

Optimization-Based Data Analysis – Brett Bernstein

Recitation 1

1. If a matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal, must its transpose also be orthogonal?

Solution. Yes. As U is orthogonal, we have $U^T U = I$. Thus U, U^T are inverses of each other, so $U U^T = I$. This shows $(U^T)^T U^T = I$ proving U^T is orthogonal.

2. Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\text{trace}(A A^T) = 0$. What can be said about A ?

Solution. We must have $A = 0$. Note that

$$\text{trace}(A A^T) = \langle A^T, A^T \rangle = \|A^T\|_F^2 = 0,$$

implying $A^T = 0$.

3. Prove or disprove: If $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $\text{trace}(A) = 0 = \text{trace}(B)$ then $\text{trace}(AB) = 0$.

Solution. False. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and note that $\text{trace}(A) = 0$ and $\text{trace}(A^2) = \text{trace}(I) = 2$.

4. Prove the converse to the Pythagorean theorem holds in a real inner product space: If $\|\vec{x}\|^2 + \|\vec{y}\|^2 = \|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|^2$ then $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = 0$.

Solution. Note that

$$\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|^2 = \langle \vec{x} + \vec{y}, \vec{x} + \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} + \vec{y} \rangle + \langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} + \vec{y} \rangle \quad (1)$$

$$= \langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle + \langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle + \langle \vec{y}, \vec{y} \rangle \quad (2)$$

$$= \|\vec{x}\|^2 + 2\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle + \|\vec{y}\|^2. \quad (3)$$

By assumption, the last line is equal to $\|\vec{x}\|^2 + \|\vec{y}\|^2$ proving $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = 0$.

5. Prove Bessel's inequality: Let $\vec{x}, \vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n \in V$ where V is a (real or complex) inner product space. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \vec{x}, \vec{u}_i \rangle|^2 \leq \|\vec{x}\|^2$$

if $\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n$ are orthonormal.

Solution. Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{span}(\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n)$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}} \vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \vec{x}, \vec{u}_i \rangle \vec{u}_i.$$

By the Pythagorean theorem, we have

$$\|\mathcal{P}_S \vec{x}\|^2 + \|\mathcal{P}_{S^\perp} \vec{x}\|^2 = \|\vec{x}\|^2.$$

Thus

$$\|\vec{x}\|^2 \geq \|\mathcal{P}_S \vec{x}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \vec{x}, \vec{u}_i \rangle|^2.$$

6. If V, W are subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n with $\dim(V) + \dim(W) > n$ then there is a non-zero vector in $V \cap W$.

Solution. Let $\mathcal{B}_V = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r)$ and $\mathcal{B}_W = (\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_s)$ be bases for V, W , respectively. If $V \cap W = \{0\}$ then

$$\mathcal{B} = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_s)$$

is linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^n with $r + s > n$, a contradiction.

To see that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent, suppose

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_r \vec{v}_r + b_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + b_s \vec{w}_s = 0,$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_r \vec{v}_r = -b_1 \vec{w}_1 - \dots - b_s \vec{w}_s.$$

Since $V \cap W = \{0\}$ by assumption, both sides must be zero. Since $\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W$ are linearly independent, we have $a_1 = \dots = a_r = 0$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_s = 0$.

To see that \mathbb{R}^n cannot have a linearly independent sequence $(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_k)$ with $k > n$, put $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_k$ as the columns of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. Then $\text{rank}(A) \leq n < k$ showing the columns cannot be linearly independent.

7. For any $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ show that

$$\|\vec{x}\|_\infty \leq \|\vec{x}\|_2 \leq \|\vec{x}\|_1.$$

Solution. For the first inequality, let m be such that $|\vec{x}[m]| = \|\vec{x}\|_\infty$. Then

$$\|\vec{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \vec{x}[i]^2} \geq \sqrt{|\vec{x}[m]|^2} = |\vec{x}[m]| = \|\vec{x}\|_\infty.$$

For the second inequality, note that

$$\|\vec{x}\|_1^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\vec{x}[i]| \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\vec{x}[i]|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} |\vec{x}[i] \vec{x}[j]| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\vec{x}[i]|^2 = \|\vec{x}\|_2^2.$$

For an alternative proof of the second inequality, let $|\vec{x}| \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the vector with $|\vec{x}|[i] = |\vec{x}[i]|$. Then

$$\|\vec{x}\|_2^2 = |\vec{x}|^T |\vec{x}| = \text{trace}(|\vec{x}|^T |\vec{x}|) = \text{trace}(|\vec{x}| |\vec{x}|^T) \leq \vec{1}^T |\vec{x}| |\vec{x}|^T \vec{1} = \|\vec{x}\|_1^2,$$

where $\vec{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector with 1 in every coordinate.

8. Consider the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

- (a) Give conditions on A, \vec{b} so that there is always an \vec{x} satisfying the equation.
- (b) When is the solution unique?
- (c) Under what conditions does $A^T A \vec{x} = A^T \vec{b}$ have a solution?

Solution.

- (a) $\vec{b} \in \text{col}(A)$.
- (b) $\text{null}(A) = \{0\}$, or equivalently, that A has full column rank.
- (c) It always has a solution. We will prove this by showing $\text{col}(A^T A) = \text{col}(A^T)$. As $A^T A \vec{x} = A^T (A \vec{x})$ we see that $\text{col}(A^T A) \subseteq \text{col}(A^T)$. To complete the proof we will show that $\dim(\text{col}(A^T A)) = \dim(\text{col}(A^T))$. We begin by showing $\text{null}(A) = \text{null}(A^T A)$. To see this note that $A \vec{x} = 0$ implies $A^T A \vec{x} = 0$ and $A^T A \vec{y} = 0$ implies

$$0 = \vec{y}^T A^T A \vec{y} = \|A \vec{y}\|_2^2.$$

This proves $\text{null}(A) = \text{null}(A^T A)$, which implies $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T A)$ since

$$\text{rank}(A) + \dim(\text{null}(A)) = n = \text{rank}(A^T A) + \dim(\text{null}(A^T A)).$$

Thus

$$\dim(\text{col}(A^T)) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T A) = \dim(\text{col}(A^T A)).$$

9. Let $f(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ and $g(\vec{x}) = \vec{b}^T \vec{x}$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Give necessary and sufficient conditions for $\text{null}(f) \subseteq \text{null}(g)$.

Solution. We will show that $\vec{b} \in \text{row}(A)$ is a necessary and sufficient condition. If $\vec{b} \in \text{row}(A)$ then we can write $\vec{b} = A^T \vec{y}$ for some $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Thus if $A \vec{x} = 0$ then $\vec{b}^T \vec{x} = \vec{y}^T A \vec{x} = 0$. Conversely, suppose $\vec{b} \notin \text{row}(A)$. Express \vec{b} as

$$\vec{b} = A^T \vec{y} + \vec{w},$$

where $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\vec{w} \in \text{row}(A)^\perp$ with $\vec{w} \neq 0$. Then $A \vec{w} = 0$ but

$$\vec{b}^T \vec{w} = (\vec{y}^T A + \vec{w}^T) \vec{w} = \|\vec{w}\|_2^2 > 0.$$