

## Tutorial #8

**Problem 1 (Summation)** Use mathematical induction to show that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2n} (2j+1) = (2n+1)^2,$$

for all positive integers  $n$ . Provide detailed justifications for your answer.

**Solution 1** We shall prove for an arbitrary positive integer  $n$  the property  $P(n)$  below holds:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2n} (2j+1) = (2n+1)^2.$$

**Basis step:** For  $n = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^2 (2j+1) = 1 + 3 + 5 = 9 = (2+1)^2.$$

Hence the property  $P(n)$  holds for  $n = 1$ .

**Recursive step:** Let us prove that for all  $k \geq 1$  if  $P(k)$  holds then so does  $P(k+1)$ . So let  $k \geq 1$ , let assume that  $P(k)$  holds, that is,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k} (2j+1) = (2k+1)^2,$$

and let us prove that  $P(k+1)$  holds as well, that is:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} (2j+1) = (2k+3)^2,$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{2(k+1)} (2j+1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2k} (2j+1) + 2(2k+1) + 1 + 2(2k+2) + 1 \\ &= (2k+1)^2 + 8k + 8. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(2k+3)^2 = (2k+1)^2 + 8k + 8$ , we deduce that  $P(k+1)$  holds indeed.

Therefore, we have proved by induction that for all positive integer  $n$ , the property  $P(n)$  holds.

**Problem 2 (Summation)** Show by induction that for all  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} (i+1) = \frac{n(n+3)}{2} \quad (1)$$

**Solution 2** [http://www.csd.uwo.ca/~moreno/cs2214\\_moreno/tut/Problem\\_1.PDF](http://www.csd.uwo.ca/~moreno/cs2214_moreno/tut/Problem_1.PDF)

**Problem 3 (Inequality)** Prove by induction that for all  $n \geq 3$  we have

$$4^{n-1} > n^2 \quad (2)$$

**Solution 3** <https://www.iitutor.com/mathematical-induction-inequality/>

Step 1: Show it is true for  $n = 3$ .

$$\text{LHS} = 4^{3-1} = 16$$

$$\text{RHS} = 3^2 = 9$$

LHS > RHS

Therefore it is true for  $n = 3$ .

Step 2: Assume that it is true for  $n = k$ .

That is,  $4^{k-1} > k^2$ .

Step 3: Show it is true for  $n = k + 1$ .

That is,  $4^k > (k+1)^2$ .

$$\text{LHS} = 4^k$$

$$= 4^{k-1+1}$$

$$= 4^{k-1} \times 4$$

$$> k^2 \times 4$$

$$= k^2 + 2k^2 + k^2$$

$$> k^2 + 2k + 1$$

$$= (k+1)^2$$

$$= \text{RHS}$$

LHS > RHS

Therefore it is true for  $n = k + 1$  assuming that it is true for  $n = k$ .

Therefore  $4^{n-1} > n^2$  is true for  $n \geq 3$ .

**Problem 4 (Inequality)** Prove by induction that for all  $n \geq 3$  we have

$$n^2 \geq 2n + 3 \quad (3)$$

**Solution 4** <https://www.csm.ornl.gov/~sheldon/ds/ans2.3.2.html>

**Problem 5 (Divisibility)** Prove by induction that for all  $n \geq 1$  the integer  $6^n - 1$  is divisible by 5.

**Solution 5** <http://home.cc.umanitoba.ca/~thomas/Courses/InductionExamples-Solutions.pdf>

**Problem 6 (Incorrect proof)** Here is an incorrect proof of the statement:

All people have the same eye color.

Proof by induction: we prove the statement "All members of any non-empty set of people have the same eye color".

1. This is clearly true for any singleton set, that is, any set with a single element.
2. Now, assume we have a non-empty set  $S$  of people, and the inductive hypothesis is true for all smaller sets. Choose an ordering on the set, and let  $S_1$  be the set formed by removing the first person, and  $S_2$  be the set formed by removing the last person. All members of  $S_1$  have the same eye color, and also for  $S_2$ . However,  $S_1 \cap S_2$  has members from both sets, so all members of  $S$  have the same eye color.

Explain what is incorrect in the above reasoning.

**Solution 6** Let  $P(n)$  be the property that any  $n$  persons have the same eye color, where  $n$  is a positive integer. While  $P(1)$  is true, the above reasoning breaks for  $P(2)$ . Indeed, when applied to  $n = 2$ , this reasoning considers two sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , each of which consisting of a single person so that  $S_1 \cap S_2$  is empty.

**Problem 7 (Counting tree leaves)** The set of leaves and the set of internal vertices of a full binary tree are defined recursively as follows:

**Basis step:** The root  $r$  is a leaf of the full binary tree with exactly one vertex  $r$ . This tree has no internal vertices.

**Recursive step:** The set of leaves of the tree  $T = T_1 \cdot T_2$  is the union of the sets of leaves of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . The internal vertices of  $T$  are the root  $r$  of  $T$  and the union of the set of internal vertices of  $T_1$  and the set of internal vertices of  $T_2$ .

Use structural induction to prove that  $\ell(T)$ , the number of leaves of a full binary tree  $T$ , is 1 more than  $i(T)$ , the number of internal vertices of  $T$ .

**Solution 7** We shall prove that, for an arbitrary full binary tree  $T$ , its number of leaves  $\ell(T)$  satisfies the property  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  below:

$$\ell(T) = i(T) + 1.$$

**Basis step:** The root  $r$  is a leaf and has no internal vertices, that is,  $\ell(T) = 1$  and  $i(T) = 0$ , hence it satisfies  $\ell(T) = i(T) + 1$ .

**Recursive step:** Let  $T = T_1 \cdot T_2$  be a full binary tree built from two full binary trees  $T_1, T_2$ . We shall prove that, if  $\mathcal{P}(T_1)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(T_2)$  both hold, then so does  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ . So, let us assume that  $\mathcal{P}(T_1)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(T_2)$  both hold. By definition of  $\ell(T)$ , we have:

$$\ell(T) = \ell(T_1) + \ell(T_2).$$

By induction hypothesis, we have:

$$\ell(T_1) = i(T_1) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(T_2) = i(T_2) + 1$$

By definition of  $i(T)$ , we have:

$$i(T) = i(T_1) + i(T_2) + 1$$

Putting everything together:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(T) &= \ell(T_1) + \ell(T_2) \\ &= i(T_1) + 1 + i(T_2) + 1 \\ &= i(T) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proved that  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  holds.

Therefore, we have proved by induction that for all binary trees we have the number of leaves is 1 more than the number of internal vertices.

**Problem 8** Consider the set  $S$  of strings over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$  defined inductively as follows:

- Base case: the empty word  $\lambda$  and the word  $a$  belong to  $S$
  - Inductive rule: if  $\omega$  is a string of  $S$  then both  $\omega b$  and  $\omega b a$  belong to  $S$  as well.
1. Prove that if a string  $\omega$  belongs to  $S$ , then  $\omega$  does not have two or more consecutive  $a$ 's.
  2. Prove that for any  $n \geq 0$ , if  $\omega$  is a string of length  $n$  over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$  that does not have two or more consecutive  $a$ 's, then  $\omega$  is a string of  $S$ .

**Solution 8**

1. Let  $\omega$  be any word over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$ . Denote by  $P(\omega)$  the property that  $\omega$  does not have two or more consecutive  $a$ 's. Consider first a word  $\omega$  in the base case. Thus,  $\omega$  is either  $\lambda$  or  $a$ . Hence, the property  $P(\omega)$  clearly holds for  $\omega$ . Consider now a word  $\omega$  obtained by applying the inductive rule. Hence  $\omega$  is either of the  $\omega' b$  or  $\omega' b a$ . We want to prove that if  $P(\omega')$  holds then so does  $P(\omega)$ . Clearly, if  $\omega$  would have two or more consecutive  $a$ 's the same would need to hold for  $\omega'$ , which would be a contradiction. Hence  $P(\omega)$  holds.
2. Let  $n \geq 0$ . Denote by  $Q(n)$  the property that any word over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$  with length  $n$  not having two or more consecutive  $a$ 's belongs to  $S$ . Consider first  $n = 0$ . The only word of length zero is the empty word  $\lambda$  which (1) does not have two or more consecutive  $a$ 's, and (2) belongs to  $S$ . Hence  $Q(0)$  holds. Let  $k \geq 0$ . Assume that  $Q(0), \dots, Q(k)$  holds and let us prove that  $Q(k+1)$  holds as well. Hence, we consider any word  $\omega$  with length  $k+1$  and which does not have two or more consecutive  $a$ 's. Either  $\omega$  has the form  $\omega' b$  or the form  $\omega'' b a$  where  $\omega'$  has length  $k$  and  $\omega''$  has length  $k-1$ . Neither  $\omega'$  nor  $\omega''$  can have two or more consecutive  $a$ 's. Hence by inductive hypothesis, they belong to  $S$ . Thus, by the inductive rule defining  $S$ , it follows that  $\omega' b$  or the form  $\omega'' b a$  belong to  $S$  as well. Therefore, we have proved that  $Q(k+1)$  holds as well.

**Problem 9 (Exponential growth of the Fibonacci numbers)** Recall that  $F_0 = 1$ ,  $F_1 = 1$  and that for all  $n \geq 2$  we have  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ . Prove that  $F_n > (\frac{2}{3})^{n-2}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Solution 9** Last two slides.