Springboard — Data Science Career Track
Capstone Project Two

Topic modeling for news articles

Final Report

by Yiding Weng
June 05, 2019

Problem

With the rapid growth of Internet and online information services, printing medias, more and more information is available and accessible. Explosion of information has caused a well-recognized information overload problem. There is no time to read everything and yet we must make critical decisions based on whatever information is available. Without absorbing the text information line by line, how to know what is the significant writing worth paying attention? What is the central theme?

One of the primary applications of natural language processing is to automatically extract what topics people are discussing from large volumes of text. Knowing what people are talking about and understanding their problems and opinions is highly valuable to businesses, administrators, political campaigns. It will be very helpful to have an automated algorithm that can read through the text documents and automatically output the topics discussed.

In this project, I study a simplified version of this problem, which is that of deriving abstract topics that characterize the themes associated with news articles. More specifically, in this project I use the concepts of topic models through Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) and Coherence.

Data

Proposed Data Collection:
All the news: 143,000 articles from 15 American publications

Data Location: https://www.kaggle.com/snapcrack/all-the-news

Approach

I will be using the Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) from Gensim package along with the Mallet's implementation (via Gensim). Mallet has an efficient implementation of LDA. It is known to run faster and gives better topics segregation.

We will also extract the volume and percentage contribution of each topic to get an idea of how important a topic is.

Data wrangling

Download nltk stop words and spacy model

We will need the stop words from NLTK and spacy's "en" model for English text pre-processing. Then we will be using the spacy model for lemmatization, which is the process of converting a word to its root word.

For example: the lemma of the word 'machines' is 'machine'. Likewise, 'walking' –> 'walk', 'mice' –> 'mouse' and so on.

Data sampling

This version of the dataset contains about 142k news articles from 15 different medias. This is available as https://www.kaggle.com/jannesklaas/analyzing-the-news/data. Due to the limited computing power, I will take a sample of 30% of the total data for our study in this project.

Data cleaning

'title' and 'content' are the important features that have the text information we are interested to study. Since this title dataset does not have empty fields in 'title' or 'content' information, we will not remove any rows of data.

```
id                0
title             0
publication       0
author         4872
date            798
year            798
month           798
url           16934
content           0
dtype: int64
```

Remove emails and newline characters

There are many emails sign (@), newline and extra spaces that are superfluous. So I get rid of them using regular expressions. After removing the emails and extra spaces, we need to break down each sentence into a list of words through tokenization, while clearing up all the messy text in the process.

Creating Bi-gram and Tri-gram Models

Bigrams are two words frequently occurring together in the document. Trigrams are 3 words frequently occurring. Gensim's Phrases model can build and implement the bigrams, trigrams, quadgrams and more. The two important arguments to Phrases are min_count and threshold. The higher the values of these param, the harder it is for words to be combined to bigrams.

Some examples in our example are: 'health_insurance', 'health_care', 'white_house' etc.

Exploratory analysis

TYPE	DESCRIPTION
PERSON	People, including fictional.
NORP	Nationalities or religious or political groups.
FAC	Buildings, airports, highways, bridges, etc.
ORG	Companies, agencies, institutions, etc.
GPE	Countries, cities, states.
LOC	Non-GPE locations, mountain ranges, bodies of water.
PRODUCT	Objects, vehicles, foods, etc. (Not services.)
EVENT	Named hurricanes, battles, wars, sports events, etc.

As of v2.0, spaCy supports models trained on more than one language, in this case is English. This is especially useful for named entity recognition.
To list a few examples: (Annotation Specifications https://spacy.io/api/annotation#named-entities)

I first looked at the distribution of the number of the articles from different publications
And found out Breitbart, New York Post and NPR have the most number of news articles, so I


use them for the target of comparison.

After that I observed the distribution of types of predefined words by spaCy, which are shown in the next bar chart.

Then I decided to look at some most occurring words in each media source, and the results are as follows:

- From **Breitbart**: trump, state, people, president, news
- From **New York Post**: year, time, people, day, trump
- From **NPR**: people, year, trump, time, state

Since 'Trump' in all three medias, but the frequencies of occurrence are different, I want to observe if such difference are statistically significant to notice such fact.

Statistical analysis

We can see that the differences of the occurring frequency of the word 'trump' from three different publications are much clearer in the ECDF. Breitbart has almost half of the articles contains the word 'trump'.

New York Post and NPR have similar frequencies for the word 'trump', if we can conclude that the frequency difference between them are statistically significant, then we can also conclude

the difference between these two (New York Post, NPR) and Breitbart are much more statistically significant given its wider margin.

Preform hypothesis test

H0: p = 0 H1: p ≠ 0
Null hypothesis:
There is no difference between the occurring frequency of the word 'trump' between New York Post and NPR (frequency difference is 0, p = 0)

Alternate hypothesis:
There is difference between the occurring frequency of the word 'trump' between New York Post and NPR (frequency difference is not 0, p ≠ 0)

```
difference of means = 0.0016717863221870416
p = 0.0
```

We get a p-value of 0.0, which suggests that there is a statistically significant difference. We got a difference of 0.00167 between the means. You should combine this with the statistical significance, which means the difference between the frequency of the word 'Trump' from New York Post and from NPR is substantial.

Applying LDA

Create the Dictionary and Corpus needed for Topic Modeling

The two main inputs to the LDA topic model are the dictionary (id2word) and the corpus.

Gensim creates a unique id for each word in the document. The produced corpus is a mapping of (word_id, word_frequency). For example, (0, 1) implies, word id 0 occurs once in the first document. Likewise, (14, 3) means that word id 14 occurs three times and so on. This is used as the input by the LDA model. To see what word a given id corresponds to, pass the id as a key to the dictionary.

You can see a human-readable form of the corpus itself, for instance …
[[('ability', 1),
 ('accomplished', 1),
 ('acknowledge', 1),
 ('address', 1),
 ('adviser', 1),
 ('advocacy', 1),
 ('aim', 1), …]…[…]]

How to find the optimal number of topics for LDA

My approach to finding the optimal number of topics is to build many LDA models with different values of number of topics (k) and pick the one that gives the highest coherence value. Topic coherence value signifies the quality defined by % of conformance as per some predefined standards. Choosing a 'k' that marks the end of a rapid growth of topic coherence usually offers meaningful and interpretable topics. Picking an even higher value can sometimes provide more granular sub-topics. If you see the same keywords being repeated in multiple topics, it's probably a sign that the 'k' is too large.

Function compute_coherence_values() trains multiple LDA models and provides the models and their corresponding coherence scores.

If the coherence score seems to keep increasing, it may make better sense to pick the model that gave the highest CV before flattening out. This is exactly the case here.

So, for further steps I will choose the model with 10 topics, topic number going well above 10 have good coherence scores but may have repeated keywords in the topic.

Visualize the topics-keywords

Now that the LDA model is built, the next step is to examine the produced topics and the associated keywords. There is no better tool than pyLDAvis package's interactive chart and is designed to work well with Jupyter notebooks.

Intertopic Distance Map (via multidimensional scaling)

Top-30 Most Relevant Terms for Topic 1 (23% of tokens)

Marginal topic distribution
2%
5%
10%

say, go, get, people, make, know, time, think, want, would, see, work, way, woman, thing, come, take, even, good, look, tell, year, really, feel, much, man, life, day, could, story

Overall term frequency
Estimated term frequency within the selected topic

1. saliency(term w) = frequency(w) * [sum_t p(t | w) * log(p(t | w)/p(t))] for topics t; see Chuang et. al (2012)
2. relevance(term w | topic t) = λ * p(w | t) + (1 - λ) * p(w | t)/p(w); see Sievert & Shirley (2014)

In the sample output from pyLDAvis shown above we can see the data has been divided into 10 groups, and as we go through each of the circles which represent different topics, from its own list of keywords we are able to get an idea of the theme of each topic.

Topic 0 (circle 7): Crime

Topic 1 (circle 9): Foreign affairs

Topic 2 (circle 1): General News

Topic 3 (circle 5): Legal issues

Topic 4 (circle 3): Sports

Topic 5 (circle 10): Fashion & Entertainment

Topic 6 (circle 2): Election

Topic 7 (circle 8): Health & Environment

Topic 8 (circle 4): Education & Social work

Topic 9 (circle 6): Finance & Economy

Model result analysis

As the model has already put each of the news documents into a category group, we can access the performance of this model by reading the content of the news and judging if the attributed topic is appropriate from human perspective.

	Document_No	Dominant_Topic	Topic_Perc_Contrib	Keywords	Text
0	0	5.0	0.2030	show, woman, make, time, love, life, year, fee...	[ivanka, trump, trouble, convince, world, fath...
1	1	9.0	0.2980	company, percent, year, business, market, pay,...	[jar, regulatory, action, week, large, bank, b...
2	2	7.0	0.6530	city, year, water, people, home, time, day, fo...	[tale, planet, begin, year, ago, planet, earth...
3	3	5.0	0.6444	show, woman, make, time, love, life, year, fee...	[british, costumer, sandy, powell, already, os...
4	4	1.0	0.3746	state, country, attack, government, united, mi...	[wednesday, king_salman, saudi_arabia, push, a...
5	5	9.0	0.5963	company, percent, year, business, market, pay,...	[dollar, steady, monday, recover, friday, loss...
6	6	1.0	0.1970	state, country, attack, government, united, mi...	[thursday, sovereign, citizen, temporarily, en...
7	7	0.0	0.4667	trump, president, house, report, news, adminis...	[may, avoid, criminal, charge, sear, rebuke, e...
8	8	6.0	0.4824	trump, republican, vote, state, campaign, pres...	[nancy_pelosi, come, house, democrat, retreat,...
9	9	0.0	0.4198	trump, president, house, report, news, adminis...	[document, release, friday, federal, bureau, i...

From the top of the data frame of the notebook, we can choose the first five articles as a sample:

0. Ivanka Trump just got booed in Germany for calling her father a champion of women

1. At Debate, Hillary Clinton Leaves Questions About Approach to Banks - The New York Times

2. Why Mars Is the Best Planet

3. Sketch To Impress: How An Oscar-Winning Designer Costumes The Stars

4. What to Make of the Saudi Shake-up

Through my reading, I extracted the following keywords and assigned topics to these articles, as follows:

0. Keywords: Ivanka Trump, women, presidency, equality, entrepreneurship
   topic: general news, election

1. Keywords: Hillary Clinton, Wall Street, banks, federal regulator, congress, economy, financial

topic: Finance, economy

2. Keywords: Mars, water, Earth, life, planet, science, NASA,
topic: Science, Environment

3. Keywords: Oscar, costume design, Cate Blanchett, dress,
topic: Fashion, Entertainment

4. Keywords: Saudi Arabia, Salman, successor, ruler, monarchy, ambassador
topic: Foreign news

Then we can compare my defined topics with the ones defined by the model

0. my topic: general news, election
model topic: Fashion & Entertainment

1. my topic: Finance, economy
model topic: Finance & Economy

2. my topic: Science, Environment
model topic: Health & Environment

3. my topic: Fashion, Entertainment
model topic: Fashion & Entertainment

4. my topic: Foreign news
model topic: Foreign affair

Beside the first one, my conclusion is a bit different from the model conclusion, since the article itself span across multiple areas. But other than that, the results are in alignment.

Conclusions

At the beginning stage of the project, we extracted the words that can mostly represent the content and we were able to tell there are different emphasis within the news content from different media source by identifying the high frequency words, even if some of the words came out as frequent words in multiple sources, the significant difference in their frequencies signifies the differences in weights.

Throughout the modeling experience, we transformed the dataset into dictionary and corpus to feed into the LDA model, and by comparing coherence score and the number of classifying groups, we decided to divide the news documents into ten groups given its corresponding optimal coherence score, and gave each group a central topic based on its keywords. Finally, by comparing the modeling result with human interpretation of the article and judgment about the theme topic, I concluded that the model is reliable to divide news articles into 10 distinct groups.

Future work

In the process of analyzing the modeling results, I used my own reading and interpretation of five news articles as a rough assessment to measure the performance of the model. This approach can be improved in reducing bias by involving more people and reading larger amount of the articles sampled from different source of news media. Moreover, when each reader is extracting keywords from the documents they are reading, a metric can be utilized to quantitively record the proportion of keywords identified by both human users and the model, which will be effectively in improving the model performance.

Recommendation for clients

This project has shown that it is possible to combine LDA with the coherence metric to identify main abstract topics of large amount of news articles, which would be a difficult task for humans to complete. Since the modeling paradigm is only based on word frequency, this approach can be also used to identify topics and central information with other text sources such as legal, historical or financial documents, and even documents in other languages, which can be applied to the problem of classifying large amounts of information with good accuracy.