
A **reduction** R from L_1 to L_2 is one or more Turing machines such that:

If there exists a Turing machine **Oracle** that decides (or semidecides) L_2 , then the Turing machines in R can be composed with **Oracle** to build a deciding (or a semideciding) Turing machine for L_1 .

$L_1 \leq L_2$ means that L_1 is **reducible** to L_2 .

Assume:

$$(L_1 \leq L_2) \wedge (L_2 \text{ is in D}) \rightarrow (L_1 \text{ is in D})$$

If $(L_1 \text{ is in D})$ is false, then at least one of the two antecedents of that implication must be false. So:

If $(L_1 \leq L_2)$ is true,
then $(L_2 \text{ is in D})$ must be false.

0. Assume *Oracle* that decides L_2 exists
1. Choose a language L_1 :
 - that is already known not to be in D, and
 - that can be reduced to L_2 .
2. Define the reduction R .
3. Describe the composition C of R with *Oracle*:

$$C(x) = \text{Oracle}(R(x))$$
4. Show that C does correctly decide L_1 if *Oracle* exists. We do this by showing:
 - R can be implemented by Turing machines,
 - C is correct:
 - If $x \in L_1$, then $C(x)$ accepts, and
 - If $x \notin L_1$, then $C(x)$ rejects.

L_1 is **mapping reducible** to L_2 ($L_1 \leq_M L_2$) iff there exists some **computable function f** such that:

$$\forall x \in \Sigma^* (x \in L_1 \leftrightarrow f(x) \in L_2).$$

To decide whether x is in L_1 , we transform it, using f , into a new object and ask whether that object is in L_2 .

Note: mapping reduction is a particular case of Turing reduction.

The Steps in a Reduction Proof

1. Assume *Oracle* exists.
2. Choose an undecidable language to reduce from.
3. Define the reduction R .
4. Show that C (the composition of R with *Oracle*) is correct.