

EDDA: final assignment

- Submit in **canvas** a well readable report, preferably as one pdf-file (resulting from your Rmd-file according to the template). Submitting an R-script file is also allowed.
- The submitted file should contain the number of your group (with the names), the R-code you used, and answers to the questions posed (if needed, including plots).
- Throughout this assignment tests should be performed using a level of 0.05.

Exercise Galapagos

The dataset `gala.txt` contains measurements on the numbers of plant species and background variables on 30 Galapagos islands. The dataset contains the following variables: **Species** – the number of plant species found on the island, **Area** – the area of the island (km²), **Elevation** – the highest elevation of the island (m), **Nearest** – the distance from the nearest island (km), **Scruz** – the distance from Santa Cruz island (km), **Adjacent** – the area of the adjacent island (square km).

Source: M.P. Johnson and P.H. Raven (1973). Species number and endemism: The Galapagos Archipelago revisited, *Science* 179, 893–895.

1. Find a suitable linear model for the response variable **Species** by the *step-down* method. (Do not consider interactions or transformations at this stage.)
2. Do the same for the transformed response variable `sqrt(Species)`.
3. Use standard graphical diagnostic tools to decide which of the two resulting models (from 1. and 2.) is better.
4. For the model for `sqrt(Species)` (from 2.), plot the values of Cook's distance. Which island appears to be an influence point? Does the model change a lot if this island is removed?
5. Consider transforming the explanatory variables. Start by making some summary plots.

```
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> for (i in 1:6) hist(gala[,i],main=colnames(gala)[i],xlab="",ylab="")
> pairs(gala)
> for (i in 1:6) hist(log(gala[,i]),main=colnames(gala)[i],xlab="",ylab="")
> pairs(log(gala))
```

What did we achieve by applying this transformation?

6. Fit `log(Species)` on the logarithmic transforms of the other variables:

```
> modlog=lm(log(Species)~log(Area)+log(Elevation)+log(Nearest)+log(Scruz+1)
+log(Adjacent),data=gala)
```

The R-function `step` (applied to a model) selects a submodel by using the AIC-criterion. Use this function to reduce the model `modlog`:

```
> modlog1=step(modlog)
```

Are all variables in the model `modlog1` significant?

7. Can you think of an explanation in terms of the meaning of the variables why it might make more sense to model `log(Species)` as a linear function of `log(Area)` than `Species` as a linear function of `Area`?
8. For the model `modlog1`, make a plot of the values of Cook's distance, a `qqnorm` plot of the residuals and a plot of residuals versus fitted values. Comments?
9. The variable `Elevation` seems a natural explanatory variable. Consider the model

```
> gala$logElevation=log(gala$Elevation)
> gala$logArea=log(gala$Area)
> modlog2=lm(log(Species)~logArea+logElevation+logScruz,data=gala)
```

Investigate possible collinearities between the explanatory variables in this model. Investigate whether it is useful to include interactions between `logArea` and `logElevation`.

10. Which of the fitted models so far do you prefer? What do you think of the realism of the independent **Gaussian errors** with zero mean and constant variance in all the considered models? Can you propose an alternative?