

# Field Survey Support Ticketing Platform

## Project Vision & Architecture (v 1 – Constrained MVP)

---

### 1 . Executive Vision

#### 1 . 1 Purpose

Build a multi-tenant, enterprise-capable ticketing platform specifically designed for Field Survey Support operations on construction projects.

The platform will:

- Replace email, spreadsheets, and ad hoc communication
- Provide structured intake and assignment
- Create defensible audit trails
- Deliver operational insight by project, area, and survey crew

This document defines **constrained MVP (v 1)** to prevent scope creep and maintain architectural discipline.

All advanced customization and configuration capabilities are intentionally deferred.

---

### 2 . Product Philosophy (Guardrails)

- 1 . Workflows are opinionated and fixed in v 1 .
- 2 . Roles are predefined.
- 3 . State transitions are deterministic.
- 4 . Reporting is operational, not BI-grade.
- 5 . Multi-tenancy isolation is non-negotiable.
- 6 . Architecture must support enterprise evolution without premature complexity.

Anything outside these principles is locked behind "Future Configuration".

---

### 3 . Core Problem Statement

Construction projects generate high volumes of survey-related requests that must:

- Be approved for execution
- Be assigned to field crews
- Produce verifiable completion artifacts
- Be traceable for audit and legal defensibility

Existing systems (email, Excel, generic ticket tools) lack:  
- Domain alignment (areas, crafts, survey  
Proper audit history - Field-oriented assignment dashboards - Structured cancellation controls

This platform becomes the system-of-record for survey support activity.

---

## 4 . v 1    Scope Definition

### 4 . 1    Multi-Tenant Model

- Each Tenant may contain multiple Projects.
- Each Project contains tickets, users, areas, crafts.
- Tenants are strictly isolated.
- No cross-tenant visibility.

### 4 . 2    User Roles (Fixed in v 1 )

#### Tenant-Level

- Tenant Admin
- Billing/Admin Viewer

#### Project-Level

- Requester
- Approver
- Survey Lead
- Survey Crew (Party Chief / Instrument Man)
- Viewer

Roles are fixed and not configurable in v 1 .

---

## 5 . v 1    Workflow Variants (Strictly Limited)

Only two workflow variants exist in v 1 .

No dynamic workflow builder. No custom state creation. No per-project workflow editing.

---

## **5 . 1      Workflow Variant      1 : Standard Approval**

### **Purpose**

Used for planned survey work requiring review before field execution.

### **State Machine**

Draft → Submitted → Approved → Assigned → In Progress → Completed

Rejection Path: Submitted → Rejected

Cancellation Path: Assigned/In Progress → Cancel Requested → Cancel Approved/Cancel Rejected

### **Flow Description**

- 1 . Requester creates and submits ticket.
- 2 . Approver reviews:
- 3 . Approve → ticket moves to Approved
- 4 . Reject → ticket moves to Rejected
- 5 . Survey Lead assigns crew.
- 6 . Assigned crew executes task.
- 7 . Completion requires artifact upload (optional but encouraged).
- 8 . Status moves to Completed.

Cancellation requires separate sign-off by Approver or Survey Lead.

---

## **5 . 2      Workflow Variant      2 : Task Completion (Direct Assignment)**

### **Purpose**

Used for urgent or pre-authorized work.

### **State Machine**

Created → Assigned → In Progress → Completed

Cancellation Path: Assigned/In Progress → Cancel Requested → Cancel Approved/Cancel Rejected

## **Flow Description**

- 1 . Request created (auto-approved).
- 2 . Survey Lead assigns crew immediately.
- 3 . Crew executes task.
- 4 . Completion logged with optional attachments.

No approval gate exists in this variant.

---

## **6 . Ticket Requirements (v 1 )**

Each ticket must support: - Project - Area - Subarea - Craft - Requester - Assigned Survey  
Status - Timestamps (Created, Approved, Assigned, Completed) - Attachments (.dwg, .dxf, .csv, photos)  
- Comment thread - Immutable event history

---

## **7 . Reporting (Operational Only)**

v 1 Reporting Includes: - Open vs Closed by Project - Requests by Area - Requests by Craft  
Crew workload - Daily summary report (per project)

v 1 does NOT include: - Custom report builders - Cross-tenant analytics - Predictive modeling enforcement engine

---

## **8 . Security & Isolation**

### **Authentication**

- Email/password (hashed securely)
- MFA optional
- SSO reserved for future phase

### **Authorization**

- Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)
- All queries scoped by tenant\_id

### **Data Protection**

- TLS in transit

- Encrypted storage at rest
- Object storage for attachments
- Attachment size limits enforced

## Audit

- Append-only event log per ticket
  - Records state changes, approvals, assignments, cancellations
- 

# 9 . Modular Monolith Architecture

## 9 . 1 Architectural Principle

Single deployable application. Strict internal module boundaries. Clear separation of domain logic. distributed system complexity in v 1 .

---

## 9 . 2 High-Level Components

Frontend (React/Next.js)

API Layer (REST)

Application Core (Modular Monolith)

PostgreSQL (System of Record)

Object Storage (Attachments)

Background Worker (Async jobs)

Redis (Cache, optional in early v 1 )

---

## 9 . 3 Internal Modules (Code-Level Boundaries)

### 1 . Identity Module

- Users
- Authentication
- Password hashing
- Session management

## **2 . Tenancy Module**

- Tenant creation
- Project management
- Role assignments
- Membership validation

## **3 . Ticket Module**

- Ticket creation
- State machine enforcement
- Assignment logic
- Cancellation logic

## **4 . Workflow Module**

- Implements fixed state transitions
- Enforces workflow variant selection
- Validates legal transitions

## **5 . Attachment Module**

- File metadata
- Pre-signed upload URLs
- Access control validation

## **6 . Notification Module**

- Email notifications
- Async dispatch

## **7 . Reporting Module**

- Aggregated queries
- Daily report generation

## **8 . Audit Module**

- Append-only event recording
- State change tracking

Each module communicates via application service layer, not direct cross-table manipulation.

---

## 1 0 . Data Model Overview (Simplified)

Core Tables: - tenants - projects - users - tenant\_memberships - project\_memberships - tickets  
ticket\_events - approvals - attachments

All domain tables include tenant\_id.

Indexes required for: - tenant\_id + project\_id + status - tenant\_id + project\_id + assigned\_user -  
project\_id + created\_at

---

## 1 1 . Background Processing

Async Jobs Include: - Email notifications - Daily report generation - Attachment validation

Jobs handled by worker process within same codebase.

---

## 1 2 . Performance Constraints

Design target: - 1 , 0 0 0 - 5 0 , 0 0 0 tickets per project - Low-latency operational dashboards

Mitigation strategy: - Proper indexing - Paginated API responses - Pre-aggregated daily rollups (if needed)

---

## 1 3 . Explicitly Deferred (Future Configuration)

The following are locked behind future phases:

- Custom workflow builder
  - Configurable states
  - Per-project workflow edits
  - Custom role creation
  - SCIM provisioning
  - Enterprise SSO
  - Cross-project analytics
  - Advanced SLA engine
  - In-browser DWG viewer
  - External integrations (Procore, Autodesk, Teams)
  - Multi-step conditional approval trees
-

## **1 . Success Criteria for v 1**

- 1 . Multi-tenant isolation is airtight.
  - 2 . Both workflow variants operate deterministically.
  - 3 . Audit trail is complete and immutable.
  - 4 . Operational reports are accurate and performant.
  - 5 . System handles 1 0 , 0 0 + tickets in a project without degradation.
- 

## **1 . Architectural North Star**

Remain a disciplined modular monolith until:  
- Load demands separation - Team size requires extraction  
- Enterprise clients demand isolation boundaries

Premature microservices are explicitly rejected.

---

## **End of Document**