

# DSA3102: Solutions to Tutorial Set 4

Assigned: 31/08/23

Never Due

## 1. BV Problem 3.1

**Solution:**

- (a) This is just the definition of convexity with  $\lambda = (b - x)/(b - a)$ .
- (b) We obtain the first inequality by subtracting  $f(a)$  from both sides of the inequality in part (a). The second inequality follows from subtracting  $f(b)$ . Geometrically, the inequalities mean that the slope of the line segment between  $(a, f(a))$  and  $(b, f(b))$  is larger than the slope of the segment between  $(a, f(a))$  and  $(x, f(x))$ , and smaller than the slope of the segment between  $(x, f(x))$  and  $(b, f(b))$ .
- (c) This follows from part (b) by taking the limit for  $x \rightarrow a$  on both sides of the first inequality, and by taking the limit for  $x \rightarrow b$  on both sides of the second inequality.
- (d) From part (c),

$$\frac{f'(b) - f'(a)}{b - a} \geq 0$$

and taking the limit as  $b \rightarrow a$  shows that  $f''(a) \geq 0$ .

## 2. BV Problem 3.5

*Hint: Use the differentiability of  $f$  and the first-order condition for convexity.*

**Solution:** The function  $F$  is differentiable with

$$\begin{aligned} F'(x) &= -\frac{1}{x^2} \int_0^x f(t) dt + \frac{f(x)}{x} \\ F''(x) &= \frac{2}{x^3} \int_0^x f(t) dt - \frac{2f(x)}{x^2} + \frac{f'(x)}{x} \\ &= \frac{2}{x^3} \int_0^x (f(t) - f(x) - f'(x)(t - x)) dt \end{aligned}$$

Convexity now follows from the fact that

$$f(t) \geq f(x) + f'(x)(t - x)$$

for all  $x, t \in \text{dom } f$ , which implies that  $F''(x) \geq 0$ .

## 3. BV Problem 3.13

**Solution:** The negative entropy is strictly convex and differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  and so

$$f(u) > f(v) + \nabla f(v)^T(u - v)$$

for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  with  $u \neq v$ . Evaluating both sides of the inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i u_i \log u_i &> \sum_i v_i \log v_i + \sum_i (\log v_i + 1)(u_i - v_i) \\ &= \sum_i u_i \log v_i + 1^T(u - v) \end{aligned}$$

Re-arranging this inequality gives the desired result.

#### 4. BV Problem 3.16

**Solution:**

- (a)  $f(x) = e^x - 1$  with  $\mathbf{dom} f = \mathbb{R}$ . This function is strictly convex, and therefore quasiconvex. Also quasiconcave but not concave.
- (b)  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$  with  $\mathbf{dom} f = \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ . The Hessian of  $f$  is

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is neither positive semidefinite nor negative semidefinite. Therefore,  $f$  is neither convex nor concave. It is quasiconcave, since its superlevel sets

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^2 \mid x_1 x_2 \geq 0\}$$

are convex. It is not quasiconvex.

- (c)  $f(x_1, x_2) = 1/(x_1 x_2)$  with  $\mathbf{dom} f = \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ . The Hessian of  $f$  is

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2/x_1^2 & 1/(x_1 x_2) \\ 1/(x_1 x_2) & 2/x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

Therefore,  $f$  is convex and quasiconvex. It is not quasiconcave or concave.

- (d)  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1/x_2$  with  $\mathbf{dom} f = \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ . The Hessian of  $f$  is

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1/x_2^2 \\ -1/x_2^2 & 2x_1/x_2^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is not positive or negative semidefinite. Therefore,  $f$  is not convex or concave. It is quasiconvex and quasiconcave (i.e., quasilinear), since the sublevel and super-level sets are halfspaces.

- (e)  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2/x_2$  with  $\mathbf{dom} f = \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ .  $f$  is convex, as mentioned on page 72 and worked out in class. Therefore,  $f$  is convex and quasiconvex. It is not concave or quasiconcave (see the figure in the book).

- (f)  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^\alpha x_2^{1-\alpha}$  where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  with  $\mathbf{dom} f = \mathbb{R}_{++}^2$ . The Hessian of  $f$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 f(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\alpha-1)x_1^{\alpha-2}x_2^{1-\alpha} & \alpha(\alpha-1)x_1^{\alpha-1}x_2^{-\alpha} \\ \alpha(\alpha-1)x_1^{\alpha-1}x_2^{-\alpha} & (1-\alpha)(-\alpha)x_1^\alpha x_2^{-\alpha-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^\alpha x_2^{1-\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} -1/x_1^2 & 1/(x_1 x_2) \\ 1/(x_1 x_2) & -1/x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -\alpha(1-\alpha)x_1^\alpha x_2^{1-\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 1/x_1 \\ -1/x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/x_1 \\ -1/x_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$-\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0$$

We conclude that  $f$  is concave and quasiconcave. It is not convex or quasiconvex.

5. BV Problem 3.17

**Solution:** The first derivatives of  $f$  are given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \left( \sum_i x_i^p \right)^{(1-p)/p} x_i^{p-1} = \left( \frac{f(x)}{x_i} \right)^{1-p}$$

The second derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{1-p}{x_i} \left( \frac{f(x)}{x_i} \right)^{-p} \left( \frac{f(x)}{x_j} \right)^{1-p} = \frac{1-p}{f(x)} \left( \frac{f(x)^2}{x_i x_j} \right)^{1-p}$$

for  $i \neq j$  and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2} = \frac{1-p}{f(x)} \left( \frac{f(x)^2}{x_i^2} \right)^{1-p} - \frac{1-p}{x_i} \left( \frac{f(x)}{x_i} \right)^{1-p}.$$

We need to show that

$$y^T \nabla^2 f(x) y = \frac{1-p}{f(x)} \left[ \left( \sum_i \frac{y_i f(x)^{1-p}}{x_i^{1-p}} \right)^2 - \sum_i \frac{y_i^2 f(x)^{2-p}}{x_i^{2-p}} \right] \leq 0$$

This follows by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $a^T b \leq \|a\| \|b\|$  with

$$a_i = \left( \frac{f(x)}{x_i} \right)^{-p/2}, \quad b_i = y_i \left( \frac{f(x)}{x_i} \right)^{1-p/2}$$

and noting that  $\sum_i a_i^2 = 1$ .

6. BV Problem 3.18

**Solution:**

(a) Define  $g(t) = f(Z + tV)$ , where  $Z \succ 0$  and  $V \in \mathbf{S}^n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \text{tr}((Z + tV)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(Z^{-1}(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})^{-1}) \\ &= \text{tr}(Z^{-1}Q(I + t\Lambda)^{-1}Q^T) \\ &= \text{tr}(Q^T Z^{-1}Q(I + t\Lambda)^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_i (Q^T Z Q)_{ii} (1 + t\lambda_i)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the eigenvalue decomposition  $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2} = Q\Lambda Q^T$ . In the last equality we express  $g$  as a positive weighted sum of convex functions  $1/(1 + t\lambda_i)$ , hence it is convex.

(b) Define  $g(t) = f(Z + tV)$ , where  $Z \succ 0$  and  $V \in \mathbf{S}^n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= (\det(Z + tV))^{1/n} \\ &= (\det(Z)^{1/2} \det(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}) \det(Z)^{1/2})^{1/n} \\ &= (\det Z)^{1/n} \left( \prod_i (1 + t\lambda_i) \right)^{1/n} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are the eigenvalues of  $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}$ . From the last equality, we see that  $g$  is a concave function of  $t$  on  $\{t | Z + tV \succ 0\}$ , since  $\det Z > 0$  and the geometric mean  $(\prod_i x_i)^{1/n}$  is concave on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}^n$ .

7. (Reverse Jensen's inequality) Suppose  $f$  is convex,  $\lambda_1 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_i \leq 0, i = 2, \dots, n$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{dom } f$ . Show that the inequality

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i)$$

always holds.

*Hint: Draw a picture for the  $n = 2$  case first. For the general case, express  $x_1$  as a convex combination of  $\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n$  and  $x_2, \dots, x_n$ , and use Jensen's inequality.*

**Solution:** Let

$$x_1 = \mu_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \right) + \mu_2 x_2 + \dots + \mu_n x_n$$

for some  $\mu_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 1$ . Then applying Jensen's inequality, we have

$$f\left(\mu_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \right) + \mu_2 x_2 + \dots + \mu_n x_n\right) \leq \mu_1 f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) + \mu_2 f(x_2) + \dots + \mu_n f(x_n)$$

Now set

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \quad \mu_i = -\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}, \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, n$$

so  $\mu_i \geq 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} - \dots - \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} (1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_n) = 1$$

because we are given that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ . Plugging these choices of  $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^n$  into Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$f(x_1) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i\right) - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} f(x_2) - \dots - \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1} f(x_n)$$

which upon rearrangements, yields the desired reverse Jensen's inequality.

8. (Alternate Criteria for Convexity)

This problem is rather challenging (at least for me). It is a clever way of using continuity to replace the “for every  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ ” clause in the definition of convexity with a “there exists some  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ ” clause.

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a *continuous* function with  $C = \text{dom}(f)$  being convex. Suppose that  $f$  is such that for all  $x, y \in C$ , there exists  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f((1 - \theta)x + \theta y) \leq \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y). \quad (1)$$

Prove that  $f$  is convex.

*This result is attributed to Hardy, Littlewood, and Polya [HLP]. But they in turn attribute it to both Riesz [R] and to Jessen [J].*

[HLP] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya. 1952. *Inequalities*, 2d. ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[R] M. Riesz. 1927. *Sur les maxima des formes bilinéaires et sur les fonctionnelles linéaires*. *Acta Mathematica* 49(3-4):465-497.

[J] Om Uligheder imellem Potensmiddelværdier, *Mat. Tidsskr. B*, 1931

**Solution:** Suppose, to the contrary, that  $f$  is continuous and satisfies (1), but is not convex. Then, there exists  $x, y \in C$  and  $\bar{\theta} \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f((1 - \bar{\theta})x + \bar{\theta}y) > \bar{\theta}f(x) + (1 - \bar{\theta})f(y)$ . By continuity, the set  $A = \{\theta : f((1 - \theta)x + \theta y) > \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y)\}$  is open and contains  $\bar{\theta}$ . However  $0, 1 \notin A$ , so  $\bar{\theta}$  is contained in a maximal open interval included in  $A$ . That is, there exists  $\alpha, \beta$  satisfying  $0 \leq \alpha < \bar{\theta} < \beta \leq 1$  such that for all  $\theta \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , we have  $f((1 - \theta)x + \theta y) > \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y)$  but  $f((1 - \alpha)x + \alpha y) = \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y)$  and  $f((1 - \beta)x + \beta y) = \beta f(x) + (1 - \beta)f(y)$ . Now consider the points  $x' = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha y$  and  $y' = (1 - \beta)x + \beta y$ . By construction, for every  $0 < \theta < 1$ , the point  $(1 - \theta)x' + \theta y'$  strictly between  $x'$  and  $y'$  satisfies  $f((1 - \theta)x' + \theta y') > \theta f(x') + (1 - \theta)f(y')$ . However, this violates (1) applied to the points  $x'$  and  $y'$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $f$  must be convex.