# ECON3102-005
# Chapter 4: Consumer and Firm Behavior

Neha Bairoliya

Spring 2014

# Representative Consumer

- The representative consumer values two goods: leisure $l$ and the consumption good $c$.

# Representative Consumer

- The representative consumer values two goods: leisure $l$ and the consumption good $c$.
- **Consumer's preferences** Consumers preferences over consumption and leisure as represented by indifference curves. The preferences can be captured by the utility function $U(c, l)$.

# Representative Consumer

- The representative consumer values two goods: leisure $l$ and the consumption good $c$.
- **Consumer's preferences** Consumers preferences over consumption and leisure as represented by indifference curves. The preferences can be captured by the utility function $U(c, l)$.
- A particular combination $(c, l)$ of $c$ and $l$ is called a consumption bundle.

# The Representative Consumer's Preferences

- If $U(c_1, l_1) > U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer strictly prefers bundle $(c_1, l_1)$ to bundle $(c_2, l_2)$.

# The Representative Consumer's Preferences

- If $U(c_1, l_1) > U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer strictly prefers bundle $(c_1, l_1)$ to bundle $(c_2, l_2)$.
- If $U(c_1, l_1) < U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer strictly prefers bundle $(c_2, l_2)$ to bundle $(c_1, l_1)$.

# The Representative Consumer's Preferences

- If $U(c_1, l_1) > U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer strictly prefers bundle $(c_1, l_1)$ to bundle $(c_2, l_2)$.
- If $U(c_1, l_1) < U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer strictly prefers bundle $(c_2, l_2)$ to bundle $(c_1, l_1)$.
- If $U(c_1, l_1) = U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer is indifferent between the two bundles.

# The Representative Consumer's Preferences

- If $U(c_1, l_1) > U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer strictly prefers bundle $(c_1, l_1)$ to bundle $(c_2, l_2)$.
- If $U(c_1, l_1) < U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer strictly prefers bundle $(c_2, l_2)$ to bundle $(c_1, l_1)$.
- If $U(c_1, l_1) = U(c_2, l_2)$, then the consumer is indifferent between the two bundles.
- In fact, the actual level of utility is irrelevant. What matters is the order of preferences implied by the utility function.

# Assumptions on The Representative Consumer's Preferences

- More is always preferred to less: the consumer always likes more leisure, and more consumption.

# Assumptions on The Representative Consumer's Preferences

- More is always preferred to less: the consumer always likes more leisure, and more consumption.
- The consumer likes diversity, i.e. he prefers mixtures to extremes: He would rather have some consumption and some leisure rather than a lot of leisure and no consumption!

# Assumptions on The Representative Consumer's Preferences

- More is always preferred to less: the consumer always likes more leisure, and more consumption.
- The consumer likes diversity, i.e. he prefers mixtures to extremes: He would rather have some consumption and some leisure rather than a lot of leisure and no consumption!
- Consumption and leisure are normal goods to the consumer (as opposed to inferior goods!): he consumes more of each as his income goes up.

# Indifference Curves (IC curves)

**Definition**
An indifference curve connects a set of points that represent bundles among which the consumer is indifferent.

- IC curves are downward sloping (more is preferred to less).

# Indifference Curves (IC curves)

**Definition**
An indifference curve connects a set of points that represent bundles among which the consumer is indifferent.

- IC curves are downward sloping (more is preferred to less).
- convex or bowed-in toward the origin (consumer's preferences for diversity).

# Indifference Curves



# Indifference Curves

**Definition**

The marginal rate of substitution of leisure for consumption, denoted $MRS_{lc}$ , is the rate at which the consumer is just willing to substitute leisure for consumption goods.



# Indifference Curves

**Definition**

The marginal rate of substitution of leisure for consumption, denoted $MRS_{lc}$ , is the rate at which the consumer is just willing to substitute leisure for consumption goods.




- Between bundles A and B, the rate at which the consumer substitutes $c$ for $l$ is $\frac{c_1-c_2}{l_1-l_2}$ = - the slope of line AB.

# Indifference Curves

**Definition**

The marginal rate of substitution of leisure for consumption, denoted $MRS_{lc}$ , is the rate at which the consumer is just willing to substitute leisure for consumption goods.




- Between bundles A and B, the rate at which the consumer substitutes $c$ for $l$ is $\frac{c_1-c_2}{l_1-l_2}$ = - the slope of line AB.
- This is because if you take away $(c_1 - c_2)$ from him, he would ask to be given $(l_2 - l_1)$ in return, in order to remain indifferent between bundles A and B.

# Indifference Curves

**Definition**

The marginal rate of substitution of leisure for consumption, denoted $MRS_{lc}$ , is the rate at which the consumer is just willing to substitute leisure for consumption goods.




- Between bundles A and B, the rate at which the consumer substitutes $c$ for $l$ is $\frac{c_1 - c_2}{l_1 - l_2}$ = - the slope of line AB.
- This is because if you take away $(c_1 - c_2)$ from him, he would ask to be given $(l_2 - l_1)$ in return, in order to remain indifferent between bundles A and B.
- As bundle B gets arbitrarily close to bundle A, this rate of substitution becomes $\frac{\partial c}{\partial l}$ = - the slope of the line tangent to the IC at point A (the derivative of IC at A).

# Indifference Curves

$MRS_{l,c}$ = - the slope of the IC passing through bundle $(c, l)$:

# Consumer's Time Constraint

- Each period, the consumer has $h$ units of hours of time available, to allocate between $l$ units of leisure and $N^s$ units of work.

# Consumer's Time Constraint

- Each period, the consumer has $h$ units of hours of time available, to allocate between $l$ units of leisure and $N^s$ units of work.

- The time constraint is

$$l + N^s = h$$

# The Consumer's Real Disposable Income

- The numeraire throughout is the consumption good. That is, all prices will be in terms of units of consumption (i.e., in real terms).

# The Consumer's Real Disposable Income

- The numeraire throughout is the consumption good. That is, all prices will be in terms of units of consumption (i.e., in real terms).
- The consumer receives real wage $w$ per hour, so real wage income $wN^s$. She pays lump-sum taxes $T$ to the government.

# The Consumer's Real Disposable Income

- The numeraire throughout is the consumption good. That is, all prices will be in terms of units of consumption (i.e., in real terms).
- The consumer receives real wage $w$ per hour, so real wage income $wN^s$. She pays lump-sum taxes $T$ to the government.
- He receives $\pi$ units of current consumption as in the form of dividend income from the firm.

# The Consumer's Real Disposable Income

- The numeraire throughout is the consumption good. That is, all prices will be in terms of units of consumption (i.e., in real terms).
- The consumer receives real wage $w$ per hour, so real wage income $wN^s$. She pays lump-sum taxes $T$ to the government.
- He receives $\pi$ units of current consumption as in the form of dividend income from the firm.
- Hence, his disposable income is:

$$wN^s + \pi - T$$

# Consumer's Budget Constraint

- The consumer's budget constraint (BC) is:

$$c = wN^s + \pi - T$$

- w is interpreted as the opportunity cost of leisure.

# Consumer's Budget Constraint

- The consumer's budget constraint (BC) is:

$$c = wN^s + \pi - T$$

- substituting the time constraint gives:

$$c = w(h - l) + \pi - T$$

- w is interpreted as the opportunity cost of leisure.

# Consumer's Budget Constraint

- The consumer's budget constraint (BC) is:

$$c = wN^s + \pi - T$$

- substituting the time constraint gives:

$$c = w(h - l) + \pi - T$$

- or,

$$\underbrace{c + wl}_{\text{Implicit expenditure on goods}} = \underbrace{wh + \pi - T}_{\text{Implicit Real Disposable Income}}$$

- w is interpreted as the opportunity cost of leisure.

# Consumer's Budget Constraint

For convenience, we rewrite the BC as: $c = -wl + wh + \pi - T$




The Consumer's Budget Constraint if $T > \pi$




The Consumer's Budget Constraint if $T < \pi$

# Consumer's Budget Constraint

Just to show that either case is easy to analyze and that the implications do not change, we will assume in this chapter that $T < \pi$. That is, we will be working with the kinked budget constraint.

# Consumer Optimization

**Definition**

The **optimal consumption bundle (OCB)** is the point representing a consumption-leisure pair that is on the highest possible indifference cure and is on or inside the budget constraint.

# Consumer Optimization

**Definition**
The **optimal consumption bundle (OCB)** is the point representing a consumption-leisure pair that is on the highest possible indifference cure and is on or inside the budget constraint.

- We next show that the OCB is the point where the IC is tangent to the budget constraint.

# Consumer Optimization




- First the consumer will never chose a point inside the budget constraint, so we know the OCB is on line (AB).

# Consumer Optimization




- First the consumer will never chose a point inside the budget constraint, so we know the OCB is on line (AB).
- At point F, -slope of IC ($MRS_{l,c}$) > -slope of the budget constraint ($=w$) : $MRS_{l,c} > w$ ).

# Consumer Optimization




- First the consumer will never chose a point inside the budget constraint, so we know the OCB is on line (AB).
- At point F, -slope of IC ($MRS_{l,c}$) > -slope of the budget constraint ($=w$) : $MRS_{l,c} > w$ ).
- Hence, at point F, rate at which the consumer would trade leisure for consumption > the rate at which he can trade leisure for consumption.

# Consumer Optimization




- First the consumer will never chose a point inside the budget constraint, so we know the OCB is on line (AB).
- At point F, -slope of IC ($MRS_{l,c}$) > -slope of the budget constraint ($=w$) : $MRS_{l,c} > w$ ).
- Hence, at point F, rate at which the consumer would trade leisure for consumption > the rate at which he can trade leisure for consumption.
- the consumer would then be better off if he sacrifices consumption for more leisure.

# Consumer Optimization




- At point E, -slope of IC ($MRS_{l,c}$) < -slope of the budget constraint ($=w$) : $MRS_{l,c} < w$ ).

# Consumer Optimization




- At point E, -slope of IC ($MRS_{l,c}$) < -slope of the budget constraint ($=w$) : $MRS_{l,c} < w$ ).
- Hence, the consumer would then be better off sacrificing leisure for more consumption. So, E is not the OCB.

# Consumer Optimization




- At point E, -slope of IC ($MRS_{l,c}$) < -slope of the budget constraint ($=w$) : $MRS_{l,c} < w$ ).
- Hence, the consumer would then be better off sacrificing leisure for more consumption. So, E is not the OCB.
- Hence, the OCB is the point were: $MRS_{l,c} = w$: where the rate at which the consumer would trade consumption for leisure= price of leisure in units of consumption.

# An Increase in $\pi - T$ for the Consumer ($w$ is constant.)




- Real dividends or taxes change for the consumer:

# An Increase in $\pi - T$ for the Consumer ($w$ is constant.)




- Real dividends or taxes change for the consumer:
- Note the $c$ and $l$ are normal goods.

# An Increase in $\pi - T$ for the Consumer ($w$ is constant.)




- Real dividends or taxes change for the consumer:
- Note the $c$ and $l$ are normal goods.
- An increase in dividends or a decrease in taxes will then cause the consumer to increase consumption and reduce the quantity of labor supplied (increase leisure).

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.
- Substitution effect: the price of leisure rises, so the consumer substitutes from leisure to consumption.

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.
- Substitution effect: the price of leisure rises, so the consumer substitutes from leisure to consumption.
- Income effect: the consumer is effectively more wealthy and, since both goods are normal, consumption increases and leisure increases.

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.
- Substitution effect: the price of leisure rises, so the consumer substitutes from leisure to consumption.
- Income effect: the consumer is effectively more wealthy and, since both goods are normal, consumption increases and leisure increases.
- Conclusion: Consumption must rise, but leisure may rise or fall.

# Breaking Down the Income and Substitution Effects

- Because of the increase in w, the budget constraint pivots around point B (from AB to EB).



# Breaking Down the Income and Substitution Effects




- Because of the increase in w, the budget constraint pivots around point B (from AB to EB).
- Given the new higher $w$, suppose we take away disposable income from him (example from $\pi$) until he is indifferent between his new OCB (point O) and his original OCB (point F).

# Breaking Down the Income and Substitution Effects




- Because of the increase in w, the budget constraint pivots around point B (from AB to EB).
- Given the new higher $w$, suppose we take away disposable income from him (example from $\pi$) until he is indifferent between his new OCB (point O) and his original OCB (point F).
- Concretely, we force the consumer to face fictive budget constraint (JKD). The movement from F to O is the **substitution effect**:

# Breaking Down the Income and Substitution Effects




- Because of the increase in w, the budget constraint pivots around point B (from AB to EB).
- Given the new higher $w$, suppose we take away disposable income from him (example from $\pi$) until he is indifferent between his new OCB (point O) and his original OCB (point F).
- Concretely, we force the consumer to face fictive budget constraint (JKD). The movement from F to O is the **substitution effect**:
- As $w$ increases, leisure becomes relatively more expensive and the consumer substitutes away from it.

# Breaking Down the Income and Substitution Effects




- The movement from O to H is a pure **income effect**.

# Breaking Down the Income and Substitution Effects




- The movement from O to H is a pure **income effect**.
- For the income effect, suppose $w$ stays the same, but non-wage income increases so that the budget constraint shifts in a parallel way from (JKD) to EBD.

# Breaking Down the Income and Substitution Effects




- The movement from O to H is a pure **income effect**.
- For the income effect, suppose $w$ stays the same, but non-wage income increases so that the budget constraint shifts in a parallel way from (JKD) to EBD.
- Because both goods are normal, the consumer consumes more of both.

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.
- Substitution effect: the price of leisure rises, so the consumer substitutes from leisure to consumption.

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.
- Substitution effect: the price of leisure rises, so the consumer substitutes from leisure to consumption.
- Income effect: the consumer is effectively more wealthy and, since both goods are normal, consumption increases and leisure increases.

# An Increase in the Market Real Wage Rate




- This has income and substitution effects.
- Substitution effect: the price of leisure rises, so the consumer substitutes from leisure to consumption.
- Income effect: the consumer is effectively more wealthy and, since both goods are normal, consumption increases and leisure increases.
- Conclusion: Consumption must rise, but leisure may rise or fall.

# Labor Supply Curve




- We assume that the substitution effect dominates so that as w increases, the consumer consumes less leisure and hence works more.

# Labor Supply Curve: Effect of an Increase in Dividend Income or a Decrease in Taxes