Collaborated with yc2454 (Yalu Cai)

- a. Let us create a partial function f_{halt} that is defined on all inputs, and outputs either 0 or 1 on each input. Then let us create a set S of non-trivial partial functions, where the only function in it is f_{halt} . Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists a Turing machine HaltChecker that decides whether a Turing machine M halts on input x, then there exists a Turing machine M for which HaltChecker computes f_{halt} , because HaltChecker outputs either 0 or 1 on a machine and its input. But Rice's Theorem states that there doesn't exist such a Turing machine that can decide whether an input machine computes a non-trivial set of partial functions, hence reaching a contradiction. The halting problem is thus uncomputable.
- b. We assume that f_S is computable and reach the contradiction that this would allow the halting problem to be computable. Given a Turing machine M and its input x, the halting problem is concerned with whether M halts on x. Let a set S be a non-trivial set of partial functions. We make S only have one partial function f_{\emptyset} , which is undefined for every input. We make a new Turing machine M_b that loops infinitely for every input other than x and just simulates M on x for x, i.e. M_b 's pseudocode looks like this:

```
M_b(input){
    if (input!=x){
        loop infinitely;
    }
    else{
        return M(x);
    }
}
```

If there were a Turing machine that computes f_S , then we would know whether M_b halts on x, and thus whether M halts on x. Because if f_S outputs 1 on input M_b , then we know M_b doesn't halt on x because that means M_b computes f_\emptyset , which is undefined for x. The complement of S (let's call it S') is also a non-trivial set of partial functions. If there were a Turing machine that computes $f_{S'}$ and outputs 1 on input 10, then we know 11 (and thus, 12) halts on 12, because then that means there's a function in 12 that is undefined for every input other than 12 and is defined for 13, and has output 14. Either of these Turing machines that decide 15 or 16 or 17 is a decider for the halting problem, which we know is incomputable. Rice's theorem is thus proven.