

Random Walk in Random Environment Chapter 4

Wenjie Ye

December 17, 2024

1 $G(x) = H(x)$

We give the proof about $G(x) = H(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-x}^x \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(u - 2kx)^2\right) du &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \int_{(2k-1)x}^{(2k+1)x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{[(2k-1)x, (2k+1)x]}(u) e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{((2k-1)x, (2k+1)x)}(u)$ is a $4x$ -periodic function and is even (consider function graph).

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{((2k-1)x, (2k+1)x)}(u) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{4x}u\right),$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{4x} \int_{-2x}^{2x} (-\mathbf{1}_{(-2x, -x)}(u) + \mathbf{1}_{(-x, x)}(u) - \mathbf{1}_{(x, 2x)}(u)) du = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{2}{4x} \int_{-2x}^{2x} (-\mathbf{1}_{(-2x, -x)}(u) + \mathbf{1}_{(-x, x)}(u) - \mathbf{1}_{(x, 2x)}(u)) \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{4x}u\right) du \\ &= \frac{4}{n\pi} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}n\pi\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{((2k-1)x, (2k+1)x)}(u) &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{4}{n\pi} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}n\pi\right) \cos\left(\frac{2n\pi}{4x}u\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(2k+1)\pi\right) \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x}u\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x}u\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-x}^x \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(u-2kx)^2\right) du \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x}u\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right] \\
&= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2k+1} \mathbb{E} \left[\cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{X} \sim N(0, 1)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\cos\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(i \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2x} \mathbf{X}\right) \right] = \exp\left(-\frac{(2k+1)^2 \pi^2}{8x^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We proved that $G(x) = H(x)$.

2 Recurrence of random walk on \mathbb{Z} by optional stopping

Theorem 2.1 (Optional stopping theorem). *Let $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a martingale and T is a stopping time respect to filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We have that $\mathbb{E}[|M_T|] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}M_T = \mathbb{E}[M_0]$ if one of the following holds*

- (i) *The stopping time T is a.s. bounded; that is, there exists $C \geq 0$ such that $T \leq C$ a.s..*
- (ii) *$T < \infty$ a.s. and $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly integrable and $\mathbb{E}[|M_T|] < \infty$.*

Remark 2.2. *Note that the condition $\mathbb{E}[|M_T|] < \infty$ is redundant. Indeed, by the martingale convergence theorem we know that if $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a martingale with $\sup_n \mathbb{E}[|M_n|] < \infty$, then there exists a random variable M_∞ such that $M_n \rightarrow M_\infty$ a.s. and $\mathbb{E}[|M_\infty|] < \infty$. Let $\mathbf{M}_n = M_{T \wedge n}$, then we have*

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{M}_n|] \leq \sup_n \mathbb{E}[|M_{T \wedge n}|] \leq \sup_n \mathbb{E}[|M_n|] < \infty.$$

In fact, $\mathbb{E}[M_{T \wedge n}] \leq \mathbb{E}[M_n]$ for $T < \infty$ a.s.. It is obvious that $\{|M_n|\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sub-martingale, define $U_n = |M_n| - |M_{T \wedge n}|$, we can obtain that

$$U_{n+1} - U_n = (|M_{n+1}| - |M_n|) \mathbf{1}_{\{T \leq n\}}$$

due to $|M_{T \wedge (n+1)}| - |M_{T \wedge n}| = (M_{n+1} - M_n) \mathbf{1}_{\{T > n\}}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[U_{n+1} - U_n] = \mathbf{1}_{\{T \leq n\}} \cdot \mathbb{E}[|M_{n+1}| - |M_n| | \mathcal{F}_n] \geq 0.$$

It is obvious that $\{\mathbf{M}_n\}_n = \{M_{T \wedge n}\}_n$ is martingale, therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_\infty \text{ a.s..}$$

and $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{M}_\infty|] < \infty$ (i.e. $\mathbb{E}[M_T] < \infty$ since $T < \infty$ a.s.).

Remark 2.3. *The Optional stopping theorem is important, Let $T = \inf\{n : S_n = 1\}$, we can prove that $T < \infty$ a.s. (recurrent), but $S_T = 1$ a.s., $S_0 = 0$, we observe that $\mathbb{E}[S_T] \neq \mathbb{E}[S_0]$.*

It is obviously that $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ is a martingale. Let $T_z := \inf\{n : S_n = z\}$, then T_z is a stopping time. For $a < 0 < b$, define stop time $T_{a,b} := T_a \wedge T_b$, which is the first exit time of (a, b) . Since $M_n := S_{T_{a,b} \wedge n} \leq |a| \vee |b|$ a.s., and $T_{a,b} < \infty$ \mathbb{P}_0 -a.s., we have

$$0 = \mathbb{E}[M_{T_{a,b}}] = \mathbb{P}(T_a < T_b) \cdot a + \mathbb{P}(T_b < T_a) \cdot b = \mathbb{P}(T_a < T_b)(a - b) + b.$$

(where $T_{a,b} < \infty$ a.s. is due to that Wald's identities and Dominated convergence theorem) can obtain $\mathbb{E}[T_{a,b}] < \infty$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}_0(T_a < T_b) = \frac{b}{b - a}.$$

Note that $i + S_n$ have the distribution of a random walk started at i , Thus, for all $0 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\mathbb{P}_i(T_0 < T_k) = \mathbb{P}_0(T_{-i} < T_{k-i}) = \frac{k - i}{k}.$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}_i(T_0 = \infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_i(T_n < T_0) = 0,$$

Remark 2.4. We also can prove that $T_{a,b} < \infty$ a.s. by estimating $\mathbb{P}(T_{a,b} > nI)$ where $I := b - a$. In fact, for any $a < x < b$,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{n+I} \notin (a, b) | S_n = x, T_{a,b} > n) \geq \mathbb{P}(\forall 0 \leq j < I, X_{n+j+1} = 1 | S_n = x, T_{a,b} > n) = 2^{-I}.$$

Since $T_{a,b} > n + I$ implies that $T_{a,b} > n$ and $S_{n+I} \in (a, b)$, therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(T_{a,b} > n + I) \\ &= \sum_{x=a+1}^{b-1} \mathbb{P}(T_{a,b} > n + I | S_n = x, T_{a,b} > n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(S_n = x, T_{a,b} > n) \\ &\leq (1 - 2^{-I}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(T_{a,b} > n) \end{aligned}$$

Inductively, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{a,b} > nI) \leq (1 - 2^{-I})^n.$$

3 Borel-Cantelli Lemma and almost sure convenience

The proofs of almost all strong theorem are based on different forms of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma and those of the Markov inequality. The main idea of Borel-Cantelli Lemma is to construct a series to control the probability of evens.

Lemma 3.1. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$, then $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) := \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$.

Proof. Define general r.v. $\xi := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{A_n}$, it is obvious ξ is not negative. By $\mathbb{E}[\xi] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$, we have $\xi < \infty$ a.s., which is due to

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi = \infty) \leq \mathbb{P}(\xi \geq N) \leq \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}[\xi].$$

By $\xi < \infty$ a.s., we have $\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$. □

Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cup_{k \geq n} A_k) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_k) = 0.$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *If*

$$(i) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n|B_n) < \infty,$$

(ii) B_n occurs a.s. if n is large enough,

then A_n occurs a.s. only finitely many times.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n \cap B_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n|B_n)\mathbb{P}(B_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n|B_n).$$

Therefore, $A_n B_n$ occurs a.s. finitely many times. By (ii), we complete the proof since $B_n = \Omega$ if n is large enough. \square

The converse of the Borel-Cantelli lemma is trivially false.

Example 3.3. Let $\Omega = (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}((0, 1))$ and $\mathbb{P} = \lambda$. If $A_n = (0, a_n)$ where $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\limsup A_n = \emptyset$, but if $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, we have $\sum a_n = \infty$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$, where $X_k \geq 0$. If $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$, $\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[X_n] < \infty$ and we can find $C, \delta > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$,*

$$\mathbf{Var}(S_n) \leq C \cdot (\mathbb{E}[S_n])^{2-\delta} \quad (1)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{\mathbb{E}[S_n]} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. We can assume $0 < M := \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq 1$. Note that $0 \leq \mathbb{E}[X_n] \leq 1$ and $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$, it is easy to see the integer part of $\{E(n) := \mathbb{E}[S_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$ can take all natural numbers. Therefore, we can find a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$, such that

$$k^{\frac{2}{\delta}} \leq E(n_k) \leq k^{\frac{2}{\delta}} + 1, \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

By Markov's inequality, and (1), we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_{n_k}}{E(n_k)} - 1\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{\mathbf{Var}(S_{n_k})}{\varepsilon^2 \cdot E(n_k)^2} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2 \cdot k^2}, \quad \forall k \geq 1, \varepsilon > 0.$$

By Borel-Cantelli's lemma, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{E(n_k)} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

For n large enough, there exists a k large enough such that $n \in [n_k, n_{k+1})$. In this time, utilize the monotonicity of S_n and $E(n)$, we have

$$\frac{E(n_k)}{E(n_{k+1})} \cdot \frac{S_{n_k}}{E(n_k)} \leq \frac{S_n}{E(n)} \leq \frac{E(n_{k+1})}{E(n_k)} \cdot \frac{S_{n_{k+1}}}{E(n_{k+1})}.$$

Since $\frac{E(n_{k+1})}{E(n_k)} \rightarrow 1$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 3.5. *If $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are independent evens, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{P}(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cup_{k \geq n} A_k^c)$, by the independence of $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\cap_{k=n}^m A_k^c) = \prod_{k=n}^m \mathbb{P}(A_k^c) = (1 - \mathbb{P}(A_k)) \leq \prod_{k=n}^m \exp(-\mathbb{P}(A_k)) = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=n}^m \mathbb{P}(A_k)\right) \rightarrow 0 (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(\liminf_n A_n^c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k^c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\cap_{k=n}^m A_k^c) = 0.$$

□

In the following, we use Corollary 3.4 to prove

Lemma 3.6. *If $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are pairwise independent evens, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_k}$, we compute the variation of S_n , for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var}(S_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{1}_{A_k}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{1}_{A_i}, \mathbf{1}_{A_j}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k) - \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}^2(A_k) \leq \mathbb{E}[S_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Due to $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$, by Corollary 3.4, we have $S_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s..

□

Proof. We only need to prove $\mathbb{P}(S_{\infty} \leq a) = 0 \ \forall a > 0$. For any $a > 0$, take $N \geq 1$ large enough, such that $\mathbb{E}[S_N] \geq a$. Then for any $n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{\infty} \leq a) &\leq \mathbb{P}(S_n \leq a) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(-(S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]) \geq \mathbb{E}[S_n] - a) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|S_n - \mathbb{E}[S_n]|^2]}{|\mathbb{E}[S_n] - a|^2} = \frac{\mathbf{Var}(S_n)}{|\mathbb{E}[S_n] - a|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n]}{|\mathbb{E}[S_n] - a|^2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\mathbb{E}[S_n] \rightarrow \infty$.

□

Lemma 3.7. *Let A_1, A_2, \dots be a sequence of evens for which*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty,$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k A_i)}{(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_k))^2} \leq C \quad (C \geq)$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) \geq C^{-1}.$$

The ideas to prove a.s. convergence by Borel-Cantelli lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be r.v. such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|T_n| > \varepsilon) < \infty$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

Proof. For each $k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|T_n| > 2^{-k}) < \infty.$$

Hence, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma (use $[\limsup_n A_n]^c$), for each $k \geq 1$, $|T_n| \leq 2^{-k}$ for all n sufficiently large, except on a null event N_k . It follows that

$$T_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \notin \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k.$$

Since $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k$ is a null event, $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. follows. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\{T_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be r.v. such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|T_n| > \varepsilon_n) < \infty$$

for positive constant $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

Proof. Applying Borel-Cantelli lemma to events $\{|T_n| > \varepsilon_n\}, n \geq 1$. □

We need to estimate $\mathbb{P}(|T_n| > \varepsilon)$, it just the Chebyshev inequality

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|]/\varepsilon$$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| > \varepsilon) \leq \mathbf{Var}(X)/\varepsilon^2$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X > \varepsilon) \leq \exp(-t\varepsilon)\mathbb{E}[\exp(tX)]$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and real t .

The ideas to prove a.s. convergence. The moment estimate also is useful.

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|T_n|^p] < \infty,$$

for some $p > 0$, then $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s..

Proof. By $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|T_n|^p] < \infty$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_n|^p] < \infty$. Moreover, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_n|^p < \infty$ a.s. and hence that $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.. □

The ideas to prove a.s. convergence by extracting subsequence.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is r.v. sequence, if there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that*

$$X_{n_k} \rightarrow X \text{ a.s..}$$

and

$$\max_{n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k} |X_n - X_{n_{k-1}}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Then,

$$X_n \rightarrow X \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. For n large enough, there exist a unique k such $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$, then

$$|X_n - X| \leq |X_{n_{k-1}} - X| + \max_{n_{k-1} < m \leq n_k} |X_m - X_{n_{k-1}}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

□

Theorem 3.12.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n} = 0 \text{ a.s..} \quad (2)$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{E}[\frac{S_n}{n}] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[\frac{S_n^2}{n^2}] = \frac{1}{n}$, by Chebyshev inequality, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{1}{n\varepsilon^2},$$

Therefore, we have $\frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we have to estimate the value of S_k for the k lying in the gap. If $n^2 \leq k < (n+1)^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{S_k}{k}\right| &= \left|\frac{S_{n^2}n^2}{kn^2} + \frac{S_k - S_{n^2}}{k}\right| \\ &\leq \left|\frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2}\right| + \left|\frac{k - n^2}{k}\right| \leq \left|\frac{S_{n^2}}{n^2}\right| + \left|\frac{(n+1)^2 - n^2}{k}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..} \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof. Using $f(t) := \mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}] = \left(\frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2}\right)^n$, $\mathbb{E}[S_n^4] = f^{(4)}(t)|_{t=0}$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] = n^{-3} + 6C_n^2 n^{-4} = O(n^{-2}).$$

By Theorem 3.10, we complete the proof. □

Remark 3.13. *By Bernstein inequality,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2n\varepsilon^2}{(1+2\varepsilon)^2}\right),$$

it is obvious that (2) holds true.

4 Between LLN and LIL

By (2), we have $|S_n| = o(n)$ a.s., it is natural to ask whether a better rate can be obtained, in fact we have.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. For any a position integer, by $\mathbb{E}[S_n^{2K}] = f^{(2K)}(t)|_{t=0}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n^{2K}] = O(n^K).$$

Note that for $2\varepsilon K > 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{S_n^{2K}}{n^{K+2\varepsilon K}} \right| \right] \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{2\varepsilon K}}.$$

By Lemma 3.10, we complete the proof. □

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we can obtain

Theorem 4.2.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S_n|}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n} \leq 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. By $\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}] = \left(\frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} \right)^n$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_n \right) \right] \rightarrow e^{1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq (1 + \varepsilon)n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n) = \mathbb{P} \left(\exp(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_n) \geq n^{1+\varepsilon} \right) \lesssim \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}$$

Moreover,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n} \leq 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

By the symmetry of S_n i.e. S_n equal to $-S_n$ in law, we complete the proof. □

Theorem 4.3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}_n}{\sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. First, we prove Kolmogorov's maximal inequality. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, mean-zero and $\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] < \infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| > \lambda \right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n^2]}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}(X_k).$$

We partition $A^* := \{\sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_n > \lambda\}$ into the events $A_k := \{|S_k| > \lambda \text{ and } |S_j| \leq \lambda \text{ for all } j < k\}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_n^2] &\geq \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^*}] = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[S_k^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] + 2\mathbb{E}[S_k(S_n - S_k) \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] + \mathbb{E}[(S_n - S_k)^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}]) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[S_k^2 \mathbf{1}_{A_k}] \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^2 \mathbb{P}(A_k) = \lambda^2 \mathbb{P}(A^*). \end{aligned}$$

Second, let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, mean-zero and $\mathbb{E}[X_k^2] < \infty \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Var}(X_i) < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i < \infty \text{ a.s..}$$

By the assumptions about $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, we see $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a the Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$ space. Therefore, there exist a $S_{\infty} \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $S_n \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, there exist a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $S_{n_k} \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ a.s..

For any $k \geq 0$ (let $n_0 := 0, S_0 = 0$), by Kolmogorov inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{n_k < p \leq n_{k+1}} |S_p - S_{n_k}| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathbb{E}[|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}|^2].$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}|^2] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain that

$$\max_{n_k < p \leq n_{k+1}} |S_p - S_{n_k}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Combining $S_{n_k} \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ a.s., we can obtain $S_n \rightarrow S_{\infty}$ a.s.. which called **Extract Subsequence Method**. In fact, for n large enough, there exists a unique k large enough such that $n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k$, then

$$|S_n - S_{\infty}| \leq |S_{n_{k-1}} - S_{\infty}| + \max_{n_{k-1} < m \leq n_k} |S_m - S_{n_{k-1}}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Kronecker's lemma Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of real number, and suppose $b_n \uparrow \infty$. If $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{b_i} < \infty$, then $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, let $a_n = \mathbf{X}_n(\omega)$ and $b_n = \sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} \uparrow \infty$, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{X}_k}{\sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} < \infty \text{ a.s..}$$

We only need to check

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Var}\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_k}{\sqrt{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{X}_k)}{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^{1+\varepsilon}} < \infty.$$

Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{x(\log x)^\alpha}$, for x large enough, we have $f(x) > 0$ and f is a monotonically decreasing continuous function about x . Define $F(x) = \log \log x$ if $\alpha = 1$, $F(x) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(\log x)^{1-\alpha}$ if $\alpha \neq 1$, then we have $F'(x) = f(x)$ for x large enough. Therefore,

$$\int_N^\infty f(x) dx = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\alpha-1}(\log N)^{1-\alpha}, & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

□

Similarly, we can obtain that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}_n}{\sqrt{n \log n \log^{(2)} n \cdots (\log^{(k)} n)^{1+\varepsilon}}} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

The best possible rate was obtained by Khinchine which is called Law of Iterated Logarithm,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Lemma 4.4. *For any positive integer N , we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \leq e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{tS_n}]}{e^{tk}} = \frac{(\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_1}])^n}{e^{tk}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_1}] = \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{2} \leq e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}.$$

By taking $t = \frac{k}{n}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \leq \frac{e^{\frac{nt^2}{2}}}{e^{tk}} = e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

□

Lemma 4.5 (Reflection principle). *For any positive integer m , we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \geq m, S_n = s) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(S_n = s), & \text{if } s \geq m, \\ \mathbb{P}(S_n = 2m - s), & \text{if } s < m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \geq m) = \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq m) + \sum_{s=-\infty}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(S_n = 2m - s) = \mathbb{P}(S_n = m) + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} 2\mathbb{P}(S_n = k)$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n^+ \geq m) = 2\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq m+1) + \mathbb{P}(S_n = m) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq m).$$

Lemma 4.6.

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n = k) \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

Proof. Recall Stirling's approximation

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 2k) &= \frac{(2n)!}{(n+k)!(n-k)!} 2^{-2n} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n+k} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n-k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^k}{\left(1 - \frac{k^2}{n^2}\right)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{n}\right)^k} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we need $(n-k) \rightarrow \infty$ to use Stirling's formula. We choose $k = \lfloor x\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \rfloor$ so that $\frac{2k}{\sqrt{2n}} \rightarrow x$. It is not hard to see that if $x_n \rightarrow 0$, and $y_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $x_n y_n \rightarrow t$, then $(1+x_n)^{y_n} \rightarrow e^t$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 2k) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2n}\right)^{-n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{x\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{x}{\sqrt{2n}}\right)^{-x\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}.$$

□

Lemma 4.7. Let $k > n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then there exist a constant C such that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \geq C \cdot \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \geq \mathbb{P}(k \leq S_n \leq k + \frac{n}{k}) \geq C \cdot n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=k}^{k+\frac{n}{k}} e^{-\frac{m^2}{2n}}.$$

For $k \leq m \leq k + \frac{n}{k}$, we have

$$e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}} \geq e^{-\frac{m^2}{2n}} \geq e^{-\frac{(k+\frac{n}{k})^2}{2n}} = \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{2n} - 1 - \frac{n}{2k^2}\right) \geq C \cdot e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \geq k) \geq C \cdot \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2n}}.$$

□

Theorem 4.8. Define $F_n := \sqrt{2n \log \log n}$, then we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. The proof will be presented in two steps. The first one gives an upper bound of $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n}$, i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} \leq 1 + \varepsilon \text{ a.s..}$$

The second one gives a lower bound of $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n}$, i.e. for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} \geq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ a.s..}$$

Step 1. Let $\Theta > 1$, $n_k := \lfloor \Theta^k \rfloor$, by reflection principle and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(M_{n_k}^+ \geq (1 + \varepsilon)F_{n_k}) &\leq 2\mathbb{P}(S_{n_k} \geq (1 + \varepsilon)F_{n_k}) \\ &\leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 F_{n_k}^2}{2n_k}\right) \\ &= 2\exp(-(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \log \log n_k) \sim (k \log \Theta)^{-(1 + \varepsilon)^2} \end{aligned}$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$M_{n_k}^+ \leq (1 + \varepsilon)F_{n_k} \text{ a.s.,}$$

for all but finitely many k .

Let $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$,

$$\frac{S_n}{F_n} \leq \frac{M_n^+}{F_n} = \frac{M_{n_{k+1}}^+}{F_{n_{k+1}}} \frac{F_{n_{k+1}}}{F_n} \frac{M_n^+}{M_{n_{k+1}}^+} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{n_{k+1}}}{F_n} \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon \text{ a.s.,}$$

where $\Theta(\varepsilon, k)$ is close enough to 1.

Step 2. Define $\mathbf{n}_k = n_{k+1} - n_k$, by the definition of $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, we have $\{S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$ is mutually independent, $S_{\mathbf{n}_k}$ and $S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k}$ are equal in law. By Lemma 4.6, for large $k(\varepsilon)$ enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(S_{\mathbf{n}_k} = S_{n_{k+1}} - S_{n_k} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k}) &\geq C \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k}} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2 F_{\mathbf{n}_k}^2}{2\mathbf{n}_k}\right) \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log \mathbf{n}_k}} (\log \mathbf{n}_k)^{-(1 - \varepsilon)^2} \end{aligned}$$

where the last sim is due to $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $\log \mathbf{n}_k \sim k$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{\mathbf{n}_k} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k}) = \infty$, by Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$S_{n_{k+1}} \geq S_{n_k} + (1 - \varepsilon)F_{\mathbf{n}_k} \text{ i.o. a.s..}$$

By the symmetric of the upper bound, we have

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n_k}}{F_{n_k}} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{F_n} \geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \text{ a.s..}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_{n_{k+1}}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} &\geq \frac{S_{n_k}}{F_{n_k}} \frac{F_{n_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\mathbf{n}_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} \\ &\geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{n_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\mathbf{n}_k}}{F_{n_{k+1}}} \rightarrow -\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{\Theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\Theta - 1}{\Theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\Theta \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof. \square

Using almost the same method, we can prove the result about Brownian motion. For the convenience of the readers, we provide the detailed proof.

Theorem 4.9. For a Brownian motion B in \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof. when $u \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\int_u^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \sim u^{-1} \int_u^\infty x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = u^{-1} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}.$$

In fact,

$$\int_u^\infty \frac{1}{x} (x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}) dx = \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{x} d(e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}) = \frac{1}{x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \Big|_0^\infty - \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx.$$

Let $M_t^+ = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s$, then by reflection principle, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(M_t^+ > ut^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 2\mathbb{P}(B_t > ut^{\frac{1}{2}}) \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} u^{-1} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}.$$

Step 1. Define $F_t = \sqrt{2t \log \log t}$, for any $\Theta > 1$ and $1 + \varepsilon > 1$, for n large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(M_{\Theta^n}^+ > (1 + \varepsilon)F_{\Theta^n}) \\ & \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{B_{\Theta^n}}{\sqrt{\Theta^n}} > \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)F_{\Theta^n}}{\sqrt{\Theta^n}}\right) \\ & \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\Theta^n}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 F_{\Theta^n}^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^2 F_{\Theta^n}^2}{\Theta^n}\right) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log \Theta^n}} \exp(-(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \log \log \Theta^n) \sim (n \log \Theta)^{-(1 + \varepsilon)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain that for n large enough,

$$\frac{M_{\Theta^n}^+}{F_{\Theta^n}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \text{ a.s..}$$

Therefore, for $\Theta^n \leq t < \Theta^{n+1}$, Θ approach 1,

$$\frac{B_t}{F_t} \leq \frac{M_t^+}{F_t} = \frac{M_{\Theta^{n+1}}^+}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} \frac{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{F_t} \frac{M_t^+}{M_{\Theta^{n+1}}^+} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{F_t} \leq 1 + 2\varepsilon \text{ a.s..}$$

Step 2. For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(B_{\Theta^{n+1}} - B_{\Theta^n} > (1 - \varepsilon)F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}) & \geq C \cdot \frac{(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}} \exp\left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2 F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}^2}{2(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n)}\right) \\ & \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log (\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n)}} (\log(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n))^{-(1 - \varepsilon)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log(\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n) \sim n$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_{\Theta^{n+1}} - B_{\Theta^n} > (1 - \varepsilon)F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}) < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$B_{\Theta^{n+1}} \geq B_{\Theta^n} + (1 - \varepsilon)F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]} \quad i.o. \text{ a.s..}$$

By the symmetric of the upper bound, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^n}} \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_t}{F_t} \geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \text{ a.s..}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{\Theta^{n+1}} &\geq B_{\Theta^n} + (1 - \varepsilon)F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]} \quad i.o. \text{ a.s..} \\ \frac{B_{\Theta^{n+1}}}{\Theta^{n+1}} &\geq \frac{B_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^n}} \frac{F_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} \\ &\geq -(1 + \varepsilon) \frac{F_{\Theta^n}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{F_{[\Theta^{n+1} - \Theta^n]}}{F_{\Theta^{n+1}}} \rightarrow -\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{\Theta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\Theta - 1}{\Theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\Theta \rightarrow \infty$, we complete the proof. □