Software Requirements Specification for MPIR: A Sparse Linear Solver

Xunzhou (Joe) Ye

February 5, 2025

Contents

| 1 | \mathbf{Ref} | Ference Material | iv |
|---|----------------|---|----------|
| | 1.1 | Table of Units | iv |
| | 1.2 | Table of Symbols | iv |
| | 1.3 | Abbreviations and Acronyms | V |
| | 1.4 | Mathematical Notation | V |
| 2 | Intr | roduction | 1 |
| | 2.1 | Purpose of Document | 1 |
| | 2.2 | Scope of Requirements | 1 |
| | 2.3 | Characteristics of Intended Reader | 1 |
| | 2.4 | Organization of Document | 1 |
| 3 | Ger | neral System Description | 1 |
| | 3.1 | System Context | 1 |
| | 3.2 | User Characteristics | 2 |
| | 3.3 | System Constraints | 2 |
| 4 | Spe | ecific System Description | 2 |
| | 4.1 | Problem Description | 2 |
| | | 4.1.1 Terminology and Definitions | 2 |
| | | 4.1.2 Physical System Description | 2 |
| | | 4.1.3 Goal Statements | 2 |
| | 4.2 | Solution Characteristics Specification | 3 |
| | | 4.2.1 Types | 3 |
| | | 4.2.2 Scope Decisions | 3 |
| | | 4.2.3 Modelling Decisions | 3 |
| | | 4.2.4 Assumptions | 3 |
| | | 4.2.5 Theoretical Models | 3 |
| | | 4.2.6 General Definitions | 7 |
| | | 4.2.7 Data Definitions | 8 |
| | | 4.2.8 Data Types | 9 |
| | | 4.2.9 Instance Models | 10 |
| | | 4.2.10 Input Data Constraints | 11 |
| | | 4.2.11 Properties of a Correct Solution | 12 |
| 5 | Rec | quirements | 12 |
| | 5.1 | Functional Requirements | 12 |
| | 5.2 | Nonfunctional Requirements | 12 |
| | 5.3 | Rationale | 13 |
| 6 | Like | ely Changes | 13 |

| 7 | Unlikely Changes | 13 |
|-----------|----------------------------------|----|
| 8 | Traceability Matrices and Graphs | 13 |
| 9 | Development Plan | 13 |
| 10 | Values of Auxiliary Constants | 13 |

Revision History

| Date | Version | Notes |
|-----------------|---------|---------------|
| 26 January 2025 | 1.0 | Initial draft |

1 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

1.1 Table of Units

Not applicable to this project because it does not interact with any physical system.

1.2 Table of Symbols

The table that follows summarizes the symbols used in this document. The choice of symbols was made to be consistent with common numerical computing literatures.

| symbol | description |
|---------------|---|
| n | The size of a vector/matrix |
| \mathbf{A} | An $n \times n$ matrix to be solved |
| b | Some <i>n</i> -vector |
| ϵ | a solution is found if the norm of the residual is less than ϵ |
| $n_{ m iter}$ | the maximum number of iterations to perform |
| u_f | factorization precision |
| u_w | working precision |
| u_r | precision in which the residuals are computed |

1.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms

| symbol | description | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| A | Assumption | | | |
| DD | Data Definition | | | |
| GD | General Definition | | | |
| GMRES | General Minimal Residual Method | | | |
| GS | Goal Statement | | | |
| IM | Instance Model | | | |
| IR | Iterative refinement | | | |
| LC | Likely Change | | | |
| MP | Mixed-precision | | | |
| PS | Physical System Description | | | |
| R | Requirement | | | |
| SRS | Software Requirements Specification | | | |
| TM | Theoretical Model | | | |

1.4 Mathematical Notation

2 Introduction

Solving linear systems is a key part of numerical computing and is widely used in many applications, creating a demand for fast and reliable solvers. Modern hardware and software have shown that using lower-precision calculations can be much faster and more memory-efficient than traditional double-precision (64-bit) methods. Mixed-precision (MP) algorithms combine the speed of lower-precision calculations with the accuracy of higher-precision ones to improve performance in various linear solvers. The document describes the solver called MPIR, which implements an iterative method in mixed-precisions and uses GMRES in particular for find the error correction vector during the refinement process.

The following section provides an overview of the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) for MPIR. This section explains the purpose of this document, the scope of the requirements, the characteristics of the intended reader, and the organization of the document.

2.1 Purpose of Document

The primary purpose of this document is to record the requirements of MPIR. Goals, assumptions, theoretical models, definitions, and other model derivation information are specified, allowing the reader to fully understand and verify the purpose and scientific basis of MPIR.

2.2 Scope of Requirements

2.3 Characteristics of Intended Reader

2.4 Organization of Document

3 General System Description

This section provides general information about the system. It identifies the interfaces between the system and its environment, describes the user characteristics and lists the system constraints.

3.1 System Context



Figure 1: System Context

• User Responsibilities:

_

• MPIR Responsibilities:

 Detect data type mismatch, such as a string of characters instead of a floating point number

_

3.2 User Characteristics

3.3 System Constraints

4 Specific System Description

This section first presents the problem description, which gives a high-level view of the problem to be solved. This is followed by the solution characteristics specification, which presents the assumptions, theories, definitions and finally the instance models.

4.1 Problem Description

MPIR is a solver intended to find a numerical solution \mathbf{x} to a sparse linear system characterized in the equation $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, where \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix and \mathbf{b} is an n vector.

4.1.1 Terminology and Definitions

This subsection provides a list of terms that are used in the subsequent sections and their meaning, with the purpose of reducing ambiguity and making it easier to correctly understand the requirements:

•

4.1.2 Physical System Description

Not applicable to this project because it does not interact with any physical system.

4.1.3 Goal Statements

Given an $n \times n$ matrix **A** and an n vector **b**, where n is the size of the matrix, the goal statement is:

GS1: find a numerical solution \mathbf{x} to the linear system characterized in the equation $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

4.2 Solution Characteristics Specification

This section specifies the information in the solution domain of MPIR. This section is intended to express what is required in such a way that analysts and stakeholders get a clear picture, and the latter will accept it. The purpose of this section is to reduce the problem into one expressed in mathematical terms.

This section presents the solution characteristics by successively refining models. It starts with the abstract/general Theoretical Models (TMs) and refines them to the concrete/specific Instance Models (IMs). The instance models that govern MPIRare presented in Subsection 4.2.9. The information to understand the meaning of the instance models and their derivation is also presented, so that the instance models can be verified.

4.2.1 Types

4.2.2 Scope Decisions

The scope of this project is inherently limited due to the need to build upon the previous research work rather than formulating a completely new approach to the problem described in Subsection 4.1. A signification portion of this project is dedicated to refactor the prior work, ensuring consistency with its methodology and results before introducing any new contributions. This project must loosely adhere to the same assumptions established in the original study to maintain comparability and validity, as altering these assumptions would fundamentally change the research direction.

4.2.3 Modelling Decisions

4.2.4 Assumptions

This section simplifies the original problem and helps in developing the theoretical model by filling in the missing information for the physical system. The numbers given in the square brackets refer to the theoretical model [TM], general definition [GD], data definition [DD], instance model [IM], or likely change [LC], in which the respective assumption is used.

A1: The input matrix **A** is symmetric quasi-definite. (Definitions of symmetric matrices and quasi-definite matrices are given in DD1 and DD3, respectively.)

A2: The given precisions follow the order $u_f \leq u_w \leq u_r$, with u_r being the highest precision.

4.2.5 Theoretical Models

This section focuses on the general equations and laws that MPIR is based on.

RefName: TM:LDL

Label: LDL[†] factorization

Equation: $PKP^{T} = LDL^{T}$

Description: Symmetric quasi-definite matrices are strongly factorizable. For every permutation \mathbf{P} there is a diagonal \mathbf{D} and a unit lower-triangular \mathbf{L} such that the above equation holds.

Notes: Definitions of symmetric matrices and quasi-definite matrices are given in DD1 and DD3, respectively. In general, if the $\mathbf{LDL}^{\mathsf{T}}$ factors are obtained for some matrix \mathbf{A} , it is easy to repeatedly solve the problem $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$ for different vector \mathbf{b} 's.

Source: Vanderbei 1995, Gill, Saunders, and Shinnerl 1996

Ref. By: GD??

Preconditions for TM:LDL: Matrix **K** is symmetric quasi-definite.

Derivation for TM:LDL: Not Applicable

RefName: TM:Krylov

Label: Krylov subspace

Equation: $\mathbf{K}_m(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}_0) = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{r}_0, \mathbf{A}^2\mathbf{r}_0, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{m-1}\mathbf{r}_0\}$

Description: A is any given real, $n \times n$ matrix. In the context of solving $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0$ is the initial residual. $\mathbf{K}_m(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{r}_0)$ is said to be "the Krylov subspace of **A** of order m with respect to \mathbf{r}_0 "

Notes: In numerical linear algebra, the Krylov subspace is a sequence of subspaces generated by repeatedly multiplying a matrix A with an initial vector \mathbf{r}_0 . It is fundamental to many iterative methods for solving large linear systems and eigenvalue problems.

Source: Ascher and Greif 2011, p. 186

Ref. By: GD??

Preconditions for TM:Krylov: None

Derivation for TM:Krylov: Not Applicable

RefName: TM:Precond

Label: Matrix Preconditioning

Equation: $\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ or $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{y}$

Description: A is any given real, $n \times n$ matrix. **M** is the preconditioner, chosen such that $\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ is better conditioned than **A**. It is often the case that $\mathbf{M}^{-1} \approx \mathbf{A}^{-1}$, and computing \mathbf{M}^{-1} is much easier than computing \mathbf{A}^{-1} directly.

Notes: Intuitively, a matrix **A** describes some kind of linear transformation. If **A** is poorly conditioned, this transformation can be extreme—small differences between vectors may be greatly amplified, causing closely placed vectors to move far apart. This makes it difficult for iterative solvers to "backtrack" what happened before and after the transformation. By preconditioning **A** with an approximate inverse, we are effectively "undoing" some (but not all) of the transformations, taming **A** down to some extent. And then we can work with a tamed down, better conditioned version of **A** and solve the system from there.

Source: Ascher and Greif 2011, p. 187

Ref. By: GD??

Preconditions for TM:Precond: None

Derivation for TM:Precond: Not Applicable

RefName: TM:IR

Label: Iterative refinement in mixed-precision (MP)

Algorithm 1 Iterative refinement

Equation: 2

1: for $m \leftarrow 1, 2, ...$, the mth iteration do

2: $\mathbf{r}_m \leftarrow \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_m$

▷ Compute the residuals▷ Compute the correction

3: Solve $\mathbf{Ad}_m = \mathbf{r}_m$ for \mathbf{d}_m 4: $\mathbf{x}_{m+1} = \mathbf{x}_m + \mathbf{d}_m$

▷ Compute the correction▷ Add the correction

5: end for

Description: Iterative refinement is a process for reducing the round-off error in the computed solution \mathbf{x}_0 to an $n \times n$ system of linear equations $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. Any appropriate method with reasonable accuracy can be used at step 3. Only step 2 requires higher precision. Under the assumption A2 on given arithmetic precision configurations, that the precision used for computing the residual would be the highest, the final result produced by the result is shown to be accurate.

Notes: None

Source: Moler 1967

Ref. By: DD??, DD??

Preconditions for TM:IR: None

Derivation for TM:IR: Not Applicable

4.2.6 General Definitions

This section collects the laws and equations that will be used in building the instance models.

| Number | GD1 | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| Label | Restarted GMRES with left preconditioning | | |
| Algorithm | Algorithm 2 Restarted GMRES with left preconditioning1: $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{M}^{-1} \approx \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ 2: $\mathbf{for} \ k \leftarrow 1, 2, \ldots$, the k th restart \mathbf{do} 3: $\mathbf{z}_k \leftarrow \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_k$ \triangleright Compute residual4: $\mathbf{r}_k \leftarrow \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{z}_k$ \triangleright Apply preconditioning5: $\beta \leftarrow \ \mathbf{r}_k\ _2$, $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{r}_k/\beta$, $\mathbf{V}_1 \leftarrow [\mathbf{v}_1]$ \triangleright Setup for Arnoldi process6: Construct an orthogonal basis of preconditioned Krylov subspace $\mathrm{span}\{\mathbf{r}_k, \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{r}_k, \ldots, (\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{A})^{m-1} \mathbf{r}_k\}$ 7: Solve the least square problem and compute the correction8: $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{d}_k$ \triangleright Add the correction9: \mathbf{end} for | | |
| Description | Combination of TM4.2.5 | | |
| Source | Saad 1993, Lindquist, Luszczek, and Dongarra 2020 | | |
| Ref. By | DD??, DD?? | | |

4.2.7 Data Definitions

This section collects and defines all the data needed to build the instance models. The dimension of each quantity is also given.

| Number | DD1 |
|-------------|--|
| Label | Symmetric matrix |
| Equation | $\mathbf{A}^\intercal = \mathbf{A}$ |
| Description | A square matrix is symmetric if it satisfies the equation above. |
| Sources | Ascher and Greif 2011, p. 78 |
| Ref. By | DD3 |

| Number | DD2 |
|-------------|---|
| Label | Positive definite matrix |
| Equation | $\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} > 0 \forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0$ |
| Description | A square matrix is positive definite if it satisfies the equation above. For any column vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^{T}$, we require $\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j} x_i x_j > 0$, provided that at least one component $x_j \neq 0$. |
| Sources | Ascher and Greif 2011, p. 78 |
| Ref. By | DD3 |

| Number | DD3 | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Label | Symmetric quasi-definite matrix | |
| Equation | $\mathbf{K} = egin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{E} & \mathbf{A}^\intercal \ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}$ | |
| Description | A symmetric matrix (defined in DD1) K is quasi-definite if it has the above form. E , F are symmetric positive definite matrices (defined in DD2). | |
| Sources | Gill, Saunders, and Shinnerl 1996 | |
| Ref. By TM4.2.5 | | |

| Number | DD4 |
|-------------|--|
| Label | Euclidean norm |
| Equation | $\left\ \mathbf{x}\right\ _2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ |
| Description | The length of the vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ on the <i>n</i> -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . |
| Sources | Weisstein 2025 |
| Ref. By | GD1 |

4.2.8 Data Types

This section collects and defines all the data types needed to document the models.

| Number | DT1 | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------|---------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Type Name | u, meta type va | ariable for | any step that i | nvolves | floating point | arithmetics |
| Type Def | | | | | | |
| | Arithmetic | Symbol | Bits | | \mathbf{Unit} | Range |
| | | | Significand | Exp. | Roundoff | |
| | bfloat16 | В | 8 | 8 | 3.91×10^{-3} | $10^{\pm 38}$ |
| | fp16 | Н | 11 | 5 | 4.88×10^{-4} | $10^{\pm 5}$ |
| | fp32 | S | 24 | 8 | 5.96×10^{-8} | $10^{\pm 38}$ |
| | fp64 | D | 53 | 11 | 1.11×10^{-16} | $10^{\pm 308}$ |
| | fp128 | Q | 113 | 15 | 9.63×10^{-35} | $10^{\pm 4932}$ |
| Description The IEEE 754-2019 standard specifies the formats of floating point nu including: half, single, double, and double extended (quadruple). format has its own representation for numbers, in the form of 2^k with two integers n (signed significand) and k (unbiased signed expended Note that bfloat16 is a shorten IEEE single-precision 32-bit float. The of meta type variable u could be any of the floating point types listed | | uple). Each of $2^{k+1-N}n$, d exponent). t. The value | | | | |
| Sources | "IEEE Standar 2019 | rd for Floa | ting-Point Arit | thmetic | " 2019, Wang | and Kanwar |

4.2.9 Instance Models

This section transforms the problem defined in Section 4.1 into one which is expressed in mathematical terms. It uses concrete symbols defined in Section 4.2.7 to replace the abstract symbols in the models identified in Sections 4.2.5 and 4.2.6.

The goal GS1 is solved by IM1.

| Number | IM1 |
|-------------|---|
| Label | GMRES-IR with LDL [↑] factorization in MP |
| Input | $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \epsilon, n_{\text{iter}}, u_f, u_w, u_r$ |
| | A is symmetric and quasi-definite under assumption A1. |
| | Meta type parameters u_f, u_w, u_r are constrained to values listed in DT1 |
| Output | $\mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{r}\ _2$, such that, |
| | \mathbf{x} is the numerical solution to the problem $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ up to tolerance ϵ ; |
| | $\left\ \mathbf{r} ight\ _{2}=\left\ \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} ight\ _{2}.$ |
| Description | Algorithm 3 GMRES-IR with LDL† factorization in MP1: Perform LDL† factorization of A \triangleright at u 2: Solve LDL† $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{b}$ \triangleright at u 3: for $i \leftarrow 0, 1, \ldots, n_{\text{iter}}$ and $ r_i _2 \ge \epsilon$ do \triangleright at u 4: $r_i \leftarrow \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$ \triangleright at u 5: Solve $(\mathbf{LDL}^{\intercal})^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{d}_i = (\mathbf{LDL}^{\intercal})^{-1}\mathbf{r}_i$ with GMRES \triangleright at u_i 6: $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{d}_i$ \triangleright at u_i 7: end for |
| Sources | |
| Ref. By | GS1 |

4.2.10 Input Data Constraints

Table 1 shows the data constraints on the input output variables. The column for software constraints restricts the range of inputs to reasonable values. The constraints are conservative, to give the user of the model the flexibility to experiment with unusual situations. The column of typical values is intended to provide a feel for a common scenario.

The specification parameters in Table 1 are listed in Table 2.

Table 1: Input Variables

| Numeric value | Software Constraints |
|---------------|---|
| \mathbf{A} | Falls in one of ranges specified in DT1 |
| b | Falls in one of ranges specified in DT1 |

Table 2: Specification Parameter Values

| Var | Value |
|------------|-------|
| L_{\min} | 0.1 m |

4.2.11 Properties of a Correct Solution

A correct solution must exhibit.

Table 3: Output Variables

| Var | Physical Constraints |
|-------|--|
| T_W | $T_{\text{init}} \leq T_W \leq T_C \text{ (by A??)}$ |

5 Requirements

This section provides the functional requirements, the business tasks that the software is expected to complete, and the nonfunctional requirements, the qualities that the software is expected to exhibit.

5.1 Functional Requirements

R1:

R2:

R3:

R4:

R5:

5.2 Nonfunctional Requirements

NFR1: Accuracy

NFR2: Usability

NFR3: Maintainability

NFR4: Portability

Other NFRs that might be discussed include verifiability, understandability and reusability.

5.3 Rationale

6 Likely Changes

LC1:

7 Unlikely Changes

LC2:

8 Traceability Matrices and Graphs

The purpose of the traceability matrices is to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. Every time a component is changed, the items in the column of that component that are marked with an "X" may have to be modified as well. Table 4 shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, and instance models with each other. Table 5 shows the dependencies of instance models, requirements, and data constraints on each other. Table 6 shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, instance models, and likely changes on the assumptions.

The purpose of the traceability graphs is also to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. The arrows in the graphs represent dependencies. The component at the tail of an arrow is depended on by the component at the head of that arrow. Therefore, if a component is changed, the components that it points to should also be changed. Figure ?? shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, instance models, likely changes, and assumptions on each other. Figure ?? shows the dependencies of instance models, requirements, and data constraints on each other.

9 Development Plan

10 Values of Auxiliary Constants

| | TM?? | TM?? | TM?? | GD?? | GD?? | DD?? | DD?? | DD?? | DD?? | IM?? | IM?? | IM |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| TM?? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TM?? | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| TM?? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GD?? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GD?? | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | | | | | | X | | | | |
| IM?? | | | | | X | X | X | | | | X | |
| IM?? | | | | | X | | X | | X | X | | |
| IM?? | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| IM?? | | X | X | | | | X | X | X | | X | |

Table 4: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Items of Different Sections

| | IM?? | IM?? | IM?? | IM?? | 4.2.10 | R?? | R?? |
|------|------|------|------|------|--------|-----|-----|
| IM?? | | X | | | | X | X |
| IM?? | X | | | X | | X | X |
| IM?? | | | | | | X | X |
| IM?? | | X | | | | X | X |
| R?? | | | | | | | |
| R?? | | | | | | X | |
| R?? | | | | | X | | |
| R2 | X | X | | | | X | X |
| R?? | X | | | | | | |
| R?? | | X | | | | | |
| R?? | | | X | | | | |
| R?? | | | | X | | | |
| R4 | | | X | X | | | |
| R?? | | X | | | | | |
| R?? | | X | | | | | |

Table 5: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Requirements and Instance Models

| _ | _ |
|---|----|
| | |
| ~ | 7. |
| _ | ,, |

| | A?? |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| TM?? | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TM?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TM?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GD?? | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GD?? | | | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | | | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | X | X | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DD?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IM?? | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | X | X | X | | | X |
| IM?? | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | X | X | X | |
| IM?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | X |
| IM?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | X | |
| LC?? | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LC?? | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LC?? | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| LC?? | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| LC?? | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| LC?? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | |

Table 6: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Assumptions and Other Items

References

- Ascher, U. M. and Chen Greif (2011). A first course in numerical methods. Computational science & engineering. OCLC: ocn712930845. Philadelphia: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. 552 pp. ISBN: 978-0-89871-997-0.
- Gill, Philip E., Michael A. Saunders, and Joseph R. Shinnerl (Jan. 1996). "On the Stability of Cholesky Factorization for Symmetric Quasidefinite Systems". In: SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 17.1, pp. 35–46. ISSN: 0895-4798, 1095-7162. DOI: 10. 1137/S0895479893252623. URL: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/S0895479893252623 (visited on 02/05/2025).
- "IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic" (July 2019). In: *IEEE Std 754-2019 (Revision of IEEE 754-2008)*. Conference Name: IEEE Std 754-2019 (Revision of IEEE 754-2008), pp. 1–84. DOI: 10.1109/IEEESTD.2019.8766229. URL: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8766229 (visited on 02/05/2025).
- Lindquist, Neil, Piotr Luszczek, and Jack Dongarra (Nov. 3, 2020). *Improving the Performance of the GMRES Method using Mixed-Precision Techniques*. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv. 2011.01850. arXiv: 2011.01850[math]. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.01850 (visited on 02/05/2025).
- Moler, Cleve B. (Apr. 1967). "Iterative Refinement in Floating Point". In: *Journal of the ACM* 14.2, pp. 316–321. ISSN: 0004-5411, 1557-735X. DOI: 10.1145/321386.321394. URL: https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/321386.321394 (visited on 02/05/2025).
- Saad, Youcef (Mar. 1993). "A Flexible Inner-Outer Preconditioned GMRES Algorithm". In: SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 14.2. Publisher: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, pp. 461–469. ISSN: 1064-8275. DOI: 10.1137/0914028. URL: https://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/0914028 (visited on 02/05/2025).
- Vanderbei, Robert J. (Feb. 1995). "Symmetric Quasidefinite Matrices". In: SIAM Journal on Optimization 5.1, pp. 100–113. ISSN: 1052-6234, 1095-7189. DOI: 10.1137/0805005. URL: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/0805005 (visited on 02/05/2025).
- Wang, Shibo and Pankaj Kanwar (Aug. 23, 2019). BFloat16: The secret to high performance on Cloud TPUs. Google Cloud Blog. URL: https://cloud.google.com/blog/products/aimachine-learning/bfloat16-the-secret-to-high-performance-on-cloud-tpus (visited on 02/05/2025).
- Weisstein, Eric W. (2025). *Vector Norm*. Publisher: Wolfram Research, Inc. URL: https://mathworld.wolfram.com/VectorNorm.html (visited on 02/06/2025).