

Minimal HW

Problem 1:

- Quixote Media had the largest annual revenue - **F**.
- Nadir Software had the lowest net profit and Acme Computer had the largest annual revenue - **T**.
- Acme Computer had the largest net profit or Quixote Media had the largest net profit - **T**.
- If Quixote Media had the smallest net profit, then Acme Computer had the largest annual revenue - **T** since the conclusion is true even if the condition is False.
- Nadir Software had the smallest net profit if and only if Acme Computer had the largest annual revenue - **T** since the condition and conclusion are both True.

Problem 2:

p: It is below freezing.

q: It is snowing.

- It is below-freezing and snowing: $p \wedge q$.
- It is below freezing and not snowing: $p \wedge \neg q$.
- It is not below freezing and it is not snowing: $\neg p \wedge \neg q$.
- It is either snowing or below freezing: $q \vee p$.
- If it is below freezing, it is also snowing: $p \rightarrow q$.
- Either it is below freezing or snowing, but it is not snowing if it is below freezing: $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow \neg q)$.
- That it is below freezing is necessary and sufficient for it to be snowing: $p \leftrightarrow q$.

Problem 3:

- $p \rightarrow \neg p$: 2^1 rows.
- $(p \vee \neg r)(q \vee \neg s)$: 2^4 rows.
- $qp \neg s \neg r \neg t u$: 2^6 rows.
- $(prt) \rightarrow (qt)$: 2^4 rows.

Problem 4:

| p | $\neg p$ | $p \wedge \neg p$ | $p \vee \neg p$ |
|---|----------|-------------------|-----------------|
| T | F | F | T |
| F | T | F | T |

| p | q | $p \vee \neg q$ | $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow q$ |
|---|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| T | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | F |
| T | F | T | F |
| F | T | F | T |

| p | q | $p \vee q$ | $p \wedge q$ | $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ |
|---|---|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| T | T | T | T | T |
| F | F | F | F | T |
| T | F | T | F | F |
| F | T | T | F | F |

| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ | $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ | $(p \rightarrow q) \iff (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| T | T | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | T |
| F | T | T | T | T |

| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ | $q \rightarrow p$ | $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|-------------------|---|
| T | T | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | T |
| F | T | T | F | F |

Problem 5:

$p1$: I do not know.

$p2$: I do not know.

$p3$: No, not everyone wants coffee.

$\neg p3 \wedge \neg(p3 \vee p2 \vee p1) \rightarrow (\neg p3 \wedge p3) \vee (\neg p3 \wedge p2) \vee (\neg p3 \wedge p1)$

$F \vee (T \wedge p2) \vee (T \wedge p1) \equiv T$ so either $p1$ or $p2$ wants a coffee.

Problem 6:

a. $rich \wedge happy$ negation: $\neg rich \vee \neg happy$

b. $bicycle \vee run$ negation: $\neg bicycle \wedge \neg run$

c. $walks \vee takesbus$ negation: $\neg walks \wedge \neg takesbus$

d. $smart \wedge hardworking$ negation: $\neg smart \vee \neg hardworking$

Problem 7:

| p | q | $p \wedge q$ | $p \vee q$ | $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ | $p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ |
|-----|-----|--------------|------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| T | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | T | T |
| F | F | F | F | T | T |

| $\neg p$ | $\neg q$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | $\neg p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ | $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ | $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p$ | $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \neg q$ |
|----------|----------|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| F | F | T | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | T | T | T | T |
| T | T | T | T | T | T | T |

Problem 8:

a. if p is true then the conditional statement/hypothesis is T since p is a conclusion and is true.

b. if p is true then $p \vee q$ is also true which is a conclusion of the conditional statement.

c. $p \rightarrow q$ must be false if only if q is false and p is true hence $\neg p \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ which $F \rightarrow F$ is true.

d. $p \wedge q$ must be true if only if p and q is true. This makes $p \rightarrow q$ true.

e. $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ must be true if $p \rightarrow q$ is false. This makes p true which is the only case.

f. $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ must be true if $p \rightarrow q$ is false. This makes q false which is the only case.

Problem 9:

$$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$$

$$(\neg p \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee r$$

$$p \wedge \neg r \wedge q \wedge \neg r \equiv \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge \neg r$$

$$p \wedge q \wedge \neg r \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge \neg r$$

Problem 10:

$P(x)$ - can speak Russian.

$Q(x)$ - knows the computer language C++

- There is a student at your school who can speak Russian and who knows C++: $\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$
- There is a student at your school who can speak Russian but who doesn't know C++: $\exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$
- Every student at your school either can speak Russian or knows C++: $\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$
- No student at your school can speak Russian or knows C++: $\forall x\neg(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \equiv \forall x(\neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$

Problem 11:

- $\forall n(n^2 \geq 0)$: True since any integer squared is always positive.
- $\exists n(n^2 = 2)$: False since $\sqrt{2}$ is not an integer.
- $\forall n(n^2 \geq n)$: True since $n \times n$ will always be larger or equal to n
- $\exists n(n^2 < 0)$: False since a squared integer can not be negative at all.

Problem 12:

$P(x)$ consists of the integers 0, 1, 2, 3, 4

- $\exists xP(x): P(0) \vee P(1) \vee P(2) \vee P(3) \vee P(4)$
- $\forall xP(x): P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge P(4)$
- $\exists x\neg P(x): \neg P(0) \vee \neg P(1) \vee \neg P(2) \vee \neg P(3) \vee \neg P(4)$
- $\forall x\neg P(x): \neg P(0) \wedge \neg P(1) \wedge \neg P(2) \wedge \neg P(3) \wedge \neg P(4)$
- $\neg \exists xP(x): \neg(P(0) \vee P(1) \vee P(2) \vee P(3) \vee P(4))$
- $\neg \forall xP(x): \neg(P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge P(4))$

Problem 13:

$P(x)$ - students in the class

$H(x)$ - can speak Hindi

$F(x)$ - friendly

$B(x)$ - born in California

$M(x)$ - been in a movie

$L(x)$ - taken a course in logic programming

- $\exists x(P(x) \wedge H(x))$
- $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow F(x))$
- $\exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg B(x))$
- $\exists x(P(x) \wedge M(x))$
- $\neg \forall x(\neg L(x))$

Problem 14:

$M(x, y)$ - x has sent y an e-mail message (assume that all messages are received).

$T(x, y)$ - x has telephoned y .

- a. $\neg M(\text{Chou}, \text{Koko})$
- b. $\neg(M(\text{Arlene}, \text{Sarah}) \vee T(\text{Arlene}, \text{Sarah}))$
- c. $\neg M(\text{Deborah}, \text{Jose})$
- d. $\forall x M(x, \text{Ken})$
- e. $\forall x \neg T(x, \text{Nina})$
- f. $\forall x (T(x, \text{Avi}) \vee M(x, \text{Avi}))$
- g. $\exists x \forall y (x \neq y \rightarrow M(x, y))$
- h. $\exists x \forall y (y \neq x \rightarrow (M(x, y) \vee T(x, y)))$
- i. $\exists x \exists y (x \neq y \rightarrow M(x, y) \wedge M(y, x))$
- j. $\exists x M(x, x)$
- k. $\exists x \forall y (x \neq y \rightarrow (\neg M(x, y) \wedge \neg T(x, y)))$
- l. $\exists x \forall y (x \neq y \wedge M(x, y) \vee T(x, y))$
- m. $\exists x \exists y (x \neq y \wedge M(x, y) \vee T(x, y))$
- n. $\exists x \exists y (x \neq y \wedge \forall z ((x \neq z \wedge y \neq z) \rightarrow (M(x, z) \vee M(y, z) \vee T(x, z) \vee T(y, z))))$