

Adaptive Learning Rates

Nan Ye

School of Mathematics and Physics
The University of Queensland

Local Geometry and Adaptivity

- Local geometry of the error surface is important for choosing good learning rate
 - Flat error surface \Rightarrow a large learning rate is desirable.
 - Steep error surface \Rightarrow a small learning rate is essential.
 - Near a minimizer \Rightarrow small learning rate to avoid oscillatory behavior.
- Fixed learning rates (such as constant learning rates, or $\frac{1}{t}$) are not able to adapt to the local geometry of the error surface.
- We want to exploit local geometry to adaptively set the learning rates.

Per-dimension adaptivity

- We have seen in earlier lectures that in a deep net, the gradients at different layers often differ significantly.
- Good initialization and input transformation help, but do not solve the problem completely.
- If we can set *per-dimension* adaptive learning rates, it can help us to speed up learning for layers with small gradients, and avoid overshooting for layers with large gradients.

Learning Rate Annealing

- Reduce learning rate when the error plateaus.
 - e.g. reduce from 0.1 to 0.01.
 - Often use the error on a validation set
- Helpful when the algorithm is oscillating around a minimizer due to large learning rate.

Newton's Method

- Newton's method provides a way to better take local geometry into account than vanilla gradient descent.
- Newton's method updates current iterate \mathbf{w} to

$$\mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{w} - H^{-1}g,$$

where $H = \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{w})$ and g are the Hessian and gradient of f at \mathbf{w} .

- This is derived by choosing d to minimize the second order Taylor series approximation

$$f(\mathbf{w} + d) \approx f(\mathbf{w}) + d^\top \nabla f(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2} d^\top H d.$$

- Newton's method is computationally expensive.

Diagonal approximation

- The diagonal (h_1, \dots, h_m) of H can be efficiently computed.
- We approximate Newton's method

$$w_i = w_i - \frac{1}{h_i + \epsilon} g_i,$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a constant.

AdaGrad

- For each weight w , keep the sum of squared derivative.
- The sum $v^{(t)}$ at iteration t is recursively computed as

$$v^{(t)} = v^{(t-1)} + (g^{(t)})^2,$$

where $g^{(t)}$ is w 's derivative at iteration t .

- At iteration t , current weight $w^{(t)}$ is updated to

$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{v^{(t)} + \epsilon}} g^{(t)},$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a global learning rate shared by all weights.

- AdaGrad evens out progress for all weights.
 - Weights with small gradients move faster.
 - Weights with large gradients move slower.
- However, the gradients accumulate, and after a while no progress can be made.
- In addition, the method can be sensitive to the initial values.
 - Large initial gradients can make learning too slow.

RMSProp

- RMSProp keeps a moving average of the squared derivative, instead of the sum.
- The moving average $v^{(t)}$ at iteration t is recursively computed as

$$v^{(t)} = \rho v^{(t-1)} + (1 - \rho)(g^{(t)})^2.$$

- At iteration t , current weight $w^{(t)}$ is updated to

$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{v^{(t)} + \epsilon}} g^{(t)},$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a global learning rate shared by all weights.

- ρ is typically close to 1.

- RMSProp evens out progress for all weights as AdaGrad.
- Additionally, it is less sensitive to the initial values, and can keep on making progress after many iterations.

AdaDelta

- An interesting observation
 - GD: unit of change \propto unit of $g \propto \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} \propto \frac{1}{\text{unit of } w}$.
 - Newton's method: unit of change \propto unit of $H^{-1}g \propto \frac{\partial f}{\partial w} / \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w^2} \propto \text{unit of } w$.
- AdaDelta is an improvement of RMSProp by adding a scaling factor to each dimension so that the updates have the right units.

- AdaDelta computes the moving average $v^{(t)}$ of the squared derivative as in RMSProp.
- It additionally computes a moving average for the squared updates

$$s^{(t+1)} = \rho s^{(t)} + (1 - \rho)(w^{(t+1)} - w^{(t)})^2.$$

- At iteration t , current weight $w^{(t)}$ is updated to

$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \frac{\sqrt{s^{(t)} + \epsilon}}{\sqrt{v^{(t)} + \epsilon}} g^{(t)}.$$

- Note that unit of the update is the same as that of w .

- AdaDelta overcomes the sensitivity to the hyperparameter selection in methods like RMSProp.
- AdaDelta appears to be robust to noisy gradient information, and is insensitive to the choice of the hyperparameter ϵ .

Adam

- Adam combines RMSProp with standard momentum.
- For each weight w , it computes (biased) 1st moment $m^{(t)}$ and 2nd moment $v^{(t)}$ at iteration t as follows

$$m^{(t)} = \rho_1 m^{(t-1)} + (1 - \rho_1)g^{(t)},$$
$$v^{(t)} = \rho_2 v^{(t-1)} + (1 - \rho_2)(g^{(t)})^2.$$

- The total weights of the derivatives are not 1, and a bias correction is applied

$$\hat{m}^{(t)} = m^{(t)} / (1 - \rho_1^t),$$
$$\hat{v}^{(t)} = v^{(t)} / (1 - \rho_2^t).$$

- At iteration t , current weight $w^{(t)}$ is updated to

$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\hat{v}^{(t)}} + \epsilon} \hat{m}^{(t)}.$$

Visualizing Optimization Algorithms

<https://imgur.com/a/Hqolp#2dKCQHh>

Your Turn

Which of the following statement is correct? (Multiple choice)

- (a) Having a learning rate per dimension for gradient-based algorithm can possibly lead to better convergence behavior.
- (b) AdaGrad, RMSProp and AdaDelta can all be seen as gradient-based methods that adaptively define learning rates for each dimension.
- (c) Adam combines RMSProp with standard momentum.

Numerical Optimization for Machine Learning

- The error surface is often nonconvex and nonsmooth (local minima, saddle points, plateaus...)
- Some commonly used techniques
 - Acceleration using a momentum term (standard momentum, Nesterov)
 - Good initialization (Xavier, He)
 - Normalization tricks (input normalization, weight-dependent normalization for non-input layers)
 - Adaptive learning rates (Adagrad, RMSProp, AdaDelta, Adam)

Debugging Your Neural Net

- Architecture has enough but not too much capacity?
- Input normalized?
- Good initialization?
- Suitable loss function?
- Suitable optimization algorithm with suitable hyperparameters?
- Trained for long enough?