Optimizing Collective Intelligence: The Impact of Cultural Orientations on Team Performance MACS 30200 Final Proposal Presentation

Yifan Ding

Social Science Division University of Chicago

May, 2025





- 2 Literature Context
- 3 Design & Method
- Proof of Concept
- **5** Feasibility

- 2 Literature Context
- 3 Design & Method
- 4 Proof of Concept
- Feasibility

- How does cultural orientation (individualism vs. collectivism) affect collective intelligence in team-based problem-solving tasks?
- Can mixed-cultural teams outperform culturally homogeneous ones?

- 1 Research Question
- 2 Literature Context
- 3 Design & Method
- 4 Proof of Concept
- 6 Feasibility

- Baldwin et al. (2023): Individualist cultures favor direct communication; collectivist cultures prefer implicit coordination.
- Engel et al. (2015): Social sensitivity differs across cultures, impacting CI.
- Basabe & Ros (2005), Khatri (2009): Leadership and hierarchy vary by culture.
- Saad et al. (2015): Individualist teams generate more ideas; collectivist teams refine them better.

- 2 Literature Context
- 3 Design & Method
- 4 Proof of Concept
- 6 Feasibility

- Participants: U.S. (individualist) vs. China (collectivist) student teams.
- Task: Solve the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) collaboratively.
- Group types: Individualist, Collectivist, Mixed.
- Screening via IndividualismCollectivism Scale (Triandis & Gelfand, 1998).

Methods and Metrics

- Communication Analysis: NLP for message clarity, quantity.
- Collaboration Structure: Social Network Analysis.
- Performance Metrics: CI score = accuracy + time + coordination.
- Statistical Tools: ANOVA, regression.



- 2 Literature Context
- 3 Design & Method
- Proof of Concept
- Feasibility

Mocked Expectations

- Collectivist teams: Stronger coordination, smoother decision-making.
- Individualist teams: Greater idea diversity, but slower convergence.
- Mixed teams: Possibly balanced strengths, but risk of conflict.
- Visual: Task performance simulation or hypothetical CI score plot.

- 2 Literature Context
- 3 Design & Method
- 4 Proof of Concept
- **5** Feasibility

Timeline, Cost, and Support

- **Timeline**: 3 mo. recruitment, 2 mo. experiments, 2 mo. analysis, 2 mo. writing.
- **Funding Needed**: \$1,000\$2,000 for participant compensation + software.
- Advising & Oversight: Dr. Henry Dambanemuya (confirmed).

GitHub Repository

- Full proposal, LaTeX sources, and literature review available at:
- https://github.com/yifand1023/macs_30200



Thank You!

Questions? Feedback? Lets build smarter teams together.

