Why Study the Theory of Computation?

Implementations come and go.

Chapter 1

IBM 7090 Programming in the 1950's

```
ENTRY       SXA     4,RETURN
            LDQ     X
            FMP     A
            FAD     B
            XCA
            FMP     X
            FAD     C
            STO     RESULT
RETURN      TRA     0
A           BSS     1
B           BSS     1
C           BSS     1
X           BSS     1
TEMP        BSS     1
STORE       BSS     1
            END
```

Programming in the 1970's (IBM 360)

```
//MYJOB     JOB (COMPRESS),
            'VOLKER BANDKE',CLASS=P,COND=(0,NE)
//BACKUP  EXEC PGM=IEBCOPY
//SYSPRINT DD   SYSOUT=*
//SYSUT1   DD   DISP=SHR,DSN=MY.IMPORTNT.PDS
//SYSUT2   DD   DISP=(,CATLG),
            DSN=MY.IMPORTNT.PDS.BACKUP,
//          UNIT=3350,VOL=SER=DISK01,
//          DCB=MY.IMPORTNT.PDS,
            SPACE=(CYL,(10,10,20))
//COMPRESS EXEC PGM=IEBCOPY
//SYSPRINT DD   SYSOUT=*
//MYPDS    DD   DISP=OLD,DSN=*.BACKUP.SYSUT1
//SYSIN    DD   *
COPY INDD=MYPDS,OUTDD=MYPDS
//DELETE2 EXEC PGM=IEFBR14
//BACKPDS  DD   DISP=(OLD,DELETE,DELETE),
            DSN=MY.IMPORTNT.PDS.BACKUP
```

Guruhood

$$(\lceil/V) > (+/V) - \lceil/V$$

Applications of the Theory

- FSMs for parity checkers, vending machines, communication protocols, and building security devices.
- Interactive games as nondeterministic FSMs.
- Programming languages, compilers, and context-free grammars.
- Natural languages are mostly context-free. Speech understanding systems use probabilistic FSMs.
- Computational biology: DNA and proteins are strings.
- The undecidability of a simple security model.
- Artificial intelligence: the undecidability of first-order logic.

Limitations of Mathematics

This sentence is false.

Limitations of Computing

Is my program correct?

Languages and Strings

Chapter 2

Let's Look at Some Problems

```
int alpha, beta;
alpha = 3;
beta = (2 + 5) / 10;
```

(1) **Lexical analysis**: Scan the program and break it up into variable names, numbers, etc.
(2) **Parsing**: Create a tree that corresponds to the sequence of operations that should be executed, e.g.,

```
          /
        /   \
       +      10
      / \
     2   5
```

(3) **Optimization**: Realize that we can skip the first assignment since the value is never used and that we can precompute the arithmetic expression, since it contains only constants.
(4) **Termination**: Decide whether the program is guaranteed to halt.
(5) **Interpretation**: Figure out what (if anything) useful it does.

A Framework for Analyzing Problems

We need a single framework in which we can analyze a very diverse set of problems.

The framework we will use is

Language Recognition

A ***language*** is a (possibly infinite) set of finite length strings over a finite alphabet.

Strings

A ***string*** is a finite sequence, possibly empty, of symbols drawn from some alphabet Σ.

- ε is the empty string.
- Σ^* is the set of all possible strings over an alphabet Σ.

Alphabet name	Alphabet symbols	Example strings
The English alphabet	{a, b, c, …, z}	ε, aabbcg, aaaaa
The binary alphabet	{0, 1}	ε, 0, 001100
A star alphabet	{★, ✪, ☆, ✯, ✩, ✰}	ε, ✪✪, ✪★✮☆★✰
A music alphabet	{♩, ♪, ♫, ♬, ♭, ♮, #, |}	ε, ♪, ♪|♫♬♩

Functions on Strings

Length: $|s|$ is the number of symbols (characters, letters) in s.

$$|\varepsilon| = 0$$
$$|1001101| = 7$$

$\#_c(s)$ is the number of times that c occurs in s.

$$\#_a(\text{abbaaa}) = 4.$$

More Functions on Strings

Concatenation: st is the **concatenation** of *s* and *t*.

      If *x* = `good` and *y* = `bye`, then *xy* = `goodbye`.

Note that $|xy| = |x| + |y|$.

ε is the identity for concatenation of strings. So:

      $\forall x\ (x\ \varepsilon = \varepsilon\ x = x)$.

Concatenation is associative. So:

      $\forall s,\ t,\ w\ ((st)w = s(tw))$.

More Functions on Strings

Repetition (or power): For each string *w* and each natural number *i*, the string w^i is:

      $w^0 = \varepsilon$
      $w^{i+1} = w^i\ w$

Examples:

      a^3 = `aaa`
      $(\texttt{bye})^2$ = `byebye`
      $a^0 b^3$ = `bbb`

More Functions on Strings

Reverse: For each string *w*, w^R is defined as:

      if $|w| = 0$ then $w^R = w = \varepsilon$

      if $|w| \geq 1$ then:
            $\exists a \in \Sigma\ (\exists u \in \Sigma^*\ (w = ua))$.
            So define $w^R = a\ u^R$.

Concatenation and Reverse of Strings

Theorem: If *w* and *x* are strings, then $(w\ x)^R = x^R\ w^R$.

Example:

$(\texttt{nametag})^R = (\texttt{tag})^R\ (\texttt{name})^R = \texttt{gateman}$

Concatenation and Reverse of Strings

Proof: By induction on $|x|$:

$|x| = 0$: Then $x = \varepsilon$, and $(wx)^R = (w\,\varepsilon)^R = (w)^R = \varepsilon\,w^R = \varepsilon^R\,w^R = x^R\,w^R$.

$\forall n \geq 0\ (((|x| = n) \rightarrow ((w\,x)^R = x^R\,w^R))\ \rightarrow$
$\quad\quad ((|x| = n + 1) \rightarrow ((w\,x)^R = x^R\,w^R)))$:

Consider any string x, where $|x| = n + 1$. Then $x = u\,a$ for some character a and $|u| = n$. So:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(w\,x)^R &= (w\,(u\,a))^R & &\text{rewrite } x \text{ as } ua \\
&= ((w\,u)\,a)^R & &\text{associativity of concatenation} \\
&= a\,(w\,u)^R & &\text{definition of reversal} \\
&= a\,(u^R\,w^R) & &\text{induction hypothesis} \\
&= (a\,u^R)\,w^R & &\text{associativity of concatenation} \\
&= (ua)^R\,w^R & &\text{definition of reversal} \\
&= x^R\,w^R & &\text{rewrite } ua \text{ as } x
\end{aligned}
$$

Relations on Strings

`aaa`	is a ***substring*** of	`aaabbbaaa`
`aaaaaa`	is not a substring of	`aaabbbaaa`
`aaa`	is a ***proper substring*** of	`aaabbbaaa`

Every string is a substring of itself.

ε is a substring of every string.

The Prefix Relations

s is a ***prefix*** of t iff: $\exists x \in \Sigma^* \ (t = sx)$.

s is a ***proper prefix*** of t iff: s is a prefix of t and $s \neq t$.

Examples:

The ***prefixes*** of `abba` are: ε, a, ab, abb, abba.
The ***proper prefixes*** of `abba` are: ε, a, ab, abb.

Every string is a prefix of itself.

ε is a prefix of every string.

The Suffix Relations

s is a ***suffix*** of t iff: $\exists x \in \Sigma^* \ (t = xs)$.

s is a ***proper suffix*** of t iff: s is a suffix of t and $s \neq t$.

Examples:

The ***suffixes*** of `abba` are: ε, a, ba, bba, abba.
The ***proper suffixes*** of `abba` are: ε, a, ba, bba.

Every string is a suffix of itself.

ε is a suffix of every string.

Defining a Language

A **_language_** is a (finite or infinite) set of strings over a finite alphabet Σ.

Examples: Let Σ = {a, b}

  Some languages over Σ:
    $\varnothing$,
    {ε},
    {a, b},
    {ε, a, aa, aaa, aaaa, aaaaa}

The language Σ* contains an infinite number of strings, including: ε, a, b, ab, ababaa.

Example Language Definitions

L = {$x \in$ {a, b}* : all a's precede all b's}

ε, a, aa, aabbb, and bb are in L.

aba, ba, and abc are not in L.

What about: ε, a, aa, and bb?

Example Language Definitions

L = {x : $\exists y \in$ {a, b}* : $x = y$a}

Simple English description:

The Perils of Using English

L = {x#y: $x, y \in$ {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9}* and, when x and y are viewed as the decimal representations of natural numbers, $square(x) = y$}.

Examples:

  3#9, 12#144

  3#8, 12, 12#12#12

  #

More Example Language Definitions

$L = \{\} = \varnothing$

$L = \{\varepsilon\}$

English

$L = \{w: w$ is a sentence in English$\}$.

Examples:

    Kerry hit the ball.

    Colorless green ideas sleep furiously.

    The window needs fixed.

    Ball the Stacy hit blue.

A Halting Problem Language

$L = \{w: w$ is a C program that halts on all inputs$\}$.

- Well specified.
- Can we decide what strings it contains?

Prefixes

What are the following languages:

$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^*:$ no prefix of w contains $b\}$

$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^*:$ no prefix of w starts with $a\}$

$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^*:$ every prefix of w starts with $a\}$

Using Repetition in a Language Definition

$L = \{a^n : n \geq 0\}$

Languages Are Sets

Computational definition:

- Generator (enumerator)

- Recognizer

Enumeration

Enumeration:

- Arbitrary order

- More useful: *lexicographic order*
    - Shortest first
    - Within a length, dictionary order

The lexicographic enumeration of:

- $\{w \in \{a, b\}^* : |w| \text{ is even}\}$:

How Large is a Language?

The smallest language over any Σ is $\varnothing$, with cardinality 0.

The largest is Σ^*. How big is it?

How Large is a Language?

Theorem: If $\Sigma \neq \varnothing$ then Σ^* is countably infinite.

Proof: The elements of Σ^* can be lexicographically enumerated by the following procedure:
- Enumerate all strings of length 0, then length 1, then length 2, and so forth.
- Within the strings of a given length, enumerate them in dictionary order.

This enumeration is infinite since there is no longest string in Σ^*. Since there exists an infinite enumeration of Σ^*, it is countably infinite.

How Large is a Language?

So the smallest language has cardinality 0.

The largest is countably infinite.

So every language is either finite or countably infinite.

How Many Languages Are There?

Theorem: If $\Sigma \neq \varnothing$ then the set of languages over Σ is uncountably infinite.

Proof: The set of languages defined on Σ is $P(\Sigma^*)$. Σ^* is countably infinite. If S is a countably infinite set, $P(S)$ is uncountably infinite. So $P(\Sigma^*)$ is uncountably infinite.

Diagonalization

- Integers – countable
- Rational numbers – countable
- Irrational numbers – uncountable
  - Proof idea:
    - Assume they are countable: $n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots$
    - Construct N as follows:
      - First decimal of N $\neq$ first decimal of n_1
      - Second decimal of N $\neq$ second decimal of n_2
      - and so on
    - N $\neq n_i$ for any $i \geq 1$

Functions on Languages

- Set operations
    - Union
    - Intersection
    - Complement

- Language operations
    - Concatenation
    - Kleene star

Concatenation of Languages

If L_1 and L_2 are languages over Σ:

$$L_1L_2 = \{w \in \Sigma^* : \exists s \in L_1 \ (\exists t \in L_2 \ (w = st))\}$$

Examples:

$L_1 = \{\texttt{cat}, \texttt{dog}\}$
$L_2 = \{\texttt{apple}, \texttt{pear}\}$
$L_1 L_2 = \{\texttt{catapple}, \texttt{catpear}, \texttt{dogapple},$
$\qquad \texttt{dogpear}\}$

$L_1 = \texttt{a}^*$ $\qquad\qquad L_2 = \texttt{b}^*$
$L_1 L_2 =$

Concatenation of Languages

$\{\varepsilon\}$ is the identity for concatenation:

$L\{\varepsilon\} = \{\varepsilon\}L = L$

$\varnothing$ is a zero for concatenation:

$L \varnothing = \varnothing L = \varnothing$

Concatenating Languages Defined Using Variables

The scope of any variable used in an expression that invokes replication will be taken to be the entire expression.

$L_1 = \{\texttt{a}^n : n \geq 0\}$
$L_2 = \{\texttt{b}^n : n \geq 0\}$

$L_1 L_2 = \{\texttt{a}^n\texttt{b}^m : n, m \geq 0\}$

$L_1 L_2 \neq \{\texttt{a}^n\texttt{b}^n : n \geq 0\}$

Kleene Star

$L^* = \{\varepsilon\} \cup$
$\qquad \{w \in \Sigma^* : \exists k \geq 1$
$\qquad\qquad (\exists w_1, w_2, \ldots w_k \in L \ (w = w_1 w_2 \ldots w_k))\}$

Example:
$\quad L = \{\texttt{dog}, \texttt{cat}, \texttt{fish}\}$
$\quad L^* = \{\varepsilon, \texttt{dog}, \texttt{cat}, \texttt{fish}, \texttt{dogdog},$
$\qquad\quad \texttt{dogcat}, \texttt{fishcatfish},$
$\qquad\quad \texttt{fishdogdogfishcat}, \ldots\}$

The $^+$ Operator

$L^+ = L\,L^*$

$L^+ = L^* - \{\varepsilon\} \quad$ iff $\quad \varepsilon \notin L$

L^+ is the closure of L under concatenation.

Concatenation and Reverse of Languages

Theorem: $(L_1 L_2)^R = L_2^R L_1^R$.

Proof:
$\forall x \ (\forall y \ ((xy)^R = y^R x^R))$
$(L_1 L_2)^R = \{(xy)^R : x \in L_1, y \in L_2\} \quad$ (Def. of concat. and reverse)
$\qquad\quad = \{y^R x^R : x \in L_1, y \in L_2\} \quad$ (Theorem 2.1)
$\qquad\quad = L_2^R L_1^R \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ (Def. of concat. and reverse)

What About Meaning?

$A^n B^n = \{\texttt{a}^n \texttt{b}^n : n \geq 0\}$.

Do these strings mean anything?

Syntax = form
Semantics = meaning

Semantic Interpretation Functions

For "natural" languages:
- English
- DNA

For formal languages:

- Programming languages

- Network protocol languages

- Database query languages

- HTML

- BNF

The Big Picture

Chapter 3

Decision Problems

A *decision problem* is simply a problem for which the answer is yes or no (True or False). A *decision procedure* answers a decision problem.

Examples:

- Given an integer *n*, does *n* have a pair of consecutive integers as factors?

- The *language recognition problem*: Given a language *L* and a string *w*, is *w* in *L*?

Our focus

The Power of Encoding

Everything is a string.

Problems that don't look like decision problems can be recast into new problems that do look like that.

The Power of Encoding

Pattern matching:

- **Problem**: Given a search string *w* and a web document *d*, do they match? In other words, should a search engine, on input *w*, consider returning *d*?

- The language to be decided: {<*w*, *d*> : *d* is a candidate match for the query *w*}

The Power of Encoding

Does a program always halt?

- **Problem**: Given a program *p*, written in some some standard programming language, is *p* guaranteed to halt on all inputs?

- The language to be decided:

$$HP_{ALL} = \{p : p \text{ halts on all inputs}\}$$

What If We're Not Working with Strings?

Anything can be encoded as a string.

<*X*> is the string encoding of *X*.
<*X*, *Y*> is the string encoding of the pair *X*, *Y*.

Primality Testing

- **Problem**: Given a nonnegative integer *n*, is it prime?

- An instance of the problem: Is 9 prime?

- To encode the problem we need a way to encode each instance: We encode each nonnegative integer as a binary string.

- The language to be decided:

  PRIMES = {*w* : *w* is the binary encoding of a prime number}.

The Power of Encoding

- **Problem**: Given an undirected graph G, is it connected?

- Instance of the problem:

$$1 \text{—} 2 \text{—} 3$$
$$4 \qquad 5$$

- Encoding of the problem: Let V be a set of binary numbers, one for each vertex in G. Then we construct $\langle G \rangle$ as follows:
  - Write $|V|$ as a binary number,
  - Write a list of edges,
  - Separate all such binary numbers by "/".

  101/1/10/10/11/1/100/10/101

- The language to be decided: CONNECTED = $\{w \in \{0, 1, /\}^* : w = n_1/n_2/\ldots n_i$, where each n_i is a binary string and w encodes a connected graph, as described above$\}$.

The Power of Encoding

- Protein sequence alignment:

- **Problem**: Given a protein fragment f and a complete protein molecule p, could f be a fragment from p?

- Encoding of the problem: Represent each protein molecule or fragment as a sequence of amino acid residues. Assign a letter to each of the 20 possible amino acids. So a protein fragment might be represented as `AGHTYWDNR`.

- The language to be decided: $\{<f, p> : f$ could be a fragment from $p\}$.

Turning Problems Into Decision Problems

Casting multiplication as decision:

- **Problem**: Given two nonnegative integers, compute their product.

- Encoding of the problem:
  - ***Transform computing into verification.***

- The language to be decided:

  $L = \{w$ of the form:
  $<integer_1>\text{x}<integer_2>=<integer_3>$, where:
  $<integer_n>$ is any well formed integer, and
  $integer_3 = integer_1 * integer_2\}$

```
12x9=108
12=12
12x8=108
```

Turning Problems Into Decision Problems

Casting sorting as decision:

- **Problem**: Given a list of integers, sort it.

- Encoding of the problem: Transform the sorting problem into one of examining a pair of lists.

- The language to be decided:

  $L = \{w_1 \# w_2 : \exists n \geq 1$
  (w_1 is of the form $<int_1, int_2, \ldots int_n>$,
  w_2 is of the form $<int_1, int_2, \ldots int_n>$, and
  w_2 contains the same objects as w_1 and
  w_2 is sorted)$\}$

Examples:
```
1,5,3,9,6#1,3,5,6,9 ∈ L
1,5,3,9,6#1,2,3,4,5,6,7 ∉ L
```

The Traditional Problems and their Language Formulations are Equivalent

By *equivalent* we mean that either problem can be *reduced to* the other.

If we have a machine to solve one, we can use it to build a machine to do the other using just the starting machine and other functions that can be built using a machine of equal or lesser power.

An Example

Consider the multiplication example:
$L = \{w$ of the form:
$<integer_1>\times<integer_2>=<integer_3>$, where:
$<integer_n>$ is any well formed integer, and
$integer_3 = integer_1 * integer_2\}$

Given a multiplication machine, we can build the language recognition machine:

Given the language recognition machine, we can build a multiplication machine:

Languages and Machines

Finite State Machines

An FSM to accept $a*b*$:

An FSM to accept $A^nB^n = \{a^nb^n : n \geq 0\}$

Pushdown Automata

A PDA to accept $A^n B^n = \{a^n b^n : n \geq 0\}$

Example: `aaabb`

Stack:

Another Example

Bal, the language of balanced parentheses

Trying Another PDA

A PDA to accept strings of the form:

$A^n B^n C^n = \{a^n b^n c^n : n \geq 0\}$

Turing Machines

A Turing Machine to accept $A^n B^n C^n$:

Turing Machines

A Turing machine to accept the language:

{p: p is a Java program that halts on input 0}

Languages and Machines

Rule of Least Power: "*Use the least powerful language suitable for the given problem.*"

Grammars, Languages, and Machines

Three Computational Issues

- Decision procedures

- Nondeterminism

- Functions on languages