

Assessment Output

Assessment Output

****Assessment Brief: Secure RAG Implementation (Assignment 2)****

****Unit:** LLM Security and Governance**

****Weighting:** 30%**

****Submission Requirements:****

* A written report detailing the implementation of a secure Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) pipeline.

* Code snippets or documentation demonstrating the integration of security features, including prompt injection testing.

****Learning Outcomes Assessed:****

1. Design secure RAG pipelines.
2. Implement structured output enforcement.
3. Conduct prompt injection testing.

****Capstone Requirements Incorporated:****

* Implement a prompt-injection test suite for the RAG pipeline.

* Report attack success rate, false block rate, JSON validity rate, and citation coverage.

****Assessment Criteria:****

1. ****Security Design (10 points)****

* Effectiveness of security features in preventing common LLM risks (e.g., prompt injection attacks).

* Integration of mitigation strategies (e.g., intent routing, risk-scored triage layer).

2. ****Implementation and Code Quality (8 points)****

* Clarity and organization of code documentation.

* Correctness and efficiency of implementation.

3. ****Prompt Injection Testing (6 points)****

* Thoroughness and effectiveness of the test suite.

* Accuracy of reported metrics (attack success rate, false block rate, JSON validity rate, citation coverage).

4. ****Ethics/Compliance Section (6 points)****

* Depth and accuracy of discussion on ethics and compliance considerations.

****Submission Guidelines:****

* Submit a single PDF document containing the written report and code snippets or documentation

* Use a clear and consistent formatting style throughout the submission.

* Include a title page with student name, ID, and unit details.

****Marking Rubric: Secure RAG Implementation (Assignment 2)****

| Criteria | Excellent (9-10) | Good (7-8) | Satisfactory (5-6) | Unsatisfactory (0-4) |

| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

| Security Design | Effective security features, thorough mitigation strategies. | Some security features implemented, but incomplete or ineffective mitigation strategies. | Limited security features, inadequate mitigation strategies. | No security features implemented. |

| Implementation and Code Quality | Clear, organized code documentation; efficient implementation. | Code documentation is adequate, but implementation has some issues. | Poorly documented code; inefficient implementation. | Incomplete or incorrect code submission. |

| Prompt Injection Testing | Thorough test suite with accurate metrics reporting. | Test suite is mostly effective, but some metrics are missing or inaccurate. | Limited testing, incomplete or inaccurate metrics reporting. | No prompt injection testing implemented. |

| Ethics/Compliance Section | Comprehensive discussion on ethics and compliance considerations. | Some discussion on ethics and compliance, but lacks depth or accuracy. | Limited discussion on ethics and compliance. | No discussion on ethics and compliance. |

****Student Submission Checklist: Secure RAG Implementation (Assignment 2)****

- * Have I implemented a secure RAG pipeline with effective security features?
- * Is my code well-documented and efficiently implemented?
- * Have I conducted thorough prompt injection testing, including accurate metrics reporting?
- * Has my submission included an ethics/compliance section discussing relevant considerations?

Note: This assessment brief is designed to align with the postgraduate expectations of the unit . The marking rubric provides clear criteria for assessing student submissions, while the student submission checklist ensures students understand what is required from them.