CSC311 Assignment 01 (Non-programming) Ye Huang

$$Q4(f)$$
.

Let's assume that
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial \omega_i} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y^{(i)} - t^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} / N$$

Consider,

$$[X^T(y-t)/N]_j = \frac{1}{N}[X^T(y-t)]_j$$
 (Since $\frac{1}{N}$ is scalar)

Let
$$w = y - t$$
 where w is a vector and $w_i = y^{(i)} - t^{(i)}$
= $\frac{1}{N}[X^T w]_j$

Since y and t are both mx1 vectors, w is mx1, then X^T is nxm(since X is mxn).

Therefore $[X^Tw]_j = [X^T]_j w$, since at the j^{th} row of X^Tw is just the j^{th} row of X^T multiplying(matrix wise) w, which should give us a scalar.

Then,
$$\frac{1}{N}[X^Tw]_j = \frac{1}{N}[X^T]_j w$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}(\sum_{i=1}^M [X^T]_{ji}w_i) \text{ (definition of matrix multiplication)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}(\sum_{i=1}^M X_{ij}w_i) \text{ (definition of matrix transpose)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}(\sum_{i=1}^M X_j^{(i)}w_i) (X_ij = x_j^i \text{ given)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}(\sum_{i=1}^M X_j^{(i)}(y^{(i)} - w^{(i)})) \text{ (definition of w)}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial w_i}$$

And notice, we have used only equivalence signs(=) for our proof, and all the definitions have the if-and-only-if property (the meaning of definition).

So, assuming without-loss-of-generality, we can also state that given the consequence, we can use this exact logic to show the assumption holds.

Therefore, we have proven the claim as required.

Q4(e).

Consider,

$$\mathcal{L}_{LCE}(z,t) = \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\sigma(z),t) \text{ (definition of logistic-cross-entropy)}$$

$$= -t \log \sigma(z) - (1-t)\log(1-\sigma(z)) \text{ (definition of } \mathcal{L}_{CE})$$

$$= -t \log(\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}) - (1-t)\log(1-\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}) \text{ (definition of } \sigma)$$

$$= -t \log(1) - \log(1+e^{-z}) - (1-t)\log(\frac{1+e^{-z}-1}{1+e^{-z}}) \text{ (log rule)}$$

$$= -t[-\log(1+e^{-z})] - (1-t)[\log(e^{-z}) - \log(1+e^{-z})] \text{ (log 1} = 0)$$

$$= t \log(1+e^{-z}) - (1-t)[-z - \log(1+e^{-z})] \text{ (log_bb^a = a)}$$

$$= t \log(1+e^{-z}) - (1-t)[-z - \log(1+\frac{1}{e^z})] \text{ (}e^{-z} = \frac{1}{e^z})$$

$$= t \log(1+e^{-z}) - (1-t)[z - \log(\frac{e^z+1}{e^z})]$$

$$= t \log(1+e^{-z}) - (1-t)[z - \log(1+e^z) - \log(e^z)] \text{ (log rule)}$$

$$= t \log(1 + e^{-z}) - (1 - t)[z - \log(1 + e^{z}) - z] (\log_b b^a = a)$$

$$= t \log(1 + e^{-z}) - (1 - t)[-\log(1 + e^{z})]$$

$$= t \log(1 + e^{-z}) + (1 - t)[\log(1 + e^{z})]$$

Q6(e).

Consider the image of "5" and "6", they are more similar in hand-writing in comparison to "4" and "7" (where the only difference between "5" and "6" is whether there would be a gap after the final left curl).

The reason why the validation accuracy of part (d) is better than part (c) is because we are using a larger value of K, so our algorithm becomes more resilient to noise and outliers.

For the best value of K, by having a larger K to try to distinguish "5" and "6" will only confuses the algorithm, as the hand-writings are so similar, yet the values are somewhat arbitrary and misleading.

Q6(f).

Given that we are solving a binary-classification problem, we only use odd value for K because if we use an even number for K, and half the neighbours are class 0 and the other half are class 1(binary data), then we won't be able to determine the class of the current data point.

Therefore, an odd number for K is necessary.

Q6(g).

The reason why KNN performs well on MNIST data, is because MNIST data is highly-preprocessed (grey scaling, thresholding...), and the data set is fairly simple (with the target being an integer 0 to 9).

When reducing the target down to only 2 (binary classification), we have also eliminated all the noise data in the data set (ex. in part (d), hand-writing of "4" is similar to "9", but we made a reduction data so only "4" and "7" exists) which helps greatly with the increasing the accuracy.

End of Assignment