Bivariate statistics t-test

Andri Setiyawan   Benedikt Meyer   Niri Gala

Yosep Dwi Kristanto

> **Problem**
>
> Use the data file `SPSS_Gapminder_main_1.sav`
> Run t-tests correctly and check assumptions carefully.
>
> 1. Test the life expectancy for the population in all countries and compare male and female scores.
>
> 2. Compare the life expectancy of males and females in OECD, G77, and Other countries separately.
>
> Use the data file `Pollution.sav`
> *Assume that you are an environmental lobbyist interested in assessing whether the introduction of a new waste removal system at a large chemical factory has had an impact on groundwater contamination levels at 12 test sites in the area. You compare contamination levels from the same 12 locations based on samples collected one month before, and one month after the system was introduced.*
>
> 1. Run the appropriate t-test for `pollute_before` and `pollute_after` as your variables of interest
>
> 2. Was there a significant difference between the average groundwater contamination levels measured before and after the waste removal system was installed? Run appropriate t-test

Male and Female Life Expectancy Across Countries

Data Exploration

Table 1 presents summary statistics for male and female life expectancy across countries in 2000 and 2010, while Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of male and female life expectancy

Table 1: Summary statistics for male and female life expectancy across countries in 2000 and 2010

| Year	Gender	M	SD
2000	Female	68.78098	10.699871
2000	Male	63.92717	9.583993
2010	Female	72.23913	9.122901
2010	Male	67.42446	8.256033

across countries for these years.

Figure 1: Comparison of male and female life expectancy across countries for the years 2000 and 2010

Hypotheses

2000

H_0: There is no difference in life expectancy between males and females across countries in 2000.

H_1: There is a difference in life expectancy between males and females across countries in 2000.

2010

H_0: There is no difference in life expectancy between males and females across countries in 2010.

H_1: There is a difference in life expectancy between males and females across countries in 2010.

Assumption Checking

- Since the variable life expectancy is a ratio variable, the first assumption is met.

- The grouping variable is dichotomous, categorized as male and female.

- The distribution of the differences in life expectancy between males and females across countries in 2000 and 2010 is presented in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Distribution of differences in life expectancy between males and females across countries in 2000 and 2010

Figure 3 presents QQ plots illustrating the distribution of life expectancy differences between males and females across countries for the years 2000 and 2010.

Based on Figure 3, the differences in life expectancy between males and females in both 2000 and 2010 do not align well with a normal distribution. This suggests that the assumption of normality for the life expectancy differences across these years may not hold.

Figure 3: QQ plots displaying the distribution of differences in life expectancy between males and females across countries for the years 2000 and 2010

```
	Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data:  gapminder_data_fm$life_exp_diff[gapminder_data_fm$year == 2000]
W = 0.9642, p-value = 0.00012


	Shapiro-Wilk normality test

data:  gapminder_data_fm$life_exp_diff[gapminder_data_fm$year == 2010]
W = 0.96217, p-value = 7.259e-05
```

To confirm the graphical findings, we conducted a Shapiro–Wilk test of normality for life expectancy differences in 2000 and 2010. The test yielded p-values of 1.2004593×10^{-4} for 2000 and 7.2592831×10^{-5} for 2010, both below .05, indicating the differences are unlikely to be from a normally distributed population.

Although the life expectancy differences for both years cannot be assumed to come from a normally distributed population, the sample size (184 for each year) is large. Based on the Central Limit Theorem, we can still proceed with a t-test.

Calculate the t Statistics

Paired t-tests were conducted to compare male and female life expectancy across countries. The t-statistics, degrees of freedom (df), and p-values for each test are presented below.

```
    Paired t-test

data:  gapminder_data_fm$LEm00 and gapminder_data_fm$LEf00
t = -38.123, df = 367, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean difference is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -5.104173 -4.603436
sample estimates:
mean difference
      -4.853804
```

```
    Paired t-test

data:  gapminder_data_fm$LEm10 and gapminder_data_fm$LEf10
t = -41.22, df = 367, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true mean difference is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -5.044365 -4.584983
sample estimates:
mean difference
      -4.814674
```

Testing for the Significance of t

Based on the results of the paired t-test for the 2000 data, we obtained a p-value of less than 2.2e-16 (see Figure 4a). This indicates that, assuming the null hypothesis is true, the probability of obtaining a sample like that in `SPSS_Gapminder_main_1 (1).sav` is less than $2.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$.

Similarly, for the paired t-test for the 2010 data, the p-value was also less than 2.2e-16 (see Figure 4b). This suggests that, assuming the null hypothesis is true, the probability of obtaining a sample like that in `SPSS_Gapminder_main_1 (1).sav` is less than $2.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$.

(a) Tail area representing p-value from paired t-test for 2000 data

(b) Tail area representing p-value from paired t-test for 2010 data

Figure 4: Theoretical t-distribution with 367 degrees of freedom

Interpreting t

From the paired t-test for the 2000 data, we obtained t = -38.1228284 and a p-value less than 2.2e-16. Since the p-value is smaller than .05, we reject the null hypothesis.

Similarly, for the 2010 data, the paired t-test yielded t = -41.2197962 and a p-value less than 2.2e-16. As the p-value is also smaller than .05, we reject the null hypothesis.

Effect Size

We computed the effect size of the paired t-test using Pearson's r and Cohen's d. Table 2 presents the values of r and d for both the 2000 and 2010 data.

```
# Calculating r and d for 2000 data
r_life_exp_all00 <- sqrt(
  as.numeric(t_test00$statistic)^2 / (as.numeric(t_test00$statistic)^2 + as.numeric(t_test00
)
d_life_exp_all00 <- 2 * as.numeric(t_test00$statistic) / sqrt(as.numeric(t_test00$parameter)

# Calculating r and d for 2010 data
r_life_exp_all10 <- sqrt(
  as.numeric(t_test10$statistic)^2 / (as.numeric(t_test10$statistic)^2 + as.numeric(t_test10
)
d_life_exp_all10 <- 2 * as.numeric(t_test10$statistic) / sqrt(as.numeric(t_test10$parameter)
```

Table 2: Effect size for 2000 and 2010 data

Effect Size	2000	2010
Pearson's r	0.893527	0.9068452
Cohen's d	-3.9799909	-4.3033117

Report the Findings

The results of the paired t-test for the 2000 data revealed a significant difference in life expectancy between males (M = 63.93, SD = 9.58) and females (M = 68.78, SD = 10.7), t(367) = -38.12, p < .001. The effect size was large, with Pearson's r = 0.89 and Cohen's d = -3.98, according to Cohen's (1988) guidelines.

Similarly, the paired t-test for the 2010 data showed a significant difference in life expectancy between males (M = 67.42, SD = 8.26) and females (M = 72.24, SD = 9.12), t(367) = -41.22, p < .001. The effect size was also large, with Pearson's r = 0.91 and Cohen's d = -4.3, indicating a stronger effect in 2010 compared to 2000.

Table 3: Summary statistics of male and female life expectancy in OECD, G77, and other countries for 2000 and 2010

Group	Year	Gender	n	M	SD
OECD	2000	Female	31	80.37419	2.247809
OECD	2000	Male	31	74.29032	2.911970
OECD	2010	Female	31	82.50645	2.001322
OECD	2010	Male	31	77.17742	2.714493
G77	2000	Female	127	65.03386	10.343407
G77	2000	Male	127	61.04409	9.421368
G77	2010	Female	127	69.01575	8.670516
G77	2010	Male	127	64.81654	7.793452
others	2000	Female	26	73.26154	5.823063
others	2000	Male	26	65.65385	6.027486
others	2010	Female	26	75.74231	5.409042
others	2010	Male	26	68.53462	5.495812

Male and Female Life Expectancy in OECD, G77, and Other Countries

Data Exploration

Table 3 provides summary statistics for male and female life expectancy in OECD, G77, and other countries for 2000 and 2010. The table indicates a substantial difference in life expectancy between males and females across each country group (OECD, G77, and others) in both 2000 and 2010. To determine whether this difference is systematic, we will conduct a t-test.

Assumption Checking

Calculate the t Statistics

Testing for Significance of t

Interpreting t

Effect Size

Report the Findings