Topics

- Language Evaluation Criteria
  - Readability
  - Writability
  - Reliability
  - Cost
- Implementation Methods
  - Compilation
  - Pure Interpretation
  - Hybrid

Programming Domains

- Scientific applications
  - Large numbers of floating point computations; use of arrays
  - Fortran
- Business applications
  - Produce reports, use decimal numbers and characters
  - COBOL
- Artificial intelligence
  - Symbols rather than numbers manipulated; use of linked lists
  - LISP
- Systems programming
  - Need efficiency because of continuous use
  - C
- Web Software
  - Eclectic collection of languages: markup (e.g., HTML), scripting (e.g., PHP), general-purpose (e.g., Java)

Language Evaluation Criteria

- **Readability**: the ease with which programs can be read and understood
- **Writability**: the ease with which a language can be used to create programs
- **Reliability**: conformance to specifications (i.e., performs to its specifications)
- **Cost**: the ultimate total cost

Evaluation Criteria: Readability

- Overall simplicity
  - A manageable set of features and constructs
  - Minimal feature multiplicity
  - Minimal operator overloading
- Orthogonality
  - A relatively small set of primitive constructs can be combined in a relatively small number of ways
  - Every possible combination is legal
- Data types
  - Adequate predefined data types
- Syntax considerations
  - Identifier forms: flexible composition
  - Special words and methods of forming compound statements
  - Form and meaning: self-descriptive constructs, meaningful keywords

Evaluation Criteria: Writability

- Simplicity and orthogonality
  - Few constructs, a small number of primitives, a small set of rules for combining them
- Support for abstraction
  - The ability to define and use complex structures or operations in ways that allow details to be ignored
- Expressivity
  - A set of relatively convenient ways of specifying operations
  - Strength and number of operators and predefined functions

Evaluation Criteria: Reliability

- Type checking
  - Testing for type errors
- Exception handling
  - Intercept run-time errors and take corrective measures
- Aliasing
  - Presence of two or more distinct referencing methods for the same memory location
- Readability and writability
  - A language that does not support “natural” ways of expressing an algorithm will require the use of “unnatural” approaches, and hence reduced reliability

Evaluation Criteria: Cost

- Training programmers to use the language
- Writing programs (closeness to particular applications)
- Executing programs
- Reliability: poor reliability leads to high costs
- Maintaining programs

Evaluation Criteria: Others

- Portability
  - The ease with which programs can be moved from one implementation to another
- Generality
  - The applicability to a wide range of applications
- Well-definedness
  - The completeness and precision of the language's official definition

Language Design Trade-Offs

- Reliability vs. cost of execution
  - Example: Java demands all references to array elements be checked for proper indexing, which leads to increased execution costs
- Readability vs. writability

  Example: APL provides many powerful operators (and a large number of new symbols), allowing complex computations to be written in a compact program but at the cost of poor readability
- Writability (flexibility) vs. reliability
  - Example: C++ pointers are powerful and very flexible but are unreliable

Implementation Methods

- Compilation
  - Programs are translated into machine language; includes JIT systems
  - Use: Large commercial applications

- Pure Interpretation
  - Programs are interpreted by another program known as an interpreter
  - Use: Small programs or when efficiency is not an issue

- Hybrid Implementation Systems
  - A compromise between compilers and pure interpreters
  - Use: Small and medium systems when efficiency is not the first concern

Layered View of Computer

The operating system and language implementation are layered over machine interface of a computer



Compilation

- Translate high-level program (source language) into machine code (machine language)
- Slow translation, fast execution
- Compilation process has several phases:
  - lexical analysis: converts characters in the source program into lexical units
  - syntax analysis: transforms lexical units into *parse trees* which represent the syntactic structure of program
  - Semantics analysis: generate intermediate code
  - code generation: machine code is generated

The Compilation Process



Pure Interpretation

- No translation
- Easier implementation of many source level debugging operations (run-time errors can easily and immediately be displayed)
- Slower execution (10 to 100 times slower than compiled programs)
- Often requires more space
- Now rare for traditional high-level languages
- Significant comeback with some Web scripting languages (e.g., JavaScript, PHP)

Pure Interpretation Process



Hybrid Implementation Systems

- A compromise between compilers and pure interpreters
- A high-level language program is translated to an intermediate language that allows easy interpretation
- Faster than pure interpretation
- Examples
  - Perl programs are partially compiled to detect errors before interpretation
  - Initial implementations of Java were hybrid; the intermediate form, *byte code*, provides portability to any machine that has a byte code interpreter and a run-time system (together, these are called *Java Virtual Machine*)

Hybrid Implementation Process



Just-in-Time Implementation Systems

- Initially translate programs to an intermediate language
- Then compile the intermediate language of the subprograms into machine code when they are called
- Machine code version is kept for subsequent calls
- JIT systems are widely used for Java programs
- .NET languages are implemented with a JIT system
- In essence, JIT systems are delayed compilers