

Tsiatis (2006) Chapters 10–11

Asymptotic Statistics 2025 Summer Reading Group

Naoki Eguchi

August 18, 2025

Faculty of Medicine, Kyoto University

Today's agenda

- Recap: Coarsened data, IF geometry, AIPWCC in one slide
- Chapter 10: Two-level missingness \Rightarrow efficient AIPWCC & DR
- *Skip (brief mention only)*: monotone coarsening details, censoring
- Generalization idea (operators L , M , M^{-1}) for later use
- Chapter 11: Locally efficient estimators (two representations; how to compute)
- Practical recipe: what to implement in 2h

Recap

Coarsened data and Semiparametric statistics

- Full data Z ; observed data $\{C, G_C(Z)\}$ under CAR (coarsening at random).
 $\Rightarrow \forall r, P(C = r \mid Z) = \pi\{r, G_r(Z)\}$ (coarsening depends only on observed data.)
- When $C = \infty$, the data are completely observed (equal to full data).
- In semiparametric statistics, we study the influence function, an element of Λ^\perp .
 - Full-data tangent space: \mathcal{H}^F ; full-data nuisance tangent space: $\Lambda_F \subset \mathcal{H}^F$.
 - (Observed) tangent space: \mathcal{H} ; nuisance tangent space: $\Lambda = \Lambda_\psi \oplus \Lambda_\eta$ ($\Lambda_\psi \perp \Lambda_\eta$).
- **Theorem 8.3:** all observed-data influence functions can be written as

$$\varphi\{C, G_C(Z)\} = \left[\frac{\mathbf{1}(C = \infty)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi_0)} \varphi^F(Z) + L_2\{C, G_C(Z)\} \right] - \Pi([\cdot] \mid \Lambda_\psi)$$

where $\varphi^F(Z)$: full-data IF, $L_2\{C, G_C(Z)\} \in \Lambda_2$ (augmentation space)

10. Improving Efficiency & Double Robustness with Coarsened Data

The optimal (variance-minimizing) observed-data IF

Theorem 10.1

- For fixed full-data IF $\varphi_F(Z) \in (\text{IF})_F$, the optimal choice is

$$L_2\{C, G_C(Z)\} = -\Pi \left[\frac{\mathbf{1}(C = \infty) \varphi_F(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi_0)} \mid \Lambda_2 \right].$$

- Hence the optimal observed-data influence function is given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{1}(C = \infty) \varphi_F(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi_0)} - \Pi \left[\frac{\mathbf{1}(C = \infty) \varphi_F(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi_0)} \mid \Lambda_2 \right].$$

- *Remark 1* : If we **know** ψ_0 , we can choose optimal IF.

Also, we do not have to estimate ψ because $\Lambda_\psi \subset \Lambda_2$ (already subtracted !)

Generalized by linear operator

Definition 1

- Define the linear operator $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H}^F \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

$$h_F \mapsto \mathcal{J}(h_F) = \frac{\mathbf{1}(C = \infty)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi_0)} h_F(Z) - \Pi \left[\frac{\mathbf{1}(C = \infty)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi_0)} h_F(Z) \mid \Lambda_2 \right].$$

- This operator turns full-data IF into efficient observed-data IF, so we can create efficient observed-data IF space.

Definition 2

- The class of *double-robust* observed-data influence functions is defined by

$$(\text{IF})_{\text{DR}} = \{ \mathcal{J}(\varphi_F) : \varphi_F(Z) \in (\text{IF})_F \}.$$

- $(\text{IF})^F = \varphi^F(Z) + \mathcal{T}^{F\perp}$ is a linear variety in \mathcal{H}^F .
- Since \mathcal{J} is **linear** operator, $(\text{IF})_{\text{DR}} = \mathcal{J}\{(\text{IF})^F\} = \mathcal{J}(\varphi^F) + \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{T}^{F\perp})$ in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 3

- The linear subspace

$$\mathcal{J}(\Lambda^{F\perp}) \subset \Lambda^\perp \subset \mathcal{H},$$

is called the **DR linear space**.

- Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{J}(\Lambda^{F\perp}) = \left\{ \mathcal{J}(\varphi^F) : \varphi^F(Z) \in \Lambda^{F\perp} \right\},$$

10.2 Improving Efficiency with Two Levels of Missingness

Set-up: Two-level missingness

- Partition $Z = (Z_1^\top, Z_2^\top)^\top$ with Z_1 always observed and Z_2 sometimes missing.
- Complete-case indicator $R \in \{0, 1\}$ with MAR:
 $P(R = 1 \mid Z) = P(R = 1 \mid Z_1) = \pi(Z_1, \psi)$ (e.g., logit model).
- Observed data: $O = (R, Z_1, RZ_2)$.
- In this case, $\frac{I(C = \infty) \varphi^{*F}(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} = \frac{R \varphi^{*F}(Z)}{\pi(Z_1)}$ for $\varphi^{*F}(Z) = m(Z, \beta_0) \in \Lambda^{F\perp}$,
hence, we want to find its projection onto Λ_2 .
- Also, $\Lambda_2 = \left\{ \frac{R - \pi(Z_1)}{\pi(Z_1)} h_2(Z_1, \beta) : \forall h_2 : Z_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q \right\}$

Finding the Projection onto the Augmentation space

Theorem 10.2

- The projection of

$$\frac{R \varphi^{*F}(Z)}{\pi(Z_1)}$$

onto the augmentation space Λ_2 is the unique element

$$\left(\frac{R - \pi(Z_1)}{\pi(Z_1)} \right) h_2^0(Z_1) \in \Lambda_2,$$

where

$$h_2^0(Z_1) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi^{*F}(Z) \mid Z_1].$$

- For implementation, we just compute $\mathbb{E}[\varphi^{*F}(Z) \mid Z_1]$.

Adaptive estimation and its algorithm

- Consider the case where we can **choose** an estimating function $m(Z, \beta)$.
- **Optimal** augmentation: $h_2^*(Z_1, \beta) = \mathbb{E}[m(Z, \beta) \mid Z_1]$.
- In practice, posit a (possibly misspecified) model $p_{Z_2|Z_1}^*(\cdot \mid z_1; \xi)$ and compute

$$h_2^*(Z_1, \beta, \xi) = \int m(z_1, u, \beta) p_{Z_2|Z_1}^*(u \mid z_1; \xi) du.$$

- Estimation: fit $\hat{\psi}$ by MLE for $\pi(\cdot, \psi)$; fit $\hat{\xi}^*$ by likelihood for the posited model; solve

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{R_i}{\pi(Z_{1i}, \hat{\psi}_n)} m(Z_i, \beta) - \frac{R_i - \pi(Z_{1i}, \hat{\psi}_n)}{\pi(Z_{1i}, \hat{\psi}_n)} h_2^*(Z_{1i}, \beta, \hat{\xi}_n^*) \right] = 0.$$

- This estimation strategy is relatively easy to implement.

AIPWCC estimation which is ignorant of ξ

- While, using posit model, we can think of the limiting value $h_2^*(Z_{1i}, \beta, \xi^*)$.
- Even if $h_2^*(Z_{1i}, \beta, \xi^*) \neq h_2^0(Z_{1i}, \beta)$, it is a function of Z_{1i} , which satisfies the condition that can construct the IF.

$$- \left\{ \frac{R_i - \pi(Z_{1i}, \psi_0)}{\pi(Z_{1i}, \psi_0)} \right\} h_2^*(Z_{1i}, \beta_0, \xi^*) \in \Lambda_2$$

- Therefore, the estimating equation for IF is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{R_i m(Z_i, \beta)}{\pi(Z_{1i}, \hat{\psi}_n)} - \left\{ \frac{R_i - \pi(Z_{1i}, \hat{\psi}_n)}{\pi(Z_{1i}, \hat{\psi}_n)} \right\} h_2^*(Z_{1i}, \beta_0, \xi^*) \right] = 0$$

- The only difference is to estimate ξ_n^* or not (AIPWCC requires integration).

Asymptotic equivalence between adaptive estimation and AIPWCC

Theorem 10.3

Let $\hat{\beta}_n$ be the estimator obtained by the adaptive estimation, and let $\hat{\beta}_n^*$ be the AIPWCC estimator . Under suitable regularity conditions,

$$n^{1/2} \left(\hat{\beta}_n - \hat{\beta}_n^* \right) \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Hence, the adaptive estimator is asymptotically equivalent to the AIPWCC estimator.

- Thus, we think misspecification of ξ and its estimation (=adaptive estimation) as a kind of negligible problem. (BUT no efficiency !)

Double robustness (DR)

- Under regularity, the adaptive AIPWCC estimator is **consistent** if

either $\pi(Z_1, \psi)$ is correctly specified **or** $p_{Z_2|Z_1}^*(\cdot | Z_1; \xi)$ is correct.

- Proof sketch for monotone coarsening: algebraic identity + CAR \Rightarrow decompositions where either model kills the bias term.

Takeaway

Adaptive augmentation buys efficiency; the DR structure buys protection against one misspecification.

Worked example (logistic regression; design-based missingness)

- Full-data $m(Z, \beta)$ chosen for logistic model of Y on $X = (X_1, X_2)$.
- Under two-level missingness, the AIPWCC form becomes (schematically)

$$\sum_i \left[\frac{R_i}{\pi(Y_i, X_{1i}; \hat{\psi})} X_i^* \{Y_i - \mu_\beta(X_i)\} - \frac{R_i - \pi(Y_i, X_{1i}; \hat{\psi})}{\pi(Y_i, X_{1i}; \hat{\psi})} L(Y_i, X_{1i}) \right] = 0,$$

with $L^*(Y, X_1) = \mathbb{E}[X^* \{Y - \mu_\beta(X)\} \mid Y, X_1]$.

What we skip in Ch. 10 (for time)

- Detailed development for *monotone coarsening* and for *censoring* (survival)—we'll pick up the tools where needed in Ch. 11.
- Nonmonotone coarsening exists but is computationally heavy (iterative projections, integral operators).

Pointer

Keep the operators L and M in mind; they will be our bridge to Chapter 11.

10.5 Improving Efficiency when Coarsening is Nonmonotone

Generalization idea: operators L and M (you'll need this)

Definition 4 and 5

- Define two linear operators $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{H}^F \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{H}^F \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^F$

$$\mathcal{L}\{h^F\} = \mathbb{E}[h^F(Z) \mid C, G_C(Z)], \quad \mathcal{M}\{h^F\} = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}\{h^F\} \mid Z].$$

where h^F is an arbitrary element in \mathcal{H}^F .

$$L\{h_F(\cdot)\} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} I(C = r) \mathbb{E}\{h_F(Z) \mid G_r(Z)\},$$

and

$$M\{h_F(\cdot)\} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \#\{r, G_r(Z)\} \mathbb{E}\{h_F(Z) \mid G_r(Z)\}.$$

How to derive the projection : $\Pi \left[\frac{1(C = \infty)h_F(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z)} \middle| \Lambda_2 \right]$

Theorem 10.6

- Inverse operator \mathcal{M}^{-1} exists and is uniquely defined.
- Moreover, the projection is given by

$$\Pi \left[\frac{1(C = \infty)h_F(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z)} \middle| \Lambda_2 \right] = \frac{\infty(C = \infty)h_F(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z)} - \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}\{h_F(\cdot)\}],$$

- By **Definition 1**, $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}\{\varphi^F(Z)\}] = \mathcal{J}\{\varphi^F(Z)\}$.
- Therefore, DR linear space is written by $\mathcal{J}(\Lambda^{F\perp}) = \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}\{\Lambda^{F\perp}\}]$.

Proof sketch for the uniqueness of \mathcal{M}^{-1}

- $I - \mathcal{M}$ is a contraction mapping

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall h^F \in \mathcal{H}^F, 0 \leq \exists L < 1, \|(I - \mathcal{M})h^F\| \leq L\|h^F\|$$

- Existence of $\mathcal{M}^{-1} : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (I - \mathcal{M})^k$ (Completeness of linear operator space)

$$\because \sum_{k=0}^n (I - \mathcal{M})^k \circ \mathcal{M} = I - (I - \mathcal{M})^{n+1} \rightarrow I \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

- Uniqueness of $\mathcal{M}^{-1} : \mathcal{M}^{-1} = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}'^{-1}) = (\mathcal{M}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{M})\mathcal{M}'^{-1} = \mathcal{M}'^{-1}$

Constructing Strategy

- we only focus on the operators $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ to estimate β .

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i [\mathcal{M}_i^{-1} \{ m(Z_i, \beta) \}] = 0.$$

- \mathcal{L} : posit model ξ for conditional probability of Z given $G_r(Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\cdot, \xi)$
- \mathcal{M}^{-1} : ψ for coarsening probability, posit model ξ (same above) $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\cdot, \psi, \xi)$
- To construct β , after estimating ψ for $\hat{\psi}_n$, and ξ for $\hat{\xi}_n^*$, solve the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i [\mathcal{M}_i^{-1} \{ m(Z_i, \beta), \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^* \}, \hat{\xi}_n^*] = 0$$

Equivalence of $\mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ and AIPWCC

Theorem 10.7 - (i)

- Let $d^F(Z, \beta, \psi, \xi) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\{m(Z, \beta), \psi, \xi\}$, then, the residual can separate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{M}^{-1}\{m(Z, \beta), \psi, \xi\}, \xi] &= \mathcal{L}\{d_F(Z, \beta, \psi, \xi), \xi\} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{I(C = \infty) m(Z, \beta)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi)}}_{\text{IPWCC space}} + \underbrace{L_2^*\{C, G_C(Z), \beta, \psi, \xi\}}_{\text{Augmentation space}}.\end{aligned}$$

- This means the solution to this estimating equation is an AIPWCC estimator

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{I(C_i = \infty) m(Z_i, \beta)}{\varpi(\infty, Z_i, \hat{\psi}_n)} + L_2^*\{C_i, G_{C_i}(Z_i), \beta, \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^*\} = 0.$$

The part of augmentation space

Theorem 10.7 - (ii)

- The element of the augmentation space is written by

$$\begin{aligned} L_2^*\{C, G_C(Z), \beta, \psi, \xi\} = \\ - \frac{I(C = \infty)}{\pi(\infty, Z, \psi)} \left(\sum_{r \neq \infty} \pi(r, G_r(Z), \psi) \mathbb{E}[d_F(Z, \beta, \psi, \xi) \mid G_r(Z), \xi] \right) \\ + \sum_{r \neq \infty} I(C = r) \mathbb{E}[d_F(Z, \beta, \psi, \xi) \mid G_r(Z), \xi] \end{aligned}$$

- Let $L_{2r}\{G_r(Z)\} = -\mathbb{E}[d_F(Z, \beta, \psi, \xi) \mid G_r(Z), \xi]$, we can obtain the same representation as a typical element of Λ_2 . (see (7.37))

Construct \mathcal{M}^{-1} and \mathcal{L}

- \mathcal{M}^{-1} is represented by infinite series, so be constructed by successive approximation.

$$d_{(j)}^F(Z, \beta, \psi, \xi) = \hat{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}\{m(Z, \beta), \psi, \xi\}$$

- By construction, $L_2^*\{C, G_C(Z), \beta, \psi, \xi\} \in \Lambda_2$ in any cases.
- This means that when ψ_0 (coarsening probability model) is correctly specified,

$$\frac{I(C = \infty) m(Z, \beta_0)}{\varpi(\infty, Z, \psi_0)} + L_{2(j)}^*\{C, G_C(Z), \beta_0, \psi_0, \xi^*\} \in \Lambda^\perp$$

- Hence, we can obtain an AIPWCC estimator by solving

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{I(C_i = \infty) m(Z_i, \beta)}{\varpi(\infty, Z_i, \hat{\psi}_n)} + L_{2(j)}^*\{C_i, G_{C_i}(Z_i), \beta, \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^*\} = 0.$$

- The estimator is RAL (i.e. consistent and asymptotically normal)

- In addition to correct specification of ψ , the posit model $p_Z^*(z, \xi)$ is correctly specified, its influence function is **efficient**. (double-robustness)
- Also, this AIPWCC estimator is consistent and asymptotically normal even if ψ is misspecified. (Actually, we do not have to consider this pattern **thanks to CAR**)
- Recall this two probabilistic model
 - posit model $p_Z^*(z, \xi)$; estimate $\hat{\xi}_n^* \rightarrow \xi^* (\neq \xi_0)$ (if misspecified)
 - coarsening model $\pi\{r, G_r(Z), \psi\}$; estimate $\hat{\psi}_n^* \rightarrow \psi^* (\neq \psi_0)$ (if misspecified)

Theorem 10.8

- This theorem establishes the **double robustness** property.
- Specifically:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_0, \psi_0} [L(M^{-1}\{m(Z, \beta_0), \psi_0, \xi^*\}, \xi^*)] = 0,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_0, \psi_0} [L(M^{-1}\{m(Z, \beta_0), \psi^*, \xi_0\}, \xi_0)] = 0.$$

- Hence, the estimating equation remains unbiased if either the coarsening model (ψ) or the marginal model of Z (ξ) is correctly specified.

11. Locally Efficient Estimators for Coarsened-Data Semiparametric Models

Roadmap for Chapter 11

- For a full-data estimating function $m(Z, \beta) \in \Lambda^{F\perp}$, applying \mathcal{J} , we obtain an observed-data estimating function.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{I(C_i = \infty)m(Z_i, \beta)}{\varpi(\infty, Z_i, \hat{\psi}_n)} - \Pi \left(\frac{I(C_i = \infty)m(Z_i, \beta)}{\varpi(\infty, Z_i)} \mid \Lambda_2 \right) \right] = 0$$

- For computation, we need to estimate ψ in the former, ξ (posit model) in the latter.
- We propose a locally efficient estimator, which achieves the semiparametric efficiency bound if the posited model $p_Z^*(z, \xi)$ is correct but still be consistent and asymptotically normal and RAL even if misspecified.
- Recall the essential framework : Efficient score \rightarrow Efficient IF (proportional relationship)

11.1 The Observed-Data Efficient Score

Efficient score: Representation 1 (likelihood-based) : theoretical

- Basiccally, the efficient score is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}}\{C, G_C(Z)\} = S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} - \Pi[S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \mid \Lambda],$$

where $S_{\beta} = \mathbb{E}[S_{\beta}^F(Z) \mid C, G_C(Z)]$, and $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\psi} \oplus \Lambda_{\eta}$ ($\Lambda_{\psi} \perp \Lambda_{\eta}$).

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi[S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \mid \Lambda] &= \Pi[S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \mid \Lambda_{\psi}] + \Pi[S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \mid \Lambda_{\eta}] \\ &= \Pi[S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \mid \Lambda_{\eta}] \quad (\because S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \perp \Lambda_2)\end{aligned}$$

- Recall $\Lambda_{\eta} = \{\mathbb{E}[\alpha^F(Z) \mid C, G_C(Z)] : \alpha^F(Z) \in \Lambda^F\}$, there exists $\alpha_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) \in \Lambda^F$,

$$\Pi[S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \mid \Lambda_{\eta}] = \mathbb{E}[\alpha_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) \mid C, G_C(Z)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore S_{\text{eff}}\{C, G_C(Z)\} &= S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} - \Pi[S_{\beta}\{C, G_C(Z)\} \mid \Lambda_{\eta}] \\ &= E[\{S_{\beta}^F(Z) - \alpha_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)\} \mid C, G_C(Z)]\end{aligned}$$

Efficient score: Representation 2 (AIPWCC-based) : practical

- Since the full-data efficient score $B^F(Z) \in \Lambda^{F\perp}$, we can apply \mathcal{J} .

$$S_{\text{eff}}\{C, G_C(Z)\} = \mathcal{J}\{B_F^{\text{eff}}(Z)\} = I(C = \infty) \frac{B_F^{\text{eff}}(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z)} - \Pi \left[I(C = \infty) \frac{B_F^{\text{eff}}(Z)}{\pi(\infty, Z)} \middle| \Lambda_2 \right].$$

- Clearly, this element lives in DR linear space $\mathcal{J}(\Lambda^{F\perp})$
- Now, we obtain two equivalent representations about the efficient score

$$\mathbb{E}[S_{\beta}^F(Z) - \alpha_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) \mid C, G_C(Z)] = \frac{I(C = \infty) B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} - \Pi \left[\frac{I(C = \infty) B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} \middle| \Lambda_2 \right]$$

- For computation, this AIPWCC estimator is far more practical but still be difficult to construct. \rightarrow Efficiency problem boils down to find $B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$.

How to derive $B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$

Theorem 11.1

- $B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) \in \Lambda^{F\perp}$ is the unique solution to

$$\Pi\left[\mathcal{M}^{-1}\{B^F(Z)\} \mid \Lambda^{F\perp}\right] = S_{\text{eff}}^F(Z),$$

- Therefore, solving this equation, we obtain $B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$, then we can construct the efficient score (Note : This is revisited)

$$S_{\text{eff}}\{C, G_C(Z)\} = \frac{I(C = \infty) B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} - \Pi\left[\frac{I(C = \infty) B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} \mid \Lambda_2\right],$$

Proof sketch of Lemma 11.1 : successive approximation

- To construct $B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$, define $D_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\{B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)\}$.
- Then $D_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$ is the solution to

$$S_{\text{eff}}(Z) = \Pi[h^F(Z) \mid \Lambda^{F\perp}] + \Pi[\mathcal{M}\{h^F(Z)\} \mid \Lambda^F] = (I - \mathcal{Q})\{h^F(Z)\}$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{Q}\{D_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)\} = \Pi[(I - \mathcal{M})\{D_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)\} \mid \Lambda^F]$$

- \mathcal{Q} is a contraction mapping, so $(I - \mathcal{Q})^{-1}$ uniquely exists.
- Finally, we can successively approximate $D_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) = (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}^i) S_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$

Theorem 11.2

- Suppose the coarsening mechanism is **monotone**.
- For any $h^F(Z) \in \mathcal{H}^F$, the inverse operator M^{-1} has the closed form

$$M^{-1}\{h^F(Z)\} = \frac{h^F(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} - \sum_{r \neq \infty} \frac{\lambda_r\{G_r(Z)\}}{K_r\{G_r(Z)\}} \mathbb{E}[h^F(Z) \mid G_r(Z)],$$

where

$$K_r(Z) = \Pr(C \geq r + 1 \mid Z), \quad \lambda_r\{G_r(Z)\} = \Pr(C = r \mid C \geq r, Z).$$

- Hence, M^{-1} can be computed explicitly in terms of the hazard and survival functions of the coarsening distribution.

Lemma 11.2

- Let $\{D^{(k)}\}$ be defined recursively by

$$D^{(k+1)}(Z) = \Pi\left((I - M)D^{(k)}(Z) \mid \mathcal{H}^F\right) + S_{\text{eff}}^F(Z),$$

with $D^{(0)}$ arbitrary.

- Then

$$D^{(k)} \longrightarrow D_{\text{eff}}^F \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}^F \text{ norm,}$$

and

$$B^{(k)}(Z) = \Pi(MD^{(k)}(Z) \mid \Lambda^{F\perp}) \longrightarrow B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z).$$

- Thus, the successive approximation scheme converges to the efficient element $B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$.

11.2 Strategy for Obtaining Improved Estimators

- Consider finding a full-data estimating function $m(Z, \beta)$.
- Firstly, by MLE (or likelihood methods), we estimate $\hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^*$.
- Secondly, we calculate full-data efficient score $S_F^{\text{eff}}(Z)$.
- By successive approximation, $\hat{B}_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) = m(Z, \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^*)$.
- Finally, we can estimate observed-data efficient score using

$$S_{\text{eff}}\{C, G_C(Z)\} = \frac{I(C = \infty) B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} - \Pi \left[\frac{I(C = \infty) B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} \mid \Lambda_2 \right]$$

- Now, we focus on the projection $\Pi \left[\frac{I(C = \infty) m(Z, \beta)}{\varpi(\infty, Z)} \mid \Lambda_2 \right]$.
 \rightarrow In some special coarsening mechanism, this projection is simply written down.
- In actual implementation, we solve this adaptive estimating equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{I(C_i = \infty) m(Z_i, \beta, \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^*)}{\varpi(\infty, Z_i, \hat{\psi}_n)} \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{I(C_i = \infty)}{\varpi(\infty, Z_i, \hat{\psi}_n)} \left[\sum_{r \neq \infty} \varpi\{r, G_r(Z_i), \hat{\psi}_n\} \mathbb{E} \left\{ D^{(j)}(Z, \beta, \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^*) \mid G_r(Z_i), \hat{\xi}_n^* \right\} \right] \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{r \neq \infty} I(C_i = r) \mathbb{E} \left\{ D^{(j)}(Z, \beta, \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\xi}_n^*) \mid G_r(Z_i), \hat{\xi}_n^* \right\} \right) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

locally efficient estimator for restricted moment model with monotone coarsening

- Full data: $Z = (Y, X)$, Model: $Y = \mu(X, \beta) + \varepsilon$ with $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon \mid X) = 0$.
- Full-data efficient score: $S_{\text{eff}}^F(Z) = D^\top(X)V^{-1}(X)\varepsilon$,
 $D = \partial\mu/\partial\beta^\top$, $V(X) = \text{Var}(Y|X)$.
- Seek $B_F^{\text{eff}}(Z) = A(X)\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}^\perp$ solving

$$\Pi[M^{-1}\{A(X)\varepsilon\} \mid \mathcal{F}^\perp] = S_{\text{eff}}^F(Z).$$

- Leads to an integral equation for $A(X)$; exact solution rare; use numerical/approximate A_{imp} .

Approximate locally efficient estimator (practice)

- Compute a numerically feasible $A_{\text{imp}}(X, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\xi}^*)$.
- Plug into the AIPWCC efficient-score form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[I(C_i = \infty) \frac{A_{\text{imp}}(X_i, \beta, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\xi}^*) \{Y_i - \mu(X_i, \beta)\}}{\pi(\infty, Y_i, X_i; \hat{\psi})} + L_2\{C_i, G_{C_i}(Y_i, X_i), \beta, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\xi}^*\} \right] = 0.$$

- Here L_2 is the projection term (closed form under monotone coarsening).

Robustness note

The A_{imp} route targets local efficiency but may be numerically heavy; when it's too hard, fall back to the DR AIPWCC from Ch. 10.

11.3 Concluding Thoughts

- Adaptive estimation : Specified coarsening model \rightarrow using posit model $\xi \rightarrow$ fit ξ_n^*
- Inverse weighted method balances between simplicity of implementation and relative efficiency.
- AIPWCC estimator is more improved one in double robustness and gaining considerable efficiency at the cost of numerical implementation. (successive approximation)
- Locally efficient estimator aims to find optimal full-data estimating function $B_{\text{eff}}^F(Z)$ as well as optimal augmentation. (difficult to implement)

References

- Tsiatis, A. (2006). *Semiparametric Theory and Missing Data*. Springer (Chs. 10–11).
- A.W. van der Vaart (1998). *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambridge University Press.