NotesClassical Electrodynamics

Yi Huang

University of Minnesota

Contents

Ι	Ca	price	1
1	Spring 2019		1
	1.1	The angular integral in (4.16)	1
	1.2	One tricky point on partial derivative below (5.108)	1
	1.3	Derivation of (6.27) and (6.28)	2
	1.4	Derivation of (9.37) in Jackson	2
	1.5	Derivation of (9.46) in Jackson	2
	1.6	Angular momentum operator of wave mechanics (9.101) in Jackson	3
	1.7	Remark of delta function in page 120, Jackson	3
	1.8	Derivation of (9.120) and (9.121) in Jackson	4

Caprice

PART

Τ

1 Spring 2019

1.1 The angular integral in (4.16')

Section 1. spring 2019

Show the following equation is true

$$\int d\Omega \,\mathbf{n}\cos\gamma = \frac{4\pi\mathbf{n}'}{3},\tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{i} \sin \theta \cos \phi + \mathbf{j} \sin \theta \sin \phi + \mathbf{k} \cos \theta$, and $\cos \gamma = \cos \theta \cos \theta' + \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos(\phi - \phi')$.

Proof. First look at the x component of the integral:

$$\int d\Omega \sin \theta \cos \phi \cos \gamma = \int d\cos \theta \, d\phi \sin \theta \cos \phi [\cos \theta \cos \theta' + \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos (\phi - \phi')]$$
(integrate over ϕ) = $\pi \int d\cos \theta \sin^2 \theta \sin \theta' \cos \phi'$

$$(u = \cos \theta) = \pi \sin \theta' \cos \phi' \int_{-1}^{1} du (1 - u^2)$$

$$= \frac{4\pi}{3} \sin \theta' \cos \phi'.$$

Similarly we can complete the proof.

1.2 One tricky point on partial derivative below (5.108)

Just below (5.108), it claims $\partial r/\partial z = \cos\theta$, this partial derivative treats x and y as constant. What is $\partial z/\partial r$? If we use expression $z=r\cos\theta$, then it is easy to go to result $\partial z/\partial r=\cos\theta$. But we have $\partial r/\partial z=\cos\theta$ already, how could $\partial z/\partial r=\partial r/\partial z$? To resolve this "paradox", we should notice that when we do the partial derivative, we always need to specify what variables we keep as constant. In the first calculation $\partial r/\partial z=\cos\theta$, we keep x,y as constant. However, in $\partial z/\partial r=\cos\theta$ we treat θ,ϕ as constant. That's the reason why we have such inconsistent results.

1.3 Derivation of (6.27) and (6.28)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{J_{l}} &= \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \mathbf{J_{l}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \nabla'^{2} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) \mathbf{J_{l}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \nabla' \cdot \left[\nabla' \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) \mathbf{J_{l}} \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \nabla' \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J_{l}}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \nabla \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) (\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J_{l}}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \frac{\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J_{l}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \frac{\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J_{l}} + \mathbf{J_{t}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \frac{\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J_{l}} + \mathbf{J_{t}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \int \mathrm{d}^{3}x' \frac{\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{J_{l}} + \mathbf{J_{t}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J_t} &= \int \mathrm{d}^3 x' \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \mathbf{J_t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^3 x' \nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) \mathbf{J_t} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^3 x' \left[-\nabla \left(\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{J_t}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) \right) + \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{J_t}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathrm{d}^3 x' \nabla \times \nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{J_t}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \times \nabla \times \int \mathrm{d}^3 x' \frac{\mathbf{J}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Derivation of (9.37) in Jackson

Basically we need to show the symmetric term can be related to quadrupole moment

$$\int d^3x \left(x_i J_j + x_j J_i\right) = -\int d^3x \, x_i x_j \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}. \tag{1.2}$$

Proof. We use $\delta_{ij} = \partial_i x_j$ to transform the symmetric term

$$x_i J_i + x_i J_i = \partial_k (x_i x_i J_k) - x_i x_i \partial_k J_k. \tag{1.3}$$

The divergence term vanishes if the current distribution is localized. \Box

1.5 Derivation of (9.46) in Jackson

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{n}|^2 &= |\mathbf{Q} - (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}|^2 \\ &= |\mathbf{Q}|^2 - 2|\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{n}|^2 + |\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{n}|^2 \\ &= |\mathbf{Q}|^2 - |\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{n}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

1.6 Angular momentum operator of wave mechanics (9.101) in Jackson

The infinitesimal distance in spherical coordinates is

$$d^{2}s = d^{2}r + r^{2} d^{2}\theta + r^{2} \sin^{2}\theta d^{2}\phi, \qquad (1.4)$$

so the gradient operator in spherical coordinates is

$$\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r = (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta)$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta = (\cos\theta\cos\phi, \cos\theta\sin\phi, -\sin\theta)$, and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi = (-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0)$. Hence we can write the angular momentum operator as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L} &= \frac{1}{i} \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\nabla}, \\ \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\nabla} &= (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r r) \times \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi \right) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}. \end{split}$$

And the differential operator L^2 can be obtained as

$$\begin{split} L^2 &= - \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \\ &= - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \cdot \left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \\ &= - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} - \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}, \end{split}$$

where we have used $\partial \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}/\partial \theta = -\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$, $\partial \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi}/\partial \theta = 0$, $\partial \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\theta}/\partial \phi = \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi}$, and $\partial \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi}/\partial \phi = -(\cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0)$. Next let's look at $\mathbf{L}_{x,y,z}$

$$\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\nabla} = (-\sin\phi \hat{\mathbf{i}} + \cos\phi \hat{\mathbf{j}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

$$-(\cos\theta\cos\phi \hat{\mathbf{i}} + \cos\theta\sin\phi \hat{\mathbf{j}} - \sin\theta \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

$$L_x = \frac{1}{i} \left(-\sin\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot\theta\cos\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right),$$

$$L_y = \frac{1}{i} \left(\cos\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot\theta\sin\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right),$$

$$L_z = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}.$$

Therefore

$$L_{+} = L_{x} + iL_{y} = e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right),$$

$$L_{-} = L_{x} - iL_{y} = e^{-i\phi} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right).$$

1.7 Remark of delta function in page 120, Jackson

To express $\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') = \delta(x_1 - x_1')\delta(x_2 - x_2')\delta(x_3 - x_3')$ in term of the coordinates (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) , related to (x_1, x_2, x_3) via the Jacobian $J(x_i, \xi_i)$, we note that the meaningful quantity is

 $\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') d^3x$. Hence

$$\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{|J(x_i, \xi_i)|} \delta(\xi_1 - \xi_1') \delta(\xi_2 - \xi_2') \delta(\xi_3 - \xi_3')$$
 (1.6)

See problem 1.2.

1.8 Derivation of (9.120) and (9.121) in Jackson

Introduce the normalized form of spherical harmonics

$$\mathbf{X}_{lm}(\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} \mathbf{L} Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi). \tag{1.7}$$

Using the completeness in a unit sphere

$$\mathbb{I} = \int d\Omega \, |\hat{\mathbf{r}}\rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{r}}| \,, \tag{1.8}$$

we can calculate the orthogonality of vector shperical harmonics

$$\int d\Omega (\mathbf{L}Y_{lm})^* \cdot (\mathbf{L}Y_{l'm'}) = \langle lm|\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L}|l'm' \rangle$$

$$= \int d\Omega \langle lm|\hat{\mathbf{r}} \rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{r}}|\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L}|\hat{\mathbf{r}} \rangle \langle \hat{\mathbf{r}}|l'm' \rangle$$

$$= l(l+1) \int d\Omega Y_{lm}^*(\theta, \phi) Y_{l'm'}(\theta, \phi)$$

$$= l(l+1)\delta_{ll'}\delta_{mm'},$$

To prove (9.121), we need to show $\mathbf{L} \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{L}) = 0$

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{L}) = \epsilon_{ijk} L_i x_j L_k$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} (x_j L_i + [L_i, x_j]) L_k$$

$$= i \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijl} x_l L_k$$

$$= 2i \delta_{kl} x_l L_k$$

$$= 2i x_k L_k$$

$$= \frac{2i}{\hbar} \epsilon_{ijk} x_k x_i p_j = 0,$$

where we used the commutator

$$[L_i, x_j] = \frac{\epsilon_{ikl}}{\hbar} x_k [p_l, x_j] = -i\epsilon_{ikl} x_k \delta_{lj} = i\epsilon_{ijk} x_k, \tag{1.9}$$

and the property of Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ijl}=2\delta_{kl}$.