Name: Student number:

# COMP9417 Machine Learning and Data Mining Mid-session Examination: SAMPLE QUESTIONS

| Your | Name | and | Student | number | must | appear | at | the | head | of ' | this | page. |
|------|------|-----|---------|--------|------|--------|----|-----|------|------|------|-------|
|------|------|-----|---------|--------|------|--------|----|-----|------|------|------|-------|

Duration of the exam: 1 hour.

This examination has **five** questions. Answer **all** questions.

Total marks available in the exam: 40.

Multiple-choice questions may require more than one answer.

Show all working in your script book.

This page intentionally left blank.

## Question 1 [Total marks: 10]

### Supervised Learning - Regression

- A) [2 marks] Variance measures the *spread* of values of some random variable X around its mean E(X). We remember variance can be expressed as the "mean of the squares minus the square of the mean", but which of these definitions of variance is the correct one?
- (1)  $E(X^2 E(X))$
- (2)  $E(X E(X))^2$
- (3)  $E(X^2 E(X))^2$
- (4)  $E(E(X^2) E(X))$
- (5)  $E(E(X^2) E(X))^2$

**ANSWER:** (2)  $E(X - E(X))^2$ 

- B) [2 marks] If, for some estimator, Mean Squared Error (MSE) approaches zero as sample size increases, then the estimator is said to be:
- (1) correct
- (2) complete
- (3) consistent
- (4) unbiased
- (5) biased

ANSWER: (3) consistent

- C) [2 marks] Covariance of two random variables x, y is determined in relation to their differences from their respective means  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{y}$ . Covariance is observed when, for all instances  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  of the random variables:
- (1)  $x_i < \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y} \text{ or } x_i < \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y}$
- (2)  $x_i < \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y} \text{ or } x_i < \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y}$
- (3)  $x_i > \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y} \text{ or } x_i > \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y}$
- (4)  $x_i < \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y} \text{ or } x_i > \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y}$
- (5)  $x_i > \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y} \text{ or } x_i > \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y}$

**ANSWER:** (4)  $x_i < \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y} \text{ or } x_i > \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y}$ 

- **D)** [2 marks] Which of the following statements about the correlation of two random variables x, y is true?
- (1) positive correlation between x and y means x causes y
- (2) zero correlation between x and y means x has no relationship with y
- (3) negative correlation between x and y means x has no relationship wth y
- (4) non-zero correlation between x and y means x and y have some relationship

(5) correlation of r between x and y means  $y = r \times x$  **ANSWER:** (2) and (4)

- **E)** [2 marks] Which of the following do you consider to be correct statements?
- (1) linear regression can fit non-linear dependencies of y on  $\mathbf{x}$  if the parameters  $\mathbf{w}$  are non-linear
- (2) linear regression cannot fit non-linear dependencies of y on x
- (3) linear regression can fit any dependency of y on x using logarithmic transformations of x
- (4) linear regression can fit any dependency of y on x using polynomial transformations of x
- (5) linear regression can fit linear dependencies of y on non-linear transformations of  $\mathbf{x}$

**ANSWER:** (5) linear regression can fit linear dependencies of y on non-linear transformations of  $\mathbf{x}$ 

### Question 2 [Total marks: 6]

### $Nearest\ neighbour\ classification$

Under what conditions, if there are any, does the nearest neighbour algorithm do linear classification?

HINT: suppose for a two-class problem there are exactly two exemplars, one for each class. Suppose further that your are just using the nearest neighbour classification algorithm, i.e., k-NN where k=1. If this algorithm is using Euclidean distance, what will the decision boundary look like? Is this the same if Manhattan distance is used? Explain your answer.

**ANSWER:** for Euclidean distance, it is a linear classification method and the decision boundary is a linear separating plane, the perpendicular bisector of the straight line connecting the exemplars. For Manhattan distance, the regions of "near-space" become diamonds (in 2D, city-block traversals) instead of circles (again in 2D, crow-flies traversals) so the decision boundary is no longer a straight line.

# Question 3 [Total marks: 10]

### Decision Tree Learning

The table below contains a sample S of ten examples. Each example is described using two Boolean attributes A and B. Each is labelled (classified) by the target Boolean function.

| Id | A | B | Class |
|----|---|---|-------|
| 1  | 1 | 0 | +     |
| 2  | 0 | 1 | -     |
| 3  | 1 | 1 | _     |
| 4  | 1 | 0 | +     |
| 5  | 1 | 1 | _     |
| 6  | 1 | 1 | -     |
| 7  | 0 | 0 | +     |
| 8  | 1 | 1 | +     |
| 9  | 0 | 0 | +     |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | -     |

- A) [2 marks] What is the entropy of thse examples with respect to the given classification?
- B) [3 marks] What is the information gain of attribute A on sample S above?
- C) [3 marks] What is the information gain of attribute B on sample S above?
- **D)** [2 marks] Which would be chosen as the "best" attribute by a decision tree learner using the information gain splitting criterion? Why?

ANSWER: This should be straightforward to work out.

# Question 4 [Total marks: 4]

# $Naive\ Bayes\ Classification$

Suppose for a two-class classification problem you have m Boolean features. How many probabilities will you have to estimate from your training data? Show your working.

**ANSWER:** Recall that Naive Bayes has to estimate probabilities under an independence assumption, then everything forms a big product. So you just have to know the factors. Now every attribute or feature has two values, and there are 2 classes, giving a factor 4 times the number of features m, so the result is 4m.

# Question 5 [Total marks: 10]

### Perceptrons

A) Let the weights of a two-input perceptron be:  $w_0 = -0.2$ ,  $w_1 = 0.5$  and  $w_2 = 0.5$ . Assuming

that  $x_0 = 1$ , what is the output of the perceptron when:

[i] 
$$[1 \text{ mark}]$$
  $x_1 = 0 \text{ and } x_2 = 0$ ?

[ii] [1 mark] 
$$x_1 = 0$$
 and  $x_2 = 1$ ?

Letting  $w_0 = -0.7$  and keeping  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $w_1 = 0.5$  and  $w_2 = 0.5$ , what is the perceptron output when:

[iii] [1 mark] 
$$x_1 = 1$$
 and  $x_2 = 0$ ?

[iv] [1 mark] 
$$x_1 = 1$$
 and  $x_2 = 1$ ?

[v] [2 marks] What effect has changing the bias weight had? Has this changed the Boolean function that the perceptron implements?

**ANSWER:** [i] -1; [ii] +1; [iii] -1; [iv] +1; [v] the effect is that it has lowered the threshold to classification so that both inputs must be true in the second case – Boolean function first could have been OR then AND.

**B)** [4 marks] Apply the perceptron training algorithm with  $\eta = 1$  to the perceptron model following [ii]. That is, weight vector  $\mathbf{w} = (-0.2\ 0.5\ 0.5)$  and the example  $\mathbf{x} = (1\ 0\ 1)$ . Does this give the weight vector at [iii]? Explain what has happened.

**ANSWER:** The resulting weight vector will be  $\mathbf{w} = (-1.2 \ 0.5 \ -0.5)$  so, no it has not identified the weight vector at [iii]. The perceptron has "over-corrected" for this mistake since the learning rate  $\eta = 1$  is too high, and should be reduced. reduced.