Emulate persp plot and filled.contour plot on gridGraphics

April 16, 2017

Introduction

1.1 Background

The core graphics system in R can been divided in to two main packages. The first package is the graphics package. It is older and it provides the original GRZ graphics system from S, sometimes referred to as "traditional" graphics. It is relatively fast and many other R packages build on top of it. The newer package is the grid package. It is actually slower but is has more flexibility and additional features compared to the graphics package.

A graph that is drawn using grid can been edited in many more ways than a graph that has been drawn using the basic graphics package. However, there is a new package, called gridGraphics, which allows us to convert a plot that has been drawn by the graphics package to an equivalent plot drawn by grid graphics. This means that the additional flexibility and features of grid become available for any plot drawn using the graphics package.

1.2 The gridGraphics package

gridGraphics is like a 'translator' that translates a plot that has been drawn using the basic graphics package to a plot that has been drawn using the grid package.

The gridGraphic package has a main function called grid.echo(), which takes a recorded plot as an argument (or NULL for the current plot of the current graphics device). The grid.echo() replicates the plot using grid so that the user may edited the plot in more ways than they can with the original plot drawn by basic graphic package.

The following code provides a quick example. We generate 25 random numbers for x and y. First, we draw a scatter plot using the function plot() from the basic graphics package, then we redraw it using grid.echo() from the gridGraphics package with grid.

```
> pdf("figure/report_basic_demo_%0d.pdf", onefile=FALSE)
> dev.control("enable")
> set.seed(110)
> x = runif(25)
> y = runif(25)
> plot(x,y, pch = 16)
> grid.echo()
```




Figure 1.1: The left plot is drawn by using plot(); the Right plot is redrawn using grid.echo(). Two plots are identical to each other

One example that shows the advantage of drawing the plot using grid rather than basic graphics is that there are objects, called grid grobs, which recorded a list of the details of each components of the plot that has been drawn. The list of grobs can been seen by calling the function grid.ls().

```
> grid.ls()
graphics-plot-1-points-1
graphics-plot-1-bottom-axis-line-1
graphics-plot-1-bottom-axis-ticks-1
graphics-plot-1-bottom-axis-labels-1
graphics-plot-1-left-axis-line-1
graphics-plot-1-left-axis-ticks-1
graphics-plot-1-left-axis-labels-1
graphics-plot-1-box-1
graphics-plot-1-box-1
graphics-plot-1-xlab-1
graphics-plot-1-ylab-1
```

As we see, the <code>grid.ls()</code> function returns a list of grid grobs for the previous plot that has been redrawn by <code>grid</code>. There is one element called <code>graphics-plot-1-bottom-axis-labels-1</code> which represents the labels of the bottom axis. In <code>grid</code>, there are several functions that can be used to manipulate this grob.

For example, if the user wants to rotate the labels of the bottom axis by 30 degrees and changes the color from default to orange, then the following code performs these changes.

```
> grid.edit("graphics-plot-1-bottom-axis-labels-1",
+ rot=30, gp=gpar(col="orange"))
> grid.edit("graphics-plot-1-left-axis-labels-1",
+ rot=30, gp=gpar(col="orange"))
```




Figure 1.2: The angle and the color of the bottom and left axis of the previous plot have been changed by 30 degrees and orange

1.3 The problem

The grid.echo() function can replicate most plots that are drawn by the graphics package. However, there are a few functions in the graphics package that grid.echo() cannot replicate. One such function is persp() which draws 3-dimentional surfaces, the other one is the filled.contour(). If we can draw a plot with persp() or filled.countour(), the result from calling grid.echo() is a (mostly) blank screen.

```
> x \leftarrow y \leftarrow seq(-4*pi, 4*pi, len = 27)
> r \leftarrow sqrt(outer(x^2, y^2, "+"))
> filled.contour(cos(r^2)*exp(-r/(2*pi)), frame.plot = FALSE, plot.axes = {})
> grid.echo()
```

1.4 Aim of this project

The purpose of this paper is emulate the Perspective Plots, persp() and Level (Contour) Plots, filled.contour() into grid package. However, these two functions are written by C, which is difficult for debugging and tracking. The solution of this paper as follows:

- 1. Emulate the persp() function on grid separate from the gridGraphics package (standalone):
 - (a) Extract the information from the graphics engine display list.
 - (b) Understanding and translating the calculation that been done by $\tt C$ code from the <code>graphics</code> package to $\tt R$ code
 - (c) Draw the Perspective Plot on grid.
- 2. Connect the standalone to the gridGraphics




Figure 1.3: The left plot been drawn by using filled.contour and the right plot been redrawn by calling grid.echo(). There is a "blank" page on the right plot because the grid.echo cannot emulate filled.contour()

The graphics engine display list

The information for every plot drawn by R can be recorded. For example, In the simple plot() function, it is possible to obtain the parameters for x and y, even the label of the x-axis and y-axis.

This information is called the graphics engine display list. In this paper, we use this graphics engine display list to replicate the persp() plot and texttfilled.contour() plot using grid.

The recordPlot() function can be used to access the graphics engine display list, the recordPlot() function been used. This function saves the plot in an R object.

The example demonstrates how to access the graphics engine display list of a plot drawn by plot. The values of x and y, the labels of x-axis and y-axis been displayed.




Figure 2.1: The details of the plot of dist vs speed displayed by the graphics engine display list

Standalone

3.1 The Perspective Plots persp()

The Perspective Plots persp() is used to draw a surface over the x-y plane. Usually, it has three main argument, x, y, z. Where x and y are the locations of grid line which the value z been measured, z is a matrix which containing the values that been used to plot, or it is the matrix that been calculated by a specific function, such as 3-D mathematical functions. The following example shows how to draw a obligatory mathematical surface rotated sinc function on Perspective Plot.

```
> x = y = seq(-10, 10, length= 40)
> f <- function(x, y) { r <- sqrt(x^2+y^2); 10 * sin(r)/r }
> z <- outer(x, y, f)
> z[is.na(z)] <- 1
> trans = persp(x, y, z, theta=30, phi = 20, expand = 0.5,
+ col = 'White', border = 'orange')
```




Figure 3.1: An example of Perspective Plot been drawn by persp()

From the previous example, it is clearly to see that the Perspective Plots is formed by a finite number of "polygon", each polygon has 4 Vertices. If we can access the values for each Vertices of the polygon, then we can reproduce this polygon. If we can access all the values of Vertices of all polygons, then

we can reproduce the Perspective Plot.

In order to emulate this plot, we need to access some information from the graphics engine display list. However, the value of the vertices is not in the display list, therefore the plot cannot be reproduced directly. But we can access value of \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} , therefore we should re-do the calculation to get values of all vertices. The following codes show that the value of \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} which inputted by the user can been "catched" from the display list.

```
> reco = recordPlot()
> info = reco[[1]][[3]][[2]]
> ## print the values of x
> head(info[[2]])
[1] -10.000000 -9.487179 -8.974359 -8.461538 -7.948718 -7.435897
> ## print the values of y
> head(info[[3]])
[1] -10.000000 -9.487179 -8.974359
                                    -8.461538 -7.948718 -7.435897
> ## print the values of z
> info[[4]][1:6, 1:2]
            [,1]
                       [,2]
[1,]
     0.70709805 0.6807616
[2,]
     0.68076162 0.5602102
[3,]
     0.56890062 0.3623630
[4,] 0.38799490 0.1144364
[5,] 0.16158290 -0.1521360
[6,] -0.08388565 -0.4067000
```

3.1.1 The translation from 3-D points into 2-D points

The values of \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} can been record from the display list, which been explained by the pervious section, the next task is to use this information to reproduce the vertics in 3-D.

As we know, the matrix, **z** is computed by a specific functions, given two inputs, **x** and **y**, or the expression of **z** can been written as: z = f(x, y), it contains all the values for all combination of **x** and **y** and the dimension of **z** is $\dim(\mathbf{x}) \times \dim(\mathbf{y})$.

One 3-dimensions points contains a set values of (x, y, z), but **z** is $\dim(\mathbf{x}) \times \dim(\mathbf{y})$ matrix, **x** is a vector which has length of $\operatorname{length}(\mathbf{x})$ and **y** is a vector which has length of $\operatorname{length}(\mathbf{y})$. Inorder to produce the points, the D of **x**, **y** and **z** need to be matched and in a right order.

First step is the reduce the \mathbf{z} $\dim(\mathbf{x}) \times \dim(\mathbf{y})$ matrix into a one D vector which has length of $\dim(\mathbf{x}) \times \dim(\mathbf{y})$. It can be reduced by either along x direction or y direction. In this paper, we reduced along the x direction. The second step is repeat the vector x and y until the same length of \mathbf{z} . Since \mathbf{z} is reduced along the x direction say z_p , hence we repeat x until the length of y say x_p , and we repeat each y by the length of \mathbf{x} , say y_p . At last, the combination of p, p, p, p is the 3-D points which prepare for computing the vertices.

```
> xTmp = rep(x, length(y))
> yTmp = rep(y,each = length(x))
> zTmp = as.numeric(z)
> length(xTmp) == length(zTmp) & length(yTmp) == length(zTmp)
[1] TRUE
```

The idea of transform the points into vertices is repeating the points in a right order. From pervious section, we explained that the Perspective Plots is made by finite number of polygons. Each polygon has 4 vertices. The total number of polygons are required to be drawn is depend on the length of input \mathbf{x} and the length of input \mathbf{y} , that is, $\mathbf{total} = (\mathbf{length}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{1}) \times (\mathbf{length}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{1})$. The polygons been drawn by connecting 4 points in a specific order. The algorithm of the drawing as follows: starting from bottom-left, first connect bottom-left to bottom-right, second connect from bottom-right to top-right, lastly, connect top-right to top-left. Every polygon is being drawn in this order. The surface of Perspective Plots is formed until all the polygons are been drawn.

Before drawing the surface, the transformation of 3-D vertices into 2-D vertices is required. This transformation required two main variables, the 3-D vertices and 4×4 viewing transformation matrix **P**. The 3-dimension vertices are computed, the matrix **P** can been record from the persp() call. This transformation can be done easily on R by using the trans3d() function.

```
> points3d = trans3d(xTmp, yTmp, zTmp, trans)
> head(points3d$x)

[1] -0.3929051 -0.3827005 -0.3720915 -0.3611435 -0.3499392 -0.3385634
> head(points3d$y)

[1] -0.1060481 -0.1099038 -0.1156894 -0.1230654 -0.1315269 -0.1404974
```

Because of we are drawing a 3-D surface in a 2-D plane, some polygons that stay 'behind' cannot been seen, it is necessary to draw the polygons in a right order. The order defined by using the \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} coordinate of the 3-D vertices (but ignore the \mathbf{z} coordinate) combinding another column 1, then do the matrix multiplication with the viewing transformation \mathbf{P} . The fourth column from this multiplication is the drawing order of the polygons.

```
> orderTemp = cbind(xTmp, yTmp, 0, 1) %*% trans
> zdepth = orderTemp[, 4]
> ## the zdepth of a set of 4 points of each polygon
> a = order(zdepth, decreasing = TRUE)
> head(a)
```

[1] 1561 1562 1521 1563 1522 1564

The following figures shows how does this paper approximate to the solution. The top-left figure is drawn by plotting the transformed 2-dimension points, the shape of the Perspective Plots been presented. The top-right figure is drawn by connecting the points line-by-line, the shape become more obvious. The bottom-left figure is drawn by using the <code>grid.polygon()</code>. By default, the origin order of the polygons is drawn along x-axis, then along y-axis. Clearly this is not the correct order. Finally, the bottom-right figure shows the true Perspective Plots by fixing the order.




Figure 3.2: The top-left figure is only plotting the transformed 2-dimension points. The top-right figure is being drawn by connecting the points line-by-line. The top-right figure is drawn unorderly by using the grid.polygon. Finally, the bottom-left figure is drawn in a correct order.

3.1.2 Lighting

The other main benefit supported by persp() is the shading. It shades the surface by assuming the surface is being illuminated from a given direction.(light source)

In persp(), the main parameters that user needs to specify for producing a shaded perspective plot is: *ltheta*, *lphi* and *shade*.

ltheta and lphi are used for setting up the direction of the light source. In particular, ltheta specified the angle in z direction, lphi specified the angle in x direction.

shade is the parameter that specified the shade at each facets of the surface, the shades will compute as follows:

$$\left(\frac{1+d}{2}\right)^{shade} \tag{3.1}$$

Where d is the dot product of the unit vector normal to each of the facet(u) and the unit vector of

the direction of the light (v).

The color of each facet will be calculated by the color that recored from the graphics engine display list multiply by the **shade**. Finally, the surface been drawn by filling the colors for every facet.

If the normal vector is perpendicular to the direction of the light source, then d=0 and the term $\left(\frac{1+d}{2}\right)^{shade}$ will be close to 0, therefore the corrosponding facets will become darker, the brightness and darkness will depend on the value of the **shade** if shade close to 0, the term $\left(\frac{1+d}{2}\right)^{shade}$ will close to 1. Therefore, it will look similar to non-shading plot. Similarly, if shade gets larger, the term close to 0 and the plot gets darker.

```
> trans = persp(x, y, z, theta=30, phi = 20, expand = 0.5,
+ col = 'white', border = 'orange',
+ shade = 0.8, ltheta = 30, lphi = 20)
> grid.echo()
```




Figure 3.3: Adding a light source to the perspective plot from the same angel of view. The left figure been drawn by graphics and the right figure been drawn by grid, they are identical to each other.

3.1.3 Difference beween C and R

As we know, most base functions of R are been written by C include persp and fill.contour. Although the structure of C code is quite similar to R code in some special case, there are some C code structures which behave completely different to R, therefore translate C code to R code is not just "copy-and-paste", even just doing direct translation.

Pointers

One main data structure in C is the pointers, which is a type of reference that records the address/location of a global object or a local variable in a function. Pointers can be manipulated by using assignment or pointer arithmetic.

```
> # static int LimitCheck(double *lim, double *c, double *s)
> # {
> # if (!R_FINITE(lim[0]) || !R_FINITE(lim[1]) || lim[0] >= lim[1])
> # return 0;
```

```
> # *s = 0.5 * fabs(lim[1] - lim[0]);
> # *c = 0.5 * (lim[1] + lim[0]);
> # return 1;
> # }
```

The top piece of code is used for checking the Limit for the persp() function. It also multifying the variable c and s for further calculation. In this case, the *c and s* are the pointer which will pointing to the mechine memory of s and c and multify them.

However, this process cannot be reproduced on R because R does not have the pointer data structure. One possible solution will be rather than doing the Limit checking and multify s and c, do the limit checking and return/assign the s and c as xs ad ys for further calculation.

Array

The other main difference is that C use array data format rather than matrix data format in R. However, the indexing of elements in matrix is identical to the indexing of elements in array.

```
> #...
>
> # FindPolygonVertices(c[k - 1], c[k],
            x[i - 1], x[i],
> #
> #
            y[j - 1], y[j],
> #
            z[i - 1 + (j - 1) * nx],
            z[i + (j - 1) * nx],
> #
            z[i - 1 + j * nx],
> #
> #
            z[i + j * nx],
>
            px, py, pz, &npt);
>
> #out = FindPolygonVertices(sc[k], sc[k + 1],
> #
           x[i], x[i + 1],
> #
           y[j], y[j + 1],
           z[i + (j - 1) * nx],
> #
           z[i + 1 + (j - 1) * nx],
> #
           z[i + (j) * nx],
> #
> #
           z[i + 1 + (j) * nx],
> #
           px, py, pz, npt, iii = iii)
```

To get the "same" elements in the matrix as the elements in the array, one solution will be that changing the matrix data format into vector data format, so that the elements will be stay the same location for both array, and matrix data format.

The top piece of codes is both calling the FindPolygonVertices() function by feeding parameter into it. However, the z is array in the first call as it written on C but the second is matrix as it written on R. the - 1 on the R code because C starting at 0 index but R starting at 1.

3.1.4 Box and other features

One feather that persp() supported is whether draw a container (box) around the surface. In Figure 5, both surface and box been drawn in the plot. However, it is necessary to find out whether the edge of the box in front of the surface or behind the surface.

The solution will be that translates the C code to R code directly. The reason for doing this directly translation is that R is sensitive on drawing the dot lines. More specifically, it may cause difference if we connect two points with a dotted line in different direction. Due to the purpose of this paper, the plot should be drawn as identical as possible. Therefore, the direct translation is required.




Figure 3.4: The surface been drawn by ignore the box in the left plot, right plot drawn the surface as well as box

Other feather that persp supported is the detail of the axis. More specifily, the axis has three type, no axes, simple axes which only contain the label of axes, or showing the scale of each axes. These feathers are required to be reproduced by grid, The solution to this problem by translating the C code to R code directly.




Figure 3.5: The Perspective surfaces are been ignored in this example, the left plot shows no axis been drawn, the simple axes been drawn in the middle plot and the right plot shows more detail for each axis.

3.2 The Filled Contour Plot

3.2.1 Direct translation from C to R

The other tasks of this paper is to emulate the Level (Contour) Plots (filled.contour) from graphics to grid. As pervious section, the first step to emulate filled.contour is to access the information from the graphics engine display list.

```
> x <- 10*1:nrow(volcano)
> y <- 10*1:ncol(volcano)
> filled.contour(x, y, volcano, color = terrain.colors,
     plot.title = title(main = "The Topography of Maunga Whau",
     xlab = "Meters North", ylab = "Meters West"),
     plot.axes = { axis(1, seq(100, 800, by = 100))}
                  axis(2, seq(100, 600, by = 100))},
     key.title = title(main = "Height\n(meters)"),
     key.axes = axis(4, seq(90, 190, by = 10)))
> xx = recordPlot()
> info = xx[[1]][[12]][[2]]
> head(info[[2]]) ## print the values of x
[1] 10 20 30 40 50 60
[1] 10 20 30 40 50 60
> dim(info[[4]]) ## print the dimension of z
[1] 87 61
> length(info[[5]]) ## print the length of s
[1] 22
```




Figure 3.6: The topography of the Maunga Whau been drawn by using the filled.contour

The example shows the plot of topography of Maunga Whau and also the information from the filled.contour call in the graphics engine display list. Same problem as persp(), there is no way to reproduce this plot directly by only using the coordinates of x, y and z.

There is an algorithm to create this contour plot in the graphics package written by C. The first step of the solution will be translated the C code directly to maximize the accuracy.

```
> # static void
> # FindPolygonVertices(..., double *x, double *y, double *z, int *npt, ...)
> #
      *npt = 0;
> #
     FindCutPoints(low, high, x1, y1, z11, x2,
                                                   у1,
                                                        z21, x, y, z, npt);
> #
     FindCutPoints(low, high, y1, x2,
                                         z21, y2,
                                                   x2,
                                                        z22, y, x, z, npt);
      FindCutPoints(low, high, x2,
                                    y2,
                                         z22, x1,
                                                   y2,
                                                        z12, x, y, z, npt);
> #
                                         z12, y1,
     FindCutPoints(low, high, y2,
                                   x1,
                                                   х1,
                                                        z11, y, x, z, npt);
> # }
```

This piece of C code is the algorithm used for calculate the coordinates of the vertex of each polygon in the level contour plot. The parameters *x, *y, *z are the array pointers which have length of 8 individually, *npc is also a pointer has length of 1. If the FindCutPoints is called, the elements in the arrays of x, y, z will be modified. In general, we feed the location of memory of x, y, z and npt to FindPolygonVertices() and modify the values of x, y, z and npt within the FindCutPoints.

For example, the first call of FindCutPoints() modifies the elements in the pointer arrays of x, y, z. The location of elements in arrays been modified will depend on the parameter *npt. More specifically, the *x as a function of x1 and x2, y as a function of y1 and so on. The second FindCutPoints() is slightly different, x will depend on a function of x2, y as a function of y1 and y2. In the third FindCutPoints() call, x will depend on a function of x2 and x1, y will depend on a function of y2. Finally, x will depend on a function of x1, y depend on the function of y2 and y1.

There is no pointer data structure in R hence we cannot produce the same action as C. One approximation to this action will be as follows:

```
> # 1FindPolygonVertices = function(...)
> # {
> #
        out = list(); npt = 0
> #
        out1 = lFindCutPoints(...)
> #
        x = y = z = numeric(8); npt = out1$npt
> #
        out$x = out1$x + out2$y + out3$x + out4$y
> #
>
        out\$y = out1\$y + out2\$x + out3\$y + out4\$x
> #
        out$npt = out4$npt
> #
        out
> # }
```

Instead of mortify x, y, z and npt inside FindCutPoints(), record the values for x, y, z and npt outside the lFindCutPoints() call in R every time. At last, I combined each individual x and y together as the pervious C code behave.

3.2.2 Vectorization

In C, the total iteration in the loops is equal to

$$Total = nx * ny * ns (3.2)$$

Where:

```
nx = length(x) - 1,

ny = length(y) - 1,

ns = length(levels) - 1
```

It requires huge iteration. For example, In Figure 4.3, the Topography of Maunga Whau, the length of x is 87 and the length of y is 61, where the length of levels is 22. Therefore there are at most 108360 polygons that we need to consider which it will slow down the sorftware.

The solution will be repeating the coordinate of x, y, z, and the levels until the length of maximum polygons, then do the calculation and the drawing at once. That is, vectorizing the filled.contour().

```
> # for (i = 1; i < nx; i++) {
> # for (j = 1; j < ny; j++) {
> # for (k = 1; k < nc; k++) {
> # FindPolygonVertices(...,
> # x[i - 1], x[i],
> # ...)
> # if (npt > 2)
> # GPolygon(...);
> # }
> # }
> # }
```

Integrate to gridGraphics package

4.1 Some Concept of grid

Pervious section explained the internal calculation for persp() and filled.contour(). It works perfectly outside the gridGraphics package. However, grid.echo() still cannot emulate thest two kinds of plot because they are not been integrated to the package yet. Therefore, it requires more works.

The gridGraphics provides the structure of viewports which act identical to the layout of plots in the plot region been drawn by gridGraphics.

```
> set.seed(110)
> par(mfrow = c(1,2))
> x = rnorm(1000)
> hist(x, probability = T)
> plot(density(x))
> grid.echo()
```




Figure 4.1: display the grid version of draw two plots into one overall graph by setting par(mfrow()). The left-plot is the histogram of observations generated by standard normal distribution, right-plot is the density plot of the observations

```
> grid.ls(viewports = TRUE)
>
>
 #
   graphics-root
>
      graphics-inner
> #
        graphics-figure-1
> #
          graphics-plot-1-clip
> #
            graphics-window-1-1
              graphics-plot-1-rect-1
>
> #
> #
   graphics-root
> #
      graphics-inner
        graphics-figure-2
> #
> #
          graphics-plot-2-clip
> #
            graphics-window-2-1
> #
              graphics-plot-2-lines-1
>
```

The pervious code was using the graphics to plot a histogram on the left and a density plot of a thousand of random observation generated by standard normal distribution. Then redraw the plot on grid. The grobs object and the veiwports are created.

The grobs object and the veiwports and been showed by grid.ls(). In the pervious example, we can see that the contents(rectangles) of histogram is been drawn in the viewprot of graphics-inner::graphics-figure-1::graphics-plot-1-clip::graphics-window-1-1. The density plot is been drawn in the other viewport which is graphics-inner::graphics-figure-2::graphics-plot-2-clip::graphics-window-2-1. Although it is compeletely different structure of the plot that been drawn by graphics, they are identical to each other.

In ordre to reproduce the same plots as graphics, we need to moltify the grid structure of plot that behave indentical to the plot that drawn on graphics. In this example, the viewports need to be set in the same location and the same size as graphics drawns, and also, the x-scale and y-scale of the viewports in grid need to be set the same user coordinates as in graphics.

4.2 Integrate persp()

The core of gridGraphics package provides some basic viewport structure to support the perspective plots(persp()), base on the gerneral plots that been drawn by graphics. However, there are some specific details that gridGraphics not fully supported. The following problems need to be solved before integrating persp() into the package.

- 1. The viewport that persp() needs to be on
- 2. The xcale and yscale need to be calcualte
- 3. whether the clipping is happened for every conponents when drawing

It is not allowable to call <code>grid.newpage()</code> to create a new page for drawing a perspective plot since it will destory other feathers within the plot. For example, the points and lines added to perspective plot. These feathers will desappear when calling <code>grid.echo()</code> to reproduce the plot, since they are in a different viewport and the current graphics devices only contains the viewport that I created. Therefore it is necessary to draw the perspective plot in the correct viewport.

The other problem that we may consider is the actual scale for the viewport, i.e. the x-scale and y-scale. Unfortunately, gridGraphics does no support the calculation for the actual limit of x and y since the rest kinds of plot that graphics provides is in two dimension. The calculation of the limit of x and y is not as simple as range(x) or range(y), due to there is one more dimension of z. And

also the plot is drawn in a two dimension graphics devices.

The final problem will be wether the clipping is happened. More specifically, the different components of the perspective plot should be drawn in clipped region or non-clipped region.

The first problem can be solve by ...

The limit of x and y will depend on the ratio of horizontal and verticle length of the current windows graphics devices. On grid, it is simple to track the actual length of the viewport in the current windows graphics devices.

The following exmple is calculating the verticle length and horizontal length of the viewport in current windows graphics devices. The dotted rectangle region is the viewport region that we focus on. As result, the verticle length of the viewport in current windows graphics devices in my PC is 5.16 inches, where the horizontal length is 5.76 inches.




Figure 4.2: Used the example from chapter 2, calculate the actual verticle length and horizontal length of the viewport (the region of the red dotted line)

The idea of this example is that it is possible to track the actual verticle length and horizontal length by navigating to the specific viewport and record them. It leads to the solution of our second problem, firstable, we navigate to the viewport that used been drawn by persp(), calculate the limit of x and y base on the size of this viewport. Then we create an other viewport(visible for other gridGraphics functions eg lines() and points()) that has the same location and the same size as the pervious viewport. Then we moltify the xscale and yscale from the new veiwport to be the limit of x and y that we calculated. Finally, the concepts of persp() will be drawn in this viewport.

```
> testPersp21(box = FALSE)
> usr = par('usr')
> rect(usr[1], usr[3], usr[2], usr[4], lty = 12221, lwd = 2, border = 'red')
> usr
> ## [1] -0.4555925   0.3807924 -0.5003499   0.3360350
> grid.echo()
> downViewport('graphics-window-1-0');
> grid.rect(gp = gpar(col = 'red', lty = 12221))
> c(current.viewport()$xscale, current.viewport()$yscale)
> ## [1] -0.04   1.04 -0.04   1.04
```




Figure 4.3: A Torus been drawn by persp() on the left plot, the right plot tried to reproduce the persp(), because of the scale of the viewport is different to the limit, the Torus is been drawn on the bottom-left corner.

The example shows a Torus drawn on the plot region. The red dotted rectangles indicate the plot region of $\mathtt{graphics}(\mathsf{left}\ \mathsf{plot})$ and the viewport region for $\mathtt{grid}(\mathsf{right}\ \mathsf{plot})$. Although the plot region is identical to the viewport region, the scales are different. The limit of x and y are (-0.4555925, 0.3807924), (-0.5003499, 0.3360350). The scale of x and y in the viewport region are (-0.04, 1.04), (-0.04, 1.04). Therefore the scale needs to be moltified.

The code is creating a new temporary viewport which contains the true x scale and y scale prepare for drawing perspective plot. The values for x scale and y scale are calculated by PerspWindow(). It will do the calculation by considering the 'actual' ratio of horizontal length and verticle length of the current graphics device, similar to the calculation of the C code does.

After we create the temporary viewport that contains the correct scale, then we added this viewport to the location of the tree which inside the viewport created by gridGraphics. That is, push temporary viewport inside the odd viewport. The Final step will be drawn the concepts within this viewport. To do that, we need to push a temporary viewport every time we drawn.

The following code is how does the surface of the plot is drawn internally.

```
> ## navigate to the viewport which has the true limit of x and y
> depth = gotovp(FALSE)
> pushViewport(vp)
> ## draw the surface inside the viewport
> DrawFacets(...)
> ## back to the Root viewport
> upViewport()
> upViewport(depth)
```

4.3 Integrate filled.contour()

Testing

Example

Conclusion

Reference

Appendix

- 9.1 persp.R
- 9.2 filled.contour.R