

---

# ProbLimI: Problem Set II

---

Youngduck Choi  
CIMS  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains solutions to the exercises of the problem set I. The chosen problems are 1, 2, and 4.

### Question 1.

1. Let  $X$  be a nonnegative random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[X^2] < \infty$ , and set  $m_i := \mathbb{E}[X^i]$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

- (i) Prove that for every  $0 \leq x < m_1$  we have  $\mathbb{P}(X > x) \geq (m_1 - x)^2/m_2$ .
- (ii) Prove that  $(\mathbb{E}|X^2 - m_2|)^2 \leq 4m_2(m_2 - m_1^2)$ .
- (iii) Show the following inequality, and compare it to part (i) for  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < \ell \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_\ell).$$

### Solution.

(1) Fix  $\alpha \in [0, m_1]$ . By Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{X>\alpha} X]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[1_{\{X>\alpha\}}^2] \mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathbb{P}(X > \alpha) m_2.$$

On the other hand,

$$m_1 = \mathbb{E}[X 1_{\{X>\alpha\}}] + \mathbb{E}[X 1_{\{X \leq \alpha\}}],$$

which implies

$$(m_1 - \alpha)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X 1_{\{X>\alpha\}}]^2.$$

Combining the above inequality with the first one and re-arranging yield

$$\frac{(m_1 - \alpha)^2}{m_2} \leq \mathbb{P}(X > \alpha),$$

as required. □

(2) By Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|(X + m_2^{\frac{1}{2}})(X - m_2^{\frac{1}{2}})|] &\leq (\mathbb{E}[X^2 + 2m_2^{\frac{1}{2}}X + m_2] \mathbb{E}[X^2 - 2m_2^{\frac{1}{2}}X + m_2])^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (4m_2^2 - 4m_2m_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2m_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_2 - m_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Squaring both sides gives the desired inequality. □

(3) We show the inequality via induction. For  $n = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup A_2) &= \mathbb{P}(A_1^c \cap A_2) + \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2^c) + \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A_1^c \cap A_2) + \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) + \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2^c) + \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) - \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(A_1) + \mathbb{P}(A_2) - \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2).\end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose the statement is true for some  $n > 2$ . Then, using the  $n = 2$  case, the inductive hypothesis and subadditivity gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n+1} A_k\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \cup A_{n+1}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) + \mathbb{P}(A_{n+1}) - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \cap A_{n+1}\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) + \mathbb{P}(A_{n+1}) - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \cap A_{n+1}\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) - \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the induction is complete and the inequality is true. Now, if  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{A_k}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}m_1 &= E[X] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k) \\ m_2 &= E[X^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq n} 1_{A_k}\right] = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X > \alpha) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right),$$

for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . Hence, to compare, if  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n 1_{A_k}$ , we can re-write (iii) as

$$P(X > \alpha) \geq 2m_1 - m_2,$$

for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . □

## Question 2.

2. Let  $X$  be a real-valued random variable.

(a) Prove that the function  $f(x) = \mathbb{E} \exp(-|X - x|)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Further suppose that  $X \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}X^p < \infty$  for some  $p > 0$ .

(b.1) Show that  $\lim_{p \downarrow 0} (\mathbb{E}X^p - 1)/p = \mathbb{E} \log X$ .

(b.2) Conclude that  $\lim_{p \downarrow 0} \log(\mathbb{E}X^p)/p = \mathbb{E} \log X$ .

### Solution.

(a) We first note that, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exp(-|X - x|)$  is uniformly bounded by 1, and we have a finite measure, so the expectation is well-defined and  $f$  is well-defined everywhere. Set  $\mu = L(X)$ . Then, by a change of variable,

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(-|X - x|)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-|t - x|) \mu(dt)$$

so, for  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+h) - f(x)| &= \left| \int e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|} \mu(dt) \right| \\ &\leq \int |e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|}| \mu(dt) \quad (*). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|}| \leq 2$$

for any  $t, x, h \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$|e^{-|t-x-h|} - e^{-|t-x|}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0$$

for any  $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, by BCT and (\*), it follows that

$$|f(x+h) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

which shows that  $f$  is continuous as required.  $\square$

(b.1) Let  $q > 0$  be a constant such that  $X^q \in L^1$ . With L'hôpital's rule, we obtain that

$$\lim_{p \downarrow 0} \frac{X^p(w) - 1}{p} = \lim_{p \downarrow 0} X^p(w) \log(X(w)) = \log(X(w)),$$

for all  $w \in \Omega$ . By taking the derivatives, one can show that the convergence is in fact monotonic.

Hence,  $\{\frac{X^p - 1}{p} 1_{\{X \geq 1\}}\}_{q \geq p > 0}$  is a family of non-negative RVs monotonically decreasing almost

surely to  $\log(X) 1_{\{X \geq 1\}}$  as  $p \downarrow 0$  and  $\{\frac{X^p - 1}{p} 1_{\{X < 1\}}\}_{q \geq p > 0}$  is a family of non-positive RVs mono-

tonically decreasing almost surely to  $\log(X) 1_{\{X < 1\}}$  as  $p \downarrow 0$ . Hence, by MCT (formally, the variants used here can be deduced from the standard non-negative, increasing version; the non-negative, decreasing case requires  $L^1$  integrability for the first RV in the sequence and we choose it to be  $q$  to achieve this)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \downarrow 0} \frac{(\mathbb{E}X^p - 1)}{p} &= \lim_{p \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{X^p - 1}{p} 1_{\{X \geq 1\}} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{X^p - 1}{p} 1_{\{X < 1\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\log(X) 1_{\{X \geq 1\}}] + \mathbb{E}[\log(X) 1_{\{X < 1\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\log(X)], \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

(b.2) Observe that  $X^p \rightarrow 1$  almost surely as  $p \downarrow 0$ , and  $\max(X^q, 1)$  dominates  $\{X^p\}_{0 < p \leq q}$ . Therefore, by DCT, and the fact that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log x}{x - 1} = 1$  by L'hôpital, combined with (b), we obtain

$$\lim_{p \downarrow 0} \frac{\log(\mathbb{E}X^p)}{p} = \lim_{p \downarrow 0} \frac{\log(\mathbb{E}X^p)}{\mathbb{E}X^p - 1} \frac{\mathbb{E}X^p - 1}{p} = \mathbb{E} \log(X)$$

**(Remark)** Although all limit theorems are stated so far for a countable limit, they apply as well to a continuous limit. Suppose  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a family of  $L^1$  dominated random variables such that  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} X_t(w) \rightarrow X_0(w)$  for all  $w \in \Omega$ . Then, by DCT, for any  $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$  such that  $t_n \downarrow 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_{t_n}] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ . Since this is true for any such sequence, it follows that  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$  in a proper continuous limit sense. We will freely use the limit theorem in the continuous setting without doing the above pass everytime.

**Question 3.**

3. Let  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$  be a random variable with  $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ .
- (i) Show that if  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  are disjoint sets and  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$  then  $\sum_n \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{A_n}]$  converges absolutely and  $\sum_n \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_{A_n}] = \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_A]$ .
  - (ii) Conclude that if  $X \geq 0$  then  $\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}[X \mathbf{1}_A] / \mathbb{E}X$  is a probability measure.

**Solution.**

**Question 4.**

4. Let  $Y = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$  for some measurable sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Express  $\text{Var}(Y)$  in terms of  $\mathbb{P}(A_k)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l)$ , then calculate it for the following case: each one of  $m$  players selects, independently and uniformly, a number in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ; the event  $A_k$  says that the number  $k$  was not selected by any player.

**Solution.**

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}[Y] &= \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 \\
 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^l \mathbf{1}_{A_k}\right)^2\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^l \mathbf{1}_{A_k}\right]^2 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_k} \mathbf{1}_{A_l}] - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_k}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_l}] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_k \cap A_l}] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_k}] \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_l}] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) - \mathbb{P}(A_k) \mathbb{P}(A_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that, for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_k) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^m$$

and for  $k, l = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k = l &\implies \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) = \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^m \\
 k \neq l &\implies \mathbb{P}(A_k \cap A_l) = \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^m.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}[Y] &= \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq n; k \neq l} \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^m - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{2m} + \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq n; k = l} \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^m - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{2m} \\
 &= (n^2 - n) \left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^m + n \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^m - n^2 \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{2m}
 \end{aligned}$$

as required. □