

ASoul: Applicatives, Monads, and a Future for Rust

Mike He

Jiuru Li

Yihong Zhang

Background

Async is a concurrent programming model adopted in Rust and has now been widely used. To use the async model, developers create an encapsulated async block, called future, for each remote data fetch, and let an executor run many futures concurrently. Compared to the multi-processing or multi-threading concurrency model, async does not bear system call overheads and is more lightweight and more efficient. However, there are several problems with the async programming model, potentially preventing it from further adoption compared to multi-threading. For example, API calls need to be asynchronous in order to be run concurrently. Moreover, the executor can only run multiple futures together when they are explicitly used as parameters to specific future calls such as `join!` or `select!`.

In this project, we propose ASoul¹, an alternative concurrency model for Rust based on Haxl, a concurrency framework developed by Facebook. Our programming model allows mixing asynchronous and synchronous calls in the same program and schedule concurrent tasks automatically without explicit calls to future APIs like `join!` or `select!`. At the core of our programming model is applicative functors, or applicatives, and monads. In fact, monads have been long known in the functional programming community for being able to express concurrency, and the async model in Rust can be seen as syntactic sugars around the concurrency monad. Following Haxl, we propose to use applicative functors to express tasks that do not have dependencies and can be executed concurrently. The use of applicatives allows us to batch independent tasks via standard applicative operations like `bind` and `ap`. We implement a `fetch!` macro as a syntactic indirection that reduces the complication introduced by calling applicative operations.

Design of ASoul

Fetch<T>. We use trait `Request<T>` to represent an atomic async request of type `T`, and use `Fetch<T>`

to represent a program of type `T` that could possibly make several requests. First, any plain Rust value of type `T` and any struct implementing `Request<T>` can be lifted into a `Fetch<T>`:

```
fn pure(a: T) -> Fetch<T>
fn new<R: Request<T>>(request: R) -> Fetch<T>
```

These are the “leaves” of a `Fetch<T>` program.

Moreover, `Fetch<T>` forms a monad, because the following operation can be implemented for `Fetch<T>`:

```
fn bind<T, U>(x: Fetch<T>,
              k: impl FnOnce(T) -> Fetch<U>) -> Fetch<U>
```

This abstraction essentially says that, give me a program of type `Fetch<U>` that is dependent on the choice of value `T` and a program of type `Fetch<T>`, I can tell you how to compose both programs and give you a program of type `Fetch<U>` without any dependency. This intuitively explains why monad is a good abstraction for sequential execution.

Finally, `Fetch<T>` is also an applicative functor:

```
fn ap<T, U>(
  f: Fetch<impl FnOnce(T) -> U>,
  x: Fetch<T>) -> Fetch<U>
```

`ap` takes a program that computes a (higher-order) function from `T` to `U` and a program that computes to `T` and produces a program that computes to `U`. The `ap` operator describes the nature of concurrent computation. This can be more clearly demonstrated by a variant of it, `ap2`, which can be implemented using `ap`:

```
fn ap2<T1, T2, U>(
  f: Fetch<impl FnOnce(T1, T2) -> U>,
  x: Fetch<T1>, y: Fetch<T2>) -> Fetch<U>
```

`ap2` reads: give me a program that computes a function from `(T1, T2)` to `U` and individual programs that compute to `T1` and `T2`, I can give you a program that computes to `U`. In this case, the implementation of `ap` can freely parallelize the computation of `Fetch<T1>` and `Fetch<T2>` (and `Fetch<impl FnOnce(T1, T2)>`

¹ASync Optimal, User-friendly Library.

-> U>), because there is no inter-dependencies between them.

It turns out these are all we need to express all the features we want: sequential composition, method calls, and other advanced control flow. Once we build a program with the appropriate applicative and monadic API calls, all the (in)dependencies between requests are implicitly constructed.

An acute reader may notice that all monads are applicatives, and applicatives can be automatically implemented using operations on monads, so why do we even call them out? We do this, following Haxl, because of the restrictions specific to applicatives. In this case, compositions of applicatives are known to be parallelizable, so a more efficient implementation can be derived.

Batching requests into layers. Now we have abstractions for expressing sequential and concurrent programs, but we still need a way to perform these requests that utilizes the dependency information to maximize concurrency. We use the following:

- A `Fetch<T>` is a lazily evaluated wrapper over two possible status:
 - `Done(T)`: the value of this program has been computed and stored.
 - `Blocked(Vec<AbsRequest>, Fetch<T>)`: the program is blocked by a vector of request (`AbsRequest` is an abstract struct of `Request<T>`s for all `T`). Once the all the requests from the vector are performed, The blocked requests can be further computed by looking into the second argument of type `Fetch<T>`, which may itself be blocked. This creates a layered view of the requests, such that concurrent requests are layered at the same level.
- To aggregate this layered structure, we utilize the applicative and monadic APIs:
 - For applicative operations, we simply merge the two lists of blocked `AbsRequests`.
 - For monadic operations, we put the blocked requests from the depended value to be one layer up than the dependent computation.

Implementation in Rust. Although our design follows Haxl, which is implemented in Haskell, we need to adapt the design to features compatible in Rust. In particular, since we don't need the typeclass definition of structures but only one specific instance of monad and applicative, we simply implement them as structs and traits with applicative-like and monadic-

like interfaces. Moreover, programming in Haxl or Ruxl requires a monadic programming style, which Haskell has nice supports of and otherwise will be very tedious, so we also implement a `fetch!` macros over Ruxl for easier programming.

Other features. We also implemented exceptions as in the Haxl paper, which can be used for error handling, e.g., when the fetched data are inconsistent or a network error happens. Our design also allows a very modular executor. Currently, we implemented the concurrent execution using the parallel iterator library provided by rayon, which internally uses a thread pool.

Evaluation

We evaluate ASoul with the load generator from Lab 3 and compare the latency caused by X. We also compared the beauty between implementation in ASoul and Rust's `futures` library.

Reference