

Homework 9 - shortest path in graph

Problem 1:

What is the big-Oh space complexity of Dijkstra's? Justify your answer.

ANS

Based on the implementation in the homework, the representation of the graph is an adjacency list, and using the a circular queue to implement Dijkstra's algorithm.

Assume that the number of vertices of a graph is n , and there are e edges in it. The big-Oh space complexity is $O(n + e)$.

We define one struct `graph_node_t` for each node, another struct `graph_edge_t` for each edge in the graph. All n nodes of the graph are stored in a Double Linked List, for each node there are two Double Linked Lists storing the out neighbors $e_{to} (<e)$ edges and in neighbors $e_{from} (<e)$ edges.

For the implementation of the algorithm, we use one Double Linked List to store visited nodes, meanwhile using one Circular Queue to store un-visited nodes. The maximum size of DLL or Queue is n .

In the worst case, the number nodes and edges stored in DLLs or Queue would be equal to n and e . Thus, the largest number of nodes is an , which is $\Rightarrow n$, the largest number of edges is be , which is $\Rightarrow e$. The big-Oh space complexity is $O(n + e)$.

Problem 2:

What is the big-Oh time complexity for Dijkstra's? Justify your answer.

ANS

Based on the implementation in the homework, the representation of the graph is an adjacency list, and using the a circular queue to implement Dijkstra's algorithm.

Assume that the number of vertices of a graph is n , and there are e edges in it. The big-Oh time complexity is $O(n^2)$.

For each u in Graph: $u.cost = INFINITY$ run n times.

Pushing all nodes to Q, all cost is infinity runs n times.

For the implementation of the Dijkstra's algorithm, we create queue for un-visited nodes storage, the size is the number of all nodes in the graph n .

The first loop that while *queue is not empty* need to operate n times, at each time we will pop one node with minimum cost and push it into visited double linked list.

The second loop while $position < queue \rightarrow size, position++ \quad size--$, the operation time is like $n + n(n-1) + (n-2) \dots + 1 = \frac{n}{2}$ times. The third loop while traverse all nodes in visited

dll, the operation time is like $1 + 2 + 3 \dots + (n-1) + n = \frac{n}{2}$ times. For both second and third, the largest operation times is also n .

Thus, the time of the implementation for Dijkstra's algorithm is n^2

The total time would be $O(kn^2 + mn + ce)$. The big-Oh time complexity is $O(n^2)$.

Problem 3:

Write up the *proof by induction* of the correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm. .

ANS

Assume that the number of vertices of a graph is n , and there are e edges in it. It is a directed graph with non-negative edge weights,

One double linked list is created to store nodes which might be reachable with the minimum cost for the start node. One circular queue, with size n , is the storage for un-visited nodes of graph.

Initialization

For Graph[G] vertex n , edge e

all graph nodes \rightarrow cost = ∞ , put all nodes into queue $Q = \{\text{start}, a, b, \dots, x\}$

start \rightarrow cost = 0, dll visited $L = \{\text{start}\}$,

There will be three types of nodes in list L

- * From start node to itself, the cost is zero
- * the node has a shortest path with start node, the cost is $\min[\text{start.cost} + \text{edge.cost}]$
- * the node is not reachable to start node, the cost is infinity.

Proof by induction

#begin proof

Proposition: we prove this fact by induction that the M th node that's indexed into visited list L, all $List_i$ in L must have found the shortest path $Short[List_i]$ and it is equals to $Cost[List_i]$

While $M = 1$, $List = \{\text{star}\} \Rightarrow Cost[\text{start}] == short[\text{start}] == 0$;

Let U be the chosen node indexed into L next.

While $M=2$, there must be a edge contained directly between start and U pushing into the list L. The shortest path is the edge from the start node to first node, $Cost[u] = \text{start.cost} + \text{cost}(\text{start}, u)$, which is definitely smaller than infinity.

Then Assume that while $M = k$, all nodes in list L have found the shortest path, the fact is true.

Then let V be the chosen node indexed into L next.

While $M = k+1$, find the smallest cost in Q, return the corresponding nodes V. V which is the node going through other nodes $List_i$ has the short distance reached by start. This path A could be like $\text{start} \rightarrow U \rightarrow V$, we need to prove $Cost[v] == short[v]$.

#contradiction begin

Assume that the fact is false, which means there exists another path with shorter distance from start to V, this path B could be like $\text{start} \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow V$, this path is the $\text{short}[v]$.

This path go through the Y which is un-visited node in Queue, the Y could be Y or some others un-visited nodes. For now, distance of path B $== \text{Cost}[y] + \text{Cost}(y, v) == \text{short}[v]$.

Cause Y is un-visited, $\text{Cost}[v] \leq \text{Cost}[y]$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cost}[v] < B == \text{short}[v]$$

$\text{Cost}[V]$ is the shortest path comparing with B instead of $\text{Cost}[y] + \text{Cost}(y, v)$.

Thus, the assumption is invalid. There is not another path with shorter distance from start to V.

#contradiction end

Thus, while $M=k+1$ V which is the node going through other nodes List_i has the short distance reached by start. This path A could be like $\text{start} \rightarrow U \rightarrow V$, $\text{Cost}[v] == \text{short}[v]$.

The proposition is true.

#proof end.