Assessing the Impacts of Environmental and Ecological variables on the Performance of Fraser Sockeye Salmon Forecast

Supplementary materials

Yi Xu1, Qi Liu2, Caihong Fu1, John Holmes1

1 Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Pacific Biological Station, 3190 Hammond Bay Road, Nanaimo, BC, Canada

2 Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Pacific Region Head Office, 401 Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Corresponding author:

Yi Xu

Current address: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 1111 Washington St SE, Olympia, WA 98501, USA

Email: [xuyiouqd@gmail.com](mailto:xuyiouqd@gmail.com); yi.xu@dfw.wa.gov

ORCIDs: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9902-9588>

Supplementary materials

Figure S1. Area (red polygon) where tagged Sockeye salmon were captured. Numbers are months when captured Sockeye salmon were tagged. Sea surface temperature was averaged over the polygon and used as a predictor for Sockeye salmon dynamics.




Figure S2. Observed and previously forecast Fraser sockeye adult returns for the 18 major stocks from 2009 to 2020.




Figure S3. Relative ranking for all 37 models and all 18 Fraser Sockeye stocks of a) absolute value of mean raw error Abs(MRE) b) mean absolute error (MAE) c) absolute value of mean percent error Abs(MPE), d) root-mean-square error (RMSE), and e) normalized forecast metric (NFM) that measures bias with small biases between -2.0 and 2.0 shown numerically.

a.



b.



c.



d.



e.




Figure S4. Normalized standard deviation of forecasts from all 37 models along with that from the historically selected model (named Forecast for simplicity) for all 18 Fraser Sockeye stocks during the period of 2009 to 2020.

A screenshot of a computer

Description automatically generated with low confidence

Figure S5. (a) Age 4 Taylor diagrams for Early Stuart sockeye stock, 7 Early Summer run stocks (Bowron, Fennel (Upper Barriere), Scotch, Nadina, Pitt, Seymour, and Gates), and 1 Summer run stock (Chilko). (b) Age 4 Taylor diagrams for 4 Summer run stocks (Quesnel, Late Stuart, Stellako, Raft) and 5 Late run stocks (Late Shuswap, Cultus, Portage, Weaver, and Birkenhead). Each Taylor diagram compares 37 model forecasts and the historical Forecast (black solid square) against the Observation (black solid circle on the x-axis). The distance from the origin is the normalized standard deviation with the normalized value for observations being 1. The angle describes the correlation between model forecasts and observations. The dashed arcs around the Observation illustrate the root-mean-square error (RMSE). Models with negative correlations are not shown. The closer the model is to the Observation, the better predictive power the model has.

a.

Chart, map

Description automatically generated

b. Chart, map

Description automatically generated

Figure S6. (a) Age 5 Taylor diagrams for Early Stuart Sockeye stock, 7 Early Summer run stocks (Bowron, Fennel (Upper Barriere), Scotch, Nadina, Pitt, Seymour, and Gates), and 1 Summer run stock (Chilko). (b) Age 5 Taylor diagrams for 4 Summer run stocks (Quesnel, Late Stuart, Stellako, Raft) and 5 Late run stocks (Late Shuswap, Cultus, Portage, Weaver, and Birkenhead). Each Taylor diagram compares 38 model forecasts and the historical Forecast (black solid square) against the Observation (black solid circle on the x-axis). The distance from the origin is the normalized standard deviation with the normalized value for observations being 1. The angle describes the correlation between model forecasts and observations. The dashed arcs around the Observation illustrate the root-mean-square error (RMSE). Models with negative correlations are not shown. The closer the model is to the Observation, the better predictive power the model has.

a.Chart, map, scatter chart

Description automatically generated

b. Chart, map

Description automatically generated