

Introduction to Metric and Topological Spaces

heavily based on notes by Ariel Rapaport

1 Metric Spaces

First we will begin with metric spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a non-empty set. A metric on X is a function $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

1. $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (symmetry);
3. $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ (triangle inequality);

The pair (X, d) is said to be a **metric space**.

Example 1.1. Let X be a non-empty set. Let $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function such that for $x, y \in X$,

$$d(x, y) := \begin{cases} 0, & x = y \\ 1, & x \neq y \end{cases}$$

The function d is a metric and it is called **the discrete metric** on X .

Example 1.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and define the function:

$$d(x - y) := |x - y|$$

Where $|\cdot|: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Eclidean norm function. Then the pair (X, d) forms a metric space.

Example 1.3. Let (X, N) be an arbitrary normed space and define the function:

$$d(x - y) := N(x - y)$$

Then the pair (X, d) forms a metric space.

Example 1.4. The pair $(C([0, 1]), d)$ such that $C([0, 1])$ is the space of all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ paired with the metric:

$$d(f, g) = \int_0^1 |f(x) - g(x)| dx$$

Is also a metric space.

Example 1.5. The pair $(C([0, 1]), d)$ paired with the supremum metric:

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

Is also also metric space.

Example 1.6. Let Λ be a nonempty set which will represent an alphabet. The set $\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}}$ represents all the sequences over that alphabet. The pair $(\Lambda^{\mathbb{N}}, d)$ with the metric d defined on two sequences $\omega = (\omega_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \eta = (\eta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as:

$$d(\omega, \eta) = \begin{cases} 2^{-\min\{n \geq 0 | \omega_n \neq \eta_n\}} & \omega \neq \eta \\ 0 & \omega = \eta \end{cases}$$

2 Topological Spaces

Definition 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A collection $\tau \subset P(X)$ is said to be a topology on X if it satisfies the following properties,

1. $X, \emptyset \in \tau$;
2. Any union of sets in τ is a set in τ ;
3. Any finite intersection of sets in τ is a set in τ ;

The pair (X, τ) is said to be a topological space and $U \in \tau$ an open set of (X, τ) . An element $x \in X$ is said to be a point of (X, τ) .

Example 2.1. Every metric spaces can induce a topological space. Let (X, d) be a metric space. It can be verified that:

$$\tau := \{U \subset X \mid \forall x \in U \quad \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } B(x, \epsilon) \subset U\}$$

Is a topology on X .

Definition 2.2. We say that a topological space (X, τ) is metrizable if exists a metric d on X , such that the topology that d induces on X is equal to τ .

Example 2.2. Let X be a nonempty set and let $\tau := P(X)$. The topology τ is called the discrete topology and the space (X, τ) is called the discrete space. Is it metrizable?

Example 2.3. Let X be a nonempty set and let $\tau := \{\emptyset, X\}$. The topology τ is called the trivial topology. Is it metrizable when $|X| = 1$? Is it metrizable when $|X| > 1$?

Example 2.4. Let X be any infinite set and let $\tau := \{A \subset X \mid |X \setminus A| < \infty\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. The topology τ is called the finite complement topology. Is it metrizable?

Definition 2.3. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be continuous if for every open set $U \subset Y$ the set $f^{-1}(U)$ is open.

Definition 2.4. Given $x \in X$, an open $U \subset X$ containing x is said to be a neighbourhood of x .

Definition 2.5. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be continuous at x if for every neighbourhood U of $f(x)$ there exists a neighbourhood V of x such that $f(V) \subset U$.

Definition 2.6. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be open if $f(U)$ is open in Y for every open $U \subset X$.

Definition 2.7. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a homeomorphism if it is injective, surjective, continuous and open. If there exists such an f , then we say that X and Y are homeomorphic.

Remark 2.1. We say that a property P is a *topological property* if for every two homeomorphic spaces X and Y , then P holds for X if and only if it holds for P . The branch that deals with topological properties is called topology.

Definition 2.8. Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space and let $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$. Define

$$\tau_Y = \{U \cap Y \mid U \in \tau_X\}$$

We call τ_Y the subspace topology, induced by τ_X on Y .

Proposition 2.1. (Characteristic property of the subspace topology). *Let (X, τ_X) be a topological space, let $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$, and write τ_Y for the subspace topology on Y . Then τ_Y is the unique topology on Y which satisfies the following property. Let Z be a topological space and let $f: Z \rightarrow X$ be with $f(Z) \subset Y$. Then f is continuous as a map into (X, τ_X) if and only if it is continuous as a map into (Y, τ_Y) .*

Throughout this section let X be a fixed topological space.

Definition 2.9. A subset F of X is said to be closed if $F^c = X \setminus F$ is open.

Proposition 2.2. *The following properties are always satisfied:*

1. X, \emptyset are closed;
2. Any intersection of closed sets is closed;
3. Any finite union of closed sets is closed;

Definition 2.10. Given $A \subset X$ we denote \overline{A} to be the intersection of all $F \subset X$ such that $A \subset F$ and F is closed. We call \overline{A} the closure of A .

We can also define the closure of A in an alternate way:

$$\overline{A} = \{x \in X \mid A \cap U \neq \emptyset \text{ for each neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x\}.$$

You may try to prove that both definitions are equivalent.

Definition 2.11. A subset A of X is said to be dense in X if $\overline{A} = X$.

Note that the second definition of closure we get that A is dense in X if and only if $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every nonempty $U \subset X$.

Definition 2.12. We say that X is separable if it has a countable dense subset.

Definition 2.13. Let $A \subset X$. We say that x is an isolated point of A if exists U open in X such that $X \cap U = \{x\}$.

This is exactly the same as saying that x is an isolated point if and only if the singleton $\{x\}$ is open in the subspace topology.

Definition 2.14. Let $A \subset X$. We say that x is a limit point of A if for every neighbourhood U of x there exists $a \in U \cap A$ with $a \neq x$. The set of all limit points of A is called the derived set of A and is denoted by $D(A)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $A \subset X$ be given, then*

1. $\overline{A} = A \cup D(A)$.
2. A is closed if and only if $D(A) \subset A$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $A \subset X$ be closed and write $I(A)$ for the set of all isolated points of A . Then A is the disjoint union of $D(A)$ and $I(A)$.*

Definition 2.15. Let A be a subset of X . The interior of A is denoted by $\text{Int}(A)$ and is defined to be the union of all open subsets U of X so that $U \subset A$. A point $x \in \text{Int}(A)$ is said to be an interior point of A .

Proposition 2.5. *Let $A \subset X$ be given, then*

1. $\text{Int } A = X \setminus \overline{(X \setminus A)}$.

2. $\text{Int}(A)$ is open and contained in A .

3. A is open if and only if $A = \text{Int}(A)$.

Definition 2.16. Let $A \subset X$ be given. A point $x \in X$ is said to be a boundary point of A if for every neighbourhood U of x we have $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $U \cap (X \setminus A) \neq \emptyset$. The set of all boundary points of A is called the boundary of A and is denoted by ∂A .

Proposition 2.6. For $A \subset X$ we have $\partial A = \overline{A} \cap \overline{X \setminus A}$ and in particular ∂A is closed.

Proposition 2.7. Let $A \subset X$ be given, then A is the disjoint union of $\text{Int}(A)$ and ∂A .

Definition 2.17. A family \mathcal{B} of subsets of X is said to be an open base for X if for each open $U \subset X$ and $x \in U$ exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B \subset U$.

It is easy to see that a family \mathcal{B} of open subsets of X is an open base for X if and only if each open $U \subset X$ is a union of elements of \mathcal{B} .

Definition 2.18. We say that X is second countable, or that it satisfies the second axiom of countability, if it has a countable open base.

Proposition 2.8. Suppose that X is second countable, then X is separable.

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be a countable open base for X . We have that $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is also an open base. Choose an arbitrary $x_B \in B$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Since \mathcal{B} is countable $\{x_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$ is also countable. Let U be open in X . By definition of an open base exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x_B \in B$ and $B \subset U$ so $x_B \in U$. This shows that $\{x_B\}_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$ is dense in X and thus X is separable. \square

Remark 2.2. In metric spaces, the property of being separable and second countable is equivalent. If we denote (X, d) the metric space and A the countable dense set, then

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B(a, q) \mid a \in A \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)\}$$

Will form a countable open base for X .

Example 2.5. A classic example of a topological space that is separable but not second countable is the Sorgenfrey line, also known as the lower limit topology, which we will discuss later.

Theorem 2.9. (Lindelöf's Theorem). Suppose that X is second countable. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of open subsets of X . Then there exists a countable $I_0 \subset I$ so that $\cup_{i \in I_0} U_i = \cup_{i \in I} U_i$

Proof. Let \mathcal{B} be a countable open base for X . Set,

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid B \subset U_i \text{ for some } i \in I\}$$

For each $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$ choose an arbitrary $i_B \in I$ such that $B \subset U_{i_B}$. Set $I_0 = \{i_B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_0\}$. Since \mathcal{B} is countable, I_0 is also countable. It remains to show that $\cup_{i \in I_0} U_i = \cup_{i \in I} U_i$. Let $x \in \cup_{i \in I} U_i$ then exists some j such that $x \in U_j$ since \mathcal{B} is an open base exists $B \subset U_j$ such that $x \in B$. By definition we see that $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$, thus $i_B \in I_0$ and then:

$$x \in B \subset U_{i_B} \subset \cup_{i \in I_0} U_i$$

The other side of the inclusion is obvious which concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.10. Suppose that X is second countable and that \mathcal{B} is an open base for X . Then exists a countable $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ which is also an open base for X .

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be a countable open base for X . Since \mathcal{B} is an open base, for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ exists $\mathcal{B}_E \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $E = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_E} B$. From Lindelöf's theorem we have that exists a countable $\mathcal{B}_E^0 \subset \mathcal{B}_E$ such that $U_{B \in \mathcal{B}_E^0} = \cup_{i \in \mathcal{B}_E} U_i$. Now set $\mathcal{B}_0 = \cup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{B}_E^0$. It is countable as a countable union of countable sets. Moreover, since \mathcal{E} is an open set, and since each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ is a union of elements from \mathcal{B}_0 , it is clear that \mathcal{B}_0 is also an open base for X which completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.19. Let $x \in X$. A class of neighbourhoods B_x of x is called an open base at x if for every neighbourhood U of x exists $B \in B_x$ such that $B \subset U$.

Definition 2.20. We say that X is first countable, or that it satisfies the first axiom of countability, if for each $x \in X$ there exists a countable open base at x .

Remark 2.3. It is clear that if X is second countable, it is also first countable.

Definition 2.21. Let X be a topological space. A family \mathcal{S} of open subsets of X is said to be an open subbase for X if the collection of all finite intersections of elements of \mathcal{S} forms an open base for X .

Proposition 2.11. Let X and Y be topological spaces, let \mathcal{S} be an open subbase for Y . Then if $f^{-1}(S)$ is open for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ then f is continuous.

The above proposition shows how convenient working with subbases can be. The following will show how to easily generate topologies using the notion.

Proposition 2.12. Let X be a set, and let $\mathcal{S} \subset P(X)$. Set,

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\cap_{i=1}^n S_i \mid n \geq 0 \text{ and } S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

And,

$$\tau := \{U \subset X \mid \forall x \in U \quad \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ s.t. } x \in B \subset U\}$$

Then τ is a topology on X , \mathcal{B} is an open base for τ and \mathcal{S} is an open subbase for it.

We may want to compare topologies now. Let $\mathcal{T}(X)$ be the set of all topologies on a set X .

Definition 2.22. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}(X)$. We say that τ_1 is weaker than τ_2 , or that τ_2 is stronger than τ_1 if $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$.

For a simple reality check, notice that every topology is weaker than the discrete topology and stronger than the indiscrete topology. Also, the pair $(\mathcal{T}(X), \subset)$ form a partially ordered set.

Definition 2.23. Let $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of topological spaces. For each $i \in I$ let $f_i: X \rightarrow Y_i$. Write $\mathcal{T}_0 \subset \mathcal{T}$ for the set of all τ with respect to which all $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ are continuous. The greatest lower bound of \mathcal{T}_0 is called the weak topology generated by $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Remark 2.4. It is easy to verify that $\tau = \cap_{\tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0} \tau_0$ and also that τ is generated by

$$\{f_i^{-1}(U) \mid i \in I \text{ and } U \text{ is open in } Y_i\}$$

Definition 2.24. The product topology on a cartesian product of topological spaces $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is defined to be the weak topology generated by the projections $\{\pi_i\}_{i \in I}$. Equipped with the product topology, the space X is called the product space of the spaces $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$.

This definition is a bit abstract, but we can give a more concrete definition by setting,

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} U_i \mid \exists j \in I \text{ s.t. } U_i = X_i \text{ for } i \in I \setminus \{j\} \text{ and } U_j \text{ is open in } X_j \right\}$$

Now the product topology on X is equal to the topology on X generated by \mathcal{S} as a subbase. From this we can also deduce that

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} (U_i) \mid \text{Exists a finite } I_0 \subset I \text{ such that } U_i = X_i \text{ for } i \in I \setminus I_0 \text{ and } U_i \text{ is open in } X_i \text{ for } i \in I_0 \right\}$$

Is an open base for the product topology.

Proposition 2.13. (Characteristic property of the product topology). *The product topology is the unique topology on X which satisfies the following property. Let Y be a topological space and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$. Then f is continuous if and only if $\pi_i \circ f$ is continuous for each $i \in I$.*

Definition 2.25. Let X be a topological space. We write $C(X)$ for the collection of all continuous functions from X to \mathbb{R} . We denote by $C_b(X)$ the set of all bounded elements of $C(X)$. It has the a natural norm defined on it, the supremum norm.

More about algebras in the the analysis notes.

3 Complete Metric Spaces

Let (X, d) be a fixed metric space.

Definition 3.1. We say that a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset X$ is a Cauchy sequence if for all $\epsilon > 0$ exists $N \geq 1$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m > N$.

It is easy to verify that all Cauchy sequences converge, but the converse is not always true. This leads us to formulate the following notion.

Definition 3.2. We say that the metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset X$ converges to some $x \in X$.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that X is complete and let Y be a nonempty subset of X . Then Y is complete (with respect to the metric induced by X) if and only if Y is closed in X .

The following lemma demonstrates the usefulness of the completeness property. Given a nonempty subset A of X we write

$$\text{diam}(A) := \{d(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1, x_2 \in A\}$$

And we say that the number $\text{diam}(A)$ is the diameter of A .

Lemma 3.2. (Cantor's intersection lemma for complete metric spaces). Let F_1, F_2, \dots be nonempty closed subsets of X . Suppose that

- X is complete;
- $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$ for all $n \geq 1$;
- $\text{diam}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} F_n = \{x\}$ for some $x \in X$.

Proof. For each $n \geq 1$ choose $x_n \in F_n$. For each $n \geq m \geq 1$ we have that $x_n, x_m \in F_n$ and thus $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \text{diam}(F_n)$. Since $\text{diam}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ we have that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete exists $x \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $n \geq 1$ we have that $\{x_m\}_{m \geq n} \subset F_n$ and since each F_n is closed, we have that $x \in F_n$. Thus $x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} F_n$ and in particular $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} F_n \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} F_n$. We have that $d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(F_n)$ for each $n \geq 1$. Since $\text{diam}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $d(x, y) = 0$ and thus $x = y$. This implies that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} F_n = \{x\}$ which completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.3. Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be an isometry if $d_X(x_1, x_2) = d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2))$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. We say that X and Y are isometric if there exists an isometry from X onto Y .

Remark 3.1. Every isomorphism is continuous and injective. A surjective isomorphism is thus a homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.3. (The completion theorem for metric spaces). Let X be a metric space. Then there exists a complete metric space \overline{X} and an isometry $f: X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ such that $f(X)$ is dense in \overline{X} . Moreover, if Y is another complete metric space such that exists an isometry $g: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g(X)$ is dense in Y then there exists a surjective isometry $h: \overline{X} \rightarrow Y$ so that $g = h \circ f$.

Remark 3.2. The space \overline{X} is called the completion of X . As the theorem states it is unique up to isometry.

Definition 3.4. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be uniformly continuous if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so that $d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \epsilon$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $d_X(x_1, x_2) < \delta$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $A \subset X$, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be uniformly continuous. Then there exists a unique $\bar{f}: \bar{A} \rightarrow Y$ which extends f such that \bar{f} is also continuous.

Preparation for Baire's theorem

Definition 3.5. A subset A of X is said to be nowhere dense if $\text{Int}(A) = \emptyset$.

Remark 3.3. Note that a closed $A \subset X$ is nowhere dense if and only if $\text{Int}(A) = \emptyset$.

Example 3.1. Let W be a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^d with $\dim W < d$. We will show that W is nowhere dense. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^d . We notice that for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the map $x \mapsto \langle x, v \rangle$ is continuous. Thus the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, v \rangle = 0\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^d as the preimage of the closed set $\{0\}$. From this and from the fact that:

$$W = (W^\perp)^\perp = \bigcap_{u \in W^\perp} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, u \rangle = 0\}$$

It follows that W is closed in \mathbb{R}^d . Moreover, for every $w \in W$, $u \in W^\perp$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we have that $w + u\epsilon \notin W$. Since $W^\perp \neq \emptyset$ we see that $\text{Int}(W) = \emptyset$, which shows that W is nowhere dense.

Definition 3.6. A subset E of X is said to be of the first category if there exist nowhere dense subsets $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset X$ so that $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$. A subset of X which is not of the first category is said to be of the second category.

Theorem 3.5. (The Baire category theorem). Suppose that X is complete, and let $E \subset X$ be of the first category. Then $\text{Int}(E) = \emptyset$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that exists $x_0 \in X$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $B(x_0, \epsilon) \setminus E \neq \emptyset$. Since E is of the first category, there exist closed subsets F_1, F_2, \dots such that $E \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$ and $\text{Int}(F_n) = \emptyset$ for each $n \geq 1$. We are going to construct sequences $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset (0, \infty)$ such that $\epsilon_n < \frac{1}{n}$ and $\bar{B}(x_n, \epsilon_n) \subset B(x_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k$.

Let $n \geq 1$ and assume that we already constructed the sequences for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. From $B(x_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}) \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} F_k)$ it follows that $V := B(x_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} F_k \neq \emptyset$. From this, and since V is open and $\text{Int}(F_n) = \emptyset$ we get that $V \setminus F_n \neq \emptyset$. Since $V \setminus F_n$ is nonempty and open we get that there exists $x_n \in X$ and $0 < \epsilon_n < \frac{1}{n}$ such that $\bar{B}(x_n, \epsilon_n) \subset V \setminus F_n = B(x_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k$. This completes the inductive construction.

From Cantor's intersection lemma it now follows that $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bar{B}(x_n, \epsilon_n) = \{x\}$ for some $x \in X$. For every $n \geq 1$ we have $x \in \bar{B}(x_n, \epsilon_n) \subset B(x_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k$. This shows that:

$$x \in B(x_0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \subset B(x_0, \epsilon_0) \setminus E,$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The following is an immediate corollary from Baire's theorem.

Corollary 3.6. Suppose that X is complete. Then X is of the second category as a subset of itself. Consequently, if F_1, F_2, \dots are closed subsets of X with $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$, then $\text{Int}(F_n) \neq \emptyset$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Here's another useful corollary of Baire's theorem.

Corollary 3.7. Suppose that X is complete. Let U_1, U_2, \dots be open subsets of X . Suppose that U_n is dense in X for all $n \geq 1$. Then $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} U_n$ is also dense in X .

Proof. To be added □

Definition 3.7. Let Y be a topological space. A countable intersection of open subsets of Y is called a G_δ set. A countable union of closed subsets of Y is called an F_σ set.

Definition 3.8. An irrational real number x is said to be a Liouville number if for every integer $n \geq 1$ there exist integers p and $q \geq 2$ so that $\left|x - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{q^n}$.

Example 3.2. The number $\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{10^{k!}}$ is called Liouville's constant. It is not difficult to show that it is a Liouville number.

Proposition 3.8. Write L for the set of Liouville numbers. Then L is a dense G_δ subset of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For every $n \geq 1$ set

$$V_n := \bigcup_{q=2}^{\infty} \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{p}{q} - \frac{1}{q^n}, \frac{p}{q} + \frac{1}{q^n} \right).$$

Note that $\mathbb{Q} \subset V_n$ which means V_n is dense in \mathbb{R} . For each $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ denote $U_r = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{r\}$. It follows directly from the definition of Liouville numbers that:

$$L = \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} U_r \right)$$

Now since that sets $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{U_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{Q}}$ are all open and dense, and since \mathbb{Q} is countable, it follows from the previous corollary that L is a dense G_δ subset of \mathbb{R} . This completes the proof. □

Definition 3.9. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called a contraction of X if there exists $c \in [0, 1)$ so that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq cd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.9. (The Banach fixed-point theorem). Suppose that X is complete and let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction. Then f has a unique fixed point. That is, there exists a unique $x \in X$ so that $f(x) = x$.

Proof. First we show that f has a fixed point. Choose an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ by setting $x_n := f(x_{n-1})$ for $n \geq 1$. It is easy to show by induction that:

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq c^n d(x_1, x_0)$$

Now we will show that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N \geq 1$ such that $c^N d(x_0, x_1)(1 - c)^{-1} < \epsilon$. For $n \geq m \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} c^k d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq c^m d(x_0, x_1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c^k = \frac{c^m d(x_0, x_1)}{1 - c} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy. Since X is complete exists $x \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is a contraction it is continuous. We get:

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n-1}) = f(x),$$

which shows that f has a fixed point.

Next we show uniqueness. Suppose there were $y \in X$ another fixed point of f . Then

$$d(x, y) = d(f(x), f(y)) \leq cd(x, y)$$

Thus we have $(1 - c)d(x, y) \leq 0$. This is only possible if $d(x, y) = 0$ thus $x = y$ which completes the proof. \square

Notice that the proof of the theorem also gives an explicit way to approximate the fixed point of f .

The following is a simplified version of Picard's theorem regarding the existence and uniqueness of solutions for ordinary differential equations. For $\epsilon > 0$ we set $I_\epsilon := [\epsilon, \epsilon]$.

Theorem 3.10. (Picard's theorem). *Let $F: I_1 \times I_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Suppose that there exists $K > 0$ so that $|F(t, x) - F(t, y)| \leq K|x - y|$ for all $t, x, y \in I_1$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which there exists a unique $f: I_\epsilon \rightarrow I_1$ so that,*

- f is differentiable on I_ϵ ;
- $f(0) = 0$
- $f'(t) = F(t, f(t))$ for $t \in I_\epsilon$

4 Compactness

Let X be a fixed topological space.

Definition 4.1. A class $\mathcal{U} := \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of open subsets of a X is said to be an *open cover* of X if $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$. A subclass of \mathcal{U} is said to be a *subcover* of \mathcal{U} if it is in itself an open cover of X .

Definition 4.2. The space X is said to be *compact* if every open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Definition 4.3. A subset Y of X is said to be *compact* if for every family of open sets $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $Y \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ exists a finite index set $I_0 \subset I$ such that $Y \subset \bigcup_{i \in I_0} U_i$.

Remark 4.1. It follows easily from the definition of the subspace topology that a nonempty subset Y of X is compact if and only if Y is a compact space when equipped with the subspace topology.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that X is compact and let $F \subset X$ be closed. Then F is compact.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of F . Since F is closed we know that $X \setminus F \cup \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is compact exists a finite index set $I_0 \subset I$ such that $X \setminus F \cup \{U_i\}_{i \in I_0}$ is a finite open cover of X . It is clear that $F \subset \{U_i\}_{i \in I_0}$ which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose X is compact, let Y be a topological space, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous. Then $f(X)$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of $f(X)$. Since f is continuous $\{f^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is an open cover of X . Since X is compact exists a finite index set $I_0 \subset I$ such that $\{f^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i \in I_0}$ is an open cover of X . We now have:

$$f(X) = f(\bigcup_{i \in I_0} f^{-1}(U_i)) = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} f(f^{-1}(U_i)) = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} U_i$$

Which completes the proof. \square

Here are some more equivalent forms of compactness that are often easier to apply.

Proposition 4.3. *The space X is compact if and only if for every class $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ of closed subsets of X with $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i = \emptyset$ there exists a finite $I_0 \subset I$ with $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} F_i = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume X is compact. Let $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of closed subsets of X with $\bigcap_{i \in I} F_i = \emptyset$ then we have $\bigcap_{i \in I} X \setminus F_i = X$ which is a cover of X thus exists a finite $I_0 \subset I$ with $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} X \setminus F_i = X$ being a finite subcover of X . This implies that $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} F_i = \emptyset$ which completes the proof. The proof of the other direction is similar and thus omitted. \square

Definition 4.4. Let S be a nonempty set. A class of subsets $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ of S is said to have the **finite intersection property** if $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} E_i \neq \emptyset$ for every finite $I_0 \subset I$.

Proposition 4.4. *The space X is compact if and only if every class of closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property has nonempty intersection.*

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathcal{B} be an open base for X . Suppose that every open cover $\{B_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of X has a finite subcover. Then X is compact.*

Definition 4.5. A family \mathcal{B} of closed subsets of X is called a **closed base** for X if the collection

$$\{X \setminus B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

is an open base for X . Similarly, a family \mathcal{S} of closed subsets of X is called a **closed subbase** for X if the collection $\{X \setminus S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is an open subbase for X .

Remark 4.2. Note that if \mathcal{S} is a closed subbase for X then the set \mathcal{B} of all finite unions of elements of \mathcal{S} forms a closed base for X . This is so since, by definition, the set of all finite intersections of an open subbase forms an open base. We call \mathcal{B} the closed base generated by \mathcal{S} .

Proposition 4.6. *Let \mathcal{B} be a closed base for X . Suppose that for every $\{B_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{B}$ with the finite intersection property we have $\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i = \emptyset$. Then X is compact.*

In the following two theorems are let X be a fixed topological space.

Theorem 4.7. (The Alexander subbase theorem, first form). *Let \mathcal{S} be an open subbase for X . Suppose that every open cover $\{S_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{S}$ of X has a finite subcover. Then X is compact.*

Theorem 4.8. (The Alexander subbase theorem, second form). *Let \mathcal{S} be a closed subbase for X . Suppose that $\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i = \emptyset$ for every $\{S_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{S}$ with the finite intersection property. Then X is compact.*

The proof of these theorems is concerned with Zorn's lemma and will be omitted for now.

Definition 4.6. Let X be a metric space. We say that $A \subset X$ is **bounded** if exists $r > 0$ and $x \in X$ such that $A \subset B(x, r)$.

Note that it is easy to see that $A \subset X$ is bounded if and only if it has a finite diameter.

Lemma 4.9. *Let \mathcal{S} be an open subbase for a topological space X . If $Y \subset X$ is a subset of X equipped with the subspace topology induced by X then $\{S \cap Y \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is an open subbase for Y .*

Proof. Let U be a nonempty subset of Y and let $y \in U$. There exists W an open set in X such that $W \cap Y = U$. Because \mathcal{S} is a subbase for X exists $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i \subset W$ and thus because $y \in Y$:

$$y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n S_i \cap Y \subset W \cap Y = U$$

Because $S_i \cap Y$ are all open in Y we have that indeed $\{S \cap Y \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is an open subbase as wanted. \square

Theorem 4.10. (Heine–Borel theorem in \mathbb{R}). *Every closed and bounded set in \mathbb{R} is compact.*

Proof. Let A be a closed and bounded set in \mathbb{R} . Because A is bounded we know that exist real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$ and also $A \subset [a, b]$. If we equip $[a, b]$ with the subspace topology induced on it by \mathbb{R} it is not hard to see that A is closed in $[a, b]$ and thus it suffices to verify that $[a, b]$ is compact in \mathbb{R} . It's easy to check that the set:

$$\{(-\infty, c) \mid c \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(d, \infty) \mid d \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Is an open subbase to \mathbb{R} . From the lemma we have that the set:

$$S = \{[a, c) \mid a < c \leq b\} \cup \{(d, b] \mid a < d \leq b\}$$

Is an open subbase for $[a, b]$. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ be an open cover of $[a, b]$, by Alexander's subbase theorem it suffices to show that S has a finite subcover. Since $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ there exist index sets I, J such that:

$$\mathcal{U} = \{[a, c_i) \mid i \in I\} \cup \{(d_j, b] \mid j \in J\}$$

We have that $a \in [a, b]$ and \mathcal{U} a cover of $[a, b]$ which means that $I \neq \emptyset$. Denote $s = \sup\{c_i\}_{i \in I}$, if we have $s \leq d_j$ for all $j \in J$ we have $s \notin \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ which is a contradiction. Otherwise exists $j_0 \in J$ such that $d_{j_0} < s$ and then by definition exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $d_{j_0} < c_{i_0} < s$ and then we have that $\{[a, c_{i_0}), (d_{j_0}, b]\}$ is a finite subcover of $[a, b]$ which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.11. (Tychonoff's theorem). *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty family of compact topological spaces. Equip $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ with the product topology. Then $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is compact.*

Proof. Set:

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} F_i \mid \exists i_0 \in I \text{ s.t. } (\forall i \in I \setminus \{i_0\})(F_i = X_i) \text{ and } F_{i_0} \text{ is closed in } X_{i_0} \right\}$$

This is the standard closed subbase for $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$. Let $\{S_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{S}$ be with the finite intersection property. By Alexander's subbase theorem, second form, it suffices to prove that $\bigcap_{j \in J} S_j \neq \emptyset$. For every $j \in J$ exists a family $\{F_{j,i}\}_{i \in I}$ so that $F_{j,i}$ is a closed of X_i for each $i \in I$, and $S_j = \prod_{i \in I} F_{j,i}$. Thus, for every $J_0 \subset J$

$$(*) \quad \bigcap_{j \in J_0} S_j = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} x_i \mid x_i \in F_{j,i} \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } j \in J_0 \right\}$$

From this, and since $\{S_j\}_{j \in J}$ has the finite intersection property, it follows that $\{F_{j,i}\}_{j \in J}$ has the finite intersection property for each $i \in I$. From this, and from proposition 4.4, and since the spaces X_i are compact, we obtain that for each $i \in I$, there exists $\tilde{x}_i \in \bigcap_{j \in J} F_{j,i}$. From (*) it now follows that $\{\tilde{x}_i\}_{i \in I} \in \bigcap_{j \in J} S_j$, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

We can now prove the following classic result.

Theorem 4.12. (Heine–Borel theorem). *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer, and equip \mathbb{R}^d with its standard Euclidean metric. Then every closed and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d is compact.*

First we need to prove a couple of lemmas.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty family of topological spaces, and equip $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ with the product topology. Let Y be a nonempty subset of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$. For each $i \in I$ let π_i be the coordinate projection from $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ onto X_i , and denote by $\pi_i|_Y$ the restriction of π_i to Y . Then the subspace topology induced by $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ on Y is equal to the weak topology generated by $\{\pi_i|_Y\}_{i \in I}$.*

Proof. By definition of the product topology, the collection

$$\{\pi_i^{-1}(U) \mid i \in I \text{ and } U \text{ is open in } X_i\}$$

is an open subbase for the product space. By a previous lemma we have that

$$\{\pi_i^{-1}(U) \cap Y \mid i \in I \text{ and } U \text{ is open in } X_i\}$$

is an open subbase for Y with respect to the subspace topology. From this, and since $\pi_i^{-1}(E) \cap Y = \pi_i^{-1}|_Y(E)$ for each $i \in I$ and $E \subset X_i$, and now by Remark 2.4 we see that indeed the subspace topology induced by $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ on Y is equal to the weak topology generated by $\{\pi_i|_Y\}_{i \in I}$. \square

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty family of topological spaces, and equip $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ with the product topology. For each $i \in I$ let Y_i be a nonempty subset of X_i , and set $Y := \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$. Let τ_1 be subspace topology induced by $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ on Y . Let τ_2 be the product topology on Y , where each Y_i is equipped with the subspace topology induced by X_i . Then $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.*

Proof. For each $i \in I$ let π_i be the coordinate projection from $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ onto X_i , and denote by $\pi_i|_Y$ the restriction of π_i to Y . From the previous lemma we have that τ_1 is equal to the weak topology generated by $\{\pi_i|_Y\}_{i \in I}$.

For each $i \in I$ let $\tilde{\pi}_i$ be the coordinate projection from Y onto Y_i . By the definition of the product topology, the collection

$$\mathcal{S} := \{\tilde{\pi}_i^{-1}(U) \mid i \in I \text{ and } U \text{ is open in } Y_i\}$$

is an open subbase for Y in respect to τ_2 . We see that:

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(\pi|_{Y_i})^{-1}(V \cap Y_i) \mid i \in I \text{ and } V \text{ is open in } X_i\}$$

Now since $(\pi|_{Y_i})^{-1}(Y_i) = Y$ for all $i \in I$

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(\pi|_{Y_i})^{-1}(V) \mid i \in I \text{ and } V \text{ is open in } X_i\}$$

From this, and since \mathcal{S} is an open subbase for Y with respect to τ_2 , it follows that τ_2 is also equal to the weak topology generated by $\{\pi_i|_Y\}_{i \in I}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof. \square

Definition 4.7. A topological space X is called **locally compact** if for any $x \in X$ exists a neighbourhood $U \subset X$ of x so that \overline{U} is compact.

As an immediate result we get that for each $d \geq 1$ that \mathbb{R}^d is locally compact.

Definition 4.8. The metric space X is said to be **sequentially compact** if every sequence in X has a convergent subsequence.

Definition 4.9. The metric space X is said to have the **Bolzano–Weierstrass property** if every infinite subset of X has a limit point in X .

It is important to note that in metric spaces, sequential compactness and the Bolzano–Weierstrass property are both equivalent to compactness. We will omit the proofs because there’s not enough time. Here are some more definitions without motivation, and a lemma without a proof.

Definition 4.10. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of X . A real number $\delta > 0$ is said to be a **Lebesgue number** for $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ if for all nonempty $A \subset X$ with $\text{diam}(A) < \delta$ there exists $i \in I$ so that $A \subset U_i$.

Lemma 4.15. (*Lebesgue’s covering lemma*). Suppose that X is sequentially compact. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of X . Then $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ has a Lebesgue number.

Definition 4.11. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. A nonempty subset A of X is said to be an **ϵ -net** if A is finite and $X = \cup_{a \in A} B(a, \epsilon)$.

Definition 4.12. We say that X is **totally bounded** if it has an ϵ -net for all $\epsilon > 0$.

It is clear that a totally bounded space is also bounded. Using Lebesgue’s lemma we can also prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.16. Suppose that a metric space X is compact. Let (Y, d_Y) be a metric space, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is continuous the set $f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon/2))$ is open for any $x \in X$ and thus the set:

$$\mathcal{U} := \{f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon/2))\}_{x \in X}$$

is an open cover for X . Because X is a compact metric space it is also sequentially compact, and thus from Lebesgue’s lemma we have that exists a Lebesgue number $\rho > 0$ for \mathcal{U} . Now let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) < \rho$, by definition exists $x \in X$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in f^{-1}(B(f(x), \epsilon/2))$, thus:

$$d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq d_Y(f(x_1), f(x)) + d_Y(f(x), f(x_2)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

□

There is also a connection between compactness and total boundness as we see in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.17. The metric space X is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded.

The proof will be omitted for now.

Corollary 4.18. Suppose that X is complete and let A be a nonempty closed subset of X . Then A is compact if and only if it is totally bounded.

5 The Arzelà–Ascoli theorem

First we define a new structure. Let K be a field and A a vector space. Let $|\cdot|: A \times A \rightarrow A$ be a binary operation. Then A is called an **algebra** if for each $x, y, z \in V$ the following identities hold:

- Left distributivity: $(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$.
- Right distributivity: $z \cdot (x + y) = z \cdot x + z \cdot y$.
- Compatibility with scalars: $(ax) \cdot (by) = (ab)(x \cdot y)$.

These identities actually just imply that the operation is bilinear. An algebra over K is sometimes called a K -algebra and K is called the base field of A . Notice that we didn't require the operation to be associative or commutative, although some authors use the term “algebra” to refer to an associative algebra.

Definition 5.1. Given K -algebras A, B then a homomorphism of K -algebras is a K -linear map $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. If A and B are unital then the morphism $f(1_A) = 1_B$ is called the unital homomorphism. The space of all K -algebra homomorphisms between A and B is usually written as $\text{Hom}_{K\text{-alg}}(A, B)$. A K -algebra isomorphism is a bijective K -algebra homomorphism.

A subalgebra of a K -algebra A is a linear subspace of A such that all products and sums of the subspace are themselves elements of the subspace. For examples \mathbb{R} with complex addition and multiplication as a subspace of the \mathbb{R} -algebra \mathbb{C} is an example of a subalgebra.

Similarly to rings, algebras also have a concept of ideals. A left ideal L of a K -algebra A , is a linear subspace of A such that for any $x, y \in L, c \in K, z \in A$ the following three identities are satisfied:

- L is closed under addition: $x + y \in L$
- L is closed under scalar multiplication: $cx \in L$
- L is closed under vector multiplication from the left by arbitrary elements: $z \cdot x \in L$

We can similarly define a right ideal. An ideal that is both a left and a right ideal is called a two-sided ideal or simply an ideal. Notice that every ideal is a subalgebra and that in a commutative algebra any ideal is a two-sided ideal. Also notice that in contrast to an ideal of rings, here we also have the requirement for closure under scalar multiplication and not just being a subgroup of addition. If the algebra is unital then the third requirement implies the second one.

You can also talk about extension of scalars but I don't know what that is yet.

Let (X, d) be a fixed compact metric space. Denote $C(X)$ the algebra of all continuous functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $C_b(X)$ the subalgebra of all the bounded functions in $C(X)$. Because X is compact we know that the image $f(X)$ of any $f \in C(X)$ is compact and in particular bounded and thus $C_b(X) = C(X)$. This means we can set the norm $|\cdot|_\infty$ on $C(X)$. We can thus consider $C(X)$ as a metric space with the metric induced on it by $|\cdot|_\infty$. We will soon establish a useful characterisation of the compact sets in $C(X)$.

Definition 5.2. A subset $F \subset C(X)$ is called **equicontinuous** if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for any $f \in F$ and $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$.

Theorem 5.1. (Arzelà–Ascoli theorem). *Let F be a nonempty closed subset of $C(X)$. Then F is compact if and only if it is bounded and equicontinuous.*

Remark 5.1. It is easy to see that F is bounded if and only if there exists $M > 1$ so that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all $f \in F$ and $x \in X$.

6 Seperation

Let X be a fixed topological space.

Definition 6.1. We say that X is a T_1 -**space** if and only if for every $x_1, x_2 \in X$ exist neighbourhoods U_1 of x_1 and U_2 of x_2 such that $x_1 \notin U_2$ and $x_2 \notin U_1$.

We can also verify that if X is a T_1 -space then every topological subspace of X is also a T_1 -space.

Proposition 6.1. *The space X is a T_1 -**space** if and only if $\{x\}$ is closed in X for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. Suppose that X is a T_1 -space. Let $x \in X$. For every $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ exists a neighbourhood $U_y \subset X \setminus \{x\}$ the union of which gives $X \setminus \{x\}$ and then $\{x\}$ is closed as wanted. Now assume that $\{x\}$ is closed for every $x \in X$. For two points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ the sets $\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}$ are closed and thus we have $U_1 := X \setminus \{x_1\}$ neighbourhood of x_1 and $U_2 := X \setminus \{x_2\}$ neighbourhood of x_2 such that $x_1 \notin U_2$ and $x_2 \notin U_1$. \square

Definition 6.2. We say that X is a **Hausdorff space** if for all distinct $x_1, x_2 \in X$ there exist open sets $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ with $x_1 \in U_1, x_2 \in U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$.

We can verify that every Hausdorff space is a T_1 -space and that if X is a Hausdorff space then every subspace of X is also a Hausdorff space.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty family of Hausdorff spaces. Then the product space $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is also a Hausdorff space.*

Proof. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}, \{y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be distinct points in $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$. Therefore exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $x_{i_0} \neq y_{i_0}$. Because X_{i_0} is a Hausdorff space there exist open sets $U_x, U_y \subset X_{i_0}$ with $x \in U_x, y \in U_y$ and $U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$. We know that the projection $\pi_{i_0}: \prod_{i \in I} X_i \rightarrow X_{i_0}$ is continuous and thus $\pi_{i_0}^{-1}(U_x)$ and $\pi_{i_0}^{-1}(U_y)$ are two open and disjoint sets of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ such that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \in \pi_{i_0}^{-1}(U_x)$ and $\{y_i\}_{i \in I} \in \pi_{i_0}^{-1}(U_y)$ as wanted. This shows that $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ is a Hausdorff space which completes the proof. \square

The following proposition is one of the most important properties of Hausdorff spaces.

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that X is a Hausdorff space. Let K be a compact subset of X with $K \neq X$, and let $x \in X \setminus K$. Then there exist open sets $U, V \subset X$ so that $x \in U, K \subset V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.*

Proof. First we may suppose that $K \neq \emptyset$ otherwise we could choose $U = X$ and $V = \emptyset$. Since X is Hausdorff for every $y \in K$ exist $U_y, V_y \subset X$ disjoint open sets such that $x \in U_y$ and $y \in V_y$. We have $K \subset \cup_{y \in K} V_y$ but since K is compact exist y_1, \dots, y_n such that $K \subset \cup_{i=1}^n V_{y_i}$. We now define:

$$\begin{aligned} V &:= \cup_{i=1}^n V_{y_i} \\ U &:= \cap_{i=1}^n U_{y_i} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that both sets are open, and that $x \in U$ and $K \subset V$ and for every $i \in [n]$ we also see that:

$$U \cap V_{y_i} \subset U_{y_i} \cap V_{y_i} = \emptyset$$

Which means that $U \cap V = \emptyset$ as wanted which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Suppose that X is a Hausdorff space. Then every compact subset of X is closed.*

Proof. Let $K \subset X$ be compact. We may clearly assume that $K \neq X$. Given $x \in X \setminus K$, it follows from the previous proposition that there exists a neighbourhood U of x which is contained in $X \setminus K$. This shows that $X \setminus K$ is a union of open sets, and so it is itself open. Thus K is closed, which completes the proof. \square

One particularly useful result of this corollary is the following proposition:

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose that X is a Hausdorff space, let Y be a compact topological space, and let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a continuous bijection. Then f is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. All that's left to show is that f is an open map. Let $U \subset Y$ be open. It follows that $Y \setminus U$ is closed in a compact space and thus compact. Since f is continuous $f(Y \setminus U)$ is compact. From the previous corollary $f(Y \setminus U)$ is closed. Since f is a bijection we also have $f(Y \setminus U) = X \setminus f(U)$. This implies that U is open, so f is an open map and the proof is complete. \square

7 Completely regular spaces and normal spaces.

Definition 7.1. We say that $C_b(X)$ separates points if for every distinct $x, y \in X$ there exists $f \in C_b(X)$ with $f(x) \neq f(y)$.