

Yulun Feng ID: 251113989 western ID: yfeng 445.

1. i) the left hand side:

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r \equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee r \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \vee r.$$

the right hand side:

$$\begin{aligned}(p \wedge \neg r) \rightarrow \neg q &\equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg r) \vee \neg q \\ &\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee \neg q \\ &\equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \vee r \equiv \text{left hand side.}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ii) } (p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p) &\equiv (\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg q \vee p) \\ &\equiv \neg p \vee q \vee \neg q \vee p \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee (\neg q \vee q) \\ &\equiv T \vee T \equiv T.\end{aligned}$$

2. 1) Valid.

$$\begin{array}{cc} \neg p \equiv T & p \vee q \quad p \vee r \\ p \equiv F & \frac{\neg p}{q} \quad \frac{\neg p}{r}.\end{array}$$

Disjunctive Syllogism

$$\therefore q \wedge r \equiv T \wedge T \equiv T.$$

2) Invalid.

$$\neg q \equiv T. \quad p \equiv T. \quad p \rightarrow q \equiv T.$$

$$q \equiv F.$$

$$\neg p \vee q \equiv T. \quad (\text{conditional identity})$$

$$F \vee F \equiv T.$$

it is invalid.

3) Invalid.

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv T.$$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T

$\neg p \vee q \equiv T$.
(conditional identities).

the proposition
is invalid while
both p and q are
false.

T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

3 i) x, z are free variables

y is bound variable.

ii) There's a prime number P there exist no integer k
satisfy $p = 2k + 1$.

iii) Yes.

It is true because when $P = 2$, there's no
integer satisfy $p = 2k + 1$.

4. A: There are some trees always shed their leaves.

B: There are some trees always change color.

C: There are some trees shorter than 25m.

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
 \neg A & \neg A \equiv T \quad A \rightarrow B \equiv T. \\
 A \rightarrow B & A \equiv F \quad \neg A \vee B \equiv T. \text{ (conditional identity)} \\
 \hline
 \neg A \rightarrow C & T \vee B \equiv T. \\
 \hline
 \neg B \rightarrow C. & \neg A \rightarrow C \\
 & \hline
 \neg B \rightarrow C \equiv B \vee C & \neg A \\
 & \hline
 \equiv B \vee T & C. \text{ (disjunctive syllogism)} \\
 \equiv T. \text{ (Domination Laws).} &
 \end{array}$$

5.) direct proof:

$$n = \frac{x+y+z}{3}$$

assume that z is the least one of the number.

$$n - z = \frac{x+y+z}{3} - z = \frac{(x-z) + (y-z)}{3}$$

$$\because z < x, z < y \therefore x-z > 0, y-z > 0,$$

$$\therefore \frac{(x-z) + (y-z)}{3} > 0 \quad \therefore n - z > 0$$

$$n > z$$

i) proof by contradiction.

assume $a+b\sqrt{2}$ is rational.

given that a is rational number, $b\sqrt{2}$ should also be a rational number.

however, b is a rational number $\sqrt{2}$ is an irrational number. so $b\sqrt{2}$ can't be a rational number.

$\therefore a+b\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

6. the contraposition:

x is odd if x^2+3x+5 is odd.

if x is odd, x^2 is odd,

$3x$ is odd.

5 is an odd number.

x^2+3x+5 is an odd number.