# **Conditional Expected Shortfall**

### Nonparametric Estimation

Young-geun Kim ygeunkim.github.io

2019711358, Department of Statistics

09 Dec, 2019

**Expected Shortfall** 

Nonparametric Estimation

**Statistical Properties** 

**Simulation for Asymptotic Normality** 


**Future Study** 

# **Reviewed Paper**

[1] Z. Cai and X. Wang. "Nonparametric estimation of conditional VaR and expected shortfall". In: *Journal of Econometrics* 147.1 (2008), pp. 120-130. ISSN: 0304-4076. DOI: 10.1016/j.jeconom.2008.09.005.

# **Expected Shortfall**

## Value at Risk



Tsay (2010) says that

Measure of loss under *normal* market conditions Minimal loss under *extraordinary* market circumstances

## Value at Risk

p: Right tail probability

1: Time horizon

L(I): loss function of the asset

F: CDF of the loss

$$p = P[L(I) \ge VaR]$$

# Subadditivity

#### Coherent risk measure

Homogeneity

Monotonicity

Translation invariance (risk-free condition)

Subadditivity

#### **VaR**

does not satisfy subadditivity

When two portfolios are merged, the risk measure should not be greater than the sum of each.

VaR underestimates the actual loss.

### **Conditional VaR**

Stationary log-return 
$$\{Y_t: t=1,\ldots n\}$$
  
Exogenous variable  $\{X_t: t=1,\ldots n\}$   
Conditional VaR (CVaR) or Expected Shortfall (ES)

$$\nu_p(x) = S^{-1}(p \mid x)$$

where

$$S(y \mid x) := 1 - F(y \mid x)$$
  
F: conditional CDF of  $Y_t$  given  $X_t = x$ .

# Conditional Expected Shortfall

We are interested in Expected Shortfall given exogenous variable values

Conditional Expected Shortfall (CES)

$$\mu_p(x) = E[Y_t \mid Y_t \ge \nu_p(x), X_t = x]$$

# Formulating CES

Let 
$$B \equiv \{\omega \colon Y_t(\omega) \mid X_t = x \ge \nu_p(x)\} \in \mathcal{B}$$
. Then

$$\mu_{p}(x) = E[Y_{t} \mid Y_{t} \geq \nu_{p}(x), X_{t} = x]$$

$$= \frac{1}{P(B)} \int_{B} Y_{t} dP$$

$$= \frac{1}{P(Y_{t} \geq \nu_{p}(x) \mid X_{t} = x)} \int_{\nu_{p}(x)}^{\infty} yf(y \mid x) dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\nu_{p}(x)}^{\infty} yf(y \mid x) dy$$

## **Nonparametric Estimation**

## **Workflow of Estimation**

#### Plugging-in Method

$$\hat{\mu}_p(x) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\hat{\nu}_p(x)}^{\infty} y \hat{f}(y \mid x) dy$$

#### What to estimate

Conditional PDF:  $\hat{f}(y \mid x)$ 

CVaR:  $\hat{\nu}_p(x) = \hat{S}^{-1}(p \mid x)$  by inverting the conditional CDF

### **Conditional Disribution**

#### **Taylor expansion**

Consider any symmetric kernel  $K_h(\cdot)$ . Then

$$E[K_h(y - Y_t) \mid X_t = x] = K_h * f_{y|x}(y)$$

$$= f(y \mid x) + \frac{h^2}{2} \mu_2(K) f^{(2)}(y \mid x) + o(h^2)$$

where  $\mu_j(K) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^j K(u) du$ .

#### **Smoothing**

$$f(y \mid x) \approx E[K_h(y - Y_t) \mid X_t = x]$$

## Methods

Local Linear Weighted Nadaraya Watson WDKLL (Cai and Wang 2008)

### **Double Kernel Local Linear**

Denote 
$$Y_t^*(y) \equiv K_h(y - Y_t)$$
.

$$\hat{f}(y \mid x) = \underset{\alpha(x), \beta(x)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} W_{\lambda}(x - X_{t}) \left[ Y_{t}^{*}(y) - \alpha(x) - \beta(x)(X_{t} - x) \right]^{2}$$

Since this is involved in the two kernel  $(K_h(\cdot), W_{\lambda}(\cdot))$ , Cai and Wang (2008) names this as double kernel.

## **Local Linear Solution**

Note that the local linear estimate is equivalent to WLS.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{y}^{*} &= \left(Y_{1}(y), \dots, Y_{n}(y)\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \\ \mathbf{b}_{x}(x_{t}) &:= \left(1, x_{t} - x\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_{x}(x) = \mathbf{e}_{1} := \left(1, 0\right)^{T} \\ X_{x} &:= \left(\mathbf{b}_{x}(x_{i})^{T}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2} \\ W_{x} &:= diag(W_{\lambda}(x - X_{j})) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\hat{f}_{II} = \hat{\alpha}$ :

$$\hat{f}_{II}(y \mid x) = \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} (X_{x}^{T} W_{x} X_{x})^{-1} X_{x}^{T} W_{x} \mathbf{Y}_{y}^{*}$$

$$= \mathbf{I}(x)^{T} \mathbf{Y}_{y}^{*}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{t=1}^{n} I_{t}(x) Y_{t}^{*}(y)$$

### **Linear Smoother**

$$\mathbf{I}(x)^T = \mathbf{e}_1^T (X_x^T W_x X_x)^{-1} X_x^T W_x$$

By annoying arithmetic,

$$I_t(x) = \frac{S_2(x) - (X_t - x)S_1(x)}{S_0(x)S_2(x) - [S_1(x)]^2} W_{\lambda}(x - X_t)$$

where 
$$S_{j}(x) := \sum_{t=1}^{n} W_{\lambda}(x - X_{t})(X_{t} - x)^{j}$$
.

#### Matrix computations

Let  $w_t \equiv W_{\lambda}(x - X_t)$ 

$$(X_x^T W_x X_x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_t w_t & \sum_t w_t (x_t - x) \\ \sum_t w_t (x_t - x) & \sum_t w_t (x_t - x)^2 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} S_0 & S_1 \\ S_1 & S_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$X_x^T W_x = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \cdots & w_n \\ w_1 (x_1 - x) & \cdots & w_n (x_n - x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{I}(x)^{T} = \frac{1}{S_{0}S_{2} - S_{1}^{2}} \left[ S_{2}w_{1} - S_{1}w_{1}(x_{1} - x) \cdots S_{2}w_{n} - S_{1}w_{n}(x_{n} - x) \right]$$

## **Discrete Moments Conditions**

$$S_j(x) := \sum_{t=1}^n W_{\lambda}(x - X_t)(X_t - x)^j = \delta_{0,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

will be used when showing the asymptotic properties

## **CVaR**

Invert  $\hat{F}_{II}(y \mid x)$ 

#### **Conditional CDF**

$$\hat{F}_{II}(y \mid x) = \int_{\infty}^{y} \hat{f}_{II}(y \mid x) dy$$
$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n} I_{t}(x) G_{h}(y - Y_{t})$$

where  $G(\cdot)$  is the cdf of  $K(\cdot)$ .

#### **Problem**

It must be  $\hat{F}_{II} \in [0,1]$  and monotone increasing However, LL does not guarantee these properties.

## Weighted Nadaraya Watson

To get the right shape of CDF

$$\hat{F}_{NW}(y \mid x) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} H_t(x, \lambda) I(Y_t \le y)$$

where

$$H_t(x,\lambda) = \frac{p_t(x)W_\lambda(x-X_t)}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n p_i(x)W_\lambda(x-X_i)}$$

 $p_t(x)$  is weighted for each NW weight. Cai (2001) finds the best weights  $\{p_t\}_1^n$  by maximizing the empirical likelihood.

# **Choosing weights**

#### **Constraints**

$$p_t(x) \ge 0$$
$$\sum_t p_t(x) = 1$$

Discrete moments conditions  $\sum_{t=1}^{n} H_t(x,\lambda)(X_t-x)^j = \delta_{0,j}, \ 0 \le j < 1$ 

#### **Empirical likelihood**

Maximize  $\sum_{t} \ln p_t(x)$ . Lagrangian multiplier gives that

$$p_t(x) = \frac{1}{n\left[1 + \gamma(X_t - x)W_\lambda(x - X_i)\right]} \ge 0$$

and  $\gamma$  uniquely maximizing the log of the empirical likelihood

$$L_n(\gamma) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left[ 1 + \gamma (X_t - x) W_{\lambda}(x - X_i) \right]$$

## Weighted Double Kernel Local Linear

In a local linear scheme, replace linear smoother with WNW weight

$$\hat{f}_{cai}(y \mid x) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} H_t(x, \lambda) Y_t^*(y)$$

and hence,

$$\hat{F}_{cai}(y \mid x) = \int_{\infty}^{y} \hat{f}_{cai}(y \mid x) dy$$
$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n} H_{t}(x, \lambda) G_{h}(y - Y_{t})$$

## **Inverting and Plugging-in**

#### **CVaR**

$$\hat{\nu}_p^{(cai)}(x) = \hat{S}_{cai}^{-1}(p \mid x)$$

where  $\hat{S}_{cai}(y \mid x) = 1 - \hat{F}_{cai}(y \mid x)$ 

#### **CES**

$$\hat{\mu}_{p}(x) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{t=1}^{n} H_{t}(x, \lambda) \left[ Y_{t} \bar{G}_{h}(\hat{\nu}_{p}(x) - Y_{t}) + hG_{1,h}(\hat{\nu}_{p}(x) - Y_{t}) \right]$$

where 
$$\bar{G}(u) = 1 - G(u)$$
 and  $G_1(u) = \int_u^{\infty} vK(v)dv$ .

## **Statistical Properties**

# **Asymptotic Normality**

#### **Investigate**

$$\hat{f}_{cai}(y \mid x)$$
 $\hat{S}_{cai}(y \mid x) = 1 - \hat{F}_{cai}(y \mid x)$ 
 $\hat{\nu}_p(x)$ 
 $\hat{\mu}_p(x)$ 

#### at both

Interior	Boundary
X	$x = c\lambda$

### Interior

$$\sqrt{n\lambda}\left[\hat{\mu}_{p}(x) - \mu(x) - B_{\mu}(x)\right] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow} N\left(0, \sigma_{\mu}^{2}(x)\right)$$

If some condition is added, Bias becomes smaller:

$$\sqrt{n\lambda}\left[\hat{\mu}_p(x) - \mu(x) - B_{\mu,0}(x)\right] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow} N\left(0, \sigma_{\mu}^2(x)\right)$$

## **AMSE**

#### **Bias**

Note that

$$\hat{\mu}_p(x) - \mu(x) = O_p\left(\lambda^2 + h^2 + (n\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

and hence,  $\hat{\mu}_p(x)$  is a *consistent* with a convergent rate  $\sqrt{n\lambda}$ 

### **Optimal Bandwidth**

$$n^{-\frac{4}{5}}$$

# **Boundary**

W.L.O.G. the left boundary point  $x = c\lambda$  s.t.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{sptK} = [-1,1] \\ \textit{c} \in (0,1) \end{array}$$

$$\sqrt{n\lambda}\left[\hat{\mu}_{p}(c\lambda) - \mu(c\lambda) - B_{\mu,c}\right] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow} N\left(0, \sigma_{\mu,c}^{2}\right)$$

## **Simulation for Asymptotic Normality**

## Main Packages

```
# devtools::install_github("ygeunkim/ceshat")
library(ceshat)
# devtools::install_github("ygeunkim/youngtool")
library(youngtool)
# GARCH
library(fGarch)
```

For details, see my Github package repositories<sup>1</sup>

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{github.com/ygeunkim/ceshat}$  and  $\mathrm{github.com/ygeunkim/youngtool}$ 

## **Models**

### AR(1)-GARCH(1, 0)

$$\begin{cases} X_t = Y_{t-1} \\ Y_t = 0.01 + 0.62X_t + \sigma_t \epsilon_t \\ \sigma_t^2 = -0.15 + 0.65\sigma_{t-1}^2 \\ \epsilon_t \sim N(0, 1) \end{cases}$$

# Random number generation

#### Monte Carlo Samples:

For fixed 
$$x_t$$
  
Generate GARCH(1, 0):  $(\sigma_t, \epsilon_t)$   
 $X_t = Y_{t-1}$   
AR(1):  $Y_t = 0.01 + 0.62Y_{t-1} + \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ 


```
garch sim \leftarrow function(n, cond, ar mu = .01, ar = .62) {
  garch spec <-
    garchSpec(
      cond.dist = "norm",
      model = list(
        omega = .15, alpha = 0, beta = .65
  tibble(garch = garchSim(garch_spec, n = n) %>% as.numeric
    mutate(
      x = cond.
      y = ar mu + ar * x + garch
    ) %>%
    select(y) %>% # to use youngtool (experimental stage)
    pull()
```

## **Monte Carlo Simulation**

# **Empirical Distribution**

```
x <- runif(1)
mc <- cond_sim(200, 500, x)
```


### **Interior**



Empirical distribution of  $\hat{\mu}_p(0.266)$ Shape of Normal distribution

# **Boundary**

```
bound c \leftarrow runif(1) * 200^(-4/5)
mc2 \leftarrow cond sim(200, 500, bound c)
At x = 0.009.
CES2 <-
  mc2[.
       .(mu =
           wdkll ces(x ~ xcond, .SD) %>%
           predict(newx = unique(xcond))),
       by = mc
```



Empricial distribution of  $\hat{\mu}_p(x)$  at the left boundary point Shape of Normal distribution

# **Future Study**

## **Bandwidth Selection**

#### Two bandwidths

Initial bandwidth h: insensitive to the final estimation WNW bandwith  $\lambda$ 

#### **Strategy**

```
Use linear estimators WNW estimator: select one \tilde{h} h \leq 0.1 \tilde{h}: take small initial bandwidth Given h Use \hat{F}_{col}
```

#### Criterion


```
Nonparametric AIC (Cai and Tiwari 2000) GCV?
```

## **Monte Carlo Simulation**

More sophiscated design Various x values Integrating with bandwidth selection

### **Real Data**

Cai and Wang (2008) used Dow Jones index with daily return defined by  $y_t$ : =  $-100 \ln \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}}$ 



## **Related contents**

#### **Project repository**

https://github.com/ygeunkim/nonparam-cvar

### Package repository

https://github.com/ygeunkim/ceshat



### References

Cai, Zongwu. 2001. "Weighted Nadaraya—Watson Regression Estimation." *Statistics & Probability Letters* 51 (3): 307–18.

Cai, Zongwu, and Ram C Tiwari. 2000. "Application of a Local Linear Autoregressive Model to Bod Time Series." *Environmetrics: The Official Journal of the International Environmetrics Society* 11 (3): 341–50.

Cai, Zongwu, and Xian Wang. 2008. "Nonparametric estimation of conditional VaR and expected shortfall." *Journal of Econometrics* 147 (1): 120–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2008.09.005.

Tsay, Ruey S. 2010. *Analysis of Financial Time Series*. John Wiley & Sons.