Q1. Assume that n is even, then there must exist an integer k
that n=2k for every n. So n2+4n+3=(2k)2+4×(2k)+3=4k2+8k+3,
which could be rewritten as 2(2k2+4k+1)+1. Since k is an
integer, 2k²+4k+1 is an integer and 2(2k²+4k+1) is an even
number, so 2(2k²+4k+1)+1 is odd. So n is even implies that
n^2+4n+3 is odd. \square
Proof by contrapositive: Assume that n is not even,
then there must be an integer k such that n=2kt1.
So $w_{44h+3} = (2k+1)^{2} + 4(2k+1) + 3 = 4k^{2} + 12k+8 = 2(2k^{2} + 6k+4)$.
Since k is an integer, 2(2k²+6k+4) must be a even
number. So n2+4n+3 is odd implies that n is even.
Q2. Assume that mis odd and nis even, then there
must exist integer &, & such that 2k,+1=m, 2k=n.
So m(n+3)=(2k,+1)(2k2+3)=4k,k2+6k,+2k2+3=2(2k,k2+3k,+k2+1)+1,
Since ki, ke are integers, 2(2k, be +3k, +ke+1) is even and
2 (2k,k2+3k,+k2+1)+1 is odd. So m(n+3) is even implies
Coap in is even or n is odd [] & this symbol is used only after
Coap in is even or n is odd [] & this symbol is used only after Finishing the whole prove, not mo purey Assume m is even or n is odd, the one side.
or identifiers inch as lase li Assume that m is even. Then there must exist
'-" or " an integer k that m=2k. So m(n+3)=2kints)
Since & is an integer. So 2k(n+3) is even.
Case 2: Assume that n is odd. Then there must exist
an integer & such that n=2k+1. So monts)=m(2k+1+3),
which , sold be rewritten as 2m(k+2). Since k.

is an integer, 2m(k+2) is even. These cases are exhaustive, so in either case we have prove that mis even or nis odd implies ments) is even [] Q3 Assume an arbitrary of that ACCDUE) (F. SO XECDUE). and x & F. Since x G(DUE) 1 x & F, (x GD V x 62) 1 x & F, which would be rewritten as (xGDAx&E)V(xGbAx&E) which is equal to TG(DIF) V TECEVF), so we can get 76 (D)F)U(E)F). Since 70 is anotherary, WUE)(FEW)ULEVE)]. Assume an arbitrary x that x6(D)F)U(E)F). Case 1: Assume that XELDIF). Since XELDIF), XED and x & F. Since x ED, x E (DUE), and because also x & F, we have x6(DUE) 1x & F, SO XG(DUE) LF, Since x is orbitrary, (DIF) = (DUE) IF. Case 2: Assume that 76 (EIF). Since 76 (EIF), XEE and x & F, Since XGE, XG LD UB). and because also x & F, we can have xELDUE) 1x & F, so xELDUE) IF. Since x is arbitrary, (ELF) & WUZ) LE These cases are exhaustive, so in lither cases we have prove that GGLDIF) UCELF) CUDUB) IF]

Q4. Assume we have an arbitrary & such that
-xE(A,UB,) M(A,UB2) M(A2UB,) M(A2UB2). Since
~ (HAUB,) and x6 (A, UB,). x6A, UB, AB,). Similarly
Since x6 (AzUB,) and x6 (AzUBz), x6 AzUCB, ABz).
Since xE CB, ABZ) UA, and 76 (B, ABZ) AAz, we can
have $\chi \in (A, \Lambda A_2) \cup (B, \Lambda B_2)$. Since χ is arbitrary,
(A, UB,) n (A2UB,) n (A, UB2) n (A2UB2) & (A, NA2) UCB, NB2)]