WEEK 6 - INCLASS ACTIVITY

HARIKA YENUGA, SAKETH BANDA, NIRAV ACHARYA

1. Loading and Preprocessing the Dataset

```
In [1]: # Import necessary libraries
        import pandas as pd
        from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
        from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, LabelEncoder, OneHotEncoder
        from sklearn.compose import ColumnTransformer
        # Load the dataset
        df = pd.read_csv(r'C:\Users\harik\OneDrive\Documents\NWU DOCS\ML\week6\customer chu
        # Drop irrelevant columns
        df = df.drop(columns=['RowNumber', 'CustomerId', 'Surname'])
        # Label encode the 'Gender' column
        label encoder = LabelEncoder()
        df['Gender'] = label_encoder.fit_transform(df['Gender']) # Female=0, Male=1
        # One-hot encode 'Geography' column
        column_transformer = ColumnTransformer(
            transformers=[('geo', OneHotEncoder(drop='first'), ['Geography'])],
            remainder='passthrough'
        # Apply transformation to the features
        X = df.drop('Exited', axis=1) # Features
        X = column_transformer.fit_transform(X)
        # Target variable
        y = df['Exited']
        # Split the data into training and test sets
        X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3, random_sta
        # Feature scaling
        scaler = StandardScaler()
        X_train_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
        X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test)
```

2. Implementing Machine Learning Models a. Decision Tree

```
In [2]: from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
    from sklearn.metrics import classification_report

# Initialize Decision Tree model
decision_tree = DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=42)
```

0 0.88 0.88 0.88 2416 0.52 0.53 0.52 584 accuracy 0.81 3000 0.70 0.70 0.70 3000 macro avg 0.81 weighted avg 0.81 0.81 3000

b. K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN)

```
In [3]: from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier

# Initialize KNN model
knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=5)

# Train the model
knn.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)

# Make predictions
y_pred_knn = knn.predict(X_test_scaled)

# Evaluate the model
print("KNN Classification Report:\n", classification_report(y_test, y_pred_knn))
```

KNN Classification Report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.86	0.95	0.90	2416
1	0.63	0.36	0.46	584
accuracy			0.83	3000
macro avg	0.74	0.65	0.68	3000
weighted avg	0.81	0.83	0.81	3000

c. Random Forest

```
In [4]: from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

# Initialize Random Forest model
random_forest = RandomForestClassifier(random_state=42)

# Train the model
random_forest.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
```

```
# Make predictions
y_pred_rf = random_forest.predict(X_test_scaled)
# Evaluate the model
print("Random Forest Classification Report:\n", classification_report(y_test, y_predict)
```

Random Forest Classification Report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.88	0.96	0.92	2416
1	0.76	0.46	0.57	584
accuracy			0.87	3000
macro avg weighted avg	0.82 0.86	0.71 0.87	0.75 0.85	3000 3000

3. Dimensionality Reduction Using PCA

```
In [5]: from sklearn.decomposition import PCA

# Initialize PCA and reduce to 2 components
pca = PCA(n_components=2)
X_train_pca = pca.fit_transform(X_train_scaled)
X_test_pca = pca.transform(X_test_scaled)

# Train the Decision Tree on PCA-reduced data
decision_tree.fit(X_train_pca, y_train)
y_pred_pca = decision_tree.predict(X_test_pca)

# Evaluate the model on PCA-reduced data
print("Decision Tree with PCA Classification Report:\n", classification_report(y_te)
```

Decision Tree with PCA Classification Report:

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.84	0.83	0.84	2416
1	0.34	0.36	0.35	584
accuracy			0.74	3000
macro avg weighted avg	0.59 0.74	0.59 0.74	0.59 0.74	3000 3000

Explanation: OneHotEncoder is used to encode the Geography column (a categorical column with multiple classes). The drop='first' argument avoids creating unnecessary dummy variables. StandardScaler is used to scale the numerical features since models like KNN are sensitive to feature scaling. Decision Tree, KNN, and Random Forest models are implemented and evaluated using classification_report, which gives metrics like precision, recall, and F1-score. PCA is applied to reduce the feature space, and the effect on model performance is measured.

1. How did the performance of each model compare on your dataset? The performance of each model can vary based on the characteristics of the Customer Churn dataset, but generally:

Decision Tree: Decision trees tend to overfit on training data due to their ability to model very complex relationships. As a result, it may show high accuracy on the training set but somewhat lower accuracy on the test set. However, it can still offer good interpretability, making it useful for understanding which features impact churn.

K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN): KNN is often sensitive to feature scaling and the choice of k (number of neighbors). On large datasets, KNN can be slower and less efficient. However, it may perform well in terms of accuracy if properly tuned. Since it's a non-parametric model, KNN works better for simple decision boundaries.

Random Forest: Random Forest generally performs better than both Decision Tree and KNN because it reduces overfitting by averaging over many decision trees. It's robust and often provides good predictive performance across different datasets, making it a strong candidate for deployment.

Based on the classification reports for the Decision Tree, KNN, Random Forest, and Decision Tree with PCA, here are detailed responses to the breakout discussion questions:

1. How did the performance of each model compare on your dataset? The classification reports indicate that:

Decision Tree:

Accuracy: 81% Precision: High for class 0 (0.88), but relatively low for class 1 (0.52). Recall: 88% for class 0, but only 53% for class 1. This model performs well at predicting non-churners (class 0) but struggles significantly with identifying churners (class 1). K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN):

Accuracy: 83% Precision: Slightly lower for class 1 (0.63), while class 0 retains good precision (0.86). Recall: 95% for class 0, but only 36% for class 1. Similar to the Decision Tree, KNN excels at predicting non-churners but has a poor recall for churners. Random Forest:

Accuracy: 87% Precision: 0.76 for class 1 indicates a better ability to identify churners compared to the other models. Recall: 46% for class 1 shows room for improvement but is better than the previous models. This model offers the best balance between precision and recall, suggesting it could be the most reliable for identifying customers at risk of churning.

Decision Tree with PCA:

Accuracy: 74% Precision: 0.34 for class 1 indicates a significant drop in ability to identify churners. Recall: 36% for class 1, reflecting the challenges of PCA in this case. Applying PCA

resulted in a decrease in performance, particularly for class 1, likely due to the loss of important information during dimensionality reduction.

2. Did the application of dimensionality reduction improve or worsen the results? Why? The application of PCA clearly worsened the results for the Decision Tree model.

Comparison with and without PCA: The Decision Tree classification report without PCA had an accuracy of 81%, while with PCA, the accuracy dropped to 74%. The precision and recall for churners (class 1) also significantly declined from 0.52 to 0.34 and from 0.53 to 0.36, respectively. Reasoning: PCA reduces dimensionality by focusing on variance and can eliminate some features that might hold predictive power, which is crucial for identifying class 1 (churners). Since the original features contained valuable information regarding churn, the loss of this information through PCA likely contributed to the model's poorer performance.

3. Which model would you choose for deployment based on the results, and why? Random Forest is the preferred choice for deployment based on the results.

Rationale: With an accuracy of 87%, it not only performs the best among the models tested but also shows a good balance between precision (0.76) and recall (0.46) for class 1. This balance is critical in applications like customer churn prediction, where both false negatives (failing to identify a churner) and false positives (incorrectly identifying a non-churner as a churner) can have significant consequences for customer retention strategies.

Considerations: The Random Forest model's robustness to overfitting, its ability to handle large feature sets, and its relative immunity to noise make it a strong candidate for deployment. It also provides insights into feature importance, which can be valuable for business decisions regarding customer retention strategies.