Backward Stochastic Differential Equations

Gordan Žitković

Department of Mathematics The University of Texas at Austin

IMSI - June 30th 2021

(Forward) SDE

The equation:

$$X_0 = x$$
, $dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dB_t$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Causality principle(s):

$$X_t = F(t, \{B_s\}_{s \in [0,t]})$$
 (strong)
 $\{X_s\}_{s \in [0,t]} \perp \!\!\! \perp \{B_s - B_t\}_{s \in [t,T]}$ (weak)

Solution by simulation (Euler scheme):

1)
$$X_0 = x$$
, 2) $X_{t+\Delta t} \approx X_t + \mu(X_t) \Delta t + \sigma(X_t) \Delta \zeta$, where we draw $\Delta \zeta = B_{t+\Delta t} - B_t$ from $N(0, \sqrt{\Delta t})$.

BSDE ARE NOT BACKWARD SDE

The equation:

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dB_t, \ t \in [0, T], \qquad X_T = \xi.$$

Backwards solution by simulation:

1)
$$X_T = \xi$$
, 2) $X_{t-\Delta t} \approx X_t - \mu(X_{t-\Delta t}) \Delta t - \sigma(X_{t-\Delta t}) (B_t - B_{t-\Delta t})$

The solution is no longer defined, or, at best, no longer adapted:

e.g., if
$$dX_t = dB_t$$
, $X_T = 0$ then $X_t = B_t - B_T$.

Fix: to restore adaptivity, make $Z_t = \sigma(X_t)$ a part of the solution

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + Z_t dB_t, \quad X_T = \xi.$$

MRT: for $\mu \equiv 0$ we get the martingale representation problem:

$$dX_t = Z_t dB_t, \quad X_T = \xi.$$

BACKWARD SDE

A change of notation:

$$dY_t = -f(Y_t, Z_t) dt + Z_t dB_t, \ t \in [0, T], \qquad Y_T = \xi.$$

A solution is a pair (Y, Z). The function f is called the driver.

Time- and uncertainty-dependence is often added:

$$dY_t = -f(t, \omega, Y_t, Z_t) dt + Z_t dB_t, \ t \in [0, T], \qquad Y_T = \xi(\omega),$$

and the ω -dependence factored through a (forward) diffusion

$$X_0 = x$$
, $dX_t = \mu(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t$
 $dY_t = -f(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t) dt + Z_t dB_t$, $Y_T = g(X_t)$.

Existing theory - dimension 1

Linear: Bismut '73, (or even Wentzel, Kunita-Watanabe or Itô)

Lipschitz: Pardoux-Peng '90

Linear-growth: Lepeltier-San Martin '97

Quadratic: Kobylanski '00

Superquadratic: Delbaen-Hu-Bao '11 - mostly negative

OPTION REPLICATION

Conside the Samuelson's model for the risky asset (stock, etc.):

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t).$$

and the riskless asset $S_t^0 = e^{rt}$. Invest the proportion α_t of your total wealth Y (notation change!) in the risky asset and the rest in the riskless asset. The dynamics of Y is

$$dY_t = \frac{\alpha_t Y_t}{S_t} dS_t + \frac{(1 - \alpha_t) Y_t}{S_t^0} dS_t^0,$$

or, when simplified,

$$dY_t = rY_t + \sigma \alpha_t Y_t \Big(\theta dt + dW_t\Big)$$
 where $\theta = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$.

Instead of utility-maximization, we need to replicate an option with payoff ξ (say $\xi = (S_T - K)_+$).

In other words, we are asked to solve the BSDE

$$dY_t = (rY_t + \theta Z_t) dt + Z_t dW_t, \ Y_T = \xi,$$

and then report
$$\alpha_t = \frac{Z_t}{\sigma Y_t}$$
. Here, $f(Y, Z) = -(rY + \theta Z)$.

AN EXAMPLE IN STOCHASTIC CONTROL

is the random endowment.

Going back to a utility-maximization problem in the same framework, but with r=0 and $\sigma=1$, for simplicity. On the other hand, we allow μ to be a stochastic process. We are asked to find

$$\sup_{\alpha} \mathbb{E}[-\exp(-(X_T^{\alpha}+\xi))]$$
 where $U(x)=-\exp(-x)$, is the exponential utility, and $\xi\in\mathbb{L}^{\infty}$

Insipred by the verification approach to stochastic control, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we define the process V^{α} as follows:

$$V_t^{\alpha} := -\exp\Big(-(X_t^{\alpha} + Y_t)\Big),$$

for some, yet unspecified, process Y with dynamics

$$dY_t = F_t dt + Z_t dW_t.$$

Itô's formula yields the following dynamics for V^{α} :

$$dV_t^{\alpha} = V_t^{\alpha} \left(\left(-F_t + \frac{1}{2} Z_t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_t^2 (X_t^{\alpha})^2 + \alpha_t X_t^{\alpha} (Z_t - \mu_t) \right) dt - (Z_t + \alpha_t X_t^{\alpha}) dW_t \right).$$

We would like to determine F so that V^{α} is always a supermartingale, and a martingale for one particular α . herefore, we are looking for F with the following property:

$$\sup_{a} \left(F_t - \frac{1}{2} Z_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} a^2 (X_t^{\alpha})^2 - a X_t^{\alpha} (Z_t - \mu_t) \right) = 0,$$

i.e., $F_t = \frac{1}{2}Z_t^2 + \inf_a \left(\frac{1}{2}a^2(X_t^{\alpha})^2 + aX_t^{\alpha}(Z_t - \mu_t)\right) = Z_t\mu_t - \frac{1}{2}\mu_t^2$, i.e., we need Y to satisfy the BSDE

$$dY_t = \left(Z_t \mu_t - \frac{1}{2} \mu_t^2\right) dt + Z_t dW_t.$$

In order to complete the verification procedure, we also need the terminal condition $Y_T = \xi$: in that case, for each α ,

$$\mathbb{E}[-\exp(-(X_T^\alpha+\xi))] = \mathbb{E}[-\exp(X_T^\alpha+Y_T)] \leq V_0^\alpha = -\exp(-X_0-Y_0),$$

with the equality if and only if $\alpha_t X_t^{\alpha} = \mu_t - Z_t$.

Existence and Uniqueness in the Lipschitz case

For a pair (Y, Z), define

$$||(Y,Z)||_{\beta}^2 = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T e^{\beta t} (|Y_t|^2 + |Z_t|^2) dt].$$

Lemma (Fundamental estimate) For each $F \in \mathbb{L}^{2,1}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{L}^2$ there exists a unique continuous semimartingale Y and a process $Z \in \mathbb{L}^{2,1}$ such that

$$dY_t = -F_t dt + Z_t dW_t, Y_T = \xi.$$

Moreover, there exists a universal constant C > 0 such that for each $\beta > 0$ we have

$$||(Y,Z)||_{\beta} \le C \Big(e^{\beta T}||\xi||_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \frac{1}{\beta} \mathbb{E}[\int_0^T |F_t|^2 dt]\Big)$$

Proof: Set $Y_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi + \int_t^T F_t dt | \mathcal{F}_t]$ to obtain a solution. Apply Itô's formula to $e^{\beta t} |Y_t|^2$ for the estimate.

Theorem (Pardoux and Peng, '90). Suppose that f(t, y, z) is Lipschitz in (y, z), uniformly in t. Then the BSDE

$$dY_t = -f(t, Y_t, Z_t) dt + Z_t dW_t, Y_T = \xi \in \mathbb{L}^2.$$

has a unique solution in $L^{2,1} \times L^{2,1}$.

Proof. Consider the map $\Phi(y,z)=(Y,Z)$, where (Y,Z) is the unique solution to

$$dY_t = -f(t, y_t, z_t) dt + Z_t dW_t, Y_t = \xi.$$

The fundamental estimate shows that Φ is a contraction in $||\cdot||_{\beta}$ for β large enough.

Remark.

- 1. This works for systems, too.
- 2. In dimension 1, moreover, if f is continuous (but not necessarily Lipschitz) and grows at most linearly as $|y|, |z| \to \infty$ there exists a *minimal* solution (Lepeltier and San Martin, '97).

QUADRATIC BSDEs

Consider the following *quadratic* BSDE with $f(y,z) = -\frac{1}{2}az^2$:

$$dY_t = \frac{1}{2}aZ_t^2 dt + Z_t dW_t, \ Y_T = \xi.$$

If $Y_t = \exp(-aY_t)$, then

$$d\tilde{Y}_t = b\tilde{Y}_t dY_t + \frac{1}{2}b^2\tilde{Y}_t d\langle Y \rangle_t$$

= $-a\tilde{Y}_t(\frac{1}{2}aZ_t^2 dt + Z dW_t) + \frac{1}{2}a^2\tilde{Y}_t Z_t^2 dt$
= $-a\tilde{Y}_t Z_t dW_t = \tilde{Z}_t dW_t$

where $\tilde{Z}_t = -a\tilde{Y}_t Z_t$, so that

$$Y_t = -\frac{1}{a} \log \mathbb{E}[\exp(a\xi)|\mathcal{F}_t].$$

Theorem (Kobylanski '00, etc.). Suppose that d = 1, f is continuous, there exists a constant M such that

$$|f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)| \le M(|y_2 - y_1| + (1 + |z_1| + |z_2|)|z_2 - z_1|)$$

and $\xi \in \mathbb{L}^{\infty}$. Then there exists a unique solution (Y, Z) to

$$dY_t = -f(t, Y_t, Z_t) dt + Z_t dW_t, Y_T = \xi.$$

Proof. Highly nontrivial. Based on the comparison principle and monotone stability.

Connections with PDEs

Suppose that $\xi = g(W_T)$ for some function g. We could try $Y_t = v(t, W_t)$, for some v. By Itô's formula

$$dY_t = (v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{xx})(t, W_t) dt + v_x(t, W_t) dW_t.$$

Matching terms yields $v_x(t, W_t) = Z_t$ and as well as

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \frac{1}{2}v_{xx} + f(t, v, v_x) = 0, \\ v(T, \cdot) = g. \end{cases}$$

Remark.

- 1. Works as written if *v* has enough regularity, in any dimension.
- 2. Always a viscosity solution when d = 1. A stochastic representation for quasilinear equations.