

Random Walk in Random Environment Chapter 4

Wenjie Ye

November 9, 2024

1 $G(x) = H(x)$

We give the proof about $G(x) = H(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-x}^x \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(u - 2kx)^2\right) du &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \int_{(2k-1)x}^{(2k+1)x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{[(2k-1)x, (2k+1)x]}(u) e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{[(2k-1)x, (2k+1)x]}(u)$ is a $4x$ -periodic function and is even (consider function graph).

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{[(2k-1)x, (2k+1)x]}(u) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{4x}u\right),$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{4x} \int_{-2x}^{2x} (-\mathbf{1}_{[-2x, -x]}(u) + \mathbf{1}_{[-x, x]}(u) - \mathbf{1}_{[x, 2x]}(u)) du = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{2}{4x} \int_{-2x}^{2x} (-\mathbf{1}_{[-2x, -x]}(u) + \mathbf{1}_{[-x, x]}(u) - \mathbf{1}_{[x, 2x]}(u)) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{4x}u\right) du \\ &= \frac{4}{n\pi} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}n\pi\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{[(2k-1)x, (2k+1)x]}(u) &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4}{n\pi} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}n\pi\right) \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{4x}u\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)\pi\right) \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x}u\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x}u\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-x}^x \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(u-2kx)^2\right) du \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x}u\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right] \\
&= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \mathbb{E} \left[\cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{X} \sim N(0, 1)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(i \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right] = \exp\left(-\frac{(2k+1)\pi^2}{8x^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We proved that $G(x) = H(x)$.

2 Borel-Cantelli Lemma and almost sure convenience

The proofs of almost all strong theorem are based on different forms of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma and those of the Markov inequality. *The main idea of Borel-Cantelli Lemma is to construct a series to control the probability of evens.*

Lemma 2.1. *If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$, then $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) := \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.*

Proof. Define general r.v. $\xi := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{A_n}$, it is obvious ξ is not negative. By $\mathbb{E}[\xi] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$, we have $\xi < \infty$ a.s., which is due to

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi = \infty) \leq \mathbb{P}(\xi \geq N) \leq \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}[\xi].$$

By $\xi < \infty$ a.s., we have $\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$. □

Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cup_{k \geq n} A_k) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_k) = 0.$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *If*

$$(i) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n | B_n) < \infty,$$

(ii) B_n occurs a.s. if n is large enough,

then A_n occurs a.s. only finitely many times.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n \cap B_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n | B_n) \mathbb{P}(B_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n | B_n).$$

Therefore, $A_n B_n$ occurs a.s. finitely many times. By (ii), we complete the proof since $B_n = \Omega$ if n is large enough. \square

The converse of the Borel-Cantelli lemma is trivially false.

Example 2.3. Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}((0, 1))$ and $\mathbb{P} = \lambda$. If $A_n = (0, a_n)$ where $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\limsup A_n = \emptyset$, but if $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, we have $\sum a_n = \infty$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$, where $X_k \geq 0$. If $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$, $\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[X_n] < \infty$ and we can find $C, \delta > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\mathbf{Var}(S_n) \leq C \cdot (\mathbb{E}[S_n])^{2-\delta} \quad (1)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{\mathbb{E}[S_n]} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. We can assume $0 < M := \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq 1$. Note that $0 \leq \mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq 1$ and $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$, it is easy to see the integer part of $\{E(n) := \mathbb{E}[S_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$ can take all natural numbers. Therefore, we can find a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$, such that

$$k^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \leq E(n_k) \leq k^{\frac{2}{\delta}} + 1, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

By Markov's inequality, and (1), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_{n_k}}{E(n_k)} - 1\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{\mathbf{Var}(S_{n_k})}{\varepsilon^2 \cdot E(n_k)^2} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 \cdot k^2}, \quad \forall k \geq 1, \varepsilon > 0.$$

By Borel-Cantelli's lemma, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{E(n_k)} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

For n large enough, there exists a k large enough such that $n \in [n_k, n_{k+1})$. In this time, utilize the monotonicity of S_n and $E(n)$, we have

$$\frac{E(n_k)}{E(n_{k+1})} \cdot \frac{S_{n_k}}{E(n_k)} \leq \frac{S_n}{E(n)} \leq \frac{E(n_{k+1})}{E(n_k)} \cdot \frac{S_{n_{k+1}}}{E(n_{k+1})}.$$

Since $\frac{E(n_{k+1})}{E(n_k)} \rightarrow 1$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. If $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are independent events, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{P}(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cup_{k \geq n} A_k^c)$, by the independence of $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\cap_{k=n}^m A_k^c) = \prod_{k=n}^m \mathbb{P}(A_k^c) = (1 - \mathbb{P}(A_k))^m \leq \prod_{k=n}^m \exp(-\mathbb{P}(A_k)) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=n}^m \mathbb{P}(A_k)\right) \rightarrow 0 (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(\liminf_n A_n^c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cap_{k=n}^\infty A_k^c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cap_{k=n}^m A_k^c) = 0.$$

□

In the following, we use Corollary 2.4 to prove

Lemma 2.6. *If $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are pairwise independent events, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$, we compute the variation of S_n , for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}(S_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{1}_{A_k}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{A_i}, \mathbf{1}_{A_j}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}^2(A_k) \leq \mathbb{E}[S_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$, by Corollary 2.4, we have $S_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s..

□

Proof. We only need to prove $\mathbb{P}(S_\infty \leq a) = 0 \forall a > 0$. For any $a > 0$, take $N \geq 1$ large enough, such that $\mathbb{E}[S_N] \geq a$. Then for any $n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_\infty \leq a) &\leq \mathbb{P}(S_n \leq a) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(-(S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]) \geq \mathbb{E}[S_n] - a) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]|^2]}{|\mathbb{E}[S_n] - a|^2} = \frac{\mathbf{Var}(S_n)}{|\mathbb{E}[S_n] - a|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n]}{|\mathbb{E}[S_n] - a|^2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$.

□

The ideas to prove a.s. convergence by Borel-Cantelli lemma:

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be r.v. such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}(|T_n| > \varepsilon) < \infty$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

Proof. For each $k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|T_n| > 2^{-k}) < \infty.$$

Hence, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma (use $[\limsup_n A_n]^c$), for each $k \geq 1$, $|T_n| \leq 2^{-k}$ for all n sufficiently large, except on a null event N_k . It follows that

$$T_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \notin \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k.$$

Since $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k$ is a null event, $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. follows. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be r.v. such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|T_n| > \varepsilon_n) < \infty$$

for positive constant $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

Proof. Applying Borel-Cantelli lemma to events $\{|T_n| > \varepsilon_n\}, n \geq 1$. \square

We need to estimate $\mathbb{P}(|T_n| > \varepsilon)$, it just the Chebyshev inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|]/\varepsilon$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > \varepsilon) \leq \mathbf{Var}(X)/\varepsilon^2$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X > \varepsilon) \leq \exp(-t\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}[\exp(tX)]$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and real t .

The ideas to prove a.s. convergence. The moment estimate also is useful.

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|T_n|^p] < \infty,$$

for some $p > 0$, then $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

Proof. By $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|T_n|^p] < \infty$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_n|^p] < \infty$. Moreover, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_n|^p < \infty$ a.s. and hence that $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.. \square

The ideas to prove a.s. convergence by extracting subsequence.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is r.v. sequence, if there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that*

$$X_{n_k} \rightarrow X \text{ a.s..}$$

and

$$\max_{n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k} |X_n - X_{n_{k-1}}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Then,

$$X_n \rightarrow X \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. For n large enough, there exist a unique k such $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$, then

$$|X_n - X| \leq |X_{n_{k-1}} - X| + \max_{n_{k-1} < m \leq n_k} |X_m - X_{n_{k-1}}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

□

Theorem 2.11.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} = 0 \text{ a.s..} \quad (2)$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{E}[\frac{S_n}{n}] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[\frac{S_n^2}{n^2}] = \frac{1}{n}$, by Chebyshev inequality, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{1}{n\varepsilon^2},$$

Therefore, we have $\frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we have to estimate the value of S_k for the k lying in the gap. If $n^2 \leq k < (n+1)^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{S_k}{k}\right| &= \left|\frac{S_{n^2}n^2}{kn^2} + \frac{S_k - S_{n^2}}{k}\right| \\ &\leq \left|\frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2}\right| + \left|\frac{k - n^2}{k}\right| \leq \left|\frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2}\right| + \left|\frac{(n+1)^2 - n^2}{k}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. Using $f(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}] = \left(\frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2}\right)^n$, $\mathbb{E}[S_n^4] = f^{(4)}(t)|_{t=0}$. we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] = n^{-3} + 6C_n^2 n^{-4} = O(n^{-2}).$$

By Theorem 2.9, we complete the proof. □

Remark 2.12. By Bernstein inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{(1+2\varepsilon)^2}\right),$$

it is obvious that (2) holds true.

3 Between LLN and LIL

By (2), we have $|S_n| = o(n)$ a.s., it is natural to ask whether a better rate can obtained, in fact we have.

Theorem 3.1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. For any a position integer, by $\mathbb{E}[S_n^{2K}] = f^{(2K)}(t)|_{t=0}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n^{2K}] = O(n^K).$$

Note that for $2\varepsilon K > 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{S_n^{2K}}{n^{K+2\varepsilon K}}\right|\right] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2\varepsilon K}}.$$

By Theorem 2.9, we complete the proof. □

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we can obtain

Theorem 3.2.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n} \leq 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. By $\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}] = \left(\frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2}\right)^n$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_n \right) \right] \rightarrow e^{1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq (1 + \varepsilon) n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n) = \mathbb{P} \left(\exp(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_n) \geq n^{1+\varepsilon} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n} \leq 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

By the symmetry of S_n i.e. S_n equal to $-S_n$ in law, we complete the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}_n}{\sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. First, we prove Kolmogorov's maximal inequality. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, mean-zero and $\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] < \infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| > \lambda \right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n^2]}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{Var}(X_k).$$

We partition $A^* := \{\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_n > \lambda\}$ into the events $A_k := \{|S_k| > \lambda \text{ and } |S_j| \leq \lambda \text{ for all } j < k\}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_n^2] &\geq \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^*}] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[S_k^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] + 2\mathbb{E}[S_k(S_n - S_k) \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] + \mathbb{E}[(S_n - S_k)^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}]) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_k^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^2 \mathbb{P}(A_k) = \lambda^2 \mathbb{P}(A^*). \end{aligned}$$

Second, let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, mean-zero and $\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] < \infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Var}(X_i) < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i < \infty \text{ a.s..}$$

By the assumptions about $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, we see $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a the Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$ space. Therefore, there exist a $S_{\infty} \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $S_n \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, there exist a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $S_{n_k} \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ a.s...

For any $k \geq 0$ (let $n_0 := 0, S_0 = 0$), by Kolmogorov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{n_k < p \leq n_{k+1}} |S_p - S_{n_k}| \geq \varepsilon \right) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}[|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}|^2].$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}|^2] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain that

$$\max_{n_k < p \leq n_{k+1}} |S_p - S_{n_k}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Combining $S_{n_k} \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ a.s., we can obtain $S_n \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ a.s.. which called **Extract Subsequence Method**. In fact, for n large enough, there exists a unique k large enough such that $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$, then

$$|S_n - S_{\infty}| \leq |S_{n_{k-1}} - S_{\infty}| + \max_{n_{k-1} < m \leq n_k} |S_m - S_{n_{k-1}}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Kronecker's lemma Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of real number, and suppose $b_n \uparrow \infty$. If $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{b_i} < \infty$, then $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, let $a_n = \mathbf{X}_n(\omega)$ and $b_n = \sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \uparrow \infty$, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{X}_k}{\sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} < \infty \text{ a.s..}$$

We only need to check

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Var} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_k}{\sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{X}_k)}{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} < \infty.$$

Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{x(\log x)^{\alpha}}$, for x large enough, we have $f(x) > 0$ and f is a monotonically decreasing continuous function about x . Define $F(x) = \log \log x$ if $\alpha = 1$, $F(x) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(\log x)^{1-\alpha}$ if $\alpha \neq 1$, then we have $F'(x) = f(x)$ for x large enough. Therefore,

$$\int_N^{\infty} f(x) dx = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha-1}(\log N)^{1-\alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

□

Similarly, we can obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}_n}{\sqrt{n \log n \log^{(2)} n \cdots (\log^{(k)} n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

The best possible rate was obtained by Khinchine which is called Law of Iterated Logarithm,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Lemma 3.4. For any positive integer N , we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \leq e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}]}{e^{tk}} = \frac{(\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_1}])^n}{e^{tk}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_1}] = \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} \leq e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}.$$

By taking $t = \frac{k}{n}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \leq \frac{e^{\frac{nt^2}{2}}}{e^{tk}} = e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

□

Lemma 3.5 (Reflection principle). *For any positive integer m , we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \geq m, S_n = s) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(S_n = s), & \text{if } s \geq m, \\ \mathbb{P}(S_n = 2m - s), & \text{if } s < m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \geq m) = \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq m) + \sum_{s=-\infty}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(S_n = 2m - s) = \mathbb{P}(S_n = m) + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} 2\mathbb{P}(S_n = k)$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \geq m) = 2\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq m+1) + \mathbb{P}(S_n = m) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq m).$$

Lemma 3.6.

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n = k) \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

Proof. Recall Stirling's approximation

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 2k) &= \frac{(2n)!}{(n+k)!(n-k)!} 2^{-2n} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n+k} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n-k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^k}{\left(1 - \frac{k^2}{n^2}\right)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^k} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we need $(n-k) \rightarrow \infty$ to use Stirling's formula. We choose $k = \lfloor x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \rfloor$ so that $\frac{2k}{\sqrt{2n}} \rightarrow x$. It is not hard to see that if $x_n \rightarrow 0$, and $y_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $x_n y_n \rightarrow t$, then $(1+x_n)^{y_n} \rightarrow e^t$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 2k) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2n}\right)^{-n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{x\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{-x\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}.$$

□

Lemma 3.7. Let $k > n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then there exist a constant C such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \geq C \cdot \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \geq \mathbb{P}(k \leq S_n \leq k + \frac{n}{k}) \geq C \cdot n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=k}^{k+\frac{n}{k}} e^{-\frac{m^2}{2n}}.$$

For $k \leq m \leq k + \frac{n}{k}$, we have

$$e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}} \geq e^{-\frac{m^2}{2n}} \geq e^{-\frac{(k+\frac{n}{k})^2}{2n}} = \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{2n} - 1 - \frac{n}{2k^2}\right) \geq C \cdot e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \geq C \cdot \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

□

Theorem 3.8. Define $F_n := \sqrt{2n \log \log n}$, then we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. The proof will be presented in two steps. The first one gives an upper bound of $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n}$, i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} \leq 1 + \varepsilon \text{ a.s..}$$

The second one gives a lower bound of $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n}$, i.e. for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ a.s..}$$

Step 1. Let $\Theta > 1$, $n_k := \lfloor \Theta^k \rfloor$, by reflection principle and Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(M_{n_k}^+ \geq (1 + \varepsilon)F_{n_k}) &\leq 2\mathbb{P}(S_{n_k} \geq (1 + \varepsilon)F_{n_k}) \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 F_{n_k}^2}{2n_k}\right) \\ &= 2 \exp(-(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \log \log n_k) \sim (k \log \Theta)^{-(1 + \varepsilon)^2} \end{aligned}$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$M_{n_k}^+ \leq (1 + \varepsilon)F_{n_k} \text{ a.s.,}$$

for all but finitely many k .

Let $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$,

$$\frac{S_n}{F_n} \leq \frac{M_n^+}{F_n} = \frac{M_{n_{k+1}}^+}{F_{n_{k+1}}} \frac{F_{n_{k+1}}}{F_n} \frac{M_n^+}{M_{n_{k+1}}^+} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{n_{k+1}}}{F_n} \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon \text{ a.s.,}$$

where $\Theta(\varepsilon, k)$ is close enough to 1.

Step 2. Define $\mathbf{n}_k = n_{k+1} - n_k$, by the definition of $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, we have $\{S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ is mutually independent, $S_{\mathbf{n}_k}$ and $S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}$ are equal in law. By Lemma 3.6, for large $k(\varepsilon)$ enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{\mathbf{n}_k} = S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k}) &\geq C \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k}} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2 F_{\mathbf{n}_k}^2}{2\mathbf{n}_k}\right) \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log \mathbf{n}_k}} (\log \mathbf{n}_k)^{-(1 - \varepsilon)^2} \end{aligned}$$

where the last sim is due to $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $\log \mathbf{n}_k \sim k$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{\mathbf{n}_k} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k}) = \infty$, by Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$S_{n_{k+1}} \geq S_{n_k} + (1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k} \quad i.o. \text{ a.s.}$$

By the symmetric of the upper bound, we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{F_{n_k}} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} \geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \text{ a.s.}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{n_{k+1}}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} &\geq \frac{S_{n_k}}{F_{n_k}} \frac{F_{n_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\mathbf{n}_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} \\ &\geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{n_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\mathbf{n}_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} \rightarrow -\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{\Theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\Theta - 1}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\Theta \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof. \square

Using almost the same method, we can prove the result about Brownian motion. For the convenience of the readers, we provide the detailed proof.

Theorem 3.9. *For a Brownian motion B in \mathbb{R} , we have*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} = 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

Proof. when $u \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_u^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \sim u^{-1} \int_u^\infty x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = u^{-1} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}.$$

In fact,

$$\int_u^\infty \frac{1}{x} (x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}) dx = \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{x} d(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}) = \frac{1}{x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx.$$

Let $M_t^+ = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s$, then by reflection principle, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(M_t^+ > ut^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 2\mathbb{P}(B_t > ut^{\frac{1}{2}}) \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} u^{-1} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}.$$

Step 1. Define $F_t = \sqrt{2t \log \log t}$, for any $\Theta > 1$ and $1 + \varepsilon > 1$, for n large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(M_{\Theta^n}^+ > (1 + \varepsilon)F_{\Theta^n}) \\
& \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{B_{\Theta^n}}{\sqrt{\Theta^n}} > \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)F_{\Theta^n}}{\sqrt{\Theta^n}}\right) \\
& \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\Theta^n}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 F_{\Theta^n}^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 F_{\Theta^n}^2}{\Theta^n}\right) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log \Theta^n}} \exp(-(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \log \log \Theta^n) \sim (n \log \Theta)^{-(1 + \varepsilon)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain that for n large enough,

$$\frac{M_{\Theta^n}^+}{F_{\Theta^n}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{ a.s..}$$

Therefore, for $\Theta^n \leq t < \Theta^{n+1}$, Θ approach 1,

$$\frac{B_t}{F_t} \leq \frac{M_t^+}{F_t} = \frac{M_{\Theta^{n+1}}^+}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} \frac{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{F_t} \frac{M_t^+}{M_{\Theta^{n+1}}^+} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{F_t} \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon \text{ a.s..}$$

Step 2. For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(B_{\Theta^{n+1}} - B_{\Theta^n} > (1 - \varepsilon)F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}) & \geq C \cdot \frac{(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2 F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}^2}{2(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n)}\right) \\
& \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log (\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n)}} (\log(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n))^{-(1 - \varepsilon)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\log(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n) \sim n$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_{\Theta^{n+1}} - B_{\Theta^n} > (1 - \varepsilon)F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}) < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$B_{\Theta^{n+1}} \geq B_{\Theta^n} + (1 - \varepsilon)F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]} \text{ i.o. a.s..}$$

By the symmetric of the upper bound, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^n}} \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_t}{F_t} \geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \text{ a.s..}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{B_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{\Theta^{n+1}} & \geq \frac{B_{\Theta^n}}{\Theta^{n+1}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}}{\Theta^{n+1}} \text{ i.o. a.s..} \\
\frac{B_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{\Theta^{n+1}} & \geq \frac{B_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^n}} \frac{F_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} \\
& \geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} \rightarrow -\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{\Theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\Theta - 1}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\Theta \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof. \square