

HW3

B05703029 陳怡蓁

1. 估計以下的模型：

$$\ln(\text{wage}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + \beta_2 \text{exper} + \beta_3 \text{tenure} + \beta_4 \text{married} + \beta_5 \text{black} + \beta_6 \text{south} + \beta_7 \text{urban} + u$$

並解釋 β^1 與 β^2 的意義。

Code :

```
library(wooldridge)
```

```
data("wage2")
```

```
model_1 <- lm( log(wage)~educ+exper+tenure+married+black+south+urban, data = wage2)
```

```
summary(model_1)
```

估計結果：

```
Call:
lm(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + tenure + married + black +
    south + urban, data = wage2)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-1.98069 -0.21996  0.00707  0.24288  1.22822

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  5.395497   0.113225  47.653  < 2e-16 ***
educ         0.065431   0.006250  10.468  < 2e-16 ***
exper        0.014043   0.003185   4.409 1.16e-05 ***
tenure       0.011747   0.002453   4.789 1.95e-06 ***
married     0.199417   0.039050   5.107 3.98e-07 ***
black       -0.188350   0.037667  -5.000 6.84e-07 ***
south      -0.090904   0.026249  -3.463 0.000558 ***
urban       0.183912   0.026958   6.822 1.62e-11 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.3655 on 927 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.2526,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.2469
F-statistic: 44.75 on 7 and 927 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

$$\beta^0 = 5.395497$$

$$\beta^1 = 0.065431$$

$$\beta^2 = 0.014043$$

$$\beta^3 = 0.011747$$

$$\beta^4 = 0.199417$$

$$\beta^5 = -0.188350$$

$$\beta^6 = -0.090904$$

$$\beta^7 = 0.183912$$

$\beta^1 = 0.065431$ ：代表控制其他變數不變，當受教育的年份每增加一年，每月收入平均增加 6.5431%

$\beta^2 = 0.014043$ ：代表控制其他變數不變，工作年資每增加一年，每月收入平均增加 1.4043%

2. 承上題， β^1 與 β^2 的正負號是否合理？並解釋合理或不合理的原因。

Ans：我認為兩者的正負號均合理。因通常受教育的年份較長代表其教育程度較高，因此專業性較高，薪水收入較高是合理的。而工作年資越長代表越有經驗，收入較高亦合理。

3. 控制其他解釋變數的值不變，估計 black 與 nonblack 的月薪資差異為何？此

差異在統計上是否顯著？(僅需寫出虛無假設、對立假設、p-value 與決策結

果， $\alpha = 0.05$)

$$\text{Model: } \ln(\text{wage}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + \beta_2 \text{exper} + \beta_3 \text{tenure} + \beta_4 \text{married} + \beta_5 \text{black} + \beta_6 \text{south} + \beta_7 \text{urban} + u$$

- $H_0: \beta^5 = 0; H_1: \beta^5 \neq 0$

- $\beta^5 = -0.188350$

→代表在其他解釋變數值不變的情況下，black 的月薪資平均比 nonblack 低 18.835%

- $p\text{-value} = 6.84 \times 10^{-7} < \alpha = 0.05 \rightarrow$ 拒絕虛無假設→此差異在 $\alpha = 0.05$ 下顯著

4. 回到第一小題，在模型中加入 black 與 married 的交乘項：

$\ln(\text{wage}) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \text{educ} + \gamma_2 \text{exper} + \gamma_3 \text{tenure} + \gamma_4 \text{married} + \gamma_5 \text{black} + \gamma_6 \text{black} * \text{married} + \gamma_7 \text{south} + \gamma_8 \text{urban} + u$, 交乘項在統計上是否顯著 (僅需寫出虛無假設、對立假設、p-value 與決策結果, $\alpha = 0.05$)? 根據此模型, 估計 married blacks 與 married nonblacks 的月薪資差異為何?

Code :

```
model_2 <- lm(log(wage)~educ + exper + tenure + married+ black + black*married + south + urban, data = wage2)
summary(model_2)
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = log(wage) ~ educ + exper + tenure + married + black + 
    black * married + south + urban, data = wage2)

Residuals:
    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-1.98013 -0.21780  0.01057  0.24219  1.22889

Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)   5.403793   0.114122  47.351  < 2e-16 ***
educ          0.065475   0.006253  10.471  < 2e-16 ***
exper         0.014146   0.003191   4.433 1.04e-05 ***
tenure        0.011663   0.002458   4.745 2.41e-06 ***
married       0.188915   0.042878   4.406 1.18e-05 ***
black        -0.240820   0.096023  -2.508 0.012314 *
south        -0.091989   0.026321  -3.495 0.000497 ***
urban         0.184350   0.026978   6.833 1.50e-11 ***
married:black  0.061354   0.103275   0.594 0.552602
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.3656 on 926 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.2528,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.2464 
F-statistic: 39.17 on 8 and 926 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- $H_0: \gamma_6 = 0$; $H_1: \gamma_6 \neq 0$
- $p\text{-value} = 0.552602 > \alpha = 0.05 \rightarrow$ 接受虛無假設、交乘項不顯著
- married blacks 與 married nonblacks 的月薪資差異:

當其他變數不變的情況下且 $\alpha = 0.05$ 下, 由於交乘項不顯著, 因此只須考慮 married 的係數。
married black 與 married non-blacks 的月薪資差異為 $100 * (-0.24082)\% = -24.082\%$, 平均而言,
married black 的月薪資比 married non-black 低 24.082%

5. 使用 `stargazer` 函數，將第一題與第四題的結果輸出為表格（係數的顯著性設定為 $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$ ）。

Code :

```
library(stargazer)
```

```
stargazer(model_1, model_2, type = "text", title = "Results", star.cutoffs = c(0.05, 0.01, 0.001))
```

Results		
=====		
	Dependent variable:	

	log(wage)	
	(1)	(2)

educ	0.065*** (0.006)	0.065*** (0.006)
exper	0.014*** (0.003)	0.014*** (0.003)
tenure	0.012*** (0.002)	0.012*** (0.002)
married	0.199*** (0.039)	0.189*** (0.043)
black	-0.188*** (0.038)	-0.241* (0.096)
south	-0.091*** (0.026)	-0.092*** (0.026)
urban	0.184*** (0.027)	0.184*** (0.027)
married:black		0.061 (0.103)
Constant	5.395*** (0.113)	5.404*** (0.114)

Observations	935	935
R2	0.253	0.253
Adjusted R2	0.247	0.246
Residual Std. Error	0.365 (df = 927)	0.366 (df = 926)
F Statistic	44.747*** (df = 7; 927)	39.170*** (df = 8; 926)
=====		
Note:	*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001	