

Field Survey Support Ticketing Platform

Project Vision & Architecture (v 1 – Constrained MVP)

1 . Executive Vision

1 . 1 Purpose

Build a multi-tenant, enterprise-capable ticketing platform specifically designed for Field Survey Support operations on construction projects.

The platform will: - Replace email, spreadsheets, and ad hoc communication - Provide structured intake and assignment - Create defensible audit trails - Deliver operational insight by project, area, and survey crew

This document defines **constrained MVP (v 1)** to prevent scope creep and maintain architectural discipline.

All advanced customization and configuration capabilities are intentionally deferred.

2 . Product Philosophy (Guardrails)

- 1 . Workflows are opinionated and fixed in v 1 .
- 2 . Roles are predefined.
- 3 . State transitions are deterministic.
- 4 . Reporting is operational, not BI-grade.
- 5 . Multi-tenancy isolation is non-negotiable.
- 6 . Architecture must support enterprise evolution without premature complexity.

Anything outside these principles is locked behind "Future Configuration".

3 . Core Problem Statement

Construction projects generate high volumes of survey-related requests that must: - Be approved for execution - Be assigned to field crews - Produce verifiable completion artifacts - Be traceable for accountability and legal defensibility

Existing systems (email, Excel, generic ticket tools) lack: - Domain alignment (areas, crafts, survey)
Proper audit history - Field-oriented assignment dashboards - Structured cancellation controls

This platform becomes the system-of-record for survey support activity.

4 . v 1 **Scope Definition**

4 . 1 **Multi-Tenant Model**

- Each Tenant may contain multiple Projects.
- Each Project contains tickets, users, areas, crafts.
- Tenants are strictly isolated.
- No cross-tenant visibility.

4 . 2 **User Roles (Fixed in v 1)**

Tenant-Level

- Tenant Admin
- Billing/Admin Viewer

Project-Level

- Requester
- Approver
- Survey Lead
- Survey Crew (Party Chief / Instrument Man)
- Viewer

Roles are fixed and not configurable in v 1 .

5 . v 1 **Workflow Variants (Strictly Limited)**

Only two workflow variants exist in v 1 .

No dynamic workflow builder. No custom state creation. No per-project workflow editing.

5 . 1 Workflow Variant 1 : Standard Approval

Purpose

Used for planned survey work requiring review before field execution.

State Machine

Draft → Submitted → Approved → Assigned → In Progress → Completed

Rejection Path: Submitted → Rejected

Cancellation Path: Assigned/In Progress → Cancel Requested → Cancel Approved/Cancel Rejected

Flow Description

- 1 . Requester creates and submits ticket.
- 2 . Approver reviews:
- 3 . Approve → ticket moves to Approved
- 4 . Reject → ticket moves to Rejected
- 5 . Survey Lead assigns crew.
- 6 . Assigned crew executes task.
- 7 . Completion requires artifact upload (optional but encouraged).
- 8 . Status moves to Completed.

Cancellation requires separate sign-off by Approver or Survey Lead.

5 . 2 Workflow Variant 2 : Task Completion (Direct Assignment)

Purpose

Used for urgent or pre-authorized work.

State Machine

Created → Assigned → In Progress → Completed

Cancellation Path: Assigned/In Progress → Cancel Requested → Cancel Approved/Cancel Rejected

Flow Description

- 1 . Request created (auto-approved).
- 2 . Survey Lead assigns crew immediately.
- 3 . Crew executes task.
- 4 . Completion logged with optional attachments.

No approval gate exists in this variant.

6 . Ticket Requirements (v 1)

Each ticket must support: - Project - Area - Subarea - Craft - Requester - Assigned Survey C
Status - Timestamps (Created, Approved, Assigned, Completed) - Attachments (.dwg, .dxf, .csv, photos
- Comment thread - Immutable event history

7 . Reporting (Operational Only)

v 1 Reporting Includes: - Open vs Closed by Project - Requests by Area - Requests by Craft
Crew workload - Daily summary report (per project)

v 1 does NOT include: - Custom report builders - Cross-tenant analytics - Predictive modeling
enforcement engine

8 . Security & Isolation

Authentication

- Email/password (hashed securely)
- MFA optional
- SSO reserved for future phase

Authorization

- Role-Based Access Control (RBAC)
- All queries scoped by tenant_id

Data Protection

- TLS in transit

- Encrypted storage at rest
- Object storage for attachments
- Attachment size limits enforced

Audit

- Append-only event log per ticket
 - Records state changes, approvals, assignments, cancellations
-

9 . Modular Monolith Architecture

9 . 1 Architectural Principle

Single deployable application. Strict internal module boundaries. Clear separation of domain logic. distributed system complexity in v 1 .

9 . 2 High-Level Components

Frontend (React/Next.js)

API Layer (REST)

Application Core (Modular Monolith)

PostgreSQL (System of Record)

Object Storage (Attachments)

Background Worker (Async jobs)

Redis (Cache, optional in early v 1)

9 . 3 Internal Modules (Code-Level Boundaries)

1 . Identity Module

- Users
- Authentication
- Password hashing
- Session management

2 . Tenancy Module

- Tenant creation
- Project management
- Role assignments
- Membership validation

3 . Ticket Module

- Ticket creation
- State machine enforcement
- Assignment logic
- Cancellation logic

4 . Workflow Module

- Implements fixed state transitions
- Enforces workflow variant selection
- Validates legal transitions

5 . Attachment Module

- File metadata
- Pre-signed upload URLs
- Access control validation

6 . Notification Module

- Email notifications
- Async dispatch

7 . Reporting Module

- Aggregated queries
- Daily report generation

8 . Audit Module

- Append-only event recording
- State change tracking

Each module communicates via application service layer, not direct cross-table manipulation.

1 0 . Data Model Overview (Simplified)

Core Tables: - tenants - projects - users - tenant_memberships - project_memberships - tickets - ticket_events - approvals - attachments

All domain tables include tenant_id.

Indexes required for: - tenant_id + project_id + status - tenant_id + project_id + assigned_user - project_id + created_at

1 1 . Background Processing

Async Jobs Include: - Email notifications - Daily report generation - Attachment validation

Jobs handled by worker process within same codebase.

1 2 . Performance Constraints

Design target: - 1 , 0 0 0 - 5 0 , 0 0 0 tickets per project - Low-latency operational dashboards

Mitigation strategy: - Proper indexing - Paginated API responses - Pre-aggregated daily rollups (if needed)

1 3 . Explicitly Deferred (Future Configuration)

The following are locked behind future phases:

- Custom workflow builder
 - Configurable states
 - Per-project workflow edits
 - Custom role creation
 - SCIM provisioning
 - Enterprise SSO
 - Cross-project analytics
 - Advanced SLA engine
 - In-browser DWG viewer
 - External integrations (Procore, Autodesk, Teams)
 - Multi-step conditional approval trees
-

1 4 . Success Criteria for v 1

- 1 . Multi-tenant isolation is airtight.
 - 2 . Both workflow variants operate deterministically.
 - 3 . Audit trail is complete and immutable.
 - 4 . Operational reports are accurate and performant.
 - 5 . System handles 1 0 , 0 0 0 + tickets in a project without degradation.
-

1 5 . Architectural North Star

Remain a disciplined modular monolith until: - Load demands separation - Team size requires extraction - Enterprise clients demand isolation boundaries

Premature microservices are explicitly rejected.

End of Document