

Probability

heng

2021.10.9

Contents

1	Condition and Independence	1
2	Convergence of Random Variables	1
3	Law of Large Numbers	2

1 Condition and Independence

These are what distinguish probability from measure theory.

Definition 1 (Conditional probability). *Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, a random variable X , an event E , define a finite measure m on Ω as*

$$m(E') := P([X \in E'] \cap E)$$

This measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the distribution of X (denote it as P_X) since

$$m(E') = P([X \in E'] \cap E) \leq P([X \in E']) = P_X(E')$$

Therefore, by Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a P_X -integrable function ψ s.t.

$$P([X \in E'] \cap E) = \int_{E'} \psi(\omega) dP_X(\omega)$$

We define $P(E'|X = \omega) := \psi(\omega)$ as the probability of E' under the condition $X = \omega$.

2 Convergence of Random Variables

Definition 2. *Let $\{f_n\}, f$ be measurable functions, μ be a measure, define*

- **almost everywhere convergence:** *Converge point-wise outside a set with measure 0.*

- **convergence by measure:** $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \mu(|f_n - f| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$
- **convergence by distribution:** $\forall g \in C_{\text{bounded}}(\mathbb{R}), \int_{\mathbb{R}} g df_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} g df$
-

3 Law of Large Numbers

Definition 3. Let $\{X_n\}$ be r.v., it obeys the **Law of large numbers** if $\exists \{a_n\}, 0 \leq \{b_n\} \uparrow \infty$ s.t.

$$P(|\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - a_n}{b_n}| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1. Bernoulli's Weak Law of Large Numbers Let μ_n be Bernoullian r.v., then $P(|\frac{\mu_n}{n} - p| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$

Proof. By applying Chebyshev's inequal., we have

$$P(|\frac{\mu_n}{n} - p| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} D\mu_n = \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} np(1-p) \rightarrow 0$$

□

Example 1. Bernstein's proof of Weierstrass approximation theorem

Let $f \in C^0([0, 1])$,

$$B_n(x) := \sum_{i=0}^n f(\frac{i}{n}) C_n^i x^i (1-x)^{n-i}$$

then $B_n \rightrightarrows f$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_i \sim b(1, x)$, we have

$$Ef(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i}{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\frac{i}{n}) C_n^i x^i (1-x)^{n-i} = B_n(x)$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |Ef(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i}{n}) - f(x)| \\ & \leq E|f(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i}{n}) - f(x)| I_{|\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i}{n} - x| > \delta} \\ & + E|f(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i}{n}) - f(x)| I_{|\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i}{n} - x| \leq \delta} \\ & \leq 2\|f\|_{\infty} P(|\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{X}_i}{n} - x| > \delta) + \varepsilon \\ & \leq 2\|f\|_{\infty} \frac{x(1-x)}{n\delta^2} + \varepsilon \\ & \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{2n\delta^2} + \varepsilon \Rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

□