

---

# Basic Probability: Problem Set II

---

Youngduck Choi  
CILVR Lab  
New York University  
yc1104@nyu.edu

## Abstract

This work contains a collection of solutions for selected problems of the Basic Probability course of Fall 2015.

### Question 1. Countability and $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Solution.** Suppose  $\Omega$  is an infinite set. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a sub-collection of  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega)$ , defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{X \subseteq \Omega \mid X \text{ is finite or } X^c \text{ is finite}\}.$$

As  $\Omega$  is infinite, there exists an injective map  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Omega$ . Consider the two images of  $\phi$ :  $\phi(2\mathbb{N})$  and  $\phi(2\mathbb{N} + 1)$ , where  $2\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of evens and  $2\mathbb{N} + 1$  denotes the set of odds. Observe that  $\phi(2\mathbb{N})$  is infinite and  $\phi(2\mathbb{N})^c$  is infinite, as  $\phi$  is injective. Hence,  $\phi(2\mathbb{N}) \notin \mathcal{A}$ . The image, however, can be expressed in the following way:

$$\phi(2\mathbb{N}) = \bigcup_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}} \{\phi(k)\},$$

which gives that  $\phi(2\mathbb{N})$  is a countable union of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , as each point set  $\{\phi(k)\}$  is finite and in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, by the definition of  $\sigma$ -algebra, we obtain  $\phi(2\mathbb{N}) \in \mathcal{A}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence,  $\mathcal{A}$ , defined by the given characterization, is not a  $\sigma$ -algebra, when  $\Omega$  is infinite.  $\square$

### Question 2. Limit of Probabilities of Disjoint Events.

**Solution.** Let  $(A_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a set of disjoint events and  $\mathbb{P}$  be a probability. Suppose for sake of contradiction that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n)$  does not converge to 0. Then, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 0$ , such that  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \geq \epsilon$ . Then, as the events are disjoint, by the countable additivity of probability, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon = \infty.$$

Hence, we obtain that  $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n) \geq \infty$ . This is a contradiction, as a probability measure assigns any event in the  $\sigma$ -algebra to some real number in  $[0, 1]$ . Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Question 3. Bonferroni Inequalities.**

**Solution.** Let  $\{A_i\}$  be a sequence of events. We wish to prove the following probabilistic inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j).$$

We proceed by induction. Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two events. Then, by the finite additivity of probability measure, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup A_2) = \mathbb{P}(A_1) + \mathbb{P}(A_2) - \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2).$$

Hence, the inequality holds for  $n = 2$  case. Now, assume that the inequality holds for some  $k$ .

**Question 4.**

**Solution.** A pair of dice is rolled until a sum of either 5 or 7 appears. We wish to compute the probability that a 5 occurs first. Let  $E_n$  denote the event, where a 5 occurs on the  $n$ th roll, and no 5 or 7 occurs on the first  $(n - 1)$  roll. The probability that we wish to compute can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n),$$

as each  $E_n$  are pairwise disjoint events. Let  $F_n$  denote the event, where no 5 or 7 occurs on the  $n$ th roll, and let  $G_n$  denote the event, where 5 occurs on the  $n$ th roll.

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} F_k\right) \cap G_n\right).$$

Now, with the independence assumption on each throw, we can factorize the RHS, and obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(E_n) = \mathbb{P}(G_n) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(F_k), \quad (1)$$

where  $n - 1 = 0$  case for the product term is defined to be 1. Assuming that the two dices are both fair dices, through a simple combinatorial argument (just count!), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(G_n) &= \frac{4}{36} = \frac{1}{9}, \\ \mathbb{P}(F_n) &= 1 - \frac{4}{36} - \frac{6}{36} = \frac{26}{36} = \frac{13}{18}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equations into (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(E_n) &= \frac{1}{9} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{13}{18} \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{13}{18}\right)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(E_n) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{13}{18}\right)^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{13}{18}}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the probability that a 5 occurs first is  $\frac{2}{5}$ .  $\square$

**Question 5.  $\limsup$  and  $\liminf$ .**

**Solution.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a probability measure on  $\Omega$  endowed with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We then define  $\limsup$  and  $\liminf$  of a sequence of events  $\{A_n\}$ , chosen from  $\mathcal{A}$  as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A_n\} &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m, \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A_n\} &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m.\end{aligned}$$

(i) The meaning of the above events can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A_n\} &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \\ &= \{x \in \Omega \mid \forall n, \exists m \geq n \text{ such that } x \in A_m\} \\ &= \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{the outcome } x \text{ appears infinitely often in the event sequence}\}, \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A_n\} &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \\ &= \{x \in \Omega \mid \exists n, \forall m \geq n, x \in A_m\}. \\ &= \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{the outcome } x \text{ does not appear only for a finite number of events in the sequence}\}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra. For any  $p \leq 1$ , we define

$$A_{2p} = \left[-1, 2 + \frac{1}{2p}\right), \quad A_{2p+1} = \left(-2 - \frac{1}{2p+1}, 1\right].$$

We first denote the  $\limsup$  set as  $S$  and  $\liminf$  set as  $I$ . Then, we claim that

$$\begin{aligned}S &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A_n\} = [-2, 2], \\ I &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A_n\} = [-1, 1],\end{aligned}$$

which we denote as  $S$  and  $I$  respectively.

We first prove that  $S = [-2, 2]$ . Consider  $x \in [0, 2]$ . Observe that for any  $m$ ,  $x \in A_{2\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}$ , as  $[0, 2] \subseteq [-1, 2 + \frac{1}{2\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}]$ . As  $2\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil \geq m$ , we have  $[0, 2] \subseteq S$ . Similarly, consider  $x \in [-2, 0]$ .

Observe that for any  $m$ ,  $x \in A_{2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1}$ , as  $[-2, 0] \subseteq (-2 - \frac{1}{2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1}, 1]$ . As  $2\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq m$ , we have  $[-2, 0] \subseteq S$ . Suppose now that  $x > 2$ . Then, by the Archimedean property of real number, there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $2 + \frac{1}{2p} < x$ . Hence,  $x \notin A_k$  for all  $k \geq 2p$ . Therefore, for  $x > 2$ ,  $x \notin S$ . Analogously, for  $x < -2$ ,  $x \notin S$ . We have shown that  $S = [-2, 2]$ .

We now show that  $I = [-1, 1]$ . Observe that  $[-1, 1] \subseteq A_k$  for all positive integer  $k$  greater than 1. Therefore,  $[-1, 1] \subseteq I$ . For  $x > 1$ , the odd terms do not contain  $x$ . For  $x < -1$ , the even terms do not contain  $x$ . Hence, we have shown that  $I = [-1, 1]$  as desired.

(iii)

### Question 6. Zeta Function in Probability.

**Solution.** Let  $n$  and  $m$  be random numbers chosen independently and uniformly on  $[[1, N]]$ . Then, we can characterize  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$ , which all implicitly depend on  $N$  as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= [[1, N]] \times [[1, N]], \\ \mathcal{A} &= 2^{\Omega},\end{aligned}$$

where  $2^\Omega$  denotes the power set of  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, with the uniform probability assumption, we can define the probability measure on the above measurable space  $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{|A|}{N^2} \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Now, define set of events  $A_p$  as follow:

$$\{x \in \Omega \mid \text{both } n \text{ and } m \text{ have a prime } p \text{ as its factor}\}.$$

Notice that the  $(n, m) = 1$  event, for a fixed  $N$ , can be expressed in terms of  $A_p$ s as

$$\mathbb{P}((n, m) = 1) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{p \in \bar{P}} A_p^c\right),$$

where  $\bar{P}$  denotes the set of primes not greater than  $N$ . As  $\{A_p\}$  collection forms an independent collection, arising from the uniform probability assumption and independence, the probability can be factorized into

$$\mathbb{P}((n, m) = 1) = \prod_{p \in \bar{P}} \mathbb{P}(A_p^c),$$

with  $\mathbb{P}(A_p^c) = 1 - \frac{\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \rfloor^2}{p}$ . Hence, we can re-write the above equation as

$$\mathbb{P}((n, m) = 1) = \prod_{p \in \bar{P}} 1 - \frac{\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \rfloor^2}{p}.$$

Now, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}((n, m) = 1)_{N \rightarrow \infty} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \in \bar{P}} 1 - \frac{\lfloor \frac{N}{p} \rfloor^2}{p} \\ &= \prod_{p \in P} 1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \\ &= \zeta(2)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P$  denotes the set of primes in the second equation, as desired.  $\square$