Analysis 2

yehelip

Contents

| 1 | Getting Used to Integrals 1.1 Suppose f is Riemann integrable then f^2 is also Riemann integrable | 4 <u>.</u> 4. |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|
| 2 | Intermediate Value Theorem for Integrals | 5 |
| 3 | Fundamental Theorem of Calculus 3.1 Part One | 6 |
| 4 | Find Formula For Length of Continuous Graph | 8 |
| 5 | The Limit Comparison Test 5.1 Proof | 9 |
| 6 | Some Practise 6.1 Limits With Series: Find $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n}\right)$ | 10 10 10 |
| 7 | Absolute Convergance Implies Conditional Convergance | 11 |
| 8 | Convergence Tests 8.1 Dirichlet's Test 8.1.1 Proof 8.2 Abel's Test 8.2.1 Proof 8.3 Root And Quotient Test 8.3 | 12 12 13 13 13 |
| 9 | Rabbe's Test | 13 |
| 10 | Integral Test for Series | 14 |
| 11 | Cauchy Condensation Test | 15 |
| 12 | Leibniz's Test | 16 |
| 13 | Riemann Series Theorem 13.1 Dini's Theorem | 17 17 |
| 14 | Properties of Uniformally Converging Function Sequences 14.1 Continuity | 18 18 18 18 |
| 15 | Weierstrass M-Test 15.1 Proof | 19 |
| 16 | Power Series Theorems 16.1 Some exercises | 20 |
| 17 | Cauchy-Hadamard + D'alembert Theorem 17.1 Proof the 1st | 21 21 21 |

| 18 | A Note on the Taylor Series | 22 |
|------------|--|------------|
| 19 | Continuous Partial Derivatives imply Differentiability 19.1 Semi-Proof | |
| 20 | Leibniz integral rule 20.1 Lemma 20.2 The Rule 20.3 Generalization | 24 |
| 2 1 | Fubini's Theorem 21.1 Proof | 26 |
| 22 | The Chain Rule 22.1 Proof | |
| 23 | Substitution For Multiple Variables | 28 |
| 24 | Calculate $\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2}$ | 2 9 |

1 Getting Used to Integrals

1.1 Suppose f is Riemann integrable then f^2 is also Riemann integrable

First recall the definition of a Riemann integrable function. A function f is Riemann integrable on [a,b] iff exists $I \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any partition $X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with $\lambda(X) < \delta$ any sequence (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) such that $c_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ also satisfies:

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(c_i) \Delta X_i - I \right| < \varepsilon$$

And we denote $I = \int_a^b f(x) dx$. Consider f^2 :

$$\begin{split} &U(f,P) - D(f,P) < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow U(f,P) < D(f,P) + \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow U(f,P)^2 < D(f,P)^2 + 2\varepsilon D(f,P) + \varepsilon^2 \\ &\Rightarrow U(f,P)^2 - D(f,P)^2 < \varepsilon (2D(f,P) + \varepsilon) \end{split}$$

Since f is integrable we know $2D(f, P) + \varepsilon$ is bounded. Denote the bound M. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choosing the δ that matches $\varepsilon_{\delta} = \min(\frac{\varepsilon}{2M+1}, 1)$ under f's integrability we get:

$$U(f,P) - D(f,P) < \varepsilon_{\delta}$$

$$\Rightarrow U(f,P)^{2} - D(f,P)^{2} < \varepsilon_{\delta}(2D(f,P) + \varepsilon_{\delta})$$

$$\Rightarrow U(f,P)^{2} - D(f,P)^{2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M+1}(2M+1) = \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow U(f^{2},P) - D(f^{2},P) < \varepsilon$$

Since Darboux integrability implies Riemann integrability we finished.

1.2 If f is Continuous Then f is Integrable

Let f be continuous on $[a, b]^*$. By Cantor-Heine it is uniformally continuous.

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - m_i) \Delta X_i < \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon \Delta X_i = \varepsilon (b-a)$$

(*) This is because by definition

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \colon \exists \delta > 0 \colon d(x,y) < \delta \to d(f(x),f(y)) < \varepsilon$$

And that delta is exactly what we wanted.

^{*}Think about the case (a, b)

2 Intermediate Value Theorem for Integrals

Let f be a continuous funtion on [a,b] then exists $x_0 \in [a,b]$ such that:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = f(x_0)(b-a)$$

We know f is continuous so it is Riemann integrable. We know by Weierstrass's theorem that since f is continuous it has a minimum and maximum in [a, b] which we'll denote m, M.

$$m(b-a) \le \int_a^b f(x) dx \le M(b-a)$$

 $\Rightarrow m \le \frac{\int_a^b f(x) dx}{b-a} \le M$

Let $c = \frac{\int_a^b f(x) dx}{b-a}$. By the intermediate value theorem we know that an $x_0 \in (a,b)$ exists such that $f(x_0) = c$ and thus:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = f(x_0)(b - a)$$

3 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

Let f be a continuous real-valued function defined on a closed interval [a, b]. Let F be the function defined as:

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$$

Then F is uniformly continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on the open interval (a,b), and

$$F'(x) = f(x) \quad \forall x \in (a, b)$$

If f is Riemann integrable on [a, b] then:

$$\int_a^b f(x) \, dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

3.1 Part One

For any $x_1, x_1 + \Delta x \in [a, b]$ we get:

$$F(x_1 + \Delta x) - F(x_1) = \int_a^{x_1 + \Delta x} f(t) dt - \int_a^{x_1} f(t) dt = \int_{x_1}^{x_1 + \Delta x} f(t) dt$$

According to the mean value theorem for integration we get that for $c \in [x_1, x_1 + \Delta x]$:

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_1+\Delta x} f(t) dt = f(c)\Delta x$$

$$\lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \frac{F(x_1 + \Delta x) - F(x_1)}{\Delta x} = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} f(c) = f(x_1)$$

And thus according to the squeeze theorem and f's continuity $F'(x_1) = f(x_1)$

3.2 Part Two

Let $P = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a partition of [a, b] such that $(x_0, x_n) = (a, b)$

$$F(b) - F(a) = F(x_n) + [F(x_{n-1}) - F(x_{n-1})] + \dots + [F(x_1) - F(x_1)] - F(x_0)$$

$$= [F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1})] + [F(x_{n-1}) - F(x_{n-2})] + \dots + [F(x_1) - F(x_0)]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} [F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})]$$

Since F is continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b) according to Lagrange's theorem on $[x_i, x_{i-1}]$ where $c_i \in [x_i, x_{i-1}]$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [F'(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})]$$

According to part one we get that $F'(c_i) = f(c_i)$ and so

$$F(b) - F(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [f(c_i)(\Delta x_i)]$$

$$\iff$$

$$\lim_{\|\Delta x_i\| \to 0} (F(b) - F(a)) = \lim_{\|\Delta x_i\| \to 0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} [f(c_i)(\Delta x_i)]\right)$$

$$\iff$$

$$F(b) - F(a) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

4 Find Formula For Length of Continuous Graph

Approximating the length of a graph using the pythagorian theorem for partition $X = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{d(x_{i-1}, x_i)^2 + d(f(x_{i-1}), f(x)_i)^2}$$

Assuming f is continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b) by Lagrange's theorem we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{d(x_{i-1}, x_i)^2 + d(f(x_{i-1}), f(x)_i)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (f'(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}))^2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 (1 + (f'(c_i))^2)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{1 + (f'(c_i))^2} \Delta X_i$$

We can see that this summation is matching the integral

$$\lim_{\|\Delta x_i\| \to 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{1 + (f'(c_i))^2} \Delta X_i = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2} \, dx$$

The result of this integral will give us the length of any continuous graph.

5 The Limit Comparison Test

Let f, g be two integrable positive functions on [a, M] for any $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = c$$

If $c \in (0, \infty)$ then either both series converge or both series diverge. And if c = 0 the convergance of f implies the convergance of g. If $c = \infty$ the opposite is true.

5.1 Proof

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ we know that $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R} : \forall x_0 < x$:

$$g(x)(c-\varepsilon) < f(x) < g(x)(c+\varepsilon)$$

Then if g(x) converges then f(x) converges by the squeeze theorem. Similarly if g diverges we know that

$$g(x)(c-\varepsilon) < f(x)$$

So from a certain point onwards f will meet the requirments of the direct comparison test and so will diverge.

6 Some Practise

6.1 Limits With Series: Find $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n}\right)$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n+k}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k}{n}} \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\frac{k}{n}) \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1+x}$$

6.2 Check convergion: $\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x}}$

This function seems to behave a lot like $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}$ near 1 so let's compare them using the limit comparison test

$$\lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{\frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}} = \lim_{x \to 1^{-}} \frac{1}{x} = 1$$

So by the limit comparison test we know that the integral converges.

7 Absolute Convergance Implies Conditional Convergance

$$\int_{a}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx \quad \text{converges} \quad \Rightarrow \int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) dx \quad \text{converges}$$

The first condition is called "absolute convergence". We will prove it implies the second condition "conditional convergence". Suppose f converges absolutely. Consider:

$$f^{+} = \begin{cases} f(x) & f(x) > 0 \\ 0 & f(x) \le 0 \end{cases}$$
$$f^{-} = \begin{cases} -f(x) & f(x) < 0 \\ 0 & f(x) \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

We know that $|f| = f^+ + f^-$ converges and so by the direct comparison test we get that $\int_a^\infty f^+, \int_a^\infty f^-$ converge and since $f = f^+ - f^-$ we also get that $\int_a^\infty f$ converges as well.

8 Convergence Tests

8.1 Dirichlet's Test

If a_n is a monotonic sequence and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ and $\sum b_n$ is bounded by M then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n \text{ converges}$$

8.1.1 Proof

Denote $B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n b_n$ and by summation by parts we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n b_n = a_n B_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_{k+1} - a_k) B_k$$

Since a_n converges to 0 and B_n is bounded $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n B_n$ exists. WLOG assume a_n is increasing, we can also see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |(a_{k+1} - a_k)B_k| \le \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} M|(a_{k+1} - a_k)| \le M \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_{k+1} - a_k)$$

And

$$M\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (a_{k+1} - a_k) = M(a_n - a_1)$$

Which converges to $-Ma_1$ since $\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n=0$. That means that this sequence is bounded. Which means that $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}|(a_{k+1}-a_k)B_k|$ is also bounded. It is also monotonic which means it converges. And if $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(a_{k+1}-a_k)B_k$ converges absolutly it also converges conditionally which means $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(a_{k+1}-a_k)B_k$ converges. And by additivity of limits we know $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^n a_nb_n$ exists so $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_nb_n$ converges.

8.2 Abel's Test

Suppose $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, and b_n is monotone and bounded. Then $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$ also converges.

8.2.1 **Proof**

We know b_n is monotone and bounded so it has a limit $\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n=b$ This implies $\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n-b=0$. Since b_n-b is also monotonic we know by Dirichle's test that $\sum_{1}^{\infty}a_n(b_n-b)$ converges. And by homogenity of series we know that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nb$ converges as well. That means $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a_nb)+a_n(b_n-b)$ converges. So $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a_nb)+a_n(b_n-b)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nb_n$ converges.

8.3 Root And Quotient Test

Let a_n be as equence and suppose

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = q > 1$$

Then eventually $\left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right|$ will be greater than q. which means a_n is diverging so $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges as well. If q < 1 then eventually $\left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right|$ will be smaller than q. That means a_n will converges to 0 and then we know that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n)$ converges absolutly and that implies it converges it the usual sense as well. The Root test is very similar and stronger in general.

9 Rabbe's Test

In case the quotient test doesn't work - let a_n be a positive sequence then if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(n \left(1 - \frac{a_n + 1}{a_n} \right) \right) = q$$

Then for

 $\begin{cases} q>1 & \text{the series converges}\\ q<1 & \text{the series diverges}\\ q=1 & \text{we must check using a better test...} \end{cases}$

10 Integral Test for Series

Let f(x) be a positive monotone decreasing function on $[1, \infty]$. Define $a_n = f(n)$ then:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \quad \text{converges} \iff \int_1^{\infty} f(x) dx \quad \text{converges}$$

Suppose the series converges then we get:

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < M \right|$$

But we also know that:

$$0 \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \le \int_1^{N+1} f(x) dx \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

That means that the integral is increasing and bounded so it's converging. Suppose the integral was converging, to prove the series is also converging we could show similarly it's "bounded" by the integral's bound.

11 Cauchy Condensation Test

Let a_n be a non-increasing sequence of non-negative number.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n f(2^n) \le 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$$

This is because of simple rearrangement of the numbers:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) = f(1) + f(2) + f(3) + f(4) + f(5) + f(6) + f(7) + \cdots$$

$$= f(1) + (f(2) + f(3)) + (f(4) + f(5) + f(6) + f(7)) + \cdots$$

$$\leq f(1) + (f(2) + f(2)) + (f(4) + f(4) + f(4) + f(4)) + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n f(2^n)$$

$$= f(1) + (f(2) + f(2)) + (f(4) + f(4) + f(4) + f(4)) + \cdots$$

$$\leq (f(1) + f(1)) + (f(2) + f(2)) + (f(3) + f(3)) + (f(4) + f(4)) \cdots$$

$$= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$$

12 Leibniz's Test

Let a_n be a monotone decreasing positive sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{\infty} a_n \quad \text{converges}$$

Since a_n is monotonically decreasing then we can say that

$$S_{2(m+1)} = S_{2m} + (a_{2m+1} - a_{2m}) \ge S_{2m}$$

$$S_{2(m+1)+1} = S_{2m+1} - (a_{2m+2} + a_{2m+3}) \le S_{2m+1}$$

Or in other words S_{2m} monotonically increases and S_{2m+1} monotonically decreases. But we also know that

$$S_{2m+1} - S_{2m} = a_{2m+1} \ge 0$$

And that means that

$$a_1 - a_2 = S_2 \le S_{2m} \le S_{2m+1} \le S_1 = a_1$$

In other words our monotone sequences are bounded and so they converge. Recall as $m \to \infty$

$$S_{2m+1} - S_{2m} = a_{2m+1} \to 0$$

So by Cantor's lemma S_{2m+1} , S_{2m} converge to the same limit L. Moreover

$$S_{2m} \le L \le S_{2m+1}$$

And also

$$|S_k - L| \le a_{k+1}$$

13 Riemann Series Theorem

Suppose that $(a_1, a_2, a_3, ...)$ is a sequence of real numbers, and that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is conditionally convergent. Let M be a real number. Then there exists a permutation σ such that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\sigma(n)} = M$$

This is also the case for $M = \pm \infty$. If a_n is absolutely converging then rearrangement of the elements is possible. If a series is converging then putting parentheses is valid. This can be shown by generating a new sequence such that each summation is an element, and showing that it converges.

13.1 Dini's Theorem

Let $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ converge pointwise in D = [a, b] if $\forall x \in D(f_n(x))$ is monotonic) and f, f_n are continuous then $f_n(x) \to f(x)$ converges uniformally.

14 Properties of Uniformally Converging Function Sequences

14.1 Continuity

Suppose $f_n \to f$ converges uniformally, and f_n is continuous for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then f is continuous. The proof is based on the triangle inequality.

14.2 Integrability

Suppose $f_n \to f$ converges uniformally on [a,b], and f_n is integrable for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then f is integrable and as $n \to \infty$

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int f_{n} \to \int_{a}^{b} \int f$$

14.3 Differentiability

Suppose $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \colon f_n \in C^1$ on I such that:

- f'_n uniformally converges on I
- $\exists x_0 : f_n(x_0)$ converges

Then f_n uniformally converge on I to f and

$$f'_n \to f'$$

15 Weierstrass M-Test

Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ be a function series. Suppose exists a sequence M_n such that:

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}(|f_n(x)| \le M_n)$
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$ converges.

Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ converges uniformally.

15.1 Proof

Since M_n converges we can use an quivalent definition for the convergence of the series and so

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \colon \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } (n > N) \land (p \in \mathbb{N}) \to \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n+p} M_k(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n M_k(x) \right| < \varepsilon \right)$$

And since $0 \leq M_n$ that implies

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} M_k(x) < \varepsilon$$

And so we get that:

$$\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} f_k(x)\right| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} |f_k(x)| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} M_n < \varepsilon$$

16 Power Series Theorems

Suppose $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges for some x_0 , then it absolutely converges for any x such that $|x| < |x_0|$. Since the power series converges $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n x_0^n = 0$ and so the sequence is bounded and we denote that bound M.

$$0 \le |a_n x^n| = |a_n x_0^n| \left| \frac{x^n}{x_0^n} \right| < M \left| \frac{x}{x_0} \right|^n$$

And this sequence's sum is a geometric series so it converges and so does $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n x^n|$. We also know that $|a_n x^n| < |a_n x^n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so according to Weierstrass's M test $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n x^n|$ uniformally converges. Let

$$X = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n \text{ converges}\}$$

We claim that exists $R = \sup X$ - the radius of convergance - and that the series converges if |x| < |R| and diverges fo |x| > |R|. For any x > R the series diverges by definition of R. If x < -R we know that exists x_1 such that $R < x_1 < |x|$ such that the series converges, in contradiction to R's defintion. if |x| < |R| than there exists x_2 such that $|x| < |x_2| < |R|$ for which the series converges and then it converges for x as well.

16.1 Some exercises

- We know the series converges uniformally for any close intervel properly inside [-R, R]. If it converges uniformally on [0, R] then it is converging in R as well.
- Let a function series converge uniformally to f. Prove f is continuous on (a,b)

17 Cauchy–Hadamard + D'alembert Theorem

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ be a series and let R be the radius of convergence of the series - that is to say the series converges for any $x \in (-R, R)$ then:

$$R = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}} = \frac{1}{\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|}$$

17.1 Proof the 1st

Let

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = L\\ &\Rightarrow \sqrt[n]{|a_nx^n|} = \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}|x| \to L|x|\\ &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} |x| < \frac{1}{L} = R & \text{The series converges}\\ |x| > \frac{1}{L} = R & \text{The series diverges} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

This proves the series converges/diverges absolutely according to the root test. If it converges absolutly this implies it converges in the usual sense. Suppose it diverges - by the root test we know that if the series diverges the partial sums don't converge to 0 so the series must diverge as well.

17.2 Proof the 2nd

Let

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right| = L\\ &\Rightarrow \frac{|a_{n+1}x^{n+1}|}{|a_nx^n|} = \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}|x|\to L|x|\\ &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} |x|<\frac{1}{L}=R & \text{The series converges}\\ |x|>\frac{1}{L}=R & \text{The series diverges} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

If the series converges absolutly we can be sure yet again that it converges. If it diverges - than by the quotient test the partial sums diverge and so the series must also diverge, and the series will diverge as we claimed.

A Note on the Taylor Series 18

If f is smooth on (-R, R) then f can be the limit of a power series if and only if:

$$\forall x \in (-R, R)$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} a_n x^n = 0$$

This is because the following are equivalent:

$$f$$
 can be the limit of a power series

$$\lim S_n(x) = f(x), \forall x \in (-R, R)$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n(x) = f(x), \forall x \in (-R, R)$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x) - S_n(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in (-R, R)$$

19 Continuous Partial Derivatives imply Differentiability

19.1 Semi-Proof

We want to find the tangetial plane to f for (x_0, y_0) assuming that the partial derivatives are continuous at that point. Let's denote

$$z_o = f(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0)$ and $B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)$

Now the tangential lines that intersect at z_0 and are parallel to the axes (and in turn are perpendicular to one another) are (since the derivatives are continuous)

$$z = B(y - y_0) + z_0$$
$$z = A(x - x_0) + z_0$$

Their directional vectors are in turn

$$\vec{\beta} = (0, 1, B)$$

$$\vec{\alpha} = (1, 0, A)$$

And the normal vector to their spanning plane is

$$\vec{N} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ 0 & 1 & B \\ 1 & 0 & A \end{vmatrix} = (A, B, -1)$$

And so the plane equation is

$$A(x - x_0) + B(y - y_0) - (z - z_0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z = z_0 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x - x_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0)(y - y_0)$$

We have shown that if continuous partial derivatives exists at (x_0, y_0) then f has a tangential plane at (x_0, y_0) which is equivalent to being differentiable at (x_0, y_0)

19.2 Note on Diffferentiability

We say that f is differentiable at (x_0, y_0) if exist A, B such that

$$f(x_0 + h, y_0 + k) - f(x_0, y_0) = Ah + Bk + \alpha(h, k)\sqrt{h^2 + k^2} = Ah + Bj + \alpha(h, k)h + \beta(h, k)k$$

and $\lim_{(h,k)\to(0,0)} \alpha(h,k) = 0$ and $\lim_{(h,k)\to(0,0)} \beta(h,k) = 0$. That's equivalent to

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(x_0,y_0)} \frac{f(x,y) - f(x_0,y_0) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0,y_0) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0,y_0)}{\sqrt{(y-y_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2}} = 0$$

20 Leibniz integral rule

Let f(x,y) be continuous on a rectangle $[a,b] \times [c,d]$ and suppose $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y)$ exists and is continuous on $[a,b] \times [c,d]$. Define $F(y) = \int_a^b f(x,y) \, dx$ then F is differentiable on [c,d] and

$$F'(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \int_a^b f(x, y) dx = \int_a^b \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) dx$$

20.1 Lemma

Lemma: if f(x,y) is continuous on $[a,b] \times [c,d]$ then $F(y) = \int_a^b f(x,y) \, dx$ is uniforally continuous on [c,d].

We know f is continuous on a compact space so it is uniformally continuous there:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \,\exists \delta > 0 \,(\forall (p_1 = (x_1, y_1), p_2 = (x_2, y_2)) : d(p_1, p_2) < \delta \rightarrow |f(p_1) - f(p_2)| < \varepsilon)$$

Now consider $y_1, y_2 \in [c, d]$. such that $d(y_1, y_2) < \delta$ we know that $\forall x \in [a, b]$ that $d((x, y_1), (x, y_2)) > \delta$ and then we can see that

$$|F(y_1) - F(y_2)| = \left| \int_a^b f(x, y_1) \, dx - \int_a^b f(x, y_2) \, dx \right| = \left| \int_a^b \left(f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2) \right) dx \right|$$

$$\leq \int_a^b \left| \left(f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2) \right) \right| \, dx < \varepsilon (b - a)$$

20.2 The Rule

Now denote $G(y) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y) dx$, by the lemma G is continuous.

$$\Delta F = F(y + \Delta y) - F(y) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y + \Delta y) \, dx - \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) \, dx = \int_{a}^{b} (f(x, y + \Delta y) - f(x, y)) \, dx$$

We know by the Lagrange theorem that $\exists t \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\frac{f(x, y + \Delta y) - f(x, y)}{\Delta y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y + \Delta y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{a}^{b} (f(x, y + \Delta y) - f(x, y)) dx = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y + t\Delta y)\Delta y\right) dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta F}{\Delta y} = \int_{a}^{b} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y + t\Delta y)\right) dx = {}^{\dagger}G(y + t\Delta y) \to G(y)$$

20.3 Generalization

Let f(x,y) be continuously differentiable on a rectangle $[a,b] \times [c,d]$ and suppose $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y)$ exists and is continuous on $[a,b] \times [c,d]$, and $\alpha(y),\beta(y)$ are differentiable on [c,d]. Define $F(y)=\int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)}f(x,y)\,dx$ then F is differentiable on [c,d] and

$$F'(y) = \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \, dx + f(\beta(y), y)\beta'(y) - f(\alpha(y), y)\alpha'(y)$$

Denote $\Phi(s,t,y) = \int_s^t f(x,y) \, dx$ then:

$$F(y) = \Phi(\alpha(y), \beta(y), y) = \int_{s}^{t} f(x, y) dx$$

And now

$$F'(y) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} \frac{ds}{dy} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \frac{dt}{dy} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dy}$$

So by the rule we proved earlier and the fundamental theorem

$$F'(y) = -f(\alpha(y), y)\alpha'(y) + f(\beta(y), y)\beta'(y) + \int_{\alpha(y)}^{\beta(y)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) dx$$

21 Fubini's Theorem

Let f(x,y) be continuous on rectangle $[a,b] \times [c,d]$ then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \left(\int_{c}^{d} f(x, y) \, dy \right) \, dx = \int_{c}^{d} \left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) \, dx \right) \, dy$$

21.1 Proof

Denote

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(t) = \int_c^t \left(\int_a^b f(x, y) \, dx \right) \, dy \\ \Psi(t) = \int_a^b \left(\int_c^t f(x, y) \, dy \right) \, dx \end{cases}$$

Since f is continuous we know that $F(y) = \int_a^b f(x,y) dx$ is continuous and so by the fundemental theorem:

$$\varphi'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_c^t F(y) \, dy = F(t) = \int_a^b f(x, t) \, dx$$

Denote $G(x,t) = \int_{c}^{t} f(x,y) dy$. Then by the fundamental theorem we get

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = f(x, t)$$

And thus by the Leibniz Integral Rule

$$\Psi'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b G(x,t) \, dx = \int_a^b f(x,t) \, dx$$

We concluded that φ, Ψ have the same derivative. That means that

$$\varphi = \Psi + const.$$

We know that $\varphi(c) = \Psi(c) = 0$ and so const. = 0 and so

$$\varphi = \Psi$$

and specifically

$$\varphi(d) = \Psi(d)$$

$$\int_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x, y) \, dy \right) dx = \int_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x, y) \, dx \right) dy$$

22 The Chain Rule

Let f(x,y) have continuous partial derivatives on domain D. Let x(t), y(t) be differentiable on Interval I such that $\forall t \in I : (x(t), y(t) \in D)$ and denote F(t) = f(x(t), y(t)) then

$$F'(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \bigg|_{(x(t),y(t))} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \bigg|_{(x(t),y(t))} \frac{dy}{dt}$$

22.1 Proof

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{F(t + \Delta t) - F(t)}{\Delta t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{f(x(t + \Delta t), y(t + \Delta t)) - f(x(t), y(t))}{\Delta t}$$

Denote

$$\begin{cases} \Delta x = x(t + \Delta t) - x(t) \\ \Delta y = y(t + \Delta t) - y(t) \end{cases}$$
$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{f(x(t) + \Delta x, y(t) + \Delta y) - f(x(t), y(t))}{\Delta t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta t}$$

Since f is differentiable

$$\Delta f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Delta y + \alpha(\Delta x, \Delta y) \Delta x + \beta(\Delta x, \Delta y) \Delta y$$

Where $\alpha, \beta \to 0$ So:

$$F'(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Delta y + \alpha(\Delta x, \Delta y) \Delta x + \beta(\Delta x, \Delta y) \Delta y}{\Delta t}$$
$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Big|_{(x(t), y(t))} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Big|_{(x(t), y(t))} \frac{dy}{dt}$$

22.2 Corollary

suppose F(u, v) = f(x(u, v), y(u, v)) then we see that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \end{split}$$

23 Substitution For Multiple Variables

Let f be integrable over Domain D. Let x(u,v) and y(u,v) be in C_1^{\dagger} and let them define an invertible transformation $\varphi: D \to E$ where D is defined on an xy plane and E on an uv plane. Now suppose

$$\mathbb{J} = \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(u,v)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \quad \forall (u,v) \in E$$

*It can be equal to 0 in the domain if the measure of the set of those points is 0.

Then

$$\iint\limits_D f(x,y)\,dx\,dy = \iint\limits_E f(x(u,v),y(u,v))|\mathbb{J}|\,du\,dv$$

 $^{^{\}dagger} {\rm continuously}$ differentiable

24 Calculate $\iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2}$

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \, dx \right)^2 = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \, dx \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} \, dy \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x^2 + y^2)} \, dx \, dy$$

Now consider the integral in polar coordinates.

$$\begin{split} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x^2 + y^2)} \, dx \, dy &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-r^2} r^{\dagger} \, d\theta \, dr = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} r e^{-r^2} \, d\theta \, dr \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta r e^{-r^2} \bigg|_{\theta = 0}^{\theta = 2\pi} \, dr = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-r^2} \, dr \\ &= 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} r e^{-r^2} \, dr \end{split}$$

And

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty re^{-r^2} dr = 2\pi \lim_{M \to \infty} -\frac{1}{2}e^{-r^2} \Big|_0^M$$

$$= 2\pi \lim_{M \to \infty} -\frac{1}{2}(e^{-M^2} - e^{-0^2}) = 2\pi(-\frac{1}{2}(0 - 1)) = \pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx\right)^2 = \pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$$