

### Exercise 05 – November 11-13, 2025

1. A company claims their light bulbs last 1000 hours on average. A sample of 10 bulbs yields the following lifespans (in hours):

950, 960, 970, 980, 1020, 1030, 990, 1010, 1000, 995

Test whether the mean lifespan differs significantly from 1000 hours using  $\alpha = 0.05$

**Solution:**

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The mean lifespan is 1000 hours ( $\mu = 1000$ )

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): The mean lifespan is not 1000 hours ( $\mu \neq 1000$ )

$$\text{Sample Mean} = \frac{950 + 960 + 970 + 980 + 1020 + 1030 + 990 + 1010 + 1000 + 995}{10} = 990.5$$

Standard Deviation (s):  $s \approx 25.87$

Formula for t-statistic:

$$t = \frac{990.5 - 1000}{25.87/\sqrt{10}} \approx \frac{-9.5}{8.18} \approx -1.16$$

Compare t-statistics with Critical Value

Degrees of freedom:  $n - 1 = 10 - 1 = 9$ .

At  $\alpha = 0.05$  (two-tailed), the critical t-value is approximately  $\pm 2.262$  (from the t-distribution table).

Since  $t = -1.16$  falls within the range  $[-2.262, 2.262]$ , we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

2. A fitness coach measures the weight of 8 clients before and after a 6-week training program.

Client	Before (kg)	After (kg)	Difference (d)
1	85	82	-3
2	78	75	-3
3	90	85	-5
4	76	74	-2
5	88	85	-3
6	81	78	-3
7	79	76	-3
8	92	89	-3

Conduct a paired t-test to determine if the training program significantly reduced weight. Use  $\alpha = 0.05$

**Solution:**

Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The training program has no effect on weight ( $\mu_d = 0$ ).

Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): The training program reduces weight ( $\mu_d < 0$ ).

Calculate Mean and Standard Deviation of Differences (d):

$$\bar{d} = \frac{\sum d}{n} = \frac{-3 - 3 - 5 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3}{8} = \frac{-25}{8} = -3.125$$

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (d_i - \bar{d})^2}{n - 1}} \approx 0.835$$

Formula for t-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{d}}{s_d/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{-3.125}{0.835/\sqrt{8}} = \frac{-3.125}{0.295} \approx -10.59$$

Degrees of Freedom (df):  $df = n - 1 = 8 - 1 = 7$

Critical t-value for  $\alpha = 0.05$  (one-tailed): 1.895

Since  $-10.59 < 1.895$ , we reject  $H_0$

Conclusion: The training program significantly reduced weight.

3. A nutritionist wants to test if a new diet plan (Group A) significantly improves weight loss compared to a standard diet plan (Group B).

The following data was collected:

Group	Sample Size (n)	Mean Weight Loss (x)	Standard Deviation (s)
Group A (New)	25	8 kg	2
Group B (Standard)	25	6 kg	2.5

Perform an independent t-test to determine if the new diet plan significantly improves weight loss at a significant level of  $\alpha = 0.05$

### Solution:

State Hypotheses

- Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ): The mean weight loss for both groups is equal ( $\mu_A = \mu_B$ )
- Alternative Hypothesis ( $H_1$ ): The new diet plan leads to greater weight loss ( $\mu_A > \mu_B$ )

Calculate the t-statistic:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_A - \bar{x}_B}{\sqrt{\frac{s_A^2}{n_A} + \frac{s_B^2}{n_B}}}$$

Substitute the values:

$$t = \frac{8 - 6}{\sqrt{\frac{2^2}{25} + \frac{2.5^2}{25}}}$$

First, calculate the variances divided by sample sizes:

$$\frac{2^2}{25} = 0.16, \quad \frac{2.5^2}{25} = 0.25$$

Sum them:

$$\sqrt{0.16 + 0.25} = \sqrt{0.41} \approx 0.64$$

Now calculate t:

$$t = \frac{8 - 6}{0.64} = \frac{2}{0.64} \approx 3.13$$

Degrees of Freedom and Critical t-value

Degrees of freedom:  $df = n_A + n_B - 2 = 25 + 25 - 2 = 48$

At  $\alpha = 0.05$  (one-tailed), the critical t-value for  $df = 48$  is approximately 1.679

Compare the t-statistic to the critical value  $t = 3.13 > 1.679$

Since the calculated t-value exceeds the critical t-value, we reject the null hypothesis.

The new diet plan leads to significantly greater weight loss than the standard diet plan.

<https://www.meracalculator.com/math/t-distribution-critical-value-table.php>