

Hw3 due tonight 11:55

01-1 Today 3-4pm MC130

7-8 pm Zoom.

Read 3.1 3.2.

Puzzle:

$$72 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 3$$

$2+6+6=14$ \leftarrow these two have same sum, so the woman
 $\sqrt{3+3+\underline{8}=14}$ could not get the answer
 \uparrow oldest child.

§ 3.1

Proofs
involving
conditional

Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Proof: if $n > 1$, $n^2 > n$.

Rough work:

Given

$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Goal

$$(n > 1) \rightarrow (n^2 > n)$$

Technique: To prove $P \rightarrow Q$ Assume P , and prove Q .

$$n \in \mathbb{N} \quad n > 1$$

$$n^2 > n$$

Idea: Since $n > 0$, multiply both sides by n .

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose $n > 1$. Then, since n is a positive, we can multiply both sides of the inequality by n to get $n^2 > n$. Therefore, $n > 1$ implies $n^2 > n$. \square

Strategy: To prove $P \rightarrow Q$:

1. Add P to the given

2. Change goal to Q .

Suppose P

[Proving of Q]

Therefore P implies Q .

Counterexamples:

Wrong: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $n > 0$, then $n^2 > n$

Give a specific counterexample.

the hypothesis is true

the conclusion is not hold

when $n=1$, $n > 0$ is true, $n^2=1$ and it is not greater than 1, so it is false

Technique 2: To prove $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$,

1. Assume $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$.

2. Add $7Q$ to the given

3. Switch the goal to 2P.

Suppose $\neg Q$

[proof]

Therefore P implies Q .

Ex: let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, prove that if $\sqrt{x+2} = x+2$, then $x \geq 3$.

Rough work

Given

 $x \in \mathbb{R}$

XGR

$x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 3$ \downarrow

$$\sqrt{x^2+7} = 4, \quad x+2=5.$$

Goal

$$\sqrt{x^2+7} = x+2 \rightarrow x \notin \mathbb{R}$$
$$x = 3 \rightarrow \sqrt{x^2 + 7} \neq x + 2$$
$$\sqrt{x^2+7} \neq x+2$$

Proof: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $x = 3$, then $\sqrt{x^2 + 7} = \sqrt{3^2 + 7} = 4$ and

$$x+2=5\pm 4 \text{ so } \sqrt{x^2+7} \neq x+2.$$

Therefore $\sqrt{x^2+7} = x+2$ implies $x \neq 3$ \square

§ 3.2.

Proofs

Strategy 1: To prove a goal in form of $\neg p$, reexpress it

in another way.

Ex 3.2.1: Suppose $A \cap C \subseteq B$ and $a \notin C$. Prove that $a \in A \cap B$.

Given

$$A \cap C \subseteq B, a \notin C.$$

Coal

$$a \notin A \setminus B$$
$$a \notin A \cup B : \neg \neg (a \in A \cup B)$$
$$:77 \neg (a \in A \wedge a \notin B)$$

177 $a \notin A \vee a \in B$

177 $a \in A \rightarrow a \in B$

$$A \cap C \subseteq B, a \notin C$$
$$A \cap C \subseteq B, a \in A, a \notin C$$
$$\alpha \vdash A \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{B}$$

AEB

Proof: Suppose $a \in A$. Then, since $a \notin C$, but $a \in A \cap C$.
Since $A \cap C \subseteq B$, we get $a \in B$. It can't be the case
that $a \in A$ and $a \notin B$. Therefore, $a \notin A \setminus B$. \square

Strategy 2: To prove the goal at $\neg P$:

1. Add P to the given
2. Change goal to "contradiction"

Suppose P is true

[proof]

Thus, P is false.

$\exists x$: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $y + x = 2y - x$ and y and x are
not both 0. Prove $y \neq 0$

Given

Goal

$x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y + x = 2y - x$

$y \neq 0$. contradiction

y and x are not both 0

$y = 0$

$$0 + x = 0 - x$$

$$x = 0$$

however, $\neg (x = 0 \wedge y = 0)$