```
\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Bern}(x|\theta) = \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x} = \theta^{1\{x=1\}} (1-\theta)^{1\{x=0\}}. \\ & \operatorname{Unif}(x|a,b) = \frac{1}{b-a} \mathbb{1}\{a \leq x \leq b\}. \\ & \operatorname{Exp}(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mathbb{1}\{x \geq 0\}. \\ & \operatorname{Bin}(x|n,\theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x} \text{ where } \binom{n}{x} = \frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!}. \end{aligned}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\pi^{(m+1)}(k)\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{i}\mid\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{(m+1)},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k}^{(m+1)})\right)
                                                                                                                                                                 data \mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, we maximize the log-likelihood: \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i \mid \theta). Bernoulli MLE: If x_i \sim \operatorname{Bern}(\theta), then:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   4. untill: |L^{(m+1)} - L^{(m)}| < \epsilon.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   K-Means Algorithm
                                                                                                                                                                  p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \theta^{N_1} (1 - \theta)^{N_0},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \overline{\text{GMM with }\Sigma_k=\sigma^2I} and \pi(k)=1/K are fixed. Only \mu_k are
Beta(\theta|a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \theta^{a-1} (1-\theta)^{b-1}.

\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}.
                                                                                                                                                                 \begin{array}{l} p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \theta & \text{if } -\theta \text{ if } \\ \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = N_1 \log \theta + N_0 \log (1 - \theta). \text{ Solving} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = 0: \theta_{\text{ML}} = \frac{N_1}{N_0 + N_1} = \frac{N_1}{n}. \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   inferred.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   1. E-step: Assign each x_i to its nearest cluster center:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   k_i = \arg\min_k \|x_i - \mu_k^{(m)}\|^2 . Define hard assignment:
Probability Basics:
                                                                                                                                                                 Exponential MLE: If x_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda), then:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  r_{ik}^{(m)} = 1 if k = k_i, otherwise r_{ik}^{(m)} = 0.
                                                                                                                                                                 \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \lambda) = n \log \lambda - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i. Solving
\mathbb{P}((X,Y) \in A) = \int_A p(x,y) \, dx \, dy.
                                                                                                                                                                  \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \lambda) = 0: \lambda_{\text{ML}} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  Q(\theta \mid \theta^{(m)}) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x_i - \mu_{k_i}^{(m)}\|^2 + \text{const.}
p(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x, y) dy. p(y|x) = \frac{p(x, y)}{p(x)}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  2. M-step: Update cluster centers: \mu_k^{(m+1)} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i: k_i = k} x_i,
                                                                                                                                                                  Linear Regression Model:
p(x,y) = p(x)p(y|x), If X and Y are independent, then
                                                                                                                                                                  \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_D), y = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + \epsilon, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).
p(x, y) = p(x)p(y).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  where N_k=\sum_{i=1}^n\mathbb{1}\{k_i=k\}. 3. Update each cluster center using the mean of its assigned points.
Likelihood of i.i.d. dataset: p(\mathcal{D}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i) or \log p(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i). where dataset \mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} is i.i.d.
                                                                                                                                                                  Thus, the likelihood is: p(y \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{N}(y \mid \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2).
                                                                                                                                                                  Basis Function Expansion: model non-linear relationships using
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   EM Algorithm for MAP Estimation
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  Given data \mathbf{x}, the posterior is p(\theta \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathbf{x})}. The MAP estimate is \theta_{MAP} = \arg\max_{\theta} (\log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) + \log p(\theta)).
                                                                                                                                                                 basis functions: \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) & \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & \varphi_M(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}
Bayes' theorem: p(x|y) = \frac{p(x,y)}{p(y)} = \frac{p(y|x)p(x)}{p(y)}.
                                                                                                                                                                 y = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon; \text{For } \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \phi(\mathbf{x}) = [1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2],
Expectation:
\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p(x) \, dx, \quad \mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) p(x) \, dx, \\ & \mathbb{E}[X+Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y], \\ & \mathbb{E}[X\mid Y=y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p(x\mid y) \, dx, \\ & \mathbb{E}[f(X)g(Y)\mid Y=y] = \mathbb{E}[f(X)\mid Y=y]g(y). \end{split} Variance and Covariance:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Algorithm:
                                                                                                                                                                 y = w_1 + w_2 x_1 + w_3 x_2 + w_4 x_1^2 + w_5 x_2^2 + \epsilon.
MLE for w: Given i.i.d. training data \mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\},\ log p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{w}) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \text{const}, where
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   1. Pick initial guess \theta^{(0)}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  2. E-step: At iteration m+1, compute
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Q(\theta \mid \theta^{(m)}) = \int p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \theta^{(m)}) \log p(\mathbf{y} \mid \theta) d\mathbf{y}.
                                                                                                                                                                  \Phi = [\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_n]^{\top} is the design matrix. Maximizing w.r.t. \mathbf{w} gives
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  3. M-step: Update
Variance and Covariance: \operatorname{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2, \quad \operatorname{cov}(\mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])] = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y],
                                                                                                                                                                 the least squares solution: \mathbf{w}_{ML} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^{\top}\mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^{\top}\mathbf{y}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \theta^{(m+1)} = \arg \max_{\theta} \left( Q(\theta \mid \theta^{(m)}) + \log p(\theta) \right).
                                                                                                                       cov(X, Y) =
                                                                                                                                                                  Model Evaluation Metrics:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   4. Repeat until convergence.
var(X + Y) = var(X) + var(Y) + 2cov(X, Y).
                                                                                                                                                                  • RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2. • RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} RSS.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Markov Chains
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  A discrete-time sequence \mathbf{x} = \{x[0], x[1], \dots\}, where each x[t] \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}, satisfies the Markov property: p(x[t] \mid x[1], \dots, x[t-1]) = p(x[t] \mid x[t-1])

Transition Matrix
Vector Variables: \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}^{\top}, \quad \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[x_1] & \mathbb{E}[x_2] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[x_n] \end{bmatrix}^{\top} If \mathbf{A} is a deterministic matrix, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{A}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}].
                                                                                                                                                                 • R^2=1-\frac{\text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}}=1-\frac{\text{RSS}}{\sum_{i=1}^n(y_i-\bar{y})^2},

Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimation:
                                                                                                                                                                  • The MAP estimate maximizes the posterior:
Covariance: \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{xx} = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{x})^{\top}],
                                                                                                                                                                 \begin{array}{l} \theta_{\text{MAP}} = \arg\max_{\theta} p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}). \text{ Using Bayes' theorem:} \\ p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) p(\theta), \\ \log p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) = \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) + \log p(\theta) + \text{const.} \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Figure 17 Figure 28 Figure 18 Figure 29 Figur
cov(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A} cov(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{A}
Cross-covariance: \mathbf{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{xy} = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y} - \mathbb{E}\mathbf{y})^{\top}]

Matrix Calculus: \frac{\partial (\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}, \quad \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{\top})\mathbf{x}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   stochastic matrix.
                                                                                                                                                                  • Given: p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \theta^{N_1} (1 - \theta)^{N_0}, \quad p(\theta) = \text{Beta}(\theta \mid a, b),
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   • Given initial distribution \mathbf{p}_0 = [p_0(1), p_0(2), \dots, p_0(M)],
\begin{split} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{X}} &= \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^{\top}, \quad \frac{\partial \det(\mathbf{X})}{\partial \mathbf{X}} &= \det(\mathbf{X})(\mathbf{X}^{-1})^{\top}, \\ \frac{\partial (\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{b})}{\partial \mathbf{X}} &= -(\mathbf{X}^{-1})^{\top}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^{\top}(\mathbf{X}^{-1})^{\top} \end{split}
                                                                                                                                                                 \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)p(\theta) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_0 \mathbf{T}. For general t, let \mathbf{p}_t = [p_t(1), \dots, p_t(M)], then: \mathbf{p}_t = \mathbf{p}_0 \mathbf{T}^t.
                                                                                                                                                                 (N_1 + a - 1) \log \theta + (N_0 + b - 1) \log(1 - \theta). Solving
                                                                                                                                                                  \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = 0: \theta_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{N_1 + a - 1}{n + a + b - 2}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   MLE for Transition Matrix
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   • Estimate prior \pi and transition matrix \mathbf{T} from training data:
Parametric vs. Non-Parametric Models
                                                                                                                                                                  Classification and Naïve Bayes:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   p(x[0],\ldots,x[t]\mid\pi,\mathbf{T})=\pi(x[0])\mathbf{T}(x[0],x[1])... \mathbf{T}(x[t-1],x[t]). Given n observed sequences
• A parametric model assumes a fixed number of parameters. It
                                                                                                                                                                  • Classification Rule: Given feature vector x and class label
                                                                                                                                                                 y \in \{1,\ldots,K\}, \delta(\mathbf{x}) = k if p(y=k\mid\mathbf{x}) is maximized. • Naïve Bayes Classifier. Assuming conditional independence, p(\mathbf{x}\mid y=c,\theta) = \prod_{d=1}^D p(x_d\mid\theta_{dc}). Using Bayes' rule:
usually belongs to a predefined family of distributions:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}_1[0:t_1], \dots, \mathbf{x}_n[0:t_n]\}, each of varying length t_i + 1, assume all data points follow the same \mathbf{T}.
p(x,y) = p(x,y \mid \theta) or p(x) = p(x \mid \theta).
1. Faster to train (find "optimal" \theta). 2. Stronger assumptions about
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   • \log p(\mathcal{D} \mid \pi, \mathbf{T}) =
                                                                                                                                                                 p(y=c\mid \mathbf{x},\theta)\propto \pi(c)\prod_{d=1}^{D}p(x_d\mid \theta_{dc}), where \pi(c) is the
the data distribution.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \pi(x_i[0]) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{t_i} \log \mathbf{T}(x_i[t-1], x_i[t]) 
= \sum_{x=1}^{M} N_x \log \pi(x) + \sum_{x=1}^{M} \sum_{y=1}^{M} N_{xy} \log \mathbf{T}(x, y). 
N_x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1(x_i[0] = x), 
 · A non-parametric model the number of parameters grows with the
                                                                                                                                                                  prior probability of class c.
amount of training data: 1. More flexible. 2. Can be computationally
                                                                                                                                                                 Mixture Models and Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM):
intractable for large datasets.
                                                                                                                                                                  • Suppose an observation \mathbf{x} can be generated from one of K possible
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \begin{array}{l} N_x = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(x_i[\mathbb{U}] - \omega_i), \\ N_{xy} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{t_i} \mathbb{I}(x_i[t-1] = x, x_i[t] = y). \\ \bullet \hat{\pi}(x) = \frac{N_x}{n}, \hat{\mathbf{T}}(x,y) = \frac{N_{xy}}{\sum_{z=1}^M N_{xz}}. \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                 probability density functions (pdfs): p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}_1), \dots, p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}_K).
Bayesian Inference:
Given a parametric model, the posterior is derived as: p(\theta \mid x) = \frac{p(x|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(x)} \propto p(x \mid \theta)p(\theta), where p(x) is a
                                                                                                                                                                  The generating index z follows a categorical distribution:
                                                                                                                                                                  p(z) = \text{Cat}(z \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}). Since z is unobserved, it is a latent variable.
                                                                                                                                                                  The marginal distribution is: p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi(k) p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}_k),

    May predict certain strings are impossible if data have zero count of

normalization constant. If p(x) \propto f(x) for some function f(x),
                                                                                                                                                                 where \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\pi}, \{\boldsymbol{\eta}_k\}_{k=1}^K).
• GMM: p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi(k) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k), where \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\pi}, \{\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\}_{k=1}^K). Government \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\pi}, \{\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\}_{k=1}^K).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   certain states (this is a form of overfitting).
then p(x) = cf(x) with c = (\int f(x)dx)^{-1}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   HMM: Hidden Markov Model
Conjugate Distributions:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   A hidden Markov model consists of:
If prior and posterior share the same form, they are conjugate:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   · A discrete state Markov chain with hidden states or latent variables
                                                                                                                                                                \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n}, p(\mathbf{x}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi(k) p(\mathbf{x}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}). \\ \log p(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi(k) p(\mathbf{x}_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}). \\ \textit{Algorithmic Issues in Mixture Models:} \end{array}
p(\theta \mid x) \propto p(x \mid \theta)p(\theta). The prior p(\theta) is called the conjugate
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   z[t] \in \{1,\ldots,M\}, t=0,1,\ldots , with initial pdf \pi and
prior of the likelihood p(x \mid \theta).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   transition matrix T.
 1. Allows for analytical closed form solutions and easy to interpret.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    · An observation model with emission probabilities
   . May lack flexibility to complex data, requiring MCMC.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  p(\mathbf{x}[t] \mid z[t]) = p(\mathbf{x}[t] \mid \phi_{z[t]}), where \phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_M). Applications: (1) Long-range dependencies; (2) Speech recognition;
                                                                                                                                                                  • Singularity: If for some k, we set \mu_k = \mathbf{x}_i and \sigma_k \to 0, then:
 Conjugate Prior for Binomial:
Conjugate Prior for Binomia: Given s \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta) and prior \theta \sim \text{Beta}(a, b), the posterior is: p(\theta \mid s) \propto \text{Bin}(s \mid \theta, n) \cdot \text{Beta}(\theta \mid a, b) \propto \theta^s (1 - \theta)^{n-s} \cdot \theta^{a-1} (1 - \theta)^{b-1} \propto \theta^{s+a-1} (1 - \theta)^{n-s+b-1}. Thus, the posterior follows:
                                                                                                                                                                 \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \sigma_k \mathbf{I}) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma_k} \to \infty.
                                                                                                                                                                  • Unidentifiability: no unique global optimum for log-likelihood
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   (3) Gene finding; (4) Emission probabilities (Gaussian example).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Baum-Welch Algorithm for HMM Training

    Optimization Challenges: non-convex, hard to solve.

                                                                                                                                                                  ullet If latent variables z_1,\ldots,z_n are observed, the likelihood
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  2. E step: Use Forward-Backward Algorithm to compute
p(\theta \mid s) = \text{Beta}(\theta \mid s + a, n - s + b)
                                                                                                                                                                 simplifies to: \log p((\mathbf{x}_1, z_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, z_n) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log \pi[z_i] + \log p(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\eta}_{z_i})\right). much easier to maximize.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \gamma_{i,t}(z) = p(z_i[t] = z | \mathbf{x}_i[0:t_i], \bar{\theta}^{(m)}) \propto \alpha_j(z)\beta_j(z),
Categorical Distribution:

• A categorical variable X follows: \operatorname{Cat}(x \mid \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) with
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \begin{array}{l} \xi_{i,t}(z,z') = p(z_i[t-1] = z, z_i[t] = z' | \mathbf{x}_i[0:t_i], \theta^{(m)}), \\ \propto \alpha_{t-1}(z) p(\mathbf{x}_i[t] | z_i[t] = z') \beta_t(z') p(z_i[t] = z' | z_i[t-1] = z). \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                  Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM):
• Given i.i.d. samples X_i \sim \operatorname{Cat}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) = \theta_x.
• Given i.i.d. samples X_i \sim \operatorname{Cat}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K), the joint probability of \mathcal{D} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\} is: p(\mathcal{D}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Cat}(x_i \mid \theta_i)
                                                                                                                                                                  • Observed data \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^D are generated from a mixture of
                                                                                                                                                                  K Gaussian distributions:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   3. M step: Update parameters \hat{\pi}(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i,0}(z)}{n},
                                                                                                                                                                 p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi(k) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)
	heta_1,\dots,	heta_K)=\prod_{i=1}^n\prod_{k=1}^K	heta_k^{1\{x_i=k\}} . Using count notation
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \hat{T}(z,z') = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{t_i} \xi_{i,t}(z,z')}{\sum_u \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{t_i} \xi_{i,t}(z,u)}, \hat{\phi}_z = \text{emission probability}
                                                                                                                                                                 m{	heta} = (\pi(k), m{\mu}_k, m{\Sigma}_k)_{k=1}^K.
• Complete data likelihood: \log p(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n \mid m{	heta}) =
N_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{x_i = k\}, we get: p(\mathcal{D}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{N_k}. 

Gaussian (Normal) Distribution:
A random variable X follows a normal distribution:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   parameters.
                                                                                                                                                                  \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i:z_i=k} \left( \log \pi(k) + \log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \right)..
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    4. Repeat E and M steps.
\mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2}
                                                                                                                                                                  • The MLE estimates for \mu_k and \Sigma_k: \hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i:z_i=k} \mathbf{x}_i,
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Inference in HMMs
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   • Filtering: Estimate latent state p(z[t]|\mathbf{x}[0:t]) using observations
                                                                                                                                                                  \hat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i:z_i=k} (\mathbf{x}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k) (\mathbf{x}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_k)^{\top}.
If X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2), then: aX \sim \mathcal{N}(a\mu, a^2\sigma^2) for any a \in \mathbb{R} X + c \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + c, \sigma^2). If Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), then X = \sigma Z + \mu \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2). If X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) and Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi, \nu^2) are independent: X + Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + \xi, \sigma^2 + \nu^2)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   up to time t (Forward Algorithm).
                                                                                                                                                                 Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    • Smoothing: Estimate p(z[t]|\mathbf{x}[0:T]), using both past and future
                                                                                                                                                                  Complete data \mathbf{y} can't be known directly. Still since \log p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) is
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   observations (Forward-Backward Algorithm).
                                                                                                                                                                 hard to optimize. Try maximize the expectation
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   • Fixed-lag smoothing: Estimate p(z[t-l]|\mathbf{x}[0:t]) for online
                                                                                                                                                                 \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x},\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} \left| \log p(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mid \mathbf{x}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \right|.
Multivariate Gaussian Distribution:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   inference (Forward-Backward Algorithm).
A random vector X follows a multivariate normal distribution:
                                                                                                                                                                 EM Steps: 1. Initialize \theta^{(0)}. 2. E-step: Compute
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   • Prediction: Estimate p(z[t+h]|\mathbf{x}[0:t]), where h>0 is the
\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   prediction horizon: p(z[t+h]|\mathbf{x}[0:t]) =
                                                                                                                                                                 Q(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}) = \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)})} \left[ \log p(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mid \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)} \right] =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \sum_{z[t],\dots,z[t+h-1]} p(z[t+h]|z[t+h-1])p(z[t+h-1])|z[t+h-1]|z[t+h-2]| \cdots p(z[t+1]|z[t]) \cdot p(z[t]|\mathbf{x}[0:t]).
• MAP sequence: using Viterbi Algorithm, most probable sequence
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{K/2}(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{1/2}}\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\Big).
                                                                                                                                                                  \int \log p(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}) d\mathbf{y}.
• \mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\top} is jointly Gaussian if for any vector \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n, the linear combination: \mathbf{a}^{\top} \mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i is
                                                                                                                                                                 3. M-step: Update \boldsymbol{\theta} by \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m+1)} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} Q(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(m)}).
                                                                                                                                                                 4. Repeat until convergence.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \mathbf{z}^*[0:T] = \arg\max_{z[0:T]} p(z[0:T]|\mathbf{x}[0:T]).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  Sampling Using Cdf If X \sim F, then: \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) = F(x), Let U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1),
Gaussian.
                                                                                                                                                                 EM for GMM:
• If \mathbf{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), then: \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{AZ} + \boldsymbol{\mu} is jointly Gaussian with
                                                                                                                                                                  Given \pi^{(0)}(k), \mu_k^{(0)}, \Sigma_k^{(0)} for k = 1, ..., K.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   define: X = F^{-1}(U) \Rightarrow X \sim F.
mean and covariance: \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}, \quad \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\top}.
                                                                                                                                                                  L^{(0)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi^{(0)}(k) \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(0)}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{(0)}) \right).
\bullet \, \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_X \\ \mu_Y \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_X^2 & \operatorname{cov}(X,Y) \\ \operatorname{cov}(X,Y) & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_Y^2 \end{bmatrix}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \mathbb{P}(F^{-1}(U) \le x) = \mathbb{P}(U \le F(x)) = F(x).
                                                                                                                                                                  Repeat:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Transformations
                                                                                                                                                                  1. E-step: Compute responsibilities:

\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{\text{cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}.

Likelihood Functions for Common Distributions:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  If Y=f(X), then p_Y(y)=\sum_{k=1}^K \frac{p_X(x_k)}{|f'(x_k)|}, where x_k are the
                                                                                                                                                                                           \pi^{(m)}(k)\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(m)},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{(m)})
                                                                                                                                                                 r_{ik}^{(m)} = \frac{\pi^{(m)}(k)\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(m)},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{(m)})}{\sum_{k'}\pi^{(m)}(k')\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(m)},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{(m)})}, n_k^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   solutions to f(x) = y Note: Requires solving f(x) = y and
1. Bernoulli: If x_i \sim \text{Bern}(\theta) i.i.d., where \theta \in [0, 1], then:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   knowing f'(x)
p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \theta^{N_1}(1-\theta)^{N_0}, N_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{x_i = k\}.

2. Exponential: If x_i \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda) i.i.d., where \lambda > 0, then: p(\mathcal{D} \mid \lambda) = \lambda^n \exp\left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right).

3. Gaussian: If x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) i.i.d., then \theta = (\mu, \sigma^2) and:
                                                                                                                                                                 2. M-step: Update parameters: \pi^{(m+1)}(k) = \frac{n_k^{(m)}}{n}, \mu^{(m+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{n_k^{(m)}}{n},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Rejection Sampling
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \bullet p(z)=rac{1}{M}	ilde{p}(\overline{z)}, where M unknown. Choose proposal q(z), and
                                                                                                                                                                 \mu_k^{(m+1)} = \frac{1}{n_k^{(m)}} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik}^{(m)} \mathbf{x}_i, \quad \Sigma_k^{(m+1)} = \frac{1}{n_k^{(m+1)}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   constant k \ge \frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{q(z)} for all z.
                                                                                                                                                                  \frac{1}{n_k^{(m)}} \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik}^{(m)} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(m+1)}) (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{(m+1)})^\top.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   • \operatorname{supp}(p) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(q), where \operatorname{supp} p = \overline{\{z: p(z)>0\}}. • Sampling Procedure: 1. Sample z \sim q(z) 2. Sample
p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right)
```

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE):

The MLE of parameter θ is: $\theta_{ML} = \arg \max_{\theta} p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)$. For i.i.d.

Famous Pdfs:

3. Compute: $L^{(m+1)} =$

```
u \sim \text{Unif}[0, kq(z)] 3. Accept z if u \leq \tilde{p}(z).
                                                                                                            Proposal Variance
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • Mini-batch GD: over subset (e.g., 32 to 256 samples). Mini-batch
• \mathbb{P}(z \text{ accepted}) = \int \frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{kq(z)} q(z) dz = \frac{M}{k}.
• Choose smallest possible k s.t. kq(z) \geq \tilde{p}(z) \ \forall z.
                                                                                                            • Important to tune proposal variance \sigma.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        gradient approximates the full training set gradient well.
                                                                                                            ullet \sigma too small 	o slow convergence, high acceptance rate, takes a long
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • SGD: mini-batch size = 1, fast but noisy. Less used.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • Momentum: v_t = \beta v_{t-1} + (1-\beta)\nabla \mathcal{L}, improves convergence.
                                                                                                            time to explore the whole space.
• Accepted z \sim p(z)

    σ too large → big steps, low acceptance rate, stuck for long time.

\mathbb{P}(z \leq z_0 \mid \text{accepted}) = \frac{1}{M} \int_{z \leq z_0} \tilde{p}(z) dz \rightarrow \text{Accepted samples}
                                                                                                           • Rules of thumb: (1) Random walk MH: target acceptance rate of
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • Nesterov Momentum: lookahead at next step before computing
follow the CDF of p(z)
                                                                                                            0.25 to 0.5. (2) Independence chain MH: acceptance rate close to 1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        gradient. (Skip)
Importance Sampling
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Adam: computes a weighted average of past gradients and weighted average of past squared gradients. • Other: RMSprop, Adagrad,
• Estimate expectation \mathbb{E}_p[f(z)] = \int f(z)p(z) dz, where p(z) is
                                                                                                            Discard early samples before chain reaches stationary distribution.
                                                                                                           Hard to know when. E.g. x_0 \sim \text{Unif}(\{0,1,\dots,20\}), takes over 400 steps to "forget" initial state.
hard to sample.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Adadelta, Nadam, etc. • Most used: Adam, SGD with momentum.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Learning Rate (LR)
• Use proposal q(z) and importance weights w(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}, rewrite
                                                                                                            Thinning

    Too small → slow convergence; Too large → divergence or

as \mathbb{E}_p[f(z)] = \int f(z)w(z)q(z) dz \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w(z_i)f(z_i),
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        oscillations. • LR scheduling: reduce \alpha over time. Approaches: step
                                                                                                            \overline{\text{Break dependencies}} between samples by taking every d-th sample.
• supp(f(z)) = f(z) is large where z_i \sim q(z).
• supp(f(\cdot)p(\cdot)) \subseteq \text{supp}(q). Keep all samples, no need q(z) \ge p(z). Better matches well, if q(z) is large where |f(z)|p(z) is large.
                                                                                                            Useful when \sigma is too large \rightarrow MC stuck for long time at same
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        decay, exponential/cosine decay, reduce by constant,
                                                                                                            location. Subsample every d samples: z_0, z_d, z_{2d}, \dots
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        warmup(increase then cool down).
                                                                                                           Gibbs Sampling Special case of Metropolis-Hastings, for multivariate p(z_1, ..., z_n)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Underfitting and Overfitting
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • Underfitting: high train + validation error (too simple).
• If only know how to compute p(z) and q(z) up to normalizing
                                                                                                            (hard to sample jointly when d is large). For each i, define

    Overfitting: low train error, high validation error (too complex).

constants, use normalized weights:
                                                                                                           \mathbf{z}_{-i} = \{z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_d\}. If we can compute full conditionals p(z_i \mid \mathbf{z}_{-i}), we can perform Gibbs sampling:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Regularization Techniques
\mathbb{E}_p[f(z)] \approx \sum_{i=1}^n w_n(z_i) f(z_i), w_n(z_i) = \frac{w(z_i)}{\sum_j w(z_j)}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       \begin{array}{l} \text{Reginalization: } \mathcal{L}_{\text{reg}} = \mathcal{L}(\theta) + \lambda \sum_k \theta_k^2, \text{ penalty for large.} \\ \bullet \ell_1 \text{ regularization: } \sum_{k} |\theta_k|, \text{ perform worse than } \ell_2. \\ \bullet \text{ Elastic net: mix of } \ell_1 \text{ and } \ell_2. \\ \bullet \text{ bropout: randomly deactivate neurons during training (e.g., } p = 0.5). \\ \bullet \text{ Early stopping: monitor} \end{array}
Sampling Importance Resampling (SIR)

Convert importance weighted samples into unweighted samples
                                                                                                            • Initialize (z_1^{(0)}, \ldots, z_d^{(0)}).
                                                                                                           Findance (z_1,\dots,z_d). For each iteration k, sequentially sample: z_1^{(k)} \sim p(\cdot \mid z_2^{(k-1)},\dots,z_d^{(k-1)})z_2^{(k)} \sim p(\cdot \mid z_1^{(k)},z_3^{(k-1)},\dots)z_j^{(k)} \sim p(\cdot \mid z_1^{(k)},\dots,z_{j-1}^{(k)},z_{j+1}^{(k-1)},\dots)... z_d^{(k)} \sim p(\cdot \mid z_1^{(k)},\dots,z_{d-1}^{(k)}). Discard burn in samples
from p(z).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        validation loss, stop if no improvement after n epochs.
1. Sample z_1, \ldots, z_n from q(z).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Batch Normalization
2. Compute weights w_n(z_1), \ldots, w_n(z_n).
3. Resample with replacement from \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} using weights
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • Normalize each mini-batch: \hat{x} = \frac{x-\mu}{2}

    Less dependent on initialization. Normalizes activations. Reduces

(w_n(z_1),\ldots,w_n(z_n)).
• Each sample \tilde{z}_i drawn from multinomial over \{z_1,\ldots,z_n\} with
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        vanishing/exploding gradients. Reduces internal covariate shift.

    Discard burn-in samples.

                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Stabilizes training, enables higher learning rates. Common in CNNs.

    Generating Approximate i.i.d. Samples: (1) Run r independent

weights w_n(z_i). asymptotically for large n \to \infty. \mathbb{P}(\tilde{z} \le a) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_n(z_i) \mathbb{1}_{\{z_i \le a\}} \to \int_{z \le a} p(z) \, dz.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Hyperparameter Tuning
                                                                                                            Gibbs chains of length m, use final sample from each sequence. (2)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • layer size, lr(schedule), optimizer, regularization, batch size,
                                                                                                            Run one long sequence, discard burn-in, take every d-th sample.
SIR for Bayesian Inference
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        activation, loss f.
                                                                                                            · Getting conditionals distributions:
• Take unnormalized \tilde{p}(\theta) = p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta)p(\theta), sample \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • Methods: grid search(check all with step), random search, Bayesian
                                                                                                           p(z_1 \mid z_2, \dots, z_d) = \frac{p(z_1, \dots, z_d)}{p(z_2, \dots, z_d)} (1) Start with joint
• Resampling \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n according to weights (w_n(\theta_1), \dots, w_n(\theta_n)).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        optimization. Use k-fold cross-validation if data is limited.
                                                                                                           p(z_1,\ldots,z_d). (2) Drop constants not depend on z_1. (3) Use
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Why MLP Fails on Images MLPs are not translation invariant:
                                                                                                            knowledge of well-known distributions to find the distribution
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        shifting the input changes the output drastically. CNNs solve this
                                                                                                           p(z_1 \mid z_2, \dots, z_d).
Introduction to NN and DL
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        with local receptive fields and shared weights.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Convolution Operation • 1D: Convolution flips and slides a kernel
Sampling for EM
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        \overline{w} over input x,
                                                                                                            • An artificial neuron computes y = f\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} w_j x_j\right) with
• Observed incomplete data \mathbf{x}, while complete is (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}). Need to
compute: Q(\theta \mid \theta^{(m)}) = \int p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}, \theta^{(m)}) \log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \mid \theta) \, dz.

• Q(\theta \mid \theta^{(m)}) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}_i \mid \theta), where \mathbf{z}_i \sim p(\mathbf{z} \mid \mathbf{x}, \theta^{(m)}).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        (x*w)[n] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x[k]w[n-k]
                                                                                                           x_0=1, w_0=b. Common activations: sigmoid f(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}, \text{ ReLU } f(x)=\max(0,x).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       x = [1, 2, 3, 4], w = [5, 6, 7] \rightarrow z = [5, 16, 34, 52, 45, 28]
• 2D: Apply 2D filter over 2D image by elementwise multiplication
                                                                                                            • Binary output: 1 if \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{p} + b > 0, else 0. Decision boundary:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        and sum. Edge detectors highlight directional features.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Convolutional Neural Networks(CNNs) • Parameter sharing via convolution filters. • Sparse connections via local receptive fields.
                                                                                                                  \mathbf{p} + b = 0. +1 from negative to positive.
· Rejection and importance sampling not suitable for
                                                                                                            • OR Gate Perceptron Design: Given \mathbf{w} = [1, 1]^T, point
high-dimensional \mathbf{z} \to \text{need MCMC} methods
Stationary Distribution
                                                                                                           \mathbf{p} = [0, 0.5]^T lies on the boundary. Then
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        ullet Translation invariance. ullet Fewer parameters than MLPs 	o faster
Consider homogeneous Markov chain with transition probability
                                                                                                            1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 0.5 + b = 0 \Rightarrow b = -0.5.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       training.
p(x_t = y \mid x_{t-1} = x) = \mathbf{T}(x, y). \pi is a stationary distribution if \sum_x \pi(x) \mathbf{T}(x, y) = \pi(y) for all states y. Also called invariant distribution — does not change over time in the chain. If M states, \mathbf{T} is an M \times M matrix with \pi \mathbf{T} = \pi.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Residual Networks (ResNets)

• Use identity skip connections:
output = layer(x) + x.

• Help prevent vanishing gradients.

• Enable very deep models (e.g., 18, 50, 152 layers).
                                                                                                            • AND Gate Perceptron Design: Given \mathbf{w} = [1,1]^T , point
                                                                                                           \mathbf{p} = [0, 1.5]^T lies on the boundary. Then 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1.5 + b = 0 \Rightarrow b = -1.5.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        CNN Details • Each filter spans full input depth. • Produces one
                                                                                                           • XOR and Multi-Layer Perceptron: Single-layer fails on XOR. Use hidden layer: Neuron 1: \mathbf{w}_1 = [1,1]^T, b = -0.5; Neuron 2: \mathbf{w}_2 = [-1,-1]^T, b = 1.5. Output neuron AND:
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        activation map per filter. • Multiple filters produce stacked output
Asymptotic Steady State
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       c.e.g., 6 filters \rightarrow output depth = 6). 

CNN Architecture Typical: [(CONV - ReLU) \times N - POOL] \times M [FC - ReLU] \times K - Softmax. Modern trends: deep models (VGG, ResNet), small filters (3 \times 3), less pooling.
Asympton: State States and state \pi_1: Markov evolution: \pi_1 = \pi_0 \mathbf{T}, \ \pi_2 = \pi_1 \mathbf{T}, \dots, \ \pi_k = \pi_0 \mathbf{T}^k. If the limit \pi = \lim_{k \to \infty} \pi_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \pi_0 \mathbf{T}^k exist,\pi must satisfy \pi \mathbf{T} = \pi \to a stationary distribution
                                                                                                            \mathbf{w}_{\text{out}} = [1, 1], \vec{b} = -1.5.
                                                                                                            • Forward Propagation: Given W_1, W_2, W_3, b_1, b_2, b_3, input \mathbf{p},
                                                                                                                             \mathbf{h}_1 = \sigma(W_1\mathbf{p} + b_1), \mathbf{h}_2 = \sigma(W_2\mathbf{h}_1 + b_2),
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Spatial Dimensions For input of size W_1 \times H_1 \times C, filter size F, stride S, padding P, number of filters K:
Reversible Markov Chain (MC)
                                                                                                            \hat{y} = \sigma(W_3 \mathbf{h}_2 + b_3).
• Sufficient condition but not necessary condition for \pi to be

    Universal Approximation Theorem: (1) Approximating Arbitrary

stationary: \pi(x)\mathbf{T}(x,y) = \pi(y)\mathbf{T}(y,x) for all x,y \to \text{This}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       it will produce an output of W_2 \times H_2 \times K
                                                                                                            Decision Regions with 3-Layer MLP; Approximating a Function
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        W_2 = \frac{W_1 - F + 2P}{S} + 1. Same for H_2.
chain is reversible. Summing both sides over x:
                                                                                                            with 2-Layer MLP. (2) A hidden layer of nonconstant, bounded, and
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Number of parameters per filter: F^2C+1 (bias), total: K(F^2C+1) for K filters.
\begin{array}{l} \sum_{x}\pi(x)\mathbf{T}(x,y) = \sum_{x}\pi(y)\mathbf{T}(y,x) = \\ \pi(y)\sum_{x}\mathbf{T}(y,x) = \pi(y). \end{array} • Sampling from a distribution \pi(x): Design transition \mathbf{T}(x,y)
                                                                                                            continuous neurons (with weights and biases), plus a linear output
                                                                                                            neuron (with weights but without bias) can approximate any
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Example Calculation: Input: 32 \times 32 \times 3, Filters: 10 of size
                                                                                                            continuous function to any arbitrary accuracy if there are enough
such that (1) All the ergodicity conditions hold (2) Usually make T
                                                                                                            hidden neurons.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        5 \times 5, stride 1, padding 2 Output spatial size:
reversible and aperiodic (3) \pi(x) is stationary distribution.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       \begin{array}{ll} (32+2*2-5)/1+1=32\rightarrow 32\times 32\times 10 & \text{Params:} \\ 5*5*3+1=76 \text{ per filter} \rightarrow \text{total } 760 \text{ parameters.} \\ \textit{Padding Strategy} & \text{Zero-padding preserves spatial size. To preserve} \end{array}
                                                                                                            Feedforward Computation
• MCMC basis: Generate sample path for the Markov chain
                                                                                                           A multilayer NN maps input x to output y via: y = f(x) = \sigma(W_L \sigma(W_{L-1} \dots \sigma(W_1 x + b_1) \dots + b_{L-1}) + b_L).
z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n starting from any z_0. If n large, then
p(z_n) \approx \pi(z_n) \rightarrow \text{obtain samples from } \pi
                                                                                                            All layers use weight matrices W_i and bias vectors b_i.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        size: use padding P = \frac{F-1}{2} if S = 1

Pooling Layer • Reduces spatial size, parameters, overfitting.
Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm
                                                                                                            NN terminology Basics
• Sample from \pi(\mathbf{x}) (e.g., \mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^{1000}). Assume we can compute
                                                                                                            • Classification: last layer = softmax, loss = cross-entropy.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • Max pooling: keep largest value in window. • Avg pooling: keep mean value. • Output size: W_2 = \frac{W_1 - F}{F} + 1, same for H_2.
unnormalized density \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x}). Choose transition probability q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})
                                                                                                            • Regression: last layer = linear, loss = MSE.
that is irreducible, aperiodic, and easy to sample — this is the
                                                                                                            • "2-layer NN(1-hidden-layer NN)" = input + 1 hidden + output.
• "3-layer NN(2-hidden-layer NN)" = input + 2 hidden + output.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        • 0 learnable parameters.

Flatten and FC Layer

• Flatten: convert activation map to 1D
proposal distribution.
• Let Z_0, Z_1, \ldots be the chain states. At step m:
                                                                                                            Activation Functions
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        before FC. • FC: standard dense layer connects to all inputs.
                                                                                                            • Sigmoid: \sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}, output in [0,1], saturates.
• Tanh: \tanh(x)=2\sigma(2x)-1, output in [-1,1], zero-centered.
1. Let \mathbf{x} = Z_{m-1}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Blank area for Appendix Notes
2. Sample \mathbf{y} \sim q(\mathbf{x}, \cdot).
3. Accept \mathbf{y} with probability A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \min \left(1, \frac{\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{y})q(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})}{\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}\right).

ReLU: max(0, x), avoids vanishing gradient, fast to compute.
Leaky ReLU: f(x) = αx if x < 0, else x, avoids dead neurons.</li>

4. If accepted, Z_m = \mathbf{y}; else Z_m = Z_{m-1}.

• (1) Sequence Z_0, Z_1, \ldots is a Markov chain (only depends on
                                                                                                            • Linear: f(x) = cx, used in regression output layer. Also known as
Z_{m-1}) (2) Like rejection/importance sampling, does not need
                                                                                                            identity activation function.
normalization constant of \tilde{\pi} (3) Suitable for high-dimensional \pi(\mathbf{x})
                                                                                                            • Softmax: \operatorname{softmax}(z_i) = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}}, output is probability
since sampling is from simple q(\mathbf{x},\cdot)
                                                                                                            distribution. • Maxout. • Exponential Linear Unit (ELU).
Proposal Distributions
• MH chain Z_0, Z_1, \ldots is designed to converge to stationary distribution \pi(\cdot). For large m, Z_m \sim \pi approximately.
                                                                                                            Data Preprocessing
                                                                                                             • Standardization: zero mean, unit variance. x' = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}
• Burn-in period: discard first 1000 to 5000 samples
                                                                                                            • Normalization: scale to [0,1] or [-1,1]: x'=\frac{x-\min(x)}{\max(x)-\min(x)}
• Choice of Proposal Distribution:
                                                                                                            Loss Functions
1. q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = q(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) — random walk MH.

(1) \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) — Gaussian centered at \mathbf{x}.
                                                                                                            • Classification: Cross-entropy loss: \mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} y_k \log \hat{y}_k.
                                                                                                            · Regression:
(2) \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \sim \text{Unif}[-\delta, \delta]^d — Uniform around \mathbf{x}.
                                                                                                            Mean squared error (MSE): \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2.
(3) If q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = q(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}), then A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \min\left(1, \frac{\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{y})}{\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})}\right)
                                                                                                            Mean absolute error (MAE): \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|
known as the Metropolis Algorithm.
                                                                                                            Gradient Descent (GD)
(4) Variance affects — small ⇒ slow, high ⇒ high rejection rates.
                                                                                                            1. Initialization: Randomly initialize the model parameters \theta^0. Set
2. Independence Chain MH: q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = q(\mathbf{y}), i.e. next state is
independent of current. Works well if q(\mathbf{y}) closely approximates \pi(\mathbf{y}) and is heavy-tailed
                                                                                                           2. Compute the gradient of the loss function at \theta^{\text{old}}: \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^{\text{old}}).
3. Update the parameters: \theta^{\text{new}} = \theta^{\text{old}} - \alpha \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^{\text{old}}) where \alpha is
3. Exploiting Structure of \pi: Suppose \pi(\mathbf{x}) \propto \psi(\mathbf{x})h(\mathbf{x}), with
                                                                                                           the learning rate. 4. Set \theta^{\rm old}=\theta^{\rm new} and return to step 2 (repeat until terminating).
h(\mathbf{x}) is a density that can be sampled from, bounded function \psi(\mathbf{x})
Choose q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = h(\mathbf{y}), then:
                                                                                                            GD does not guarantee reaching a global minimum.
A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \min\left(1, \frac{\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{y})q(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})}{\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}\right) =

    Backpropagation: Combines forward pass + backward pass using

                                                                                                            chain rule to compute gradients of loss w.r.t. weights.
\min\left(1, \frac{\psi(\mathbf{y})h(\mathbf{y})h(\mathbf{x})}{\psi(\mathbf{x})h(\mathbf{x})h(\mathbf{y})}\right) = \min\left(1, \frac{\psi(\mathbf{y})}{\psi(\mathbf{x})}\right)

    Batch GD: compute gradients over full dataset.
```