

# Formal Semantics

Rules, Structural, Natural, Reduction

YEGOR BUGAYENKO

Lecture #4 out of 10

90 minutes

All videos are in [this YouTube playlist](#).

All visual and text materials presented in this slidedeck are either originally made by the author or taken from public Internet sources, such as websites. Copyright belongs to their respected authors.

Rules, Axioms, Trees

Operational vs Denotational

Natural Semantic (Denotational)

Structural Semantic (Operational)

Reduction Semantic

Further Reading/Watching

While syntax is a representation of a program, semantics  $S(P)$  is a formal description of execution of  $P$ : reachable states, execution traces, etc.

Chapter #1:

## Rules, Axioms, Trees

## Inference Rule

A proof system is formed from a set of inference rules chained together to form proofs, also called derivations. Any derivation has only one final conclusion, which is the statement proved or derived. (Wiki)

$$\frac{\vdash a < b \quad \vdash b < c}{a < c} \text{R1}$$

Premises (known facts):  $a < b$  and  $b < c$ .  
(antecedent)

Conclusion (new fact):  $a < c$ .  
(consequent)

## Axiom

An axiom is an inference rule without a premise.

$$\frac{}{\vdash x \times 0 = 0} A_1$$

It reads: in any environment, the result of multiplication of  $x$  by zero equals to zero.

## Transition Rule

A transition rule defines the conditions under which a system may be moved to a new state.

$$\frac{\langle a, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle}{\langle a++, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s[a \mapsto n + 1] \rangle}$$

It reads: if  $a$  produces  $n$  without changing the state, then  $a++$  may produce  $n$  changing the state by adding a new mapping  $a \mapsto n$ .

The following set of transition rules may constitute the entire semantic of a language:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\langle x, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle s[x], s \rangle} \qquad \frac{}{\langle n, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle} \\
 \frac{}{\langle y, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle} \\
 \frac{}{\langle x := y, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s[x \mapsto n] \rangle} \\
 \frac{\langle C_1, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s' \rangle \quad \langle C_2, s' \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s'' \rangle}{\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s'' \rangle} \\
 \frac{}{\langle x, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle} \\
 \frac{}{\langle x++, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s[x \mapsto n + 1] \rangle}
 \end{array}$$

## Proof Tree

Let's prove that  $a := 5; a++++$ ; equals to 6:

Transition rules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\langle x, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle s[x], s \rangle} \quad \frac{}{\langle n, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle} \\
 \frac{\langle y, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle}{\langle x := y, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s[x \mapsto n] \rangle} \\
 \frac{\langle C_1, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s' \rangle \quad \langle C_2, s' \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s'' \rangle}{\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s'' \rangle} \\
 \frac{\langle x, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle}{\langle x++, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s[x \mapsto n + 1] \rangle}
 \end{array}$$

Proof tree:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\langle 5, \{\} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 5, \{\} \rangle} \quad \frac{}{\langle a, \{a \mapsto 5\} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 5, \{a \mapsto 5\} \rangle} \\
 \frac{}{\langle a := 5, \{\} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{skip}, \{a \mapsto 5\} \rangle} \quad \frac{}{\langle a++, \{a \mapsto 5\} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 5, \{a \mapsto 6\} \rangle} \\
 \hline
 \langle a := 5; a++++, \{\} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 6, \{a \mapsto 7\} \rangle
 \end{array}$$



Chapter #2:

# Operational vs Denotational

The denotational semantics assign to every expression the number denoted by that expression:

$$\Downarrow \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}$$
$$x^n \Downarrow y \quad \text{where } y = x \times x \times x \cdots \times x \quad (n \text{ times})$$

The operational semantics describe the computation steps taken in order to evaluate the expression to normal form:

$$\rightsquigarrow \subseteq \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$$
$$1) x^n \rightsquigarrow x \times x^{n-1} \quad \text{if } x > 0 \qquad 2) x^0 \rightsquigarrow 1$$

The operational semantics is the specification of an interpreter for the programming language whereas the denotational semantics tries to capture the mathematical essence of a program, abstracting away from computational details.

Chapter #3:

## Natural Semantic (Denotational)

Syntax: FASTER; STOP; SLOWER;

Semantic (  $\Downarrow \subseteq \langle \text{COMMAND}, \mathbb{N} \rangle \times \langle \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{N} \rangle$  ):

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{\langle \text{STOP}, s \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{tt}, 0 \rangle} \text{R1} \\
 \frac{s < 60}{\langle \text{FASTER}, s \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{tt}, s + 20 \rangle} \text{R2} \quad \frac{s \geq 60}{\langle \text{FASTER}, s \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{ff}, s \rangle} \text{R3} \\
 \frac{}{\langle \text{SLOWER}, s \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{tt}, \max(0, s - 20) \rangle} \text{R4} \\
 \frac{\langle C_1, s \rangle \Downarrow \langle r_1, s' \rangle \quad \langle C_2, s' \rangle \Downarrow \langle r_2, s'' \rangle}{\langle C_1; C_2, s \rangle \Downarrow \langle r_1 \wedge r_2, s'' \rangle} \text{R5}
 \end{array}$$

Introduced by Gilles Kahn in 1987.

## Proof Tree

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{45 < 60}{\langle \text{FASTER}, 45 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{tt}, 65 \rangle} \text{R2} \quad \frac{65 > 60}{\langle \text{FASTER}, 65 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{ff}, 65 \rangle} \text{R3} \\
 \hline
 \frac{\langle \text{FASTER}; \text{FASTER}, 45 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{ff}, 65 \rangle \quad \langle \text{SLOWER}, 65 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{tt}, 45 \rangle}{\langle \text{FASTER}; \text{FASTER}; \text{SLOWER}, 45 \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{ff}, 45 \rangle} \text{R5}
 \end{array}$$

The tree is built from the bottom to the top, using the rules introduced before. The gray conditions at the top are not parts of the rules, that's why in gray.

Chapter #4:

## Structural Semantic (Operational)

Consider a program:

$x := x + 1;$

The meaning of it may be explained by the SOS rule:

$$\frac{\langle e, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle n, s \rangle}{\langle a := e, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{skip}, s[a \mapsto n] \rangle}$$

It reads: If  $e$  may be evaluated to  $n$ , then  $a := e$  inserts a new binding  $a \mapsto n$  to the state, and skips any further processing. To understand the meaning of  $x+1$  a new SOS rule is required.

Introduced by Gordon Plotkin in 1981.

Chapter #5:

## Reduction Semantic



Consider a  $\lambda$ -expression:

$$(\lambda a.a)b$$

In Java it would look like this:

```
1 | int f(int a) { return a; }  
2 | int x = f(b);
```

The expression may be reduced using so called  $\beta$ -reduction:

$$(\lambda x.t)s \longrightarrow t[x := s]$$

Thus

$$(\lambda a.a)b \longrightarrow b$$

## Normal Form

A normal form is a form that has no more possible applications of reductions. This not a normal form:

$$(\lambda a.a)((\lambda b.b)((\lambda c.c)d))$$

It may be reduced to a normal form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda a.a)((\lambda b.b)d) \\ &\longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda a.a)d \\ &\longrightarrow_{\beta} d \end{aligned}$$

No further reductions are possible.

Chapter #6:

**Further Reading/Watching**

Christopher Strachey (2000),  
Fundamental Concepts in Programming Languages

Alexander Kurz (2022),  
Operational and Denotational Semantics

Michael Pradel (2021),  
Lectures on “Operational Semantics”

Gordon Plotkin (1981),  
A Structural Approach to Operational Semantics