

Contents

1	基本矩陣分析	3
1.2	範數與特徵值	3
1.2.1	範數	3
1.2.2	範數與特徵值的關係	5
1.2.3	不同範數之間的關係	8

September 9, 2024

Chapter 1

基本矩陣分析

1.2 範數與特徵值

1.2.1 範數

Let X be a vector space over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Definition 1.2.1 (Vector norms). Let $N : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Then N is a **(vector) norm** [範數], if

- (i) $N(\alpha x) = |\alpha|N(x)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, for $x \in X$;
- (ii) $N(x + y) \leq N(x) + N(y)$, for $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $N(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

The usual notation is $\|x\| = N(x)$.

Definition 1.2.2 (p-norms; 1, 2, ∞ norms). For any $p \geq 1$, we define the **p-norm** $\|\cdot\|_p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.2.1)$$

(Then it can be verified that it is a vector norm). Especially,

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \text{ (1-norm)}, \quad (1.2.2)$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{ (2-norm = Euclidean-norm)}, \quad (1.2.3)$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i| \text{ (\infty-norm = maximum norm)}. \quad (1.2.4)$$

Lemma 1.2.1 (Continuity of Norm). $N(x)$ is a continuous function in the components x_1, \dots, x_n of x .

Proof.

$$|N(x) - N(y)| \leq N(x - y) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j - y_j| N(e_j) \leq \|x - y\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^n N(e_j),$$

in which e_j is the j -th column of the identity matrix I_n . □

Lemma 1.2.2 (Equivalence of Norms). Let N and M be any two norms on \mathbb{C}^n . Then there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 M(x) \leq N(x) \leq c_2 M(x), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1.2.5)$$

Definition 1.2.3 (Matrix Norm). Let $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is a **matrix norm**, if

(H1) $\|\alpha A\| = |\alpha| \|A\|$;

(H2) $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$;

(H3) $\|A\| = 0$ if and only if $A = 0$;

(H4) $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$. (Required for some norms)

(H5) $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|$ (for some assigned vector norm $\|\cdot\|$) (Required for some norms).

Definition 1.2.4 (Frobenius Norm). The **Frobenius norm** $\|\cdot\|_F : \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.2.6)$$

(It can be verified that it is a matrix norm satisfying (H1)~(H5) in the definition of Matrix Norms.)

Proof. • H4: By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB\|_F &= \left(\sum_{i,j} \left| \sum_k a_{ik} b_{kj} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i,j} \left\{ \sum_k |a_{ik}|^2 \right\} \left\{ \sum_k |b_{kj}|^2 \right\} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_i \sum_k |a_{ik}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_j \sum_k |b_{kj}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|A\|_F \|B\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

• H5: By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|_2 &= \left(\sum_i \left| \sum_j a_{ij} x_j \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_i \left(\sum_j |a_{ij}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_j |x_j|^2 \right) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|A\|_F \|x\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. $\|I_n\|_F = \sqrt{n}$.

Definition 1.2.5 (Operator Norm). Given a vector norm $\|\cdot\|$. An **associated (induced) matrix norm** is defined by

$$\|A\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}.$$

(It can be verified that it is a matrix norm satisfying (H1)~(H5).)

Remark 2. For any operator norm, $\|I_n\| = 1$.

Theorem 1.2.3 (1, 2, and ∞ Matrix Norms).

$$\|A\|_1 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_1}{\|x\|_1} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}| = \text{Max Absolute Column Sumns}; \quad (1.2.7)$$

$$\|A\|_\infty = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| = \text{Max Absolute Row Sumns}; \quad (1.2.8)$$

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} = \sqrt{\rho(A^*A)} = \text{Max Eigenvalues of } A^*A. \quad (1.2.9)$$

1.2.2 範數與特徵值的關係

Theorem 1.2.4 (Comparison of Spectral Radius and Matrix Norm (v1)).

(i) For any matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ and matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$,

$$\rho(A) \leq \|A\|. \quad (1.2.10)$$

(ii) Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_\epsilon$ such that

$$\|\cdot\|_\epsilon \leq \rho(A) + \epsilon. \quad (1.2.11)$$

Theorem 1.2.5 (Convergence of A^n as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A^m = 0$;

(ii) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A^m x = 0$ for all x ;

(iii) $\rho(A) < 1$.

Theorem 1.2.6 (Comparison of Spectral Radius and Matrix Norm (v2)). For any operator norm $\|\cdot\|$,

$$\rho(A) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|A^k\|^{1/k}. \quad (1.2.12)$$

Theorem 1.2.7 (Inverse of $I - A$ as An Power Series). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and $\rho(A) < 1$. Then $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists and

$$(I - A)^{-1} = I + A + A^2 + \dots. \quad (1.2.13)$$

Corollary 1.2.8 (Estimation of the Norm of the inverse of $I - A$). If $\|A\| < 1$, then $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists and

$$\|(I - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A\|}. \quad (1.2.14)$$

Lemma 1.2.9. For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, and unitary matrices $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|UAV\|_F &= \|A\|_F \text{ (by } \|UA\|_F = \sqrt{\|Ua_1\|_2^2 + \dots + \|Ua_n\|_2^2}), \\ \|UAV\|_2 &= \|A\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2.10 (Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Then there exist unitary matrices $U = [u_1, \dots, u_m] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $V = [v_1, \dots, v_n] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$U^*AV = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p) = \Sigma_{m \times n}, \quad (1.2.15)$$

where $p = \min\{m, n\}$ and $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_p \geq 0$. (Here, σ_i denotes the i -th largest singular value of A). Equivalently,

$$A = U_{m \times m} \Sigma_{m \times n} V_{n \times n}^*. \quad (1.2.16)$$

It is called the **singular value decomposition (SVD)** of A .

Remark 3.

(i) $U^*AV = \Sigma \implies AV = U\Sigma$. So

$$A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_m \end{bmatrix} \Sigma_{m \times n}.$$

- If $m < n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sigma_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\iff \begin{bmatrix} Av_1 & Av_2 & \cdots & Av_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 u_1 & \sigma_2 u_2 & \cdots & \sigma_m u_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\iff Av_i = \sigma u_i \ (i = 1, \dots, m) \text{ and } Av_i = 0 \ (i = m+1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

- If $m > n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sigma_n \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\iff \begin{bmatrix} Av_1 & Av_2 & \cdots & Av_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 u_1 & \sigma_2 u_2 & \cdots & \sigma_n u_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &\iff Av_i = \sigma u_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \\ x &\mapsto Ax. \end{aligned}$$

There exist orthonormal set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n , and orthonormal set $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ in \mathbb{C}^m such that

- If $m < n$, then

$$Av_i = \sigma u_i \ (i = 1, \dots, m) \text{ and } Av_i = 0 \ (i = m+1, \dots, n). \quad (1.2.17)$$

- If $m > n$, then

$$Av_i = \sigma u_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n). \quad (1.2.18)$$

(iii) $U^*A = \Sigma \implies A = U\Sigma V^*$. So

- If $m < n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \left[u_1 \mid u_2 \mid \cdots \mid u_m \right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sigma_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^* \\ v_2^* \\ \vdots \\ v_n^* \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff A = \left[\sigma_1 u_1 \mid \sigma_2 u_2 \mid \cdots \mid \sigma_m u_m \mid 0 \mid \cdots \mid 0 \right] \begin{bmatrix} v_1^* \\ v_2^* \\ \vdots \\ v_n^* \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff A = \sigma_1 u_1 v_1^* + \cdots + \sigma_m u_m v_m^* + 0 v_{m+1}^* + \cdots + 0 v_n^* \\
&\iff A = \sigma_1 u_1 v_1^* + \cdots + \sigma_m u_m v_m^*. \tag{1.2.19}
\end{aligned}$$

- If $m > n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \left[u_1 \mid u_2 \mid \cdots \mid u_m \right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sigma_n \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^* \\ v_2^* \\ \vdots \\ v_n^* \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff \left[A v_1 \mid A v_2 \mid \cdots \mid A v_n \right] = \left[\sigma_1 u_1 \mid \sigma_2 u_2 \mid \cdots \mid \sigma_n u_n \right] \begin{bmatrix} v_1^* \\ v_2^* \\ \vdots \\ v_n^* \end{bmatrix} \\
&\iff A = \sigma_1 u_1 v_1^* + \cdots + \sigma_n u_n v_n^*. \tag{1.2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

We can summarize (1.2.19) and (1.2.20) as

$$A = \sigma_1 u_1 v_1^* + \cdots + \sigma_p u_p v_p^* \tag{1.2.21}$$

$$= \left[u_1 \mid u_2 \mid \cdots \mid u_p \right] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & \\ & \sigma_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^* \\ v_2^* \\ \vdots \\ v_p^* \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.2.22}$$

where $p = \min\{m, n\}$.

- (iv) For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Ax &= (\sigma_1 u_1 v_1^* + \cdots + \sigma_p u_p v_p^*)x \\
&= \sigma_1 u_1 (v_1^* x) + \cdots + \sigma_p u_p (v_p^* x) \\
&= \sigma_1 \langle v_1, x \rangle u_1 + \cdots + \sigma_p \langle v_p, x \rangle u_p.
\end{aligned}$$

- (v) Note that

$$AA^* = (U\Sigma V^*)(U\Sigma V^*)^* = U(\Sigma\Sigma^*)U^* \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \tag{1.2.23}$$

where

$$\Sigma\Sigma^* = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & & \\ & \sigma_2^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_p^2 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} & \text{if } m < n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & & & \\ & \sigma_2^2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \sigma_p^2 & \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

It implies that

$$\sigma_i^2, i = 1, \dots, p, \text{ are eigenvalues of } AA^* \text{ and } u_i, i = 1, \dots, m, \text{ are eigenvectors of } AA^*. \quad (1.2.24)$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$A^*A = (U\Sigma V^*)^*(U\Sigma V^*) = V(\Sigma^*\Sigma)V^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \quad (1.2.25)$$

where

$$\Sigma\Sigma^* = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & & \\ & \sigma_2^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_p^2 \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} & \text{if } m < n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & & \\ & \sigma_2^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_p^2 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

It implies that

$$\sigma_i^2, i = 1, \dots, p, \text{ are eigenvalues of } A^*A \text{ and } v_i, i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ are eigenvectors of } A^*A. \quad (1.2.26)$$

(vi) Especially, $\sigma_1 = \|A\|_2 = \sqrt{A^*A}$.

Corollary 1.2.11 (2 matrix Norm v.s. Frobenius Norm). For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$,

$$\|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|_2. \quad (1.2.27)$$

1.2.3 不同範數之間的關係

Lemma 1.2.12 (Holder Inequality). For any p, q satisfies $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, including the case $(p, q) = (1, \infty)$,

$$\int_S |f(x)g(x)| d\mu \leq \left(\int_S |f(x)|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\int_S |g(x)|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.2.28)$$

Corollary 1.2.13 (Generalization of Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality). For any p, q satisfies $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, including the case $(p, q) = (1, \infty)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i y_i| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (1.2.29)$$

Theorem 1.2.14 (Jensen Inequality). For any convex function ϕ , arbitrary function f , and set Ω satisfying $\mu(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} d\mu = 1$, it holds

$$\phi \left(\int_{\Omega} f d\mu \right) \leq \int_{\Omega} (\phi \circ f) d\mu. \quad (1.2.30)$$

- We say a function ϕ is **convex** on a convex set X if

$$\phi(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \leq t\phi(x_1) + (1-t)\phi(x_2). \quad (1.2.31)$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Corollary 1.2.15.

- (i) For any convex function ϕ and arbitrary function f defined on the interval I with $|I| = 1$,

$$\phi \left(\int_I f(x) dx \right) \leq \int_I \phi(f(x)) dx. \quad (1.2.32)$$

Especially,

$$\left(\int_I f(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \int_I f^2(x) dx. \quad (1.2.33)$$

- (ii) For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\mu(i) = \frac{1}{n}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, for any convex function ϕ and arbitrary function f ,

$$\phi \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(i) \right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(f(i)). \quad (1.2.34)$$

Theorem 1.2.16 (Inequality between Different Norms).

- (i) For any $q \geq p$,

$$1 \leq \frac{\|x\|_p}{\|x\|_q} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1.2.35)$$

- The proof of the second inequality proof needs (1.2.34).

In partucular, for any $p > 0$,

$$1 \leq \frac{\|x\|_p}{\|x\|_{\infty}} \leq n^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1.2.36)$$

- (ii) For any $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

$$|y^* x| \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1.2.37)$$

Moreover,

$$\max\{|y^* x| : \|y\|_q = 1\} = \|x\|_p, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (1.2.38)$$

$$\max\{|y^* x| : \|x\|_p = 1\} = \|y\|_q, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1.2.39)$$

- The proof of the second inequality proof needs (1.2.29).

(iii) For any $p \geq 1$, and matrix $A = [a_1 \mid a_2 \mid \cdots \mid a_n] = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$,

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|a_j\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \leq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \|a_j\|_p, \quad (1.2.40)$$

$$\max_{i,j} |a_{ij}| \leq \|A\|_p \leq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} m^{\frac{1}{p}} \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|. \quad (1.2.41)$$

(iv) For any $p \geq 1$, and matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$,

$$m^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|A\|_1 \leq \|A\|_p \leq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|A\|_1. \quad (1.2.42)$$

(v) For any $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$,

$$\|A\|_p = \|A^*\|_q. \quad (1.2.43)$$

(vi) For any $p \geq 1$, and matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$,

$$n^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|A\|_\infty \leq \|A\|_p \leq m^{\frac{1}{p}} \|A\|_\infty. \quad (1.2.44)$$

(vii) For any $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$,

$$\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|A\|_p \|A\|_q}. \quad (1.2.45)$$

(viii) For any $q > p \geq 1$, and matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$,

$$n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|A\|_q \leq \|A\|_p \leq m^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|A\|_q. \quad (1.2.46)$$