## Causal Machine Learning Masterclass

# Sessions 3 and 4 Lab: LTMLE for Longitudinal Data with Intercurrent Events

Mark van der Laan Rachael Phillips University of California, Berkeley

3 March 2020

Alan Turing Institute in collaboration with the Centre for Statistical Methodology and London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Statistics for Clinical & Epidemiological Research (SISCER)
Adapted from materials by Professors David Benkeser, Marco Carone & Larry Kessler: Summer Institute in

## The ltmle package

The 1tmle package facilitates doubly-robust estimation about average treatment effects of longitudinal interventions. It is available on CRAN and GitHub.

• A Journal of Statistical Software paper is also available.

#### Learning objectives for today:

- understanding and executing basic calls to ltmle;
- understanding interface between ltmle and SuperLearner;
- executing calls to 1tmle with censoring;
- executing calls to ltmle for longitudinal treatment rules.

## Simulating longitudinal data

To illustrate a general longitudinal setting, let's simulate a data structure with three time-varying interventions.

$$\begin{split} &L_{01} \sim \textit{Bernoulli}(p=0.5) \\ &L_{02} \sim \textit{Normal}(\mu=0,\sigma^2=1) \\ &A_0 \sim \textit{Bernoulli}(p=\text{expit}(0.2 \cdot L_{01} - 0.2 \cdot L_{02})) \\ &L_1 \sim \textit{Normal}(\mu=-A_0 + L_{01} - L_{02},\sigma^2=1) \\ &A_1 \sim \textit{Bernoulli}(p=\text{expit}(0.2 \cdot A_0 - L_1 + L_{01})) \\ &L_2 \sim \textit{Normal}(\mu=-A_0 \cdot A_1 + 2 \cdot A_1 - L_{01} + L_1,\sigma^2=2) \\ &A_2 \sim \textit{Bernoulli}(p=\text{expit}(A_0 - A_1 + 2 \cdot A_0 \cdot A_1 - A_{01} + 0.2 \cdot L_1 \cdot L_{02})) \\ &Y \sim \textit{Normal}(\mu=L_{01} \cdot L_{02} \cdot L_2 - A_0 - A_1 - A_2 \cdot A_0 \cdot L_2,\sigma^2=2) \end{split}$$

#### Exercise 1

- $\blacksquare$  Generate n=500 samples from the above data generating process.
- Show the first 6 lines and summary statistics of the data using the head() and summary() functions, respectively.
- Describe a study/experiment that could be represented by this data generating system.
- We are interested in estimating the effect of receiving treatment at all three time points versus receiving control at all three time points. Calculate the true value of the estimand.

*Hint:* Given a large sample of counterfactual outcomes (say, n=100,000), we can closely approximate the target causal estimand.

### Exercise 1 Solution

```
# set seed for reproducibility & set sample size of 500
set.seed(212): n <- 500
# baseline variables
L0 \leftarrow data.frame(L01 = rnorm(n), L02 = rbinom(n, 1, 0.5))
# first treatment
gA0 <- plogis(0.2 * L0$L01 - 0.2 * L0$L02)
AO \leftarrow rbinom(n = n, size = 1, prob = gAO)
# intermediate variable at time 1
L1 \leftarrow rnorm(n = n, mean = -A0 + L0$L01 - L0$L02, sd = 1)
# second treatment decision
gA1 \leftarrow plogis(0.2 * A0 - L1 + L0$L01)
A1 \leftarrow rbinom(n = n, size = 1, prob = gA1)
# intermediate variable at time 2
L2 \leftarrow rnorm(n = n, mean = -A0*A1 + 2*A1 - L0$L01 + L1, sd = 2)
# third treatment decision
gA2 \leftarrow plogis(A0 - A1 + 2*A0*A1 - L0$L01 + 0.2 * L1*L0$L02)
A2 \leftarrow rbinom(n = n, size = 1, prob = gA2)
# outcome
Y < -rnorm(n = n, mean = L0$L01 * L0$L02 * L2 - A0 - A1 - A2*A0*L2, sd = 2)
# put into a data frame
full data <- data.frame(LO, AO = AO, L1 = L1,
                          A1 = A1, L2 = L2, A2 = A2, Y = Y
```

### Exercise 1 Solution

Take a look at the first six rows of data:

#### head(full\_data)

```
##
           L01 L02 A0
                             L1 A1
                                           L2 A2
## 1 -0.2391731
                   1 -2.0936558
                                 1 -0.4755031 1 -1.5500339
     0.6769356
                       1.1531256
                                    1.3390966
                                               1 -4.4178134
## 3 -2.4403360
                 0 0 -1.5562041 1
                                    3.7861115 1 -3.7538463
    1.2408845
                 0 0 -0.2899494 1
                                    1.2289257 0
                                                  0.7606519
## 5 -0.3265144
                 1 1 -3.7865679
                                1 -2.5503459 1
                                                 1.1983679
## 6
     0.1544909
                    1 -1.2827057
                                    3.7191556 1 -3.5444271
```

### Exercise 1 Solution

• True value of E[Y(0,0,0)] = 0.

```
compute_truth \leftarrow function(n = 1e6, a0 = 1, a1 = 1, a2 = 1){
    set.seed(212)
    L0 \leftarrow data.frame(L01 = rnorm(n), L02 = rbinom(n, 1, 0.5))
    A0 \leftarrow rep(a0, n)
    L1 \leftarrow rnorm(n = n, mean = -A0 + L0$L01 - L0$L02, sd = 1)
    A1 \leftarrow rep(a1, n)
    L2 \leftarrow rnorm(n = n, mean = -A0*A1 + 2*A1 - L0$L01 + L1, sd = 2)
    A2 \leftarrow rep(a2, n)
    # outcome
    Y \leftarrow rnorm(n = n, mean = L0$L01 * L0$L02 * L2 - A0 - A1 - A2*A0*L2, sd = 2)
    # put into a data frame
    return(mean(Y))
}
\# E[Y(1,1,1)] = compute truth()
\# E[Y(0,0,0)] = compute\_truth(a0 = 0, a1 = 0, a2 = 0)
   • True value of E[Y(1,1,1)] = -1.5.
```

# Simulating longitudinal data with missingness

Often, participants are lost-to-follow-up during the course of the study. Here, we add some right-censoring to our data.

$$\begin{split} &C_1 \sim \textit{Bernoulli}(p = \text{expit}(-2 + 0.05 \cdot \textit{L}_{01})) \\ &C_2 \sim \textit{Bernoulli}(p = \text{expit}(-3 + 0.05 \cdot \textit{A}_0 + 0.025 \cdot \textit{L}_1 - 0.025 \cdot \textit{L}_{02})) \\ &C_3 \sim \textit{Bernoulli}(p = \text{expit}(-3.5 + 0.05 \cdot \textit{A}_0 \cdot \textit{A}_1 - 0.025 \cdot \textit{L}_2 + 0.025 \cdot \textit{L}_1)) \end{split}$$

#### Exercise 2

- Generate n = 500 samples from the above data generating process. Formatting of censoring nodes is important; the function 'BinaryToCensoring()' helps to properly format these nodes, and is used as ltmle::BinaryToCensoring(is.censored = C1).
- Show the first few lines of the data using the head().
- Describe a study/experiment that could be represented by this data generating system.

### Exercise 2 Solution

```
set.seed(12)
# censoring prior to time 1 (1 = censored)
gC1 \leftarrow plogis(-2 + 0.05 * L0$L01)
C1 \leftarrow rbinom(n = n, size = 1, prob = gC1)
# censoring prior to time 2 (1 = censored)
gC2 \leftarrow plogis(-3 + 0.05 * A0 + 0.025 * L1 - 0.025 * L0$L02)
C2 \leftarrow rbinom(n = n, size = 1, prob = gC2)
# censoring prior to time 3 (1 = censored)
gC3 \leftarrow plogis(-3.5 + 0.05*A0*A1 - 0.025*L2 + 0.025 * L1)
C3 \leftarrow rbinom(n = n, size = 1, prob = gC3)
# make a cumulative indicator of censoring
anyC1 <- C1 == 1; anyC2 <- C1 == 1 | C2 == 1
anyC3 <- C1 == 1 | C2 == 1 | C3 == 1
# censored data set
cens_data <- data.frame(LO, AO = AO.
               C1 = ltmle::BinaryToCensoring(is.censored = C1),
               L1 = ifelse(anyC1, NA, L1), A1 = ifelse(anyC1, NA, A1),
               C2 = ltmle::BinaryToCensoring(is.censored = ifelse(anyC1, NA, C2)),
               L2 = ifelse(anyC2, NA, L2), A2 = ifelse(anyC2, NA, A2),
               C3 = ltmle::BinaryToCensoring(is.censored = ifelse(anyC2, NA, C3)),
               Y = ifelse(anyC3, NA, Y))
```

### Exercise 2 Solution

head(cens\_data, 9)

```
I.01 I.02 A0
##
                                C1
                                            L1 A1
                                                          C2
     -0.2391731
                      1 uncensored -2.0936558
                                                1 uncensored
     0.6769356
                      1 uncensored
                                    1.1531256
                                                0 uncensored
  3 - 2.4403360
                                            NA NA
                                                        <NA>
                  0
                      0
                          censored
      1.2408845
                      0 uncensored -0.2899494
                                                1 uncensored
  5 -0.3265144
                      1 uncensored -3.7865679
                                                1 uncensored
  6
      0.1544909
                      1 uncensored -1.2827057
                                                1 uncensored
      1.0368712
                  1
                      1 uncensored 0.6649321
                                                1 uncensored
  8 -0.7796077
                      0 uncensored -1.3935843
                                                1 uncensored
      0.6212641
                      1 uncensored -1.5369416
                                                1 uncensored
##
             L2 A2
                            C3
  1 - 0.4755031
                   uncensored -1.5500339
##
      1.3390966
                   uncensored -4.4178134
             NA NA
                          <NA>
                                       NΑ
##
      1,2289257
                   uncensored
                                0.7606519
     -2.5503459
                   uncensored
                                1.1983679
##
      3.7191556
                 1 uncensored -3.5444271
  7 -1.6484044
                 1 uncensored -2.9017656
      0.1423414
                 0 uncensored -3.8194847
  9 -4.1821615
                 1 uncensored
                                1.2824355
```

#### A rundown of the most important options for the ltmle function:

- data = data.frame where the order of the columns corresponds to the time-ordering of variables (important!);
- Anodes = names of treatment nodes;
- Cnodes = names of censoring nodes;
- Lnodes = names of time-varying covariate nodes;
- SL.library = list with named entries Q and g specifying super learner libraries for the iterated outcome regressions and propensity scores;
- abar = binary vector of length length(Anodes) or list of length 2 to contrast treatments;
- ullet gbounds = a vector of lower and upper bounds on estimated propensity scores;
- stratify = if TRUE then regressions are performed separately for each abar. If FALSE (default), then regressions are pooled over abar.

#### For survival analysis:

- Ynodes = names or indexes of time-varying outcome nodes;
- $\bullet \ \, {\tt survivalOutcome} = {\tt TRUE} \ \, {\tt if} \ \, {\tt outcome} \ \, {\tt is} \ \, {\tt event} \ \, {\tt that} \ \, {\tt occurs} \ \, {\tt only} \ \, {\tt once}, \ \, {\tt FALSE} \ \, {\tt otherwise}. \\$
- Alternatively, see package survtmle.

#### For treatment rules:

• rule function that can be applied to each row of data, which should return a numeric vector of treatment assignments of length (Anodes).

```
library(ltmle)
library(SuperLearner)
```

Let's start by making a simple call to ltmle and parsing the output.

- Get counterfactual mean for all treatment and all control.
- The super learner library for propensity scores and outcome regressions uses polynomial multivariate adaptive regression splines, logistic regression, and intercept-only regression.
- We fit regressions pooled over all treatments.

```
## Some Ynodes are not in [0, 1], and Yrange was NULL, so all Y nodes are
## being transformed to (Y-min.of.all.Ys)/range.of.all.Ys
```

- Feature/flaw of ltmle: outcomes automatically scaled to be between 0 and 1.
- In general, this is fine. It prevents regression estimators from extrapolating outside the range of the observed data.
- However, super learner is called with family = binomial(), even though the outcome assumes values continuously between 0 and 1. This may cause issues with some wrappers (e.g., SL.glmnet).

```
## Qform not specified, using defaults:
## formula for L1:
## Q.kplus1 ~ L01 + L02 + A0
## formula for L2:
## Q.kplus1 ~ L01 + L02 + A0 + L1 + A1
## formula for Y:
## Q.kplus1 ~ L01 + L02 + A0 + L1 + A1 + L2 + A2
```

- Qform indicates what variables to include in each outcome regression. If NULL (default) it includes all variables from previous time points.
- Confusingly, not an indication that a glm was used for the outcome regressions.
- See the function documentation for more.

```
## gform not specified, using defaults:
## formula for A0:
## A0 ~ L01 + L02
## formula for A1:
## A1 ~ L01 + L02 + A0 + L1
## formula for A2:
## A2 ~ L01 + L02 + A0 + L1 + A1 + L2
```

- gform indicates what variables to include in each propensity score. If NULL (default) it
  includes all variables from previous time points.
- Confusingly, not an indication that a glm was used for the propensity scores.
- See the function documentation for more.

```
## Warning messages:
## In predict.lm(object, newdata, se.fit, scale = 1, type = ifelse(type == :
## prediction from a rank-deficient fit may be misleading
```

- Current version of ltmle is doing something silly to cause this error safe to ignore.
- A fix is pending.

The summary method provides results.

```
summary(ltmle fit1)
## Estimator: tmle
## Call:
## ltmle(data = full_data, Anodes = c("A0", "A1", "A2"), Lnodes = c("L01",
##
      "LO2", "L1", "L2"), Ynodes = "Y", abar = list(treatment = c(1,
      1, 1), control = c(0, 0, 0)), stratify = FALSE, SL.library = list(Q = c("SL.
##
      "SL.glm", "SL.mean"), g = c("SL.earth", "SL.glm", "SL.mean")))
##
##
## Treatment Estimate:
##
     Parameter Estimate: -1.6376
##
      Estimated Std Err: 0.24672
##
                p-value: <2e-16
      95% Conf Interval: (-2.1212, -1.1541)
##
##
## Control Estimate:
##
      Parameter Estimate: 0.16413
      Estimated Std Err: 0.28925
##
##
                p-value: <2e-16
      95% Conf Interval: (-0.40279, 0.73104)
##
```

```
##
## Additive Treatment Effect:
## Parameter Estimate: -1.8018
## Estimated Std Err: 0.37996
## p-value: 2.1168e-06
## 95% Conf Interval: (-2.5465, -1.057)
```

- Treatment Estimate pertains to E[Y(1,1,1)].
- Control Estimate pertains to E[Y(0,0,0)].
- $\bullet \ \, \text{Additive Treatment Effect pertains to} \,\, E[Y(1,1,1)] E[Y(0,0,0)]. \\$
- All p-value's are of null hypothesis that quantity equals 0.

Unfortunately, the full super learner objects for each regression cannot be accessed from ltmle\_fit1. However, the weights given to each regression at each time are saved.

```
# weights for outcome regressions, because we set stratify = FALSE, the output in
# ltmle_fit1$fit$Q[[1]] is the same as in ltmle_fit1$fit$Q[[2]]
ltmle fit1$fit$Q[[1]]
## $L1
##
                       Risk
                                  Coef
## SL.earth All 0.001848613 0.58151911
## SL.glm All 0.001874259 0.40812164
## SL.mean All 0.002683676 0.01035926
##
## $L2
##
                       Risk
                                  Coef
## SL.earth_All 0.007511131 0.60433728
## SL.glm All 0.007830406 0.37698630
## SL.mean All 0.010002381 0.01867641
##
## $Y
##
                      Risk
                                Coef
## SL.earth All 0.01096039 0.8517972
## SL.glm All 0.01588644 0.1482028
```

## SL.mean\_All 0.01793702 0.0000000

## SL.glm\_All 0.1699507 0.552778712 ## SL.mean All 0.2451067 0.007043417

```
# weights for propensity scores, because we set stratify = FALSE, the output in
# ltmle_fit1$fit$g[[1]] is the same as in ltmle_fit1$fit$g[[2]]
ltmle_fit1$fit$g[[1]]
## $AO
##
                     Risk
                                Coef
## SL.earth All 0.2480814 0.00000000
## SL.glm All 0.2451089 0.92935948
## SL.mean All 0.2505096 0.07064052
##
## $A1
##
                     Risk
                                Coef
## SL.earth All 0.1696186 0.21983761
## SL.glm All 0.1664212 0.73773235
## SL.mean All 0.2040158 0.04243004
##
## $A2
##
                     Risk
                                 Coef
## SL.earth All 0.1722207 0.440177871
```

# Example write-up of LTMLE analysis

#### Methods

We estimated the average counterfactual outcome if patients received treatment at all three time points versus if patients received control at all three time points using super learning and longitudinal targeted minimum loss-based estimation (van der Laan and Gruber, 2010). This requires estimation of an iterated outcome regression and the probability for treatment at each time point. At each time point, these regressions adjusted for measured patient characteristics prior to that timepoint. At baseline, these characteristics included [...]; at the second time point these included [...]; at the third time point these included [...]. Each regression was estimated using super learning. For the outcome regressions, we estimated the linear combination of candidate regression estimators that minimizes ten-fold cross-validated mean squared-error. We included three candidate regression estimators in the super learner: polynomial multivariate regression splines, main terms quasi-logistic regression, and intercept-only regression. The same set of candidate estimators was used for estimating the probability of treatment at each time point. However, in this case we estimated the logistic-linear combination of regression estimators that minimizes ten-fold cross-validated negative log-likelihood loss. We tested the null hypothesis that the average outcomes were the same under treatment versus control using a two-sided, level 0.05 Wald test with influence function-based standard errors estimates. Analyses were performed using the SuperLearner and Itmle R packages (Polley et al, 2018; Lendle et al 2017).

# Example write-up of LTMLE analysis

```
tmp <- summary(ltmle_fit1)
EY1 <- tmp$effect.measures$treatment$estimate
EY1_ci <- tmp$effect.measures$treatment$CI
EY0 <- tmp$effect.measures$control$estimate
EY0_ci <- tmp$effect.measures$control$CI</pre>
```

#### Results

Depending on the number of time points, it may be overwhelming to describe the super learners fit for each regression. It may suffice to provide general statements.

Overall, the super learners for the iterated outcome regressions tended to give the most weight to polynomial multivariate adaptive regression splines, while for the treatment probability the main-terms logistic regression tended to have the most weight (Table 1, Appendix A).

The estimated average counterfactual outcome if patients received treatment at all three time points was -1.64 (95% CI: -2.12, -1.15). On the other hand the estimated average counterfactual outcome if patients received control at all three time points was 0.16 (95% CI: -0.40, 0.73). Our test of the null hypothesis that these two quantities are equal rejected the null hypothesis (p-value < 0.001).

Sensitivity analyses examining super learner performance are more difficult to conduct in these settings, particularly for the iterated outcome regressions.

# Example write-up of LTMLE analysis

```
w1 <- formatC(ltmle_fit1$fit$Q[[1]][[1]][,2], digits = 2, format = "f")
w2 <- formatC(ltmle_fit1$fit$Q[[1]][[2]][,2], digits = 2, format = "f")
w3 <- formatC(ltmle_fit1$fit$Q[[1]][[3]][,2], digits = 2, format = "f")</pre>
```

#### **Appendix**

Iterated outcome regressions and super learner weights

Function name	Description	Time 1	Time 2	Time 3
SL.glm_All	main-terms linear regression using all previous variables	0.41	0.38	0.15
SL.mean_All SL.earth_All	intercept-only regression polynomial multivariate adaptive regression splines using all previous variables and "default" tuning parameters	0.01 0.58	0.02 0.60	0.00 0.85

#### Exercise 3

- Make a call to ltmle using the censored data set.
- Get counterfactual mean for all treatment and all control.
- Specify c("SL.earth", "SL.glm", "SL.mean") as the super learner library for propensity scores (which now includes censoring) and outcome regressions, which uses polynomial multivariate adaptive regression splines, logistic regression, and intercept-only regression.

#### Exercise 3 Solution

The specific formatting of Cnodes is important. We previously used the helper function BinaryToCensoring to properly format these variables.

This procedure fits regressions pooled over all treatments using uncensored observations.

## **Exercise 3 Solution**

```
summarv(ltmle fit2)
## Estimator: tmle
## Call:
## ltmle(data = cens_data, Anodes = c("A0", "A1", "A2"), Cnodes = c("C1",
##
      "C2", "C3"), Lnodes = c("L01", "L02", "L1", "L2"), Ynodes = "Y",
##
      abar = list(treatment = c(1, 1, 1), control = c(0, 0, 0)),
      stratify = FALSE, SL.library = list(Q = c("SL.earth", "SL.glm",
##
           "SL.mean"), g = c("SL.earth", "SL.glm", "SL.mean")))
##
##
## Treatment Estimate:
##
      Parameter Estimate: -1.6158
##
      Estimated Std Err: 0.26973
##
                p-value: <2e-16
##
      95% Conf Interval: (-2.1445, -1.0871)
##
## Control Estimate:
##
      Parameter Estimate: 0.24192
##
      Estimated Std Err: 0.36437
##
                p-value: <2e-16
      95% Conf Interval: (-0.47224, 0.95607)
##
```

### Exercise 3 Solution

```
##
## Additive Treatment Effect:
## Parameter Estimate: -1.8577
## Estimated Std Err: 0.45346
## p-value: 4.1895e-05
## 95% Conf Interval: (-2.7465, -0.96896)

## earth glm Y: did not converge after 25 iterations
## glm.fit: algorithm did not converge
```

- For some regressions, there are few observations with the outcome.
- E.g., C3 ~ L01 + L02 + A0 + L1 + A1 + L2 + A2 has only 9 censored observations.
- ullet By default, ltmle tries use V = 10 fold cross-validation, which leads to instability.
- Corrections for this are in the works.

#### Other notes:

 $\bullet \ \, \texttt{ltmle\_fit2\$fit\$g} \ \, \texttt{additionally} \ \, \texttt{contains} \ \, \texttt{super} \ \, \texttt{learner} \ \, \texttt{risks/weights} \ \, \texttt{for} \ \, \texttt{censoring}. \\$ 

Suppose we are interested in comparing two treatment regimes:

- Give all patients control until  $L_k > -1$ , then give treatment.
- E.g., monitor patients until back pain worsens, then give treatment.
- Give all patients control at every time point.

In ltmle this is achieved by the rule and regime options.

- A rule is a function that looks at a patient's data and outputs a vector of binary treatment assignments for that patient.
- The regimes option will is a list of rules.

Here we define a rule for "give all patients control until  $L_k > -1$ , then give treatment."

```
rule1 <- function(pt_data){</pre>
    # all patients start on control
    AO <- 0
    # patients get treatment at time 1 if L1 > -1
    # set patients with missing L1 to NA
    if(!is.na(pt_data$L1)){
        A1 <- ifelse(pt_dataL1 > -1, 1, 0)
    }else{
        A1 < - NA
    # patients get treatment at time 2 if L2 > -1
    # set patients with missing L2 to NA
    if(!is.na(pt_data$L1)){
        A2 <- ifelse(pt_data$L2 > -1, 1, 0)
    }else{
        A2 <- NA
    return(c(A0,A1,A2))
}
```

## Exercise 4

■ Define a rule for give all patients control at every time point.

### Exercise 4 Solution

```
rule2 <- function(pt_data){
    # all patients start on control
    A0 <- 0
    # and stay on control unless censored
    A1 <- ifelse(is.na(pt_data$L1), NA, 0)
    A2 <- ifelse(is.na(pt_data$L2), NA, 0)
    return(c(A0,A1,A2))
}</pre>
```

We now make a call to 1tmle using the censored data set.

- Get counterfactual mean for the two treatment rules
- Same super learner and other options as before.

```
summarv(ltmle fit3)
## Estimator: tmle
## Call:
## ltmle(data = cens_data, Anodes = c("A0", "A1", "A2"), Cnodes = c("C1",
##
      "C2", "C3"), Lnodes = c("L01", "L02", "L1", "L2"), Ynodes = "Y",
##
      rule = list(treatment = rule1, control = rule2), stratify = FALSE,
      SL.library = list(Q = c("SL.earth", "SL.glm", "SL.mean"),
##
           g = c("SL.earth", "SL.glm", "SL.mean")))
##
##
## Treatment Estimate:
##
      Parameter Estimate: -0.22419
##
      Estimated Std Err: 0.31623
##
                p-value: <2e-16
##
      95% Conf Interval: (-0.84399, 0.3956)
##
## Control Estimate:
##
      Parameter Estimate: 0.38042
##
      Estimated Std Err: 0.36485
##
                p-value: <2e-16
      95% Conf Interval: (-0.33469, 1.0955)
##
```

```
##
## Additive Treatment Effect:
## Parameter Estimate: -0.60461
## Estimated Std Err: 0.45254
## p-value: 0.18154
## 95% Conf Interval: (-1.4916, 0.28235)
```

- The output under Treatment is whatever rule was first in the list.
- The output under Control is whatever rule was second in the list.