

Problem 1: Bayesian Networks for Astronomy

- a) Based on the problem description, what independence / conditional independence assumptions should hold between the five variables?**

According to the description, we could know that we could have $M1$ by given $F1$ and N , and could have $M2$ by given $F2$ and N . The number of stars is totally not influenced by telescope focus and the probability of small measurement error. Moreover, if the telescope is out focus, the measurements would be different. Therefore:

$F1$ is independence of N , but $F1$ is not conditional independence of N given $M1$.

$F2$ is independence of N , but $F2$ is not conditional independence of N given $M2$.

$M1$ and $M2$ both are not independent.

- b) Using your answer to part (a), which of the three Bayesian networks shown in Figure 1 above is best for modeling this problem? Justify your answer.**

Figure (i) is not correct because the figure has $F1$ conditional independence of N given $M1$.

Figure (ii) and (iii) both are correct, but figure (ii) is the best one since it represents the causal structure correctly and it is not more complicated.

- c) Suppose $M1 = 1$ and $M2 = 3$. What are the possible numbers of stars if you assume no prior constraint on the values of N ?**

The two measurement results are different which means that one of the telescopes may have out of focus. In this case, the scientist will undercount by three or more stars. If we assume that $F2$ is true, there still has some small probability ϵ of error by up to one star. Therefore, we have different situations here:

- i. Case 1: $F1$ and $F2$ both are true and $M1$ see one fewer star and $M2$ see one more star: $N = 2$
- ii. Case 2: $F1$ is false and $F2$ is true and $M2$ see one fewer star and $M1$ undercount by three: $N = 4$
- iii. Case 3: $F1$ and $F2$ both are false and both $M1$ and $M2$ are undercount (by three or bigger): $N \geq 6$

Hence, N could be 2, 4 or bigger than 6.

- d) What is the most likely number of stars, given these observations? Explain how to compute this mathematically, and what additional information is needed (if any).**

If I don't know the distribution of $P(N)$, I don't think I could calculate mathematically; the only way for now is inference by using observation. According to our analysis on question

(c), we could know that the probability e of error and the probability of telescope out of focus f would influence values of N . Since the telescope can with a much smaller probability f which means $f \gg e$, The probability of case 2 and 3 would be smaller than the probability of case 1, in other words $F1$ and $F2$ both are true and both $M1$ and $M2$ has probability of error. Therefore, $N = 2$ is the most likely number of stars.

- e) **For the remainder of the problem, consider the network from Figure 1(ii), and suppose $N \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $M1, M2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Suppose each value of N is equally likely. Write out the conditional probability tables (CPTs) for all nodes in the network: $P(F)$, $P(N)$, $P(M | N, F)$.**

If N is less than 3, if the telescope is out of focus, then we fail to detect any stars at all. Assume that the probability of telescope is out of focus is f , and the probability of telescope is not out of focus is $(1-f)$. Since the astronomer may see an additional star with probability e and may see one fewer star with probability e , the probability of no error is $(1-2e)$.

The conditional probability tables (CPTs) in the network:

	N = 1		N = 2		N = 3	
	F1	$\overline{F1}$	F1	$\overline{F1}$	F1	$\overline{F1}$
M1 = 0	f	$e(1-f)$	f	0	f	0
M1 = 1	0	$(1-2e)(1-f)$	0	$e(1-f)$	0	0
M1 = 2	0	$e(1-f)$	0	$(1-2e)(1-f)$	0	$e(1-f)$
M1 = 3	0	0	0	$e(1-f)$	0	$(1-2e)(1-f)$
M1 = 4	0	0	0	0	0	$e(1-f)$

$F1$, $M1$, N and $F2$, $M2$, N has identical table, and both are same.

- f) **Using variable elimination and the CPTs computed in the previous part, calculate the probability distribution $P(N | M1 = 2, M2 = 3)$.**

$$P(N = 1 | M1 = 2, M2 = 3) = e(1-f) * 0 = 0$$

$$P(N = 2 | M1 = 2, M2 = 3) = (1-2e)(1-f) * e(1-f)$$

$$P(N = 3 | M1 = 2, M2 = 3) = e(1-f) * (1-2e)(1-f)$$

$$P(N) = P(N = 1 | M1 = 2, M2 = 3) + P(N = 2 | M1 = 2, M2 = 3) + P(N = 3 | M1 = 2, M2 = 3) = 0 + (1-2e)(1-f) * e(1-f) + e(1-f) * (1-2e)(1-f) = 2e(1-f)^2(1-2e)$$