

## Before you start:

### Homework Files

You can download the starter files for coding as well as this *tex* file (you only need to modify *homework1.tex*) on canvas and do your homework with latex (recommended). Or you can scan your handwriting, convert to pdf file, and upload it to canvas before the due date. If you choose to write down your answers by hand, you can directly download the pdf file on canvas which provides more blank space for solution box.

### Submission Form

For homework 1, you need to upload a **tar ball** with two files in the following format:

- VE281\_HW1\_[Your Student ID]\_[Your name].tar  
  | VE281\_HW1\_[Your Student ID]\_[Your name].pdf  
  | hashtable.h

**Please strictly follow the format given above!!! Everyone who does not obey the format will get 2 points deduction!!!**

Notes: No extra folders (extracting this tar should only give you two files), no space in your name (use underscore(\_) instead), no brackets. One example for name of tar:

**VE281\_HW1\_518370910000\_Run\_Peng.tar**

For all coding questions (question 3), you need to successfully compile your code, or otherwise you will at most get half of the whole score (we will give you partial points if you implement some of the functionalities). We will have some simple test cases to test whether your code can correctly work. You must make sure that your code compiles successfully on a Linux operating system with g++ and the options:

```
1 -std=c++1z -Wconversion -Wall -Werror -Wextra -pedantic
```

Estimated time used for this homework: **3-4 hours**.

## 0 Student Info (1 point)

Your name and student id:

**Solution:** Lan Wang 519370910084

## 1 Hash Fruits (24 points, after Lec7)

Suppose Roihn is using a hash table to store information about the color of different kinds of fruit. The keys are strings and the values are also strings. Furthermore, he uses a very simple hash function where the hash value of a string is the integer representing its first letter (all the letters are in lower case). For example:

- $h(\text{"apple"}) = 0$
- $h(\text{"banana"}) = 1$
- $h(\text{"zebrafruit"}) = 25$

And we have:

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n	o	p	q	r	s	t	u	v	w	x	y	z
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25

Now, assume we are working with a hash table of size 10 and the compression function  $c(x) = x \% 10$ . This means that "zebrafruit" would hash to 25, but ultimately fall into bucket  $25 \% 10 = 5$  of our table. For this problem, you will determine where each of the given fruits lands after inserting a sequence of values using three different collision resolution schemes:

- linear probing
- quadratic probing
- double hashing with  $h'(k) = q - (h(k) \% q)$ , where  $q = 5$

For each of these three collision resolution schemes, determine the resulting hash table after inserting the following (*key*, *value*) pairs in the given order:

1. ("banana", "yellow")
2. ("blueberry", "blue")
3. ("blackberry", "black")
4. ("cranberry", "red")
5. ("apricot", "orange")
6. ("lime", "green")

**Every incorrect value counts for 1 point.**

### 1.1 Linear Probing (8 points)

Please use the **linear probing** collision resolution method to simulate the given insertion steps, and then show the final position of each (*key*, *value*) pair inside the related buckets below.

**Solution:**

<b>Index</b>	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Key</b>	apricot	banana	blueberry	blackberry	cranberry
<b>Value</b>	orange	yellow	blue	black	red
<b>Index</b>	5	6	7	8	9
<b>Key</b>	lime				
<b>Value</b>	green				

### 1.2 Quadratic Probing (8 points)

Please use the **quadratic probing** collision resolution method to simulate the given insertion steps, and then show the final position of each (*key*, *value*) pair inside the related buckets below.

**Solution:**

<b>Index</b>	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Key</b>	apricot	banana	blueberry	cranberry	
<b>Value</b>	orange	yellow	blue	red	
<b>Index</b>	5	6	7	8	9
<b>Key</b>	blackberry		lime		
<b>Value</b>	black		green		

### 1.3 Double Probing (8 points)

Please use the **double probing** collision resolution method to simulate the given insertion steps, with the double hash function  $h'(k) = q - (h(k) \% q)$  and  $q = 5$ , and then show the final position of each (*key*, *value*) pair inside the related buckets below.

**Solution:**

<b>Index</b>	0	1	2	3	4
<b>Key</b>	apricot	banana	cranberry	lime	
<b>Value</b>	orange	yellow	red	green	
<b>Index</b>	5	6	7	8	9
<b>Key</b>	blueberry				blackberry
<b>Value</b>	blue				black

## 2 Hash! Hash! Hash! (25 points, after Lec8)

### 2.1 Possible Insertion Order (6 points)

Suppose you have a hash table of size 10 uses open addressing with a hash function  $H(k) = k \bmod 10$  and linear probing. After entering six values into the empty hash table, the state of the table is shown below.

<b>Index</b>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<b>Key</b>			62	43	24	82	76	53		

Which of the following insertion orders is / are possible? Select all that apply and clearly state why it is possible.

- A. 76, 62, 24, 82, 43, 53
- B. 24, 62, 43, 82, 53, 76
- C. 76, 24, 62, 43, 82, 53
- D. 62, 76, 53, 43, 24, 82
- E. 62, 43, 24, 82, 76, 53

**Solution:**

C and E are possible.

A: When inserting 82, since index 2 is occupied, and index 3 is not, it should be inserted at index 3.

B: When inserting 53, since index 3, 4, 5 are occupied, and index 6 is not, it should be inserted at index 6.

C: Insert 76 at index 6, 24 at index 4, 62 at index 2, 43 at index 3, 82 at index 5 (2, 3, 4 are all

occupied), and 53 at index 7 (3, 4, 5, 6 are all occupied).

D: When inserting 53, it should be inserted at index 3 since it is not occupied.

E: Insert 62 at index 2, 43 at index 3, 24 at index 4, 82 at index 5 (2, 3, 4 are all occupied), 76 at index 6 and 53 at index 7 (3, 4, 5, 6 are all occupied).

## 2.2 Time Complexity of Hashing (12 points)

- i) In a few sentences, explain why a hash table with open addressing and rehashing (doubling the size every time when the load factor exceeds a threshold) achieves insertion in  $O(1)$  time. (3 points)

### Solution:

We know that although calculating hashing function and insert at a certain bucket cost  $O(1)$ , it takes  $O(n)$  to find an empty bucket when the table is almost full with open addressing. However, if we rehash when the load factor exceeds a threshold, the efficiency of finding an empty bucket can be ensured by setting proper threshold, then we can achieve insertion in  $O(1)$  time.

- ii) When rehashing is not triggered, is the insertion time  $O(1)$  (does it have an upper bound)? Why? (3 points)

### Solution:

It's not always  $O(1)$ . In worst case, considering open addressing with linear probing, when the table is almost full, it will take  $O(n)$  because it needs to search through the whole table.

- iii) Show that at any given point, the amortized (distributed to all items in the hash table) cost of rehashing is  $O(1)$  for each item in the hash table. (3 points)

### Solution:

When we do rehashing, we just create a new, larger hash table and insert all the elements we have now into it.

Suppose that we have  $N$  elements in the table now and want to do rehashing, we need to:

- (a) Create a new table:  $O(1)$
- (b) Insert  $N$  elements into it:  $O(N)$

$O(1) + O(N) = O(N)$ , so the amortized cost becomes  $O(1)$  since we have  $N$  elements.

- iv) What if during rehashing, the hash table is expanded by a factor  $x$  with  $x > 2$ ? Is the amortized cost of rehashing still  $O(1)$ ? If no, explain. If yes, show your work. If it depends, show both. (3 points)

**Solution:**

Yes. No matter the new size of the hash table, the time complexity of creating it is  $O(1)$ . Suppose we have  $M$  elements before, then we need  $O(M)$  to rehash them.  $O(1) + O(M) = O(M)$ , so the amortized time complexity is still  $O(1)$ .

**2.3 Wrong Delete (7 points)**

William implements a hash table that uses open addressing with linear probing to resolve collisions. However, his implementation has a mistake: when he erases an element, he replaces it with an empty bucket rather than marking it as deleted! In this example, the keys are strings, with the hash function:

```
1 size_t hash(string s) {  
2     return s.empty() ? 0 : s[0] - 'A';  
3 }
```

and the hash table initially contains 100 buckets. After which of the following sequences of operations will the hash table be in an invalid state due to erased items being marked empty rather than as deleted? In this case, a hash table is invalid if subsequence “find” or “size” operations do not return the correct answer.

- A. insert “A1”; insert “B1”; insert “C1”; erase “A1”; erase “C1”;
- B. insert “A1”; insert “A2”; insert “A3”; erase “A3”; erase “A2”;
- C. insert “B1”; insert “C1”; insert “A1”; insert “A2”; erase “C1”;
- D. insert “A1”; insert “B1”; insert “A2”; erase “B1”; insert “B2”;
- E. none of the above

**Please clearly state why you choose that answer.**

**Solution:**

C will be invalid.

When inserting, “B1” will be inserted at index 1, then “C1” at index 2 and “A1” at index 0. And then “A2” will be inserted at index 3 because 0, 1, 2 are all occupied. If “C1” is erased, when finding “A2”, we will check index 0 and 1, then find index 2 is empty, indicating that “A2” is not in the table, though it is actually in the hash table.

### 3 Coding Assignment (40 points, after Lec8)

For this question, you will be tasked with implementing a hash table function. Download the starter file *hashtable.h* from Canvas.

Your hash table will support the following four operations:

```
1 bool insert(pair<Key, Val>);  
2  
3 size_t erase(Key);  
4  
5 Val& operator[] (Key);  
6  
7 size_t size();
```

**insert** takes a key and a value, and inserts them into the hash table. If the new key is already in the hash table, then the operation has no effect. **insert** returns whether the key was inserted.

**erase** takes a key, and removes it from the hash table. If the key isn't already in the hash table, then the operation has no effect. **erase** returns how many items were deleted (either 0 or 1).

**operator[]** takes a key, and returns a reference to the associated value in the hash table. If the key was not previously present in the table, it will be inserted, associated to a default-constructed value.

**size** returns the number of key-value pairs currently stored in the hash table. **insert** and **operator[]** can both increase the hash table's size, while **erase** can decrease it.

The provided code includes an optional private function called **rehash\_and\_grow**. You may find it useful to put logic for increasing the number of buckets into this function, but you are not required to.

#### Implementation Requirements:

Your hash table will be implemented using **quadratic probing**, with deleted elements to support erasing keys. The key-value pairs will be stored in *buckets*, a member variable in *Hashtable* of type *std::vector<Bucket>*.

```
1 template<typename Key, typename Value, typename Hasher = std::hash<Key>>  
2 struct Bucket {  
3     BucketType type = BucketType::Empty;  
4     Key key;  
5     Value value;  
6 };
```

A bucket has a type, a key and a value. Here the *BucketType* is an enum class type:

```
1 enum class BucketType {  
2     Empty, // bucket contains no item  
3     Occupied, // contains an item  
4     Deleted // is a deleted element  
5 };
```

To refer to its three possible values, write as *BucketType::Empty*, *BucketType::Occupied*, and *BucketType::Deleted* for comparison.

For the hash function, you will use the STL's hashing functor, *std::hash*, and mod it by the current number of buckets. Assume the given key is *k*, then:

```
1 Hasher hasher;  
2 size_t desired_bucket = hasher(k) % buckets.size();
```

You can get more details in the given starter file *hashtable.h*, and we also provide you a simple testing cpp file, which can give you a good start for your testing.

**For submission, you only need to upload the header file *hashtable.h*.**



## 4 Bloom Filter (10 points, after Lec9)

Suppose there is an array  $A$  of  $n = 17$  bits. Each bit is either 0 or 1. And we have 3 hash functions  $h_1, h_2, h_3$ , each mapping inside  $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ :

$$h_1(x) = x \% n$$

$$h_2(x) = (3 * x + 2) \% n$$

$$h_3(x) = (5 * x + 1) \% n$$

Initially the array is all-zero.

- i) Roihn first inserts one element 12 into the bloom filter. Please write down the current values of entries of array  $A$ . (2 points)

**Solution:**

$$h_1(12) = 12 \% 17 = 12$$

$$h_2(12) = (3 * 12 + 2) \% 17 = 4$$

$$h_3(12) = (5 * 12 + 1) \% 17 = 10$$

So the current values of entries of array  $A$  are:

0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0

- ii) Then Roihn inserts 3 into the bloom filter. Please write down the current values of entries of array  $A$ . (2 points)

**Solution:**

$$h_1(3) = 3 \% 17 = 3$$

$$h_2(3) = (3 * 3 + 2) \% 17 = 11$$

$$h_3(3) = (5 * 3 + 1) \% 17 = 16$$

So the current values of entries of array  $A$  are:

0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1

- iii) After inserting 3, Roihn finds that it is actually a mistake, and would like to remove 3 from the bloom filter. Can he remove the element 3 from the filter? If so, how it can be achieved? (2 points)

**Solution:**

It can be removed, but we need to calculate the value of  $h_1(x), h_2(x), h_3(x)$  of both 12 and 3. If  $h_i(3)$  equals to one of the values of  $h_1(12), h_2(12), h_3(12)$ , then it cannot be turned to 0; if  $h_i(3)$  is not equal to all the values of  $h_1(12), h_2(12), h_3(12)$ , the value at the index should be turned to 0.

- iv) Now Roihn wants to find out whether some of the elements are in this filter. Does element 4 in this filter? What about 20? Please clear show your steps. (4 points)

**Solution:**

$$h_1(4) = 4 \% 17 = 4$$

$$h_2(4) = (3 * 4 + 2) \% 17 = 14$$

$$h_3(4) = (5 * 4 + 1) \% 17 = 4$$

$A[4] = 1$  and  $A[14] = 0$ , so 4 is not in this filter.

$$h_1(20) = 20 \% 17 = 3$$

$$h_2(20) = (3 * 20 + 2) \% 17 = 11$$

$$h_3(20) = (5 * 20 + 1) \% 17 = 16$$

$A[3] = 1$ ,  $A[11] = 1$  and  $A[16] = 1$ , so 20 is in this filter.

## Reference

Assignment 2, VE281, FA2020, UMJI-SJTU.

Lab Assignment 7, EECS281, FA2020, University of Michigan.