n-2}{n})^m$.

So

$$\operatorname{Var}[Y] = \sum_{1 \le k, l \le n; k \ne l} \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^m - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{2m} + \sum_{1 \le k, l \le n; k = l} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^m - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{2m}$$
$$= (n^2 - n)\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^m + n\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^m - n^2\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{2m}$$

as required.