
Author	g	SE
Morgan20_uterine	-0.5621	0.4186
Morgan3	-0.2744	0.2706
Morgan20_cervical	0.2852	0.5862
Morgan20_ovarian	0.3436	0.7924
Marotta15	1.0508	0.6171

Common effect model Random effects model Prediction interval

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 32\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.1152$, p = 0.21




Author	g SE	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zhang14	-0.9676 0.3570		0.38 [0.19; 0.76]	0.6%	4.9%
Parada27_mult	-0.2231 0.1836		0.80 [0.56; 1.15]	2.3%	7.6%
Wu2_multi	-0.0513 0.1220		0.95 [0.75; 1.21]	5.3%	8.5%
Trabert11	0.0392 0.0641	集 計	1.04	0.92; 1.18]	19.1%	9.1%
Qu24	0.2469 0.0458	+	1.28 [1.17; 1.40]	37.5%	9.3%
stro	0.3436 0.1358	- - -	1.41 [1.08; 1.84]	4.3%	8.3%
Duan31_mult	0.4511 0.1591	1: -	1.57	1.15; 2.14]	3.1%	7.9%
Deng16	0.4574 0.0627	=	1.58 [1.40; 1.79]	20.0%	9.1%
Tse9_mult	0.6313 0.2132	<u>;</u> ;	1.88 [1.24; 2.86]	1.7%	7.1%
Li26_mult	0.6471 0.1617		1.91 [1.39; 2.62]	3.0%	7.9%
Chen25_mult	0.7372 0.3029	+:	2.09 [1.15; 3.78]	0.9%	5.6%
Ahrens22	0.7419 0.3721	i	2.10 [1.01; 4.35]	0.6%	4.7%
Loperz10_mult	0.8372 0.2453		2.31 [1.43; 3.74]	1.3%	6.5%
Zhou17	1.2726 0.4886		— 3.57 <u>[</u>	1.37; 9.30]	0.3%	3.4%
Common effect model		🎉	1.30 [1.23; 1.37]	100.0%	
Random effects model		$ \diamondsuit $	1.40 [1.12; 1.75]		100.0%
Prediction interval			[0	0.60; 3.25]		
		1 1 1 1 1				
•		0.2 0.5 1 2 5				

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 82\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.1375$, p < 0.01

Author	g	SE
Morgan20_uterine	-0.5621	0.4186
Morgan3	-0.2744	0.2706
Morgan20_cervical	0.2852	0.5862
Morgan20_ovarian	0.3436	0.7924
Marotta15	1.0508	0.6171

Common effect model Random effects model Prediction interval

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 32\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.1152$, p = 0.21



Author	g SE	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zhang14	-0.9676 0.3570	;	0.38	[0.19; 0.76]	0.6%	4.4%
Parada27_mult	-0.2640 0.1843	 	0.77	[0.54; 1.10]	2.3%	7.5%
Wu2_multi	-0.1109 0.1197		0.90	[0.71; 1.13]	5.5%	8.9%
Trabert11	0.0392 0.0641	<u>+</u> }	1.04	[0.92; 1.18]	19.2%	9.9%
Li26_mult	0.1655 0.1690	 •	1.18	[0.85; 1.64]	2.8%	7.9%
Qu24	0.2469 0.0458	+	1.28	[1.17; 1.40]	37.6%	10.1%
Jia28	0.3436 0.1358	- i	1.41	[1.08; 1.84]	4.3%	8.6%
Duan31_mult	0.3873 0.1607	- ! + -	1.47	[1.08; 2.02]	3.1%	8.1%
Loperz10_mult	0.4187 0.2624	 	1.52	[0.91; 2.54]	1.1%	5.9%
Deng16	0.4574 0.0627	=	1.58	[1.40; 1.79]	20.1%	9.9%
Tse9_mult	0.5710 0.2132		1.77	[1.17; 2.69]	1.7%	6.9%
Chen25_mult	0.7275 0.3197	i +	2.07	[1.11; 3.87]	0.8%	4.9%
Ahrens22	0.7419 0.3721		2.10	[1.01; 4.35]	0.6%	4.1%
Zhou17	1.2726 0.4886		— 3.57	[1.37; 9.30]	0.3%	2.9%
Common effect model			1.26	[1.20; 1.34]	100.0%	
Random effects model		◇	1.28	[1.05; 1.55]		100.0%
Prediction interval				[0.63; 2.57]		
		1 1 1 1				
		0.2 0.5 1 2 5				

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 79\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.0932$, p < 0.01

Author	g	SE
Morgan20_uterine	-0.5621	0.4186
Morgan3	-0.2744	0.2706
Morgan20_cervical	0.2852	0.5862
Morgan20_ovarian	0.3436	0.7924
Marotta15	1.0508	0.6171

Common effect model Random effects model Prediction interval

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 32\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.1152$, p = 0.21

