

1. T, F, F, T, F, T, T

2.

IH: assume that for some $c > 0$, We have $T(k) \geq cn^2 + n$ for all $k < n$

IS: $T(n) = 16T(n/4) - 2n$

$$\geq 16c \cdot n^2/16 + 16n/4 - 2n$$

$$\geq cn^2 + 4n - 2n$$

$$\geq cn^2 + 2n$$

cause $n > 0$, so $T(n) \geq cn^2 + 2n > cn^2$

Boundary Check: $T(1) = 3 \geq c \cdot 1 + 2$

$$\Rightarrow 0 < c \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Thus, with } c = 0.5 \text{ } T(n) \geq cn^2 + 2n \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Thus, } T(n) = \Omega(n^2)$$

3.

a)

we just need to pick the first k elements in $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \dots, a_n$

use a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k to form a new array

if $k = O(1)$, Then the size of new array is still $O(1)$

The time need to sort such array is still $O(1)$

just sort and pick the k smallest element

b)

pick first k elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k ,

use a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k to form a new array

Then the size of new array should be $O(\log n)$

Then apply SELECT algorithm, the Time complexity will be $O(\log n)$

c) just combine two sets of numbers, and apply SELECT algorithm to find the k th smallest elements in whole array, the size is still $O(n)$, so the time complexity will be $O(n)$

1. Divide n elements into $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ group of 5 elements, and one group that can have less than 5 elements.
2. Find the median of each group.
3. Use Select recursively to find the median x of the $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ values.
4. Partition the input around x .
5. Continue as in the Select algorithm recursively.

4.

STEP1, Firstly, sort the set S and re-label them

STEP2. Create a new empty set K for the unit intervals

STEP3: For each x_i in S

if x_i is included in the newest interval of K , then skip

else if x_i isn't included in the newest interval, add a new interval $[x_i, x_i+1]$ into the set K

STEP4: return S

Proof: The first interval will be $[X_1, X_1+1]$, assume we use $[y, y+1]$ to include X_1 , where $y < X_1$, cause X_1 is smallest number (S is sorted), there is no number between $[y, X_1)$, we can use $[X_1, X_1+1]$ to replace $[y, y+1]$.

Then for the next interval is same reason,

$[X_i, X_i+1]$. No number between $[X_{i-1}+1, X_i)$, then we can use $[X_i, X_i+1]$ to replace

.....

It is optimal and greedy because every step we choose the best solution by local information, and the subproblems are the same type as original problem

Time complexity is $O(n \log n)$ because of sort

5.

5. It is still minimum spanning tree

Use Kruskal's method for example

Assume the original weighted edges are sorted in an array

X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n

Then use the Kruskal's method, we need to test X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , if it meets cycle, skip

Now it becomes

$X_1+k, X_2+k, \dots, X_n+k,$

The order of this sorted array won't be changed.

So we still use Kruskal's to add edges in same order, and cycle will be the old cycle since the location of edge hasn't been changed.

And it will finally be same minimum spanning tree with different weight