

CMSC 5718 Introduction to Computational Finance

Optional Assignment – answers

1. Performance evaluation

Sharpe ratio of Portfolio A = $(5.5\% - 2\%) / 15\% = 0.233$

Treynor ratio of Portfolio A = $(5.5\% - 2\%) / 0.60 = 0.0583$

Sharpe ratio of S&P 500 = $(7\% - 2\%) / 11\% = 0.455$

Treynor ratio of S&P 500 = $(7\% - 2\%) / 1 = 0.05$

According to the Sharpe ratio, Portfolio A underperforms the S&P 500 index, whereas if the Treynor ratio is compared, Portfolio A is better than the S&P 500 index.

2. Equity Portfolio Management

(i) Expected return = $R_f + \beta \times (R_m - R_f) = 0.04 + 0.7 \times (0.085 - 0.04) = 7.15\%$ p.a.

(ii) EPS next year = $6 \times (1 + 0.06) = \$6.36$. Share price = EPS \times P/E = $6.36 \times 8.4 = \$53.424$

(iii) Total cash received after 1 year = $53.424 + 2.40 = \$55.824$

Total return = $55.824/50.00 - 1 = 11.648\%$ p.a., which is higher than that indicated by CAPM.

Therefore he should recommend the stock as a “buy”.

Alternatively, fair price now = $2.40 + 53.424/(1 + 0.0715) = \$52.26 > \$50$, therefore recommend “buy”.

3. Risk management

(i) Delta equivalent of the option position = $N = 2500000 \times 0.55 = \$1,375,000$

VAR under delta-normal method = $N \times 2.33 \times \sigma \times \sqrt{t}$

$$= 1375000 \times 2.33 \times 0.25 \times \sqrt{1/250} = \$50,655.7$$

(ii) VAR figure calculated in (i) above means that there is a 99% that the daily loss would be less than \$50,655.7. However, within 1 calendar year, there would probably be 2 or 3 days when the loss would be greater than the calculated VAR. So this one single incident may not indicate anything wrong with the calculation. It would be a case of concern if the VAR is breached frequently.

4. Derivative Strategies

(a) *Arbitrage Strategy*

By put-call parity, Call – Put = Stock – Strike $\times \exp(-rt)$

Left hand side = $9.24 - 6.77 = \$2.47$, Right hand side = $100 - 98.5 \times 0.9852 = \2.9578

Since the left hand side is cheaper than the right hand side, we should buy the left and sell the right, i.e. we should:

1) buy a call option and pay \$9.24;

2) sell a put option and receive \$6.77;

3) sell stock to receive \$100.

The net cash received is $100 - 9.24 + 6.77 = \$97.53$.

4) Deposit this amount today for 0.75 year, to get $97.53 \times 1.015 = \$98.993$.

At maturity, if stock price is above \$98.5, we can exercise the call and buy the stock at \$98.5, and return the stock. If stock price is below \$98.5, the put will be exercised and we will receive stock and pay \$98.5. So in both cases, the result is we pay \$98.5 and receive stock, which can then be used to close out the transaction from step 3. The net profit is thus $98.993 - 98.5 = \$0.493$ at maturity.

Alternatively, step 4 above can be replaced by using the exact term as indicated by the put-call parity. Instead of depositing \$97.53, we can deposit $98.5 \times 0.9852 = \$97.0422$ for 0.75 year. After 0.75 year, this amount will generate $97.0422 \times 1.015 = \$98.5$, which can then be used to settle the option transaction (same reasoning as above). The net profit is thus: $97.53 - 97.0422 = \$0.4878$ today.

(b) *Option strategies*

One possible strategy is as follows:

Long 1 call at strike 100

Short 1 call at strike 150

Long 1 put at strike 100

Short 1 put at strike 80

Short 2 puts at strike 50

Stock price at expiry	$S_T \leq 50$	$50 < S_T \leq 80$	$80 < S_T \leq 100$	$100 < S_T \leq 150$	$150 \leq S_T$
Payoff from $c(100)$	0	0	0	$S_T - 100$	$S_T - 100$
Payoff from $-c(150)$	0	0	0	0	$-(S_T - 150)$
Payoff from $p(100)$	$100 - S_T$	$100 - S_T$	$100 - S_T$	0	0
Payoff from $-p(80)$	$-(80 - S_T)$	$-(80 - S_T)$	0	0	0
Payoff from $-2p(50)$	$-2(50 - S_T)$	0	0	0	0
Total payoff	$2S_T - 80$	20	$100 - S_T$	$S_T - 100$	50

- END -