Yessica Gomez BMI 203 Winter 2018 Final Project

Github: https://github.com/yesgomez/finalproject

Part 1. 8x3x8 encoder (see: <a href="https://github.com/yesgomez/finalproject/8x3x8">https://github.com/yesgomez/finalproject/8x3x8</a> encoder.py)
Part 2. Learning procedure for an ANN.

- I tried to encode each nucleotide as binary ([A, T, C, G] = ['01000001', '01010100', '01000011', '01000111']), but ran into np.add and subtract dtype errors
  - o Instead I encoded each letter as an integer ([A, T, C, G] = [2, 3, -2, -3]) and Yes/No was encoded as 1/-1.
- Each DNA sequence (17 bp) is an entry, fed in as an array of shape (17,1).
- Input layers, nodes = 1, 17
  - o (Since each nt is an independent variable, it is a feature.)
- Hidden layers, nodes = 1, 2
  - o (I have ~220 training examples\*. To follow the rule of 10X more examples than weights I start with ~2 hidden nodes. To keep the number of hidden nodes < # of input nodes and > # output nodes, I should have between 2-17. These are just rules of thumb, however.)
- Output layers, nodes = 1, 2
  - $\circ$  The probability of being a site and of not being a site. (This could also be represented as a single node where 0 < x < 0.5 is not a site and 0.5 < x < 1 is a site.)

## Part 3. Training regime.

- Format the negative data in the same manner as the positive data (text file with 17 nt per line). Remove all negative examples that match the positive data.
- For both sets
  - o translate nt to numeric array
  - o import to NN as a matrix of (17, len(file))
- Use an 80/10/10 scheme to split the data (80% as training data, 10% as testing data, and 10% as validation data)
  - Since there are 137 true positives, I can use ~109 as positive training examples. \*To not overweight the negative data, I would use the same number of negative training examples, thus giving me a pool of 218 training examples.
  - The stop criterion should be when the change in the gradient is 0 over >1 iterations because that means the weights have collectively reached a (global) minima. In practice, I simply used 2000 iterations.

## Part 4. Cross validation experiments.

- I wrote a script that will run K-fold cross validation for a given dataset and a NN.
  - It uses average  $R^2$  score ("number that indicates the proportion of the variance in the dependent variable that is predictable from the independent variable(s)") to determine the system's performance. Should be 0 < n < 1
  - o I also compared it to the output of scikit-learn's Score function

- I ended up using a classifier with a that had 16 nodes in a single hidden layer, logistic activation function, and ran for 2000 iterations. Alpha was set to 0.001. Number of hidden nodes and alpha were varied concurrently
  - o Increasing alpha too much decreased the (R) scores because it made it more difficult to converge
  - Increasing the number of hidden nodes seemed to increase the scores, possibly because it could converge faster. Since this is not a high dimensional classification problem, more nodes are less likely to overfit

```
Test examples 54
Train examples 216
ACATCCATACATTCGGTY ACATCCGTGCACCTCCGY
Translating dataset
216
Translating dataset
54
Running the NN.
Score: 0.5 [0.50354777 0.49645223]
[0.889 0.87 0.907 0.889 0.593 0.5 ] 0.907407407407474
--- Running K fold cross validation using best settings ---
```

- o This is a sample output of the alpha testing, where the 6 item array shows how the evaluation scores changed for increasing values of alpha.
- o Above it, each individual sample prints its score and one example of the raw classification probabilities (where tuple(left) = probability of being 'N(ot a site)',

tuple(right) = probability of being 'a site', and the sum(tuple)=1)

• I also calculated ROC curves for each fold of the cross-validation (below). They have a large area underneath and are very consistent



